Statistical Field Theory for Simple uids : M ean Field and G aussian Approxim ations

J.-M.Caillol LPT -CNRS (UMR 8627) Bat. 210, Universite de Paris Sud F-91405 O rsay Cedex, France (March 22, 2024)

W e present an exact eld theoretical representation of the statistical mechanics of sim ple classical liquids with short-ranged pairwise additive interactions. The action of the eld theory is obtained by performing a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation of the con gurational Boltzm ann factor. The mean eld and G aussian approximations of the theory are derived and applications to the liquid-vapour transition considered.

I. IN TRODUCTION

This article on a som ehow new presentation of the theory of liquids is dedicated to D. Levesque at the occasion of his 65th birthday. Since D om inique and I share the same o ce, it was nearly impossible to keep secret the writing of the paper and I could not help myself discussing with him some parts of the manuscript. H is deep know ledge of the theory of liquids made his remarks always pertinent and the nal status of this article owes very much to our discussions.

The purpose of this work is to try to apply some of the techniques of statistical eld theory to the physics of simple liquids. By "simple" we mean a liquid made of a single, chem ically inert component which can be satisfactorily modelled by pairwise additive and spherically symmetric interactions¹. More precisely we shall consider the case of a pair potential v(r) which can be written as the sum of a hard core interaction plus a short-ranged tail w(r) which is supposed to decay faster than $1=r^{3+}$ at large r so that the therm odynamic limit exists¹. Long-ranged electrostatic interactions are thus excluded of the present study as well as molecular liquids or mixtures. W ith the help of a K ac-Siegert-Stratonovich-Hubbard-Edwards (K SSHE)^{2 {6} transformation it is form ally possible to reexpress the grand partition function of this system of decorated hard spheres as the grand partition function of bare hard spheres in an external stochastic potential or " eld" with a G aussian measure^{3;7 {10}. We can thus describe the uid with the help of a eld theory characterised by an action H () which however is quite complicated since, besides a simple quadratic term, it also includes the grand potential of the hard spheres which is a non local functional of the

eld . Quite im modestly we however assume that this functional is perfectly known. Of course, it would be more satisfactory to treat the hard sphere interactions at the same level as the tailw (r) but the KSSHE transform requires a well behaved interaction (namely its Fourier transform must exist and be well behaved, see e.g. the appendix) and, unfortunately, hard core or more realistic less singular repulsive short-ranged potentials do not full I these mathematical requirements.

All the sophisticated techniques developped in statistical eld theory^{11 {14} can thus be applied a priori to the case of liquids. Feynm an graphs are no more di cult to compute than M ayer graphs which makes possible to perform e ectively perturbative expansions of various therm odynam ic quantities attached to the liquid in the K SSHE representation. Recently this type of approach was used to rediscover the low fugacity^{9;10} and high temperature¹⁰ expansions of the free energy and the equation of state of the restricted primitive model of electrolytes (RPM), results which had been obtained years ago before by aw kw ard graph resum mation techniques^{15;16}.

A nother powerfull technique of statistical eld theory is the so-called loop expansion which am ounts to expand functionally the action H () around a saddle-point^{12 {14}. The lowest order (zero loop) of the expansion, de nes the m ean eld (M F) level of the theory. The M F theory of liquids in the K SSHE representation has been studied recently by B rilliantov et al. both for hom ogeneous liquids⁷ and for liquids inhom ogeneous in one direction of space⁸. In the form er case, it yields to a van de W aals like theory of the liquid-vapour transition and, in the latter one, it allows the calculation of the M F surface tension. In the present paper we reconsider and extend B rilliantov's work on the M F level of the K SSHE theory and go a step further by considering also the one-loop approxim ation of the theory or,

e-m ail: Jean-M ichelC aillol@ th.u-psud.fr

m ore precisely, a simpli ed version of it, called the Gaussian approximation in the literature¹¹. In the hom ogeneous case it is shown to be strictly equivalent to the random phase approximation (RPA) of the theory of liquids¹. The present work is hopefully a rst step forwards a renormalized theory of the critical points of liquids at the one-loop order.

O ur paper is organized as follows. In section II we expose in details some important properties of the KSSHE transform and establish notably the relation between the correlation functions of the elds and that of the uctuations of densities. In section III the general MF equations of the KSSHE eld theory are derived and their properties studied in details. As a subproduct of this form alism we obtain exact bounds for the free energy and the grand potential functionals. In next section IV the G aussian approximation is derived and shown to be equivalent the RPA theory. In section V we reexpress the action H () under a form similar to that of the Landau-G inzburg (LG) H am iltonian of m agnetic systems which allows us to m ap the liquid-gas transition on the ferrom agnetic one. In this way one can identify clearly the order parameter of the liquid-vapour transition which is, at least at the MF level, nothing but the density of the uid, which rules out the eld-m ixing hypothesis^{17;18}. In section V II the MF and G aussian approximations are used to study in details the critical point of the uid. We conclude in section V II.

II. THE KSSHE TRANSFORM

A.The KSSHE Transform of The Boltzm ann Factor

We consider the case of a simple three dimensional uid made of N identical hard spheres of diameter with additional pair interactions denoted for convenience w(i; j). Since w(i; j) is an arbitrary function in the core, i.e. for $r_{ij} <$, it is always possible to introduce the following decomposition:

$$w (i; j) = \underset{Z}{w} (i; j) \quad w_{+} (i; j);$$
we $(k) = d^{3}r \exp(ikr) w (r) > 0 (8k):$
(2.1)

In order to de ne safely the KSSHE transform ation it is crucial to assume that the Fourier transforms of the pair potentials w (r) do exist and are both positive functions of k (for more details see the appendix). Moreover we dem and we (k = 0) and w (r = 0) to be both well-behaved (nite) quantities. Clearly w₊ (r) is the repulsive part of the tail and w (r) its attractive part.

O byiously the decomposition (2.1) is not unique, with the unpleasant consequence that approximate theories can depend upon the pecular choice made for w (r) inside the core. We must precise however what we mean by an "approximate theory". If we mean a system atic expansion of some physical quantity in powers of some smallphysical parameter then the "theory" should be independent of the decomposition (2.1). This point was scrupulously considered in ref.¹⁰ where it was shown that each term of the high-temperature and low activity expansions of the equation of state of the RPM obtained in the fram ework of the KSSHE form alism were indeed independent of the regularisation of the C oulom b potential inside the core.

By contrast, the smallparam eter of the loop-expansion considered in the present work is quite mysterious (we could have noted it h according to the tradition !) and certainly not related to any physical param eter of the system $12 \{14, A \ s \ a \ consequence$, each term of the expansion of the free energy of the liquid given in the following sections depends explicitly upon the regularisation of w (r) at short distances. We shall explain later on how to deal with, and even take advantage of the explicit dependance of the theory upon the decom position (2.1) inside the core.

We denote by the domain of volume V occupied by the molecules of the uid and by T their temperature. It is convenient to assume that is a cube with periodic boundary conditions (PBC). In a given con guration $! = (N; r_1 ::: r_N)$ the microscopic density of particles reads

$$b(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{j}!) = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}); \qquad (2.2)$$

and the Boltzm ann factor can thus be written

exp (V (!)) = exp (
$$V_{H S}$$
 (!)) exp (N $_{S}$) exp $\frac{1}{2}$ hbj w jbi ; (2.3)

where $= 1 = k_B T$ (k_B Boltzm ann factor) and s = w (0)=2 is m inus the self-energy of the particles. From our hypothesis on w (r), s is a nite quantity which depends on the regularisation of the potential in the core. In eq.

 $(2.3) \exp(V_{HS}(!))$ denotes the hard sphere contribution to the Boltzmann factor and we have introduced the symbolic notation

hbjy jbi
$$d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 d^3 \mathbf{r}_2$$
 b(\mathbf{r}_1 j!) w (\mathbf{r}_1 ; \mathbf{r}_2) b(\mathbf{r}_2 j!): (2.4)

W ith our assumptions the two quadratic forms hbjw jbi are both positive de nite and we can take advantage of the properties of G aussian functional integrals to rewrite

$$\exp + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{hbj} w \operatorname{jbi} = \operatorname{hexp} (\operatorname{hbj} i) \operatorname{i}_{w}$$

$$\exp \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{hbj} w_{+} \operatorname{jbi} = \operatorname{hexp} (\operatorname{ihbj} i_{+} i) \operatorname{i}_{w_{+}}; \qquad (2.5)$$

where the scalar products hbj i of the microscopic density b with the two real scalar elds ' (r) are de ned as

7

$$hbj' i = d^3 r b (r j!)' (r):$$
 (2.6)

The brackets h:::i denote Gaussian averages over the elds ' (r) i.e.

7

h:::
$$i_{w} = N_{w}^{1} = D'(x)$$
:::exp $\frac{1}{2}' j(w)^{1} j';$
 $N_{w} = D'(x)$ exp $\frac{1}{2}' j(w)^{1} j;$ (2.7)

where the inverse of w must be understood in the sense of operators, i.e.

Ζ

$$d^{3}\mathbf{r}_{3} w (\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{3}) w^{-1} (\mathbf{r}_{3};\mathbf{r}_{2}) = {}^{(3)} (\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2}) :$$
 (2.8)

O ne could object that the relations (2.5), (2.7) and (2.8) are rather form albut in simple cases – i.e. if PBC are assumed – explicit and unambiguous expressions of the measure D' (r) can be given. See appendix A for more details. In order to simplify the notations further, we introduce the complex eld' (r) ' (r) + i' + (r), de ne the measure

$$D'(x) D'_{+}(x)D'(x);$$
 (2.9)

and the G aussian average

h::
$$i_{w} N_{w}^{1} D'(r)$$
:::exp $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{X} j(w)^{1} j';$ (2.10)

where N $_{\rm W}$ N $_{\rm W+}$ N $_{\rm W}$. We can thus rewrite the Boltzm an factor (2.3) as

$$\exp(V(!)) = \exp(V_{HS}(!)) \exp(N_S) \exp(h'j_{Di})_{w} :$$
(2.11)

A dm ittedly these notations can be m isleading but they can be fully justiled, see the beginning of section V for a more detailed discussion. Eq. (2.11) de nes the KSSHE transform of the Botzm ann factor.

B. The Physicalm eaning of the auxilliary elds

We have seen in section IIA that, in a given con guration !, the Boltzmann factor of the uid can be written as the statistical eld partition function

$$z(!) \exp(\frac{1}{2} < bjwb) = N_w^1 D' \exp(h[']);$$
 (2.12)

where h ['] is a Gaussian Ham iltonian which reads

$$h['] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (w)^{-1} j' h' joi ih'_{+} joi :$$
 (2.13)

The saddle point of h ['] is de ned by the set of equations

$$\frac{h}{(x)} = \frac{h}{(x)} = 0$$
 (2.14)

the solution of which is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\tau}_{+} & (\mathbf{r}_{1}) = \mathbf{i} \qquad d^{3}\mathbf{r}_{2} \ \mathbf{w}_{+} \ (\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2}) \ \mathbf{b} \ (\mathbf{r}_{2}) \ ; \\ & \mathbf{i} \ \mathbf{w}_{+} \ (\mathbf{r}_{1};;) \qquad \mathbf{b} \ (\mathbf{i}) \ ; \\ \mathbf{\tau}_{-} & (\mathbf{r}_{1}) = \mathbf{w} \ (\mathbf{r}_{1};;) \qquad \mathbf{b} \ (\mathbf{i}) \ ; \end{aligned}$$
(2.15)

where the sym bol 0 denotes a convolution in space. Note that eqs (2.15) in ply the compact form ula

7

$$\tau$$
 (\mathbf{x}_1) = w (\mathbf{x}_1 ;) b(:); (2.16)

where $\overline{}(x) = \overline{}(x) + i\overline{}_{+}(x)$, or, more explicitly

$$\tau_{(\underline{x})} = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\underline{x}^{N}} w(\underline{x} \ \underline{x}_{i}):$$
 (2.17)

Therefore, at the saddle point, the KSSHE eld τ (r) is simply m inus the local potential energy at point r. M oreover one notes that

$$h[T] = \frac{1}{2}hbjwjbi:$$
 (2.18)

i.e. the value of h at the saddle point h [7] coincides with the con gurational energy. Let us make the change of variables ' (r) = 7 (r) + 1 (r), one has obviously, as a consequence of the stationarity,

$$h['] = h['] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{X} (w)^{-1} j'$$
 (2.19)

The positivity of both operators w¹ con rms that ($(\neg; h[\neg])$) is indeed a saddle point. For a more complicated H am iltonian than h['], the approximation consisting in truncating the corrections to the saddle point value h['] at the G aussian level is called the G aussian approximation^{11;12}. This approximation is obviouly exact for z(!) because h['] is a quadratic form. Indeed a direct calculation shows that

$$z_{G} N_{W}^{1} D'(r) \exp h[r] \frac{1}{2} X' j(w)^{1}j' = \exp(h[r]) z(!):$$
(2.20)

Note that it follows from eq. (2.15) that τ_+ is in aginary whereas we assumed the reality of the eld \prime_+ . The integrals on \prime_+ in eq. (2.20) correspond therefore to a mere translation for the contour of integration.

C. The KSSHE Transform of The Grand Partition Function

Henceforward we shall work in the grand canonical (GC) ensemble. We denote by the chemical potential an by (r) an external potential. The local chemical potential will be noted (r) = (r) and the GC partition function reads:

$$[] = \frac{X^{i}}{N = 0} \frac{1}{N!} \frac{Z^{i}}{N!} d1 ::::dN \exp(V(!)) \exp(V(!));$$
(2.21)

where i \mathbf{r}_i and di $d^3\mathbf{r}_i$. can be symbolically rewritten as

$$[] = d (!) exp (V (!)) exp (h jbi) : (2.22)$$

W ith these notations averages will be obtained by the form ula

$$hA(!)i_{GC} = \frac{\binom{R}{d}(!)A(!)\exp(-V(!))\exp(h-bi)}{d(!)\exp(-V(!))\exp(h-bi)};$$
(2.23)

A swellknown, [] is log-convex functional of 19^{21} . Inserting the expression (2.11) in eq. (2.21) one obtains readily a result which seem s to have been established for the st time by Siegert³, i.e.

$$[] = h_{HS} []i_w : \qquad (2.24)$$

where $(\mathbf{r}) = (\mathbf{r})_{S} + '(\mathbf{r})$. Eq (2.24) tells us that the grand partition function of a uid of decorated hard spheres is equal to the mean value of the grand partition function _{HS} of bare hard spheres in the presence of an external stochastic eld with a Gaussian weight.

To make some contact with statistical eld theory we also introduce the e ective H am iltonian (or action)

$$H['] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (w)^{-1} j' \log_{HS} []; \qquad (2.25)$$

which allows us to write alternatively for

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ \end{bmatrix} = N \stackrel{1}{_{w}} D' (x) \exp(H[']) :$$
 (2.26)

It will be important in the sequel to distinguish carefully two types of statistical eld averages, the already de ned $< :::>_{W}$ and the $< :::>_{H}$ that we de ne as

$$< A[']>_{H} = \frac{P'(x) \exp(H['])A[']}{D'(x) \exp(H['])}:$$
 (2.27)

W ith these de nitions in mind one notes that for an arbitrary functional of eld A ['] one has

$$< A[']>_{H} = \frac{hA[']_{HS}[]i_{w}}{h_{HS}[]i_{w}}$$
: (2.28)

D.Prelim inary Results on Correlation Functions.

The ordinary and connected correlation functions of the uid will be de ned in this paper as^{1;22;23}

$$G^{(n)}[](1;:::;n) = \frac{1}{*[]} \frac{n}{(1)::::(n)};$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} b(n_{i}j!);$$

$$G^{(n)}_{c}[](1;:::;n) = \frac{n \log []}{(1):::(n)};$$

(2.29)

O ur notation emphasizes the fact that the G⁽ⁿ⁾ (connected and not connected) are functionals of the local chem ical potential (r) and functions of the coordinates (1;:::;n) (r;:::;r_n). We know from the theory of liquids that $2^{2;23}$

$$G_{c}^{(n)}[](1;:::;n) = G^{(n)}[](1;:::;n) \qquad X \quad Y \\ G_{c}^{(m)}[](1;:::;n) \qquad G_{c}^{(m)}[](1;:::;i_{m}); \qquad (2.30)$$

where the sum of products is carried out over all possible partitions of the set (1; :::; n) into subsets of cardinal m < n. In standard textbooks¹ the n-body correlations are usually de ned as functional derivatives with respect to

the activity rather than with respect to the chem ical potential. It yields to di erences involving delta functions. For instance for n = 2 and for a hom ogeneous system one has

$$G^{(2)}[](1;2) = {}^{2}g(r_{12}) + (1;2);$$

$$G^{(2)}_{c}[](1;2) = {}^{2}h(r_{12}) + (1;2);$$
(2.31)

where is the density of the uid and g(r) the usual pair distribution function at chem ical potential , nally h = g 1. Of course we would like to relate the correlation functions of the m icroscopic density b with the correlation functions

of the K SSHE eld ' de ned as

$$G_{,(n)}^{(n)} [](1;:::;n) = Y^{n} + Y^{$$

From the expression (2.26) of and the de nition (2.29) of $G^{(n)}$ one infers that

$$G^{(n)}[](1;:::;n) = {}^{1}N_{w}^{1}D' \exp \frac{1}{2}X' j(w) {}^{1}j' H_{S}(G^{(n)}_{H_{S}}[](1;:::;n); (2.33)$$

which yields, with the help of eq. (2.28), to the simple relation

$$G^{(n)}[](1;:::;n) = G^{(n)}_{HS}[](1;:::;n)_{H} : (2.34)$$

We are only half the way since the hard sphere correlation functions $G_{HS}^{(n)}$ [] are of course complicated functionals of ' (through their dependence upon]. Let us rst exam ine the simple case n = 1 ($G^{(n=1)}$). We rst rewrite eq. (2.34) for n = 1 as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = h_{HS} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = h_{HS} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{w} D' \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{1} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Then one remarks that

$$\frac{H_{S}(\Gamma)}{(1)} = \frac{H_{S}(\Gamma)}{\prime} = -i \frac{H_{S}(\Gamma)}{\prime}; \qquad (2.36)$$

which allows us to replace the functional derivative of $_{\rm HS}$ with respect to in the r.h.s of eq. (2.35) by a derivative either with respect to ' or with respect to ' + . Let us do it in details in the former case. Since for functional integrals one has¹²

^Z
$$D'(x) - \frac{I[']}{(x)} = 0;$$
 (2.37)

An integration by parts yields the results

$$[](1) = {}^{1}N_{w} {}^{1}D' \frac{\exp \frac{1}{2}P}{(1)} {}^{P} {}^{'}(w) {}^{1}J' {}^{'}(w) {}^{1}J' {}^{'}(1) {}^{H_{S}}(r);$$

= (w) ${}^{1}(1;:) {}^{H_{S}}(:)i_{H} {}^{:}(:)i_{H} {}^{:}(:)i_{H} {}^{:}(:)i_{H} {}^{'}(:)i_{H} {}^{'}(:)i_{$

Repeating the above derivation with the eld $'_+$ instead of $'_-$ and making use of the compact notations previously de ned one nally arrives at the set of relations

$$h'(1)i_{H} = w(1;:) h_{HS}[](:)i_{H};$$
 (2.39a)

$$h'_{+}(1)i_{H} = i w_{+}(1;:) h_{HS}[](:)i_{H};$$
 (2.39b)

$$h'(1)i_{H} = w(1;:) \quad h_{HS}[](:)i_{H}:$$
 (2.39c)

For a hom ogeneous system one therefore has h' $i_H = we(0)$. It can be noticed that eq. (2.39c) is analogous to a relation derived by C allen for an array of spins S_i^{24} , namely $\langle S_i \rangle = \langle \tanh(h_i + J_{ij}S_j) \rangle$ (h_i m agnetic eld on the site i, J_{ik} coupling constant between sites i and j). C allen's exact relation can be used to obtain the MF equations of spin system s by neglecting all correlations, which yields the well-known MF equations $\overline{S}_i = \tanh(h_i + J_{ij}\overline{S}_j)$ where \overline{S}_i is the MF m agnetisation of site i. Proceeding in an analogous way with eq. (2.39c) on obtains the MF equations for the K SSHE eld':

$$\tau$$
 (1) = w (1;:) _{HS} [_S + τ](:); (2.40)

which will be derived more rigorously in next section.

This method of functional integration by parts can be used a priori at all orders so that to derive relations between the correlations $G'^{(n)}$ of the eld ' and the correlations $G^{(n)}$ of the microscopic density b. We just quote the result for n = 2.

$$G_{r}^{(2)}[](1;2) = w(1;2) + w(1;:) \quad G^{(2)}[](:;:) \quad w(:;2);$$

$$G_{r}^{(2)}[](1;2) = w(1;2) + w(1;:) \quad G^{(2)}[](:;:) \quad w(:;2): \qquad (2.41)$$

We leave the derivation of eqs. (2.41) by the method of integration by parts as an exercise for the reader. The result will be rederived and generalised to the case n 3 by a more elegant method in section V.

III.M EAN FIELD THEORY

A. The grand-canonical free energy

W e de ne the MF theory or saddle point approximation by the equation

$$M_{\rm F}() = \exp(H(\tau)); \qquad (3.1)$$

where at ' = 7 the action H is minimum. It there are several local minima then one retains the absolute minimum. The stationary condition reads

$$\frac{H}{(x)} = 0 \quad (=) :$$
 (3.2)

It follows readily from the expression (2.25) of H that these eqs. may be written

$$\vec{\tau}_{+}(1) = w (1;:) + S [](:);$$

$$\vec{\tau}_{+}(1) = i w_{+}(1;:) + S [](:):$$
(3.3)

By combining linearly eqs. (3.3) one recovers the MF eq. (2.40) for '. The sim ilarity between the MF eqs. (3.3) and (2.40) in one hand, and that which give the saddle point of h[] in a given con guration of the phase space (cf. eqs. (2.15)) on the other hand, is striking although expected.

Making use of eqs (3.3) it is then easy to show that

$$\log_{MF}[] = \log_{HS}[] \frac{1}{2}h_{HS}[]jwj_{HS}[]i:$$
(3.4)

The MF density at point r_1 is obtained by taking the functional derivative of log MF [] with respect to (1). It yields

$$M_{F}[](1) = \frac{\log_{M_{F}}[]}{(1)}$$
$$= H_{S}[](1) + H_{S}[]j\frac{\tau}{(1)} \quad w \quad \frac{H_{S}}{(1)} : \qquad (3.5)$$

The scalar product in the rhs of eq. (3.5) vanishes as a consequence of the stationarity conditions (3.2) and, nally, one nds

$$M_{\rm F} [](1) = H_{\rm S} [](1)$$
(3.6)

We are now in position to compute the MF grand-canonical (MFGC) free energy as the Legendre Transform of \log_{MF} [] with respect to the local chemical potential (r)

$$A_{MF}[M_{F}] = h_{MF}ji \log_{MF}[]$$
(3.7)

One $\mbox{ nds after simple algebra that A [], as a functional of <math display="inline">\ ,$ can be expressed as

$$A_{MF}[] = A_{HS}[] + d^{3}r_{S}(r) \frac{1}{2}h j w j i; \qquad (3.8)$$

where A_{HS} [] is the exact GC free energy functional of the hard spheres at the density . For a hom ogeneous system A_{MF} () is merely the MF free energy of the system in the GC ensemble.

B. The M ean Field D ensity Functionals

We recall rst some important properties of the functionals log [] and A []. Under quite general conditions it can be shown that the logarithm of the exact grand-partition function log [] is a convex functional of the local chem ical potential (r) and that the exact GC free energy A [] is a convex functional of the density ($r^{\frac{1}{9}}$ ²¹. It must be stressed that, for a nite system, A [] di ers from the canonical free energy A_C [] which is not a convex functional of (r) notably in the two-phases region due to interfacial e ects. Moreover log [] and A [] constitute a pair of Legendre transform s, an important property which can be expressed as

$$A[] = \sup_{2U} (h j i \log []) (8 2 R);$$
(3.9)

$$\log [] = \sup_{2R} (h j i A []) (8 2 U):$$
 (3.10)

It can also be shown that the sets of physical densities R and that of local chem ical potentials U are both convex sets^{19;21}. The so-called Young inequalities follows directly from eqs (3.9), (3.10) and read

F inally we recall that a necessary and su cient condition for the convexity of log [] and A [] is that their second order functional derivatives are positive operators, i.e.^{19{21}</sup>

$$\frac{j^{(2)} \log []}{(1) (2)} (G_c^{(2)}) []j \qquad 0 (8 ; 2 U);$$

$$\frac{j^{(2)} A []}{(1) (2)} (e^{(2)} [])j \qquad 0 (8 ; 2 R): \qquad (3.12)$$

M oreover the two-body direct correlation function $e^{(2)}[]$ is m inus the inverse of the connected two-body correlation function $G_{c}^{(2)}[]$ i.e.

$$G_{c}^{(2)}[](1;:) \stackrel{\text{de}}{\leftarrow} {}^{(2)}[](:;2) = (1;2)$$
 (3.13)

where it must be stressed that [] is the (unique) local chemical potential corresponding to the density pro le . We have now at our disposal all the mathematical tools necessary to prove the two following theorems

if
$$w_{+} = 0$$
 (attractive case) $A_{M F}$ [] is an upper bound of A []

if w = 0 (repulsive case) A_{MF} [] is a lower bound of A []

Let us consider st the attractive case $(w_{+} = 0)$. We start with the fundam ental relation (2.21) which reads in this case

$$[] = hexp (log_{HS} [_{S} + '])i_{w} (8 2 U):$$
(3.14)

We now apply Young's inequalities (3.11) to log _{HS} which yields

[

] hexp (A[]+hj s+'i)i_w (8 2U;8 2R)
exp A_{H S}[]+hj si+
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
hjw ji (8 2U;8 2R): (3.15)

where we have made use of the property (2.5) of Gaussian integrals. Taking the logarithm one arrives at

$$A_{MF}[] A_{HS}[]+hj_{si} \frac{1}{2}hjwji hji log [];; (8 2 U; 8 2 R):$$
 (3.16)

which implies

$$A_{MF}[] \sup_{2U} (h j i \log []) A[] (w_4 0; 8 2 R):$$
 (3.17)

Good approximate functionals $A_{HS}[]$ are available in the literature for the hard sphere uid. Our $A_{MF}[]$ could be of some use to deal with systems of decorated hard spheres. Note that $A_{MF}[]$ is also a functional of the pair potential w (r) in the core (r); therefore an optimized version of the MFGC free energy can be obtained by minimizing $A_{MF}[]$ with respect to w (r) in the core.

The repulsive case (w = 0) is more tricky. One rst remarks that, in this case, one has

$$[] = hexp (log_{HS} [_{S} + i'_{+}])i_{W_{+}} (8 \ 2 \ U) :$$
(3.18)

which implies

$$H_{S}[] = hexp(log[+_{S} + '_{+}])i_{W_{+}} (8 2 U):$$
(3.19)

We are thus led back to the previous case. We therefore apply now Young's inequalities (3.11) to log which yields

$$_{HS}[] \exp A[]+hj+_{s}i+\frac{1}{2}hjw_{+}ji$$
 (8 2 U;8 2 R); (3.20)

where we made use, once again of the fundam ental property of G aussian integrals (cf eq. (2.5)). Taking the logarithm we are thus led to the following inequalities

A[]
$$\log_{HS}[]+hj+_{si}\frac{1}{2}hjwji(8 2U;8 2R);$$
 (3.21)

where we have noted that, in the repulsive case, $w_{+} = w$. Since the inequality (3.21) is valid for any 2.0 for a given 2.R it is also true for $= \sup (2.0)$ which yields the desired result

$$A[] A_{MF}[] (w 0; 8 2 R):$$
(3.22)

In the repulsive case an optimized version of the MFGC free energy can thus be obtained by maximizing A_{MF} [] with respect to w_+ (r) in the core.

In the general case, i.e. when w = w w_+ (w \notin 0 and w \notin 0) A_{MF} [] is neither an upper or a lower bound for the exact GC free energy. Moreover, in the hom ogeneous case, there is no clear relation between A_{MF} [] and the G ibbs-B ogoliubov bounds¹ for the free energy.

C. The M ean Field Correlation Functions

1. The connected two-points correlation function

The MF connected two-points correlation function will be de ned according to eq. (2.29), i.e. as

$$G_{M F;c}^{(2)}[](1;2) = \frac{2 \log_{M F}[]}{(1)(2)};$$
$$= \frac{M F[](2)}{(1)}:$$
(3.23)

Since $_{MF}[](2) = _{HS}[_{S} + \tau](2)$ (cf. eq. (3.6)) the MF density $_{MF}[]$ is a functional of the local chem ical potential directly and also through the KSSHE ed τ []. Therefore one has

$$G_{M F;c}^{(2)}[](1;2) = G_{H S;c}^{(2)}[](1;2) + d3 G_{H S;c}^{(2)}[](1;3) - \frac{7(3)}{(2)}:$$
(3.24)

W e note that it follows from from the MF eq. (2.40) that

$$\frac{7}{(2)} = W(3;:) \quad G_{M \ F;c}^{(2)}[](:;2)$$
(3.25)

from which we infer the relation

$$G_{M F;c}^{(2)}[] = G_{H S;c}^{(2)}[] + G_{H S;c}^{(2)}[] \quad w \quad \underset{M F;c}{\overset{(2)}{\not H}}[] \qquad (3.26)$$

which can be solved form ally to give

$$G_{M F;c}^{(2)}[] = (1 \quad w \quad G_{H S;c}^{(2)}[])^{-1} \quad G_{H S;c}^{(2)}[]:$$
(3.27)

For a hom ogeneous system the Fourier transform of $G_{M,F,c}^{(2)}$ therefore reads as

$$G_{M F;c}^{(2)}[](k) = \frac{G_{H S;c}^{(2)}[](k)}{1 \quad w(k)G_{H S;c}^{(2)}[](k)} :$$
(3.28)

2. The direct two-points correlation function

The MF two-points direct correlation function will be de ned according to eq. (3.12), i.e. as

In the term inology of statistical eld theory $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{M\,F}^{(2)}$ [](1;2) is a vertex function, it is related to the usual direct correlation function c(1;2) by the relation $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{M\,F}^{(2)}$ [](1;2) = $\mathcal{G}_{4\,F}$ [](1;2) (1;2)= (1)^{2;23}. It readily follows from the expression (3.8) of the MFGC free energy that we have

$$\mathfrak{E}_{MF}^{(2)}$$
 [](1;2) = $\mathfrak{E}_{HS}^{(2)}$ [](1;2) + w (1;2) : (3.30)

Some remarks are at order. Firstly, it can be checked that the mean-eld operators $\Phi_{M}^{(2)}_{F}$ is indeed the inverse of $G_{M}^{(2)}_{F;c}$; more precisely one checks readily that, form ally

$$\mathcal{B}_{MF}^{(2)}[](1;;) \quad G_{MF;c}^{(2)}[](;;2) = (1;2);$$
(3.31)

where it must be stressed that in the above equation denotes the mean-eld density at the local chem ical potential , i.e. $_{H \ S} \ [$]. For a hom ogeneous system the O mstein-Zemike (O Z) eq. (3.31) can be written simply in Fourier space as $G_{M \ F,c}^{(2)}$ [](k) $E_{M \ F,c}^{(2)}$ [](k) = 1. Secondly it must be stressed that the expression (3.27) of the connected correlation function $G_{M \ F,c}^{(2)}$ is rather formal. Its validity, and thus the validity of the O Z relation (3.31) as well, is guaranteed only is the inverse of the operator 1 w $G_{H \ S,c}^{(2)}$ [] does exist. It can be expected to be true only if T > T_c where T_c is the (m ean eld) critical temperature. Below T_c the rst derivatives of the M F grand potential with respect to have discontinuities at the chem ical potential corresponding to the coexistence of the vapour and liquid phases and the second derivatives (i.e. $G_{M \ F,c}^{(2)}$ []) are not de ned. 3. Rigorous bounds for Log []

It transpires from the above discussion that for $T > T_c$ the M F correlation function $G_{M \ F,c}^{(2)}[$] is a positive operator, i.e. that

It follows then from the OZ eq. (3.31) that for $T > T_c$ and for all densities $2 R , \mathcal{E}_{MF,c}^{(2)}[$] is also a positive operator. Consequently, both log $_{MF}[$] and $A_{MF}[$] are convex functional of respectively the generalised chem ical potential and the density . Moreover they constitute a pair of Legendre transforms by construction and thus satisfy to the eqs. (3.9), (3.10). Exact bounds for log [], valid for $T > T_c$, can be deduced from this property

Let us start with the case of a repulsive pair potential (i.e. w 0). In this case A [] $A_{M F}$ [] for all as shown by eq. (3.22). Therefore, for T > T_c

$$\log_{MF}[] = \sup_{2R} (h j i A_{MF}[]) (8 2 U);$$

$$\sup_{2R} (h j i A[]) (8 2 U); \qquad (3.33)$$

from which we conclude that

$$\log_{MF} [] \log [] (T > T_c; w 0; 8 2 U):$$
(3.34)

In the case of a purely attractive pair potential (i.e. w_+ 0) it can be shown similarly that

$$\log_{MF}[] \log [] (T > T_{c}; w_{+} 0; 8 2 U):$$
(3.35)

IV.THE GAUSSIAN APPROX IMATION

A. The grand potential

Let us de ne the Gaussian approximation of the KSSHE eld theory in the following way¹¹. First we write

$$' = - + ;$$

 $'_{+} = - + ;$
 $'_{+} = - + ;$ (4.1)

where and $_{+}$ are real scalar elds (will be de ned as $= + i_{+}$) and then we expand functionally the action H ['] (cf eq. (2.25)) up to second order in around the M F solution. In this way the exact action H ['] is replaced by an approximate action H $_{G}$ ['] de ned symbolically as

$$H_{G}['] = H['] + \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d(1) d(2) - \frac{{}^{2} H}{{}'(1) {}'(2)}, (1) (2) :$$
(4.2)

Note that the terms linear in are absent from eq. (4.2) as a consequence of the stationarity condition (3.2). Taking into account the explicit expression (2.25) of H we have more precisely

$$H_{G}['] = H['] + \frac{1}{2} X j^{1} j i + j G_{HS;c}^{(2)} []j; \qquad (4.3)$$

where the operators are functionals of = $_{S} + -$ and are de ned as

¹ (w) ¹
$$G_{HS;c}^{(2)}[]$$
: (4.4)

or equivalently

$$= w (1 G_{HS,c}^{(2)}[] w)^{-1}:$$
 (4.5)

C learly the Gaussian approximation makes sense only if both operators are positive, which will be assumed henceforth.

The G aussian grand partition function is obtained by replacing H ['] by its G aussian approximation H $_{G}$ ['] in the expression (2.26) of [], which gives

$${}_{G}[] = \exp(HT) N_{w}^{1} D_{+} D_{+} \exp \frac{1}{2} X_{=}^{X} j_{HS;c}^{0}[]j$$

$$(4.6)$$

 $_{\rm G}$ [] can be explicitly computed in the case of a hom ogeneous system . For instance, by rst perform ing the G aussian integrals on the variable $_{+}$ then on $_{-}$, one easily obtains that

$$_{G} [] = \exp (H[7]) N_{W}^{\perp} N_{+} N_{X} ; \qquad (4.7)$$

or, by reverting the order of integrations on $_+$ and $\,$, that

$$_{G} [] = \exp (H[T]) N_{W}^{\perp} N N_{X_{+}} :$$

$$(4.8)$$

The operators X which enters eqs. (4.7,4.8) being de ned by the relations

$$X^{1} = {}^{1} + G^{(2)}_{HS;c}[] \qquad G^{(2)}_{HS;c}[]:$$
(4.9)

Since N $_{W} = N _{W_{+}} N _{W_{+}}$ we see that only ratios of the norm alization constants N of various operators enter the expressions (4.7, 4.7) of $_{G}$ []. These ratios are easily evaluated with the help the eq. (A12) of appendix A . For log V P holds for a hom ogeneous system, one obtains nally for the pressure P $_{G}$

$$P_{G}() = P_{M F}() + \frac{1}{2}^{Z} \frac{d^{3}\tilde{\kappa}}{(2)^{3}} \log \frac{e(k)\tilde{\kappa}_{+}(k)}{\kappa}$$

$$= P_{H S}(-) \frac{1}{2} \kappa (0) \frac{2}{H S}(-) + \frac{1}{2}^{Z} \frac{d^{3}\tilde{\kappa}}{(2)^{3}} \log 1 \kappa (k) \mathfrak{S}_{H S c}^{(2)}(-) (k) : \qquad (4.10)$$

B. The correlation functions and the free energy

The mean values of the type (2.28) with the full action H replaced by its Gaussian approximation H_G will be denoted by $< :::>_G$. Clearly one has $< >_G = 0$ from which we infer that

$$_{G}[] = _{MF}[] = _{HS}[]:$$

$$(4.11)$$

The various pair correlations of the KSSHE elds ' are obtained by applying W ick's theorem (cf eq. (A 14)) with the result

$$h'(1)'(2)i_{G_{1G}} = X(1;2);$$
 (4.12a)

$$h'_{+}(1)'_{-}(2)i_{G_{2}C} = i_{+}(1;:) \quad G_{H_{S_{2}C}}^{(2)}[1;:) \quad h'_{-}(2)i_{G_{2}C}:$$
(4.12b)

A fier som e algebraicm anipulationsm ore easily e ectuated in Fourier space, one obtains them ore transparent relations

(**C**)

$$h' (1)' (2)i_{G_{;C}} = w (1;2) \quad w (1;:) \quad G_{M_{;F_{;C}}}^{(2)}[](:;:) \quad w (:;2); \qquad (4.13a)$$

$$h'_{+}(1)'_{G;c} = i w_{+}(1;:) G_{MF;c}^{(2)}[](:;:) w (:;2);$$
 (4.13b)

$$h'(1)'(2)i_{G_{;C}} = w(1;2) + w(1;:) \quad G_{M_{F_{;C}}}^{(2)}[](:;:) \quad w(:;2):$$
 (4.13c)

The comparison of these formula with eqs. (2.41) shows that $G_{M}^{(2)} = G_G^{(2)}$ a well known property of the Gaussian approximation¹¹. Recall how ever that we have dened $G_{M}^{(2)}$ as the second functional derivative of $_{M}F$ [] with respect to . The second functional derivative of $_{G}$ [] with respect to diers of $G_{G}^{(2)}$ as dened above by terms involving the three-body correlation function of the HS reference uid. This dierence between the two possible denitions of

 $G_{G}^{(2)}$ coincides in fact with the so-called one-loop correction to $G_{G}^{(2)}$ which play an important role in the renormalized theory of the critical point (such a theory remains how ever to be worked out explicitly !). The previous remarks could be extended to all correlation functions; for instance at the one-loop order the density does not coincides with the MF or G aussian result.

Since $_{G} = _{M F}$ the Legendre transform of log $_{G}$ [], i.e. the free energy of a hom ogeneous system in the Gaussian approximation is easily derived. Let $f_{G} = A_{G} = V$ be the speci c free energy; we have

$$f_{G}() = f_{MF}() + f();$$
 (4.14a)

$$f_{M F}() = f_{H S}() - \frac{1}{2} ve(0)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} ve(0); \qquad (4.14b)$$

$$f() = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{3}\tilde{k}}{(2)^{3}} \log 1 \quad \text{we}(k) \mathfrak{G}_{HS;c}^{(2)}[](k) : \qquad (4.14c)$$

It should be noticed that the expressions derived above in the fram ework of the Gaussian approximation for the correlations $\mathfrak{B}_{G}^{(2)}$, and $G_{G,c}^{(2)}$, and for the free ennergy f_{G} coincide with those obtained in the fram ework of the RPA theory of simple uids¹. As well known, the RPA theory becomes exact in the limit of an in nitely long-ranged interaction w (r) = ${}^{3}w_{0}(r)$; ! \mathfrak{G} .

Note that, as in the case of the MF theory, the free energy (4.14) depends on the value of the pair potential w in the core, which is arbitrary. We can take advantage of this freedom of the theory by requiring the stationarity condition

$$\frac{f_{\rm c}}{w(r)} \quad 0 \text{ for } jjr jj \qquad (4.15)$$

For it is easy to derive from (4.14) that

$$\frac{f_G}{w(r)} = \frac{2}{2}g_G(r);$$

the stationarity condition (4.15) on f_G implies the nullity of the radial pair distribution function in the core, an important physical requirement. This optimized G aussian approximation coincides of course with the ORPA theory of the theory of liquids¹.

V.THE LANDAU-GINZBURG ACTION

We rst note that, quite remarkably, all the results of the previous sections could have been obtained m ore simply by ignoring the complications introduced by the presence of two distinct KSSHE elds $'_+$ and ' associated with the repulsive and attractive part of the pair potential respectively, and by considering rather a unique KSSHE eld '. Indeed, taking as a starting point the simpli ed de nitions

$$[] = N_{W}^{1} D'(x) \exp(H[']);$$
 (5.1a)

$$H['] = \frac{1}{2} 'j(w)^{-1}j' \quad \log_{HS}[]; \qquad (5.1b)$$

$$N_{w} = D'(x) \exp (j(w)^{-1}j)$$
 (5.1c)

without any requirement on the positivity of the quadratic form $\langle j(w) ^{1} j \rangle$, yields the MF and Gaussian expressions for log, the free energy and the correlation functions. This remark justi es a posteriori the notations (2.9) adopted at the beginning of section IIA. Henceforth, in order to avoid any awkward complications in further developments we shall adopt de nitively the somehow abusive de nitions (5.1).

We show now that the action H ['] can be rewritten as the Landau-G inzburg (LG) action of a magnetic system in the presence of a magnetic eld B. Following Brilliantov⁷ we make choice of a reference chemical potential $_0$ for the HS reference system (supposed to be uniform for simplicity and to be specified further) and perform a functional Taylor expansion of log HS around 0. Let us rst de ne for further convenience

$$(x) = (x) _{S 0};$$
 (5.2a)

$$(\underline{x}) = (\underline{x}) + \mathbf{'} (\underline{x}) : \tag{5.2b}$$

W ith these de nitions we have $\bar{} = _0 + .$ Now, assuming the analiticity of log $_{\rm HS}$ at $_0$ we have, for $\bar{}$ in some neighborhood of $_0$

$$\log_{HS}[] = \log_{HS}[0] + h_{jHS}[0]i + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{HS;c}}^{(2)} [0]j \quad V_{I}[]; \qquad (5.3a)$$

$$V_{I}[] = \sum_{n=3}^{X} \frac{1}{n!} d1 ::: dn G_{H_{S;c}}^{(n)}[_{0}](1;:::;n) (1) ::: (n) : (5.3b)$$

Therefore one can rewrite the expression (2.25) of [] as

$$[] = \exp(\overline{H}) _{LG} [B]; \qquad (5.4a)$$

$$\overline{H} = \frac{1}{2} \quad j(w)^{-1} j \qquad \log_{HS}[0];$$
 (5.4b)

where \overline{H} is a simple G aussian functional of and $_{LG}$ [B] may be seen as the partition function of a LG m odel in the presence of a magnetic eld B. Indeed

$$L_{G} [B] = N_{W}^{1} D (r) \exp(H_{LG;0}[] + hBji);$$
 (5.5a)

$$H_{LG;0}[] = \frac{1}{2} j^{-1}j + V_{I}[]; \qquad (5.5b)$$

where the free propagator of the theory is given by $1 = (w)^{1} G_{HS;c}^{(2)}[0]$ or equivalently

7

$$= w (1 \quad w \quad G_{H \ S \ ;c}^{(2)} [0])^{-1}; \qquad (5.6)$$

and the magnetic eld B by

$$B = {}_{H S} [{}_{0}] + ({}_{W}) {}^{1} :$$
 (5.7)

It can be noticed that the interaction term V_{I} [] of the LG action $H_{LG;0}$ is non local and does not exhibit the usual sym etry V_{I} [] = V_{I} [] of Isink like systems. The correlation functions of this eld theory are de ned as usual as

$$G^{(n)} \mathbb{B}](1;:::;n) = { \begin{array}{c} & & & + \\ Y^{n} \\ & & (i) \\ & = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{LG}} \frac{n}{B(1):::B(n)}; \end{array}$$
(5.8a)

$$G_{jc}^{(n)} \mathbb{B}](1; :::; n) = \frac{n \log_{LG} \mathbb{B}]}{\mathbb{B} (1) ::: \mathbb{B} (n)} :$$
(5.8b)

Since it follows from the de nition (5.7) of B that

$$-- = (w)^{1} - \frac{B}{B}; \qquad (5.9)$$

we deduce readily from the relation log [] = log $_{HS}[_0]$ + log $_{LG}$ [B] < j(w) ¹j > =2 that = (w) ¹ (< >_{H_{LG}}) (w) ¹ < '>_H, which coincides with relation (2.39c). Perform ing then n successive functional derivatives of log [] in taking into account eq. (5.9) one proves easily the follow ing relations

$$G_{c}^{(2)}[] = (w)^{1} + \overline{G}_{c}^{(2)}[B]$$
 (5.10a)

$$G_{c}^{(n)}[] = \overline{G}_{;c}^{(n)}[B] \quad \text{forn} \quad 3; \qquad (5.10b)$$

where

$$\overline{G}_{;c}^{(n)} \mathbb{B}](1; :::; n) = d1^{0} ::: dn^{0} (w)^{-1} (1; 1^{0}) ::: (w)^{-1} (n; n^{0}) G_{;c}^{(n)} \mathbb{B}](1^{0}; :::; n^{0}) : (5.10c)$$

Since the KSSHE elds and ' di er by a constant the connected correlation functions $G_{;c}^{(n)} B$ and $G_{;c}^{(n)}$ [] are equal for n 2. Note that in the case n = 2 eq. (5.10) coincides fortunately with eq. (2.41).

W hen evaluated for a hom ogeneous eld = cte the LG H am iltonian H $_{LG}$ [] = V h_{LG} [] where h_{LG} [] takes the fam iliar form $^{11;14}$

$$h_{LG}[] = \frac{1}{2}r_0^2 B + \frac{X}{n=3}u_n^n;$$
 (5.11)

where $r_0 = K$ K_0 , with K = 1 = (w(0)) proportional to the temperature and $K_0 = {\binom{1}{H_S}} {\binom{0}{S}}$. In this case we have simply $B = {}_{H_S} {\binom{0}{S}} + K$ and nally $u_n = P_{H_S}^{(n)} {\binom{0}{S}} = {}_{H_S}^{(n-1)} {\binom{0}{S}}$. Note that, in general, odd and even powers of enter the expression of h_{L_G} [].

VI.THE LIQUID - VAPOUR TRANSITION

A. The mean eld KSSHE theory

1. General discussion

We apply the results obtained on the previous sections to discuss now the liquid-vapour transition. The subject was discussed to some extent by B rillantov in ref.⁷ with how ever some inaccuracies that we correct and some m issing points that we include in our discussion.

W ith the notations of section V the MF equations (3.2) take the fam iliar form

$$\frac{H_{LG;0}}{2} = B \qquad H_{S}[0] + (w)^{-1} \qquad (6.1)$$

Eq. (6.1) gives the MF m agnetisation of the m agnetic system associated to the uid in the presence of the m agnetic edd B. For a hom ogeneous system we seek a solution = = cte of eq. (6.1). This is equivalent to nd the m in in a of the function h() = h_{LG} () + K $^{2}=2$ P_{HS} (). We have

h() =
$$\frac{K}{2}$$
 ² + $\frac{K}{2}$ ² K P_{HS}(₀ +); (62a)

$$h^{0}() = K \quad K \quad H_{S}(0 +);$$
 (6.2b)

$$h^{\omega}() = K \qquad \bigcup_{H \in S} (0 +):$$
 (6.2c)

M oreover the link between the uid and the associated m agnetic system is made through the relation

$$B = {}_{H S} ({}_{0}) + K \qquad : \tag{6.3}$$

Let us st discuss the case K > 0 () we (0) > 0, i.e. when the pair potential is more attractive than repulsive. It is clear from eqs. (6.2) that the convexity of the function $P_{H\,S}$ () governs the properties of the function h (). Since

 $P_{HS}()$ is convex its second derivative $P_{HS}^{(0)}() = P_{HS}^{(0)}()$ is positive for all . Moreover for all reasonable equation of state of the HS uid the function $P_{HS}^{(0)}()$ exhibits a unique maximum at some $_{0} = _{0}$ as can be seen in gure (1) where the graphs of some derivatives $_{HS}^{(n)}()$ of the HS density are sketched within the fram ework of the Camahan-Starling (CS) approximation¹. In the CS approximation we have $_{0} = _{0}$ 0.025 and $P_{HS}^{(0)}(_{0}) = K_{0} = 0.090$. Similar values are found in the Percus-Yevick (PY) approximation¹ (via the compressibility route which is simpler, see table I) since, at moderate densities, the predictions of the two theories are in close agreement.



FIG.1. The HS density $_{HS}$ () and its rst derivatives $_{HS}^{(n)}$ () versus the chemical potential. The graphs were drawn with the help of a parametric representation $[_{HS}^{(n)}$ () = a(); = b()] (packing fraction) extracted from the CS equation of state¹.

Therefore, for $K > K_0$ we have $h^{(0)}() = 0$ for all values of which implies that h() is convex. Since $\lim_{t \to 1} h() = +1$ then h() has one minimum for some and this minimum is unique. $_0$ being given, let us choose now such that B = 0 then it follows from eqs. (6.3) and (6.2b) that the MF equation $h^0(\overline{)} = 0$ can be rewritten as

$$K = {}_{H S} ({}_{0} + {}^{-}) {}_{H S} ({}_{0}) :$$
 (6.4)

A solution of eq. (6.4) is obviously = 0 and it is the unique solution, for $K > K_0$. For $K = K_0$ the function h() is no longer convex and other solutions arise.

Let us assume now that K K₀. We examine rst the case $_0 = _0$. Noting for convenience the KSSHE eld and h the Landau function in this case we have from eq. (5.11)

h () =
$$\frac{K}{2}$$
 2 + $\frac{r_0}{2}$ 2 B + $\frac{u_4}{4!}$ 4 + O (⁵); (6.5a)

$$B = {}_{H S} ({}_{0}) + K ; \qquad (6.5b)$$

$$= s _{0}; \qquad (6.5C)$$

$$u_4 = \prod_{HS}^{(3)} (0) > 0 :$$
 (6.5d)

Since $u_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ H \\ S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$ and moreover $u_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ H \\ S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} > 0$ (see the table and gure (1)) the Landau function h () describes a 2^{nd} order phase transition with a critical point at (B = $0; K = K_0$). For K K_0 and B = 0 the solution = 0 of eq. (6.4) becomes unstable and two stable solutions $0 \notin 0$ corresponding to a liquid and a vapour phase emerge.

Now if $_{0} \notin _{0}$ a term in ³ is present in the Landau function h() at B = 0 which describes now a rst order transition without critical point. This apparent paradox is solved in the next section. A sketch of the functions h () and h() is given in gure (2) to illustrate our discussion.



FIG.2. The Landau-G inzburg H am iltonian h() in zero eld (B = 0) for various choices of the reference chem ical potential $_{0}$ and for di erent tem peratures K in the vicinity of K $_{c}$. In each bunch of curves K increases from bottom to top. h() was computed in the CS approximation. Only the choice $_{0} = _{0}$ yields a second order phase transition with a critical point (bottom curve). In this case the dashed symmetric curves where obtained by truncating h() at the order 4 (included). For $_{0} \in _{0}$, h() describes a rst order phase transition (top curves) without critical point. The units of h() were not specified for more clarity.

Let us now consider brie y the case K < 0 of a repulsive tail. In this case $h^{(2)}()$ is negative for all and the function h(); 2 R is concave with a unique maximum for some $\overline{}$. For assuming imaginary values $h(\overline{)}$ is obviously a minimum of h() and the point $(\overline{;}h(\overline{)})$ is indeed a saddle point. Therefore for K < 0 no transition occurs, at least at the MF level. Henceforth we shall assume that K > 0.

2. The Hubbard-Scho eld transform and the critical point

W hen we make choice of the special value $_0 = _0$ the Landau function has the following exact expression

h () =
$$\frac{K}{2}$$
 $^{2}+\frac{1}{2}$ 2 K $P_{HS}(_{0}+)$; (6.6)

where we have kept the notations of section (VIA 1). A Taylor expansion of eq. (6.6) around = 0 gives back the usual ferrom agnetic LG H am iltonian (6.5a) with no term in ³. The following set of transform ations

$$= + _{0} _{0};$$
 (6.7a)

$$= +_{0} _{0};$$
 (6.7b)

obviously enables us to write h() h() where h() is given precisely by eq. (6.2a). The relations (6.7) constitute the exact H ubbard-Scho eld transform ation which allows to get rid of the term in ³ in $h()^5$. Note that under this transform ation

$$B = B + K (_{0}) + _{HS} (_{0}) + _{HS} (_{0}) ;$$
(6.8)

as can be inferred readily from the de nition (6.3) of the magnetic eld B. As a consequence, the function h() at zero eld which describes a system undergoing a rest order phase transition may be seen as the LG H am iltonian associated with a system undergoing a second order phase transition in presence of the eld B = K ($_0$ 0) H S ($_0$) + H S ($_0$).

Henceforth we shall adopt for de nitness the choice $_0 = _0$ and drop all the subscripts "*" to simplify the notations. With this choice, the liquid is associated with a magnetic system with magnetisation and the liquid-vapour transition and its critical point can be made in a one to one correspondance with the para-ferrom agnetic (second order) transition and its critical point. Since the magnetisation and the density of the uid are equal (up to a multiplicative constant) the order parameter of the liquid-vapour transition is merely the density. The eld-mixing hypothesis of Rehr and M erm in^{17;18} is therefore meaningless in the present theory.

The MF critical point of the liquid-vapour transition is therefore de ned by the conditions

$$K_{c} = K_{0}$$
; (6.9a)

$$_{\rm c} = 0$$
; (6.9b)

$$B_{c} = 0$$
: (6.9c)

The rst condition (6.9a) can be satis ed only if we(0) (we(0) $we_+(0)$) > 0, i.e. if the pair potential is more attractive than repulsive. $K_c = {(1) \ HS}(0)$ 0.290 (see the table) is a universal quantity in the sense that it does not depend on the pair potential, how ever the critical tem perature $T_c = K_c = we(0)$ is not universal and depends in particular upon the regularisation of w(r) in the core. The second condition (6.9b) determines the critical density since it follows from (3.6) that $_c = _{HS}(0)$ 0.249 (see the table). $_c$ is therefore also a universal quantity. Finally the third condition (6.9c) determines the critical densitable condition (6.9c) determines the critical densitable condition.

A llthe conclusions concerning the universality of som e of the critical parameters in the MF approximation originate in our choice of treating at the same level the attractive and the repulsive part of the pair interaction. If the repulsive part w_+ is treated exactly and included in the reference system then $_{c}$, K $_{c}$, and $_{c}$ are no longer universal quantities.

In ref.⁷ an approxim ate H ubbard-Scho eld transform ation was devised by considering the truncated Taylor expansion of h() rather than its exact expression (62a); it yields $_{c} \in _{HS} (_{0})$ and that $_{c}$ can m oreover be adjusted at will to twith experimental or numerical simulation results; these two conclusions are how ever incorrect.

3. M can Field solution for T > $\rm T_{c}$

We have seen in section (VIA 1) that for $K > K_c$ $\binom{(1)}{H_s}$ ($_0$), the MF solution is unique and satiles the relation

$$h^{0}(\bar{}) = 0 () K = K + _{HS} (_{0} + \bar{}) :$$
 (6.10)

The general results of section (III) can be specialised to the uniform case and yield

$$P_{MF}() = P_{HS}(_{0} + -) \frac{1}{2K} \frac{2}{HS}(_{0} + -); \qquad (6.11a)$$

$$M_{F}() = H_{S}(0 +);$$
(6.11b)

$$f_{MF}() = f_{HS}() - \frac{2}{2} we(0) + \frac{2}{2} w(0):$$
 (6.11c)

Note that the critical pressure

$$P_{c} = P_{HS}(_{0}) - \frac{\frac{2}{HS}(_{0})}{2\frac{(1)}{HS}(_{0})}$$
(6.12)

is a universal quantity (one nds for the critical compressibility factor $Z_c = P_c = c$ 0:3589(CS); 0:3598(PYC)). Note also that eq. (6.11b) implies that the critical isochore = c is dened by the condition B = 0, since in the case the (unique) solution of (6.10) is = 0.

W e complete the above results by establishing now the MF expressions for the internal energy, the speci c heat and the compressibility. The excess internal energy by unit of volum e_{ex} is given by

$$u_{ex}(;) = \frac{\theta}{\theta} [f_{MF}() f_{HS}()];$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}w(0) \frac{2}{2}we(0); \qquad (6.13)$$

from which it follows that in the MF approximation the excess specic heat vanishes above T_c :

$$C_{V;ex} = \frac{\partial u_{ex}}{\partial t} \qquad 0:$$
 (6.14)

The isotherm alcom pressibility $_{\rm T}$ = 2 (0 = 0) can be rew ritten as

$$T = \frac{2}{\frac{2}{H_{S}}(0+1)} \left(\frac{1}{H_{S}}(0+1) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1$$

For it follows from the MF equation (6.10) that

$$\frac{e}{e} = \frac{K}{K + \frac{(1)}{H S} (0 + 1)}$$
(6.16)

we have nally

$$T_{T} = \frac{K_{HS}^{(1)}(0+1)}{K_{HS}^{(1)}(0+1)} \frac{K_{HS}^{(1)}(0+1)}{K_{HS}^{(1)}(0+1)} :$$
(6.17)

A lternatively, T could have been obtained from the Fourier transform at k = 0 of the two-body correlation function, by making use of a well-known expression of the theory of liquids¹

$$T = \frac{2}{H_{S}(0+-)} \mathfrak{G}_{MF;c}[](k=0);$$

$$= \frac{\mathfrak{G}_{HS;c}(0+-)}{\frac{2}{H_{S}(0+-)}} \frac{\mathfrak{G}_{HS;c}(0+-)(0)}{1 - K^{-1}\mathfrak{G}_{HS;c}(0+-)(0)};$$
(6.18)

where we made use of eq. (3.28). Noting that $\mathcal{C}_{H_{S,c}}^{(2)}[_0 + -](0) = \mathcal{C}_{H_{S}}^{(1)}(_0 + -)$ we are led back to eq. (6.17). A long the critical isochore (= $_{c}$ () - = 0) one has simply

$$T_{\rm T}(c_{\rm c}) = \frac{2}{c_{\rm c}^2} \frac{K_{\rm c}}{K_{\rm c}};$$
(6.19)

with a divergence for K ! K_c + with a MF critical exponent = 1 as expected.

The behavior of $\mathfrak{G}_{M,F;c}(k)$ for k ! 0 along the critical isochore is also easy to study. As a consequence of eqs. (2.31) and (3.28) one has

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{F};\mathrm{c}}[_{\mathrm{c}}](\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathrm{c}}{1 - \mathrm{c}(\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{H} \mathrm{S}}[_{\mathrm{c}}](\mathbf{k}) + \mathrm{we}(\mathbf{k}))};$$
(6.20)

where $g_{HS}[_c](k)$ is the Fourier transform of the usual direct correlation function of the HS uid at the density $_c = _{HS}(_0)$. At low k's one has

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{H S}}[_{\mathrm{c}}](\mathbf{k}) + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{H S}}[_{\mathrm{c}}](0) + \mathbf{w}(0) = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{k}^{2} + \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{k}^{4});$$
$$\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{w}(2)(0) + \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{H S}}^{(2)}[_{\mathrm{c}}](0)]; \qquad (6.21)$$

which implies, putting all things together and after having noted that $1 = c\mathbf{e}_{HS} [c](0) = c = K_0$ that

$$\mathfrak{G}_{M F;c}[c](k) \quad \frac{K K_{0}}{K K_{0}} \frac{1}{1 + {}^{2}k^{2}} \text{ for } k ! 0; \qquad (6.22)$$

where the correlation length reads as

$$=\frac{a^{1-2}}{(K K_0)^{1-2}} :$$
 (6.23)

Of course is defined only if a > 0 which put some restrictions on the pair potential (cf eq. (6.21) and note that $e_{H_S}^{(2)}$ [c](0) 1:331 (PYC)). diverges for K ! K_c+ along the critical isochore with, as expected, a MF exponent = 1=2. At the critical temperature eq. (6.22) in plies that $\mathfrak{E}_{M_F,c}(\mathbf{k}) = k^2$ the usual behavior in the MF approximation yielding = 0 for the Fisher exponent.

W e end this section by a discution of the behaviour of the order parameter along the critical isotherm $K = K_c$. Near the critical point the MF eq. (VIA 3) at T_c can be reexpressed as

$$= + \frac{H_{S}(0+)}{H_{S}(0)};$$

$$= c + - + \frac{1}{3!} \frac{H_{S}(0)}{H_{S}(0)} + O(-4);$$
(6.24)

yielding

$$-3 = \frac{6}{u_4} ($$
 c $) $^{(1)}{H S} (_0) :$ (6.25)$

Therefore along the critical isotherm we have nally

$$= {}_{H S} ({}_{0} + {}^{-}) {}_{H S} ({}_{0}) + {}_{H S} {}^{(1)} ({}_{0})^{4=3} (6=u_{4})^{1=3} ({}_{C} {}^{)^{1=3}} (626)$$

yielding the classical value = 3 of the critical exponent, as expected.

4. M ean F ield solution for T < $\rm T_{c}$

Below (and near) T_c it is su cient to consider the truncated expansion (6.2) of the Landau function h(). The solution of the MF equation is of course well known in this case. Let us de ne $\dot{B} = B = B$ j. Besides = 0, the MF equations h⁽¹⁾() = 0 have the solution^{11;12;14}

$$= - \frac{B}{0} B + - ;$$

$$= - \frac{3!}{u_4} (K_0 - K)^{1-2};$$

$$= - \frac{B}{2(K - K_0)} + O(B^2);$$

$$(6.27)$$

In zero eld (i.e. B = 0) the solution = 0 is unstable and the two solutions $= j_0$ j are stable. The densities corresponding to the magnetisations j_0 j are those of the coexisting liquid and vapour; they are given by

$$l_{jg} = {}_{HS} \left({}_{0} \right) \quad \overline{j}_{0} \overline{j} ;$$

$${}_{HS} \left({}_{0} \right) \quad {}_{HS}^{(1)} \left({}_{0} \right) \quad \frac{3!}{u_{4}} \quad (K_{0} \quad K_{0})^{1=2} ; \qquad (6.28)$$

yielding a value = 1=2 for the exponent of the order parameter, as expected. It can be noticed that eq. (6.28) supports the law of rectiligned diameters with the simple result (valid in the vicinity of T_c) $_1 + _g = 2 _c$. The chemical potential at the coexistence is given by $_{coex} = _{HS} (_0) = K$ as a consequence of the condition B = 0 and the pressure at coexistence reads as

$$P_{coex} = P_{HS} (_{0}) \frac{\frac{2}{c}}{2K} + \frac{3}{2u_{4}} (K - K_{0})^{2}$$
(6.29)

Therefore $_{coex}$ and P_{coex} are both regular functions of the tem perature K near K $_c$.

The compressibility is not de ned in the two phases region but one can compute the excess speci cheat at constant volum e thanks to a formula due to Yang and Yang²⁵. A long the critical isochore one has

$$C_{V;ex} = T \frac{\theta^2 P_{coex}}{\theta T^2} \qquad {}_{c}T \frac{\theta^2 }{\theta T^2} ; \qquad (6.30)$$

which yields after som e algebra to

$$C_{V,ex} = \frac{3 \frac{(1)}{HS} (0)^2}{2u_4} :$$
 (6.31)

The comparison of eqs. (6.14) and (6.31) show that the specic heat at $_{\rm c}$ has a discontinuity of universal value $C_{\rm V,ex} = 3 {(1) \atop {\rm H} {\rm S}} (_{\rm 0})^2 = 2u_4$ 1.0496 (CS) or 1.0074 (PYC), yielding a MF value = 0 for the critical exponent of $C_{\rm V,ex}$ as expected.

5. The Gaussian approximation

A swell known, the critical exponents of the G aussian model are the same as those of the MF theory except . We shall thus content ourselves to compute $C_{V,ex}$ along the critical isochore above T_c . Since $C_{V,ex}$ ($_c$) vanishes in the MF approximation above T_c , it is equal to ($0^2 f = 0^2$) in the Gaussian approximation where f has been de ned in eq. (4.14c). Working out the derivatives one nd that

$$C_{V;ex}(c) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{3}\tilde{k}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{h^{2}}{g^{2}} e^{(2)}(c) e^{(2)}(k) e^{(2)}(k) = (6.32)$$

The integral diverges in the lim if K ! K_c + because of the singular behavior of $\mathfrak{G}_{M\ F,c}^{(2)}[c](k)$ at small k's. The method for extracting the divergence of $C_{V;ex}(c)$ is well docum ented^{11;12;14} and will not be repeated here. The idea is to make the change of variable $k^0 = k$, where is the correlation length and to inject in eq. (6.32) the small "k" behaviour of $\mathfrak{G}_{H\ S;c}^{(2)}[c](k)$ as given by eq. (6.22). One nds for K ! K_c +

$$C_{V;ex}(c) = \frac{\binom{(1)}{H} \binom{0}{2}^2}{16 a^{3-2}} (K K_c)^{1-2};$$
 (6.33)

yielding a divergence in t¹⁼² as expected. Below T_c a similar behavior (with a di erent prefactor) can be obtained by making use of the Yang-Yang form ula. A swellknown, a comparison of the discontinuity $C_{V,ex}$ (_c) of the speci c heat in the MF approximation (cf eq. (6.31)) and its G aussian behavior (6.33) allows an estimate of the G inzburg temperature range K, in our case one has K a ³⁼²=u₄. It depends on the pair potential w through the parameter a de ned at eq. (6.21). Since a 1 and u₄ is tiny in general, K=K _c > 1.

V II. C O N C LU S IO N

In this paper we have presented an exact eld theoretical representation of the statistical mechanics of a simple model of liquid. The action of the theory is obtained with the help of a KSSHE transform of the Boltzman factor of the uid. Some complications of the formalism such that the fact that the action of the KSSHE theory is not a local functional of the eld have their origin in the necessity to take an explicit account of the hard core interactions. How ever, it is possible to establish the relations between the correlation functions of the eld and that of the density of the uid. Moreover the KSSHE action can be interpretated as the Landau-G inzburg action of a ferrom agnetic system in the presence of a magnetic eld B which is related linearly with the local chemical potential of the liquid.

The MF and G aussian approximation of the KSSHE theory can be worked out explicitly. For hom ogeneous uids the G aussian approximation coincides with the RPA theory. These two approximations yield a rough description of the liquid-vapour transition. The exact H ubbard-Scho eld transformation can be establihed which yields the usual LG H am iltonian in ⁴ of the theory of critical phenomena. The density emerges as the order parameter of the transition which nules out the eld mixing hypothesis. The critical density turns out to be independent from the details of the attractive part of the potential which is a serious aw . Moreover several critical properties either do not depend on the potential w (r) or depend strongly on the regularisation of w (r) in the core which is in both cases unsatisfactory. By lack of place we did not discuss the full one-loop order theory where fresh di culties arise such as unavoidable corrections to the magnetic eld B, the order parameter, and more generally all the correlation functions. A fully renormalized theory at the one-loop order seven feasible despite these di culties.

The MF-K SSHE theory also works for inhom ogeneous uids and yields density functionals which could be usefull in applications. Moreover for two classes of pair potentials, the MF-K SSHE free energy functional constitutes a rigorous bound for the exact free energy functional which could serve as a test in num erical studies. A similar result holds also for the grand-potential functional above the critical point.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

I acknow ledge D . Levesque for useful discussions and comments.

APPENDIX A: FUNCTIONAL INTEGRATION

In the case where the domain occupied by the particles of the uid is a cube of side L with periodic boundary conditions (C_3) we give an explicit expression of the measure D' which enters the functional integrals considered in this paper.

In cubico-periodical geometries the microscopic density b can be written as a Fourier series

$$b(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{L^3} X_{\mathbf{k}_2} b_{\mathbf{k}} \exp(i\mathbf{\tilde{k}} \mathbf{r});$$

$$b_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp(-i\mathbf{\tilde{k}} \mathbf{r}_i); \qquad (A1)$$

where = $(2 = L) Z^{3}$ denotes the reciprocal lattice. Note that since b is real one has

$$b_{k} = b_{k} : \qquad (A2)$$

The pair potentials $w^{c^3}(\mathbf{r}_{ij})$ between two particles i and j must take into account the interactions between the periodical in ages of i and j. They are both periodical functions of \mathbf{r}_{ij} which can be written as¹

$$w^{C^{3}}(r) = \begin{matrix} X \\ w (r + Ln) \end{matrix}$$
; (A3)

The above expression for $w^{C^3}(\mathbf{r})$ can also be written as a Fourier series, the Fourier coe cients of which are precisely the Fourier transforms of w (r) as a consequence of Poisson's form ula. Therefore one also has

$$w^{C^{3}}(x) = \frac{1}{L^{3}} \frac{X}{x^{2}}$$
 we (k) exp (ik x): (A 4)

where $we_D(k)$ is real and has been de ned at eq. (2.1). It follows from eqs (A.1) and (A.3) that, in C³, the potential energies b^{C^3} jw c^3 jb c^3 in C³ can be reexpressed as

$$\frac{1}{2}^{D} b^{c^{3}} j_{W}^{c^{3}} j_{D}^{c^{3}} \stackrel{E}{=} \frac{1}{L^{3}}^{X} \text{ we } (k) j_{D_{k}} j_{j}; \qquad (A5)$$

where the sum in the r.h.s runs over only the half of all the vectors of the reciprocal lattice (for instance those with $n_x = 0$) as a consequence of the reality of we (k) and of the sym etry relations (A 2).

At this point we introduce a periodic real eld ' (r) supposed to be expressible as a Fourier series

$$Y(r) = \frac{1}{L^3} X_{r_2}$$
 (A 6)

and de ne the measure 14

$$D' \qquad d^{2} \in (\tilde{k});$$

$$R^{2}$$

$$d^{2} \in (\tilde{k}) = d < e(\tilde{k}) d = e(\tilde{k});$$
(A 7)

where it is understood that the dom ain of integration of both the real and in againary parts of (K) is the whole real axis $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$; +1 [.

De ning form ally

$$\overset{D}{j}(w^{C_{3}})^{-1} \overset{J}{j} \overset{E}{=} \frac{1}{L^{3}} \overset{X}{\underset{\substack{k_{2} \\ k_{2} \\ k_{2}$$

and noting that

hb j' i =
$$\frac{2}{L^3} \frac{X}{K^2}$$
 < $(k) < b_k + = (k) = b_k$; (A 9)

one deduces easily from the de nition (A7) and from properties of ordinary G aussian integrals

$$Z_{+1} = dx \exp(\frac{1}{2}Ax^{2} + iJx) = (2 = A)^{1=2} \exp(\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}J^{2}) (A > 0);$$
 (A10)

the fundam ental relations

$$\exp \frac{1}{2}^{D} b_{j} w^{C_{3}} b_{j}^{E} = \frac{\stackrel{R}{\xrightarrow{D'}} e_{xp} \frac{1}{2}^{D'} j(w^{C_{3}})^{1} j' + hb j' i}{\stackrel{R}{\xrightarrow{D'}} e_{xp} \frac{1}{2}^{D'} j(w^{C_{3}})^{1} j'} hexp (hb j' i) i_{w^{C_{3}}};$$

$$exp \frac{1}{2}^{D} b_{j} w^{C_{3}} b_{r}^{E} = \frac{\stackrel{R}{\xrightarrow{D'}} e_{xp} \frac{1}{2}^{D'} j(w^{C_{3}})^{1} j' + ihb j' i}{\stackrel{D'}{\xrightarrow{D'}} e_{xp} \frac{1}{2}^{D'} j(w^{C_{3}})^{1} j' j'}} hexp (ihb j' i) i_{w^{C_{3}}}; \quad (A11)$$

already mentioned in the main text (cf eqs. (2.5)) with less awkward notations. An important result concerns the ratio of two G aussian partition functions. Let N_f be the constant

$$N_{f} D' \exp \frac{1}{2} ' j f^{-1} j ; \qquad (A12)$$

where f is some positive two-body operator. Then, for f and g positive we have

$$N_{f} = N_{g} = \frac{Y}{\kappa^{2}} \frac{\underline{f}^{e}(\underline{\kappa})}{g(\underline{\kappa})};$$

$$\exp \frac{V}{2} \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \log \frac{\underline{f}^{e}(\underline{\kappa})}{g(\underline{\kappa})}!;$$
(A13)

In practice only functional integrals of G aussian functionals and their derivatives can be performed explicitly. All the feasible integrals can be deduced from W ick's theorem $11 \{13 \text{ which states that}\}$

$$h' (1) ::: ' (2n)i_{y} = y(i_{1}; i_{2}) ::: y(i_{2n-1}; i_{2n});$$
(A 14)

where the sum m ation runs over all the $(2n \quad 1)$!! distinct pairs $(i_1; i_2)$.

- ² KAC, M., 1959, Phys. Fluids, 2, 8.
- ³ SIEGERT, A.J.F., 1960, Physica, 26, 530.
- ⁴ STRATONOVICH, R.L., 1958, Sov. Phys. Solid State, 2, 1824.
- ⁵ HUBBARD, J., 1954, Phys. Rev. Lett., 3, 77; HUBBARD, J., and SHOFELD, P., 1972, Phys. Lett., 40A, 245.
- ⁶ EDW ARD S, S.F., 1959, Phil. M ag., 4, 1171.
- ⁷ BR ILLIAN TOV, N.V., 1998, Phys. Rev. E, 58, 2628.
- ⁸ BR ILLIANTOV, N.V., and RUBI, J.M., 2002, arX iv: cond-m at/0201340.
- ⁹ NETZ, R.R., and ORLAND, H., 2000, Eur. Phys. JournalE, 1, 67.
- ¹⁰ RAIM BAULT, J.-L., and CAILLOL, J.-M., 2001, J. Stat. Phys., 103,753; ibid., 103, 777.
- ¹¹ MA, K., 1982, Modern Theory of Critical Phenomena, (Addison-Wesley).
- ¹² PAR ISI, G., 1988, Statistical Field Theory, (Addison-Wesley).
- ¹³ Z IN N -JU ST IN , J., 1989, Q uantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena, (O xford: C larendon Press).
- ¹⁴ BINNEY, J.J., DOW RICK, N.J., FISHER, A.J., and Newman, M.E.J., 1992, The Theory of Critical Phenomena (Oxford: Clarendon Press).
- ¹⁵ MAYER, J.E., 1950, J.Chem. Phys., 18, 1426.
- ¹⁶ STELL, G.R., and LEBOW ITZ, J.L., 1968, J. Chem. Phys., 49, 3706.
- ¹⁷ REHR, J.J., and MERM **I**N, N.D., 1973, Phys. Rev. A, 8, 472.
- ¹⁸ BRUCE, A.D., and W ILD ING, N.B., 1992, Phys. Rev. Lett., 68, 193.
- ¹⁹ CHAYES, J.T., and CHAYES, L., 1984, J. Stat. Phys., 36 471.
- ²⁰ PERCUS, J.K., 1982, The Liquid State of Matter, ed MONTROLL, E.W., and LEBOW ITZ, J.L. (Amsterdam: North-Holland).
- ²¹ CA ILLO L, J.-M ., 2002, J. Phys. A : M ath. G en., 35, 4189.
- ²² STELL, G., 1964, The Equilibrium Theory of Classical Fluids, ed FRISCH H.L., and LEBOW ITZ, J.L. (New York: Benjamin, W.A.).
- ²³ STELL, G., 1973, Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, Vol 5b, ed DOMB, C. and LEBOW ITZ, J.L. (A cademic Press).
- ²⁴ CALLEN, H.B., 1963, Phys. Lett. B, 4, 161.
- ²⁵ YANG, C.N., and YANG, C.P., 1964, Phys. Rev. Lett., 13, 303.

¹ HANSEN, J.P., and McDONALD, I.R., 1986, Theory of Simple Liquids (London : A cadem ic Press).

TABLE I. Derivatives of the HS pressure at the chem ical potential $_0$ where $P_{HS}^{(2)}$ reaches its maximum. The num erical estimates were obtained in the fram ework of the CS and PYC aproximations.

| | C S | РҮС |
|---|----------------|--------|
| 0 | 0:025 | 0:059 |
| P _{H S} (₀) | 0:433 | 0:425 |
| $_{\rm HS}$ (0) $P_{\rm HS}^{(1)}$ (0) | 0249 | 0:246 |
| $^{(1)}_{\mathrm{H~S}}$ ($_{0}$) | 0 : 090 | 0:0896 |
| (2) _{H S} (₀) | 0:0 | 0:0 |
| $u^4 \begin{pmatrix} (3) \\ HS \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix}$ | 0:0116 | 0:0119 |
| $_{\rm C}$ $_{\rm H~S}$ $(_{0}) = {(1)}_{\rm H~S}$ $(_{0})$ | 2:766 | 2:743 |