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#### Abstract

A fam ily of boundary conditions corresponding to exchusion processes is introduced. This fam ily is a generalization of the boundary conditions corresponding to the sim ple exclusion process, the drop-push m odel, and the one-param eter solvable fam ily of pushing processes with certain rates on the continuum [1\{3]. The conditionalprobabilities are calcu lated using the B ethe ansatz, and it is show n that at large tim es they behave like the corresponding conditional probabilities of the fam ily of di usion-pushing processes introduced in [1\{3].


PACS num bers: $05.40 .-\mathrm{a}, 02$. 50 .G a

## 1 Introduction

In recent years, the asym $m$ etric exclusion process and the problem $s$ related to it, including for exam ple the bipolim erization [4], dynam icalm odels of interface grow th [5], tra cmodels [6], the noisy Burgers equation [7], and the study of shocks $[8,9]$, have been extensively studied. The dynam ical properties of this m odel have been studied in $[9,11]$. A s the results obtained by approaches like m ean eld are not reliable in one dim ension, it is useful to introduce solvable $m$ odels and analytic $m$ ethods to extract exact physical results. A $m$ ong these $m$ ethodes is the coordinate Bethe-ansatz, which was used in [12] to solve the asym $m$ etric sim ple exclusion process on a one-dim ensional lattice. In [1], a sim ilar technique was used to solve the drop-push model [13], and a generalized one-param eter $m$ odel interpolating between the asym $m$ etric sim ple exclusion m odel and the drop-push m odel. In [2], this fam ily was further generalized to a fam ily of processes w ith arbitrary left-and right-di usion rates. A ll of these $m$ odels w ere lattice $m$ odels. $F$ inally, the behaviour of latterm odelon continuum was investigated in [3]. The continuum $m$ odels of this kind are also investigated in [14,15]. In [16], another generalization of boundary conditions corresponding to these processes was introduced, which show $s$ an exclusion process w ith annihilation as well as di usion. In [17], the B ethe-ansatz m ethod was used to investigate reaction-di usion processes involving particles of $m$ ore than one type, on a lattice.

In the generalized $m$ odel interpolating betw een the asym $m$ etric sim $p l e ~ e x-$ clusion $m$ odeland the drop-push $m$ odel [1 \{3], there are tw o param eters and , which control the pushing rate. N orm alizing the di usion rate to one, it is seen that the sum of these two param eters should be one to ensure the conservation of probability. These two param eters appear in the boundary condition used instead of the reaction. The question is that on continuum, what other kind of boundary conditions, corresponding to other reactions, can be im posed. This is what is investigated in the present paper.

T he schem e of the paper is the follow ing. In section 2 , the allow ed boundary conditions are investigated. The criterion to choose a boundary condition is the conservation of probability. It is shown that the boundary condition is characterized by a one-variable function which vanishes at the origin.

In section 3, the B ethe-ansatz solution for the 2 -particle probability of this process is obtained, and its large-tim e behavior is investigated. It is show $n$ that the large-tim e behavior of the system is determ ined by only the rst nonzero derivative of the function determ ining the boundary condition, at the origin. If this function does have a nonvanishing linear term, then that term determ ines the large-tim e behavior of the system, and the results of [3] are recovered.
$F$ inally, in section 4, boundary conditions are investigated forwhich the rst derivative of the function determ ining the boundary condition vanishes at the origin. The $m$ ean position of the particles, and the e ective di usion param eter are obtained.

## 2 B oundary conditions

C onsider a collection of $N$ particles di using on a one-dim ensional continuum . So long as the particles do not encounter each other, the $m$ aster equation goveming the probabillity density of nding these at $x_{1}<\quad \mathbb{*}$ is [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} P(x ; t)=\frac{1}{2} r^{2} P(x ; t): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [3], it was shown that the exclusion pushing condition can be written as a boundary condition w ith two param eters (in fact with only one independent param eter, since $+=1$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ x_{i}} P \quad \frac{@}{@ x_{i+1}} \quad P_{x_{i+1}=x_{i}}=0: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain th is system, in [3] it was started w ith a collection of particles di using on a one-dim ensional lattioe. E ach particle di uses to the right w ith the rate one, if the right site is free. If the right site is occupied, the particle $m$ ay push other particles and go to the right, w ith a special rate. T hat is, we have the follow ing process.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { AA } \left.{ }^{z-}\right\}^{n}\left|-\left\{A ;!{ }_{A-}^{Z_{A}}\right\}^{n}\right|-\{\text { A; w ith the ratag } x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}:=1+\quad \underbrace{n}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was show $n$ in [1], that such a system can be described by the $m$ aster equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P-(x ; t))^{X} P\left(x \quad e_{i} ; t\right) \quad N P(x ; t) ; \quad x_{1}<\quad \mathbb{x} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

sub ject to the boundary condition

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(::: ; x_{i}=x ; x_{i+1}=x ;::: ; t\right) & =P\left(::: ; x_{i}=x ; x_{i+1}=x+1 ;::: ; t\right) \\
& +P\left(::: x_{i}=x \quad 1 ; x_{i+1}=x ;::: ; t\right): \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

In (5), $e_{i}$ is a vector the com ponents of $w$ hich are all zero, except for the $i^{\prime}$ th com ponent, which is one. In [3], (1) and (2) were obtained as the lim it of (5) and (6) when the probability is a slow ly-varying function, w ith a suitable $G$ alileo transform ation, so that one has di usion to right and left (w ith equalrates). So, (1) and (2) describe a system of particles di using on a continuum and pushing each other w ith certain rates, as introduced in (3) and (4).

For the special case $\mathrm{N}=2$, (1) and (2) becom e

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} P\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; t\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(@_{1}^{2}+@_{2}^{2}\right) P\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; t\right) ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{1} P(x ; x)=@_{2} P(x ; x): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing

$$
\begin{equation*}
@ P(x ; x)=@_{1} P(x ; x)+@_{2} P(x ; x) ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is seen that the boundary condition (8) is equivalent to

$$
\left(@_{1} \quad @_{2}\right) P(x ; x)=(\quad) @ P(x ; x):
$$

T he passage from (6) to (2) is not unique. B ut any boundary condition has to ensure probability conservation. C onsider the evolution (7), and note that it is valid only for the physical region $\mathrm{x}_{1}<\mathrm{x}_{2}$. Integrating this over the physical region, one arrives at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}^{Z} d x_{1} d x_{2} P\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{Z+1} d x\left(@_{1} \quad @_{2}\right) P(x ; x): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

B ut using (10), it is seen that the right-hand side of (11) is zero. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}^{Z} d x_{1} d x_{2} P\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)=0: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the conservation ofprobability. H ow ever, the only thing needed to ensure this conservation is that $\left(@_{1} \quad @_{2}\right) P(x ; x)$ be a total derivative of som e function $w$ th respect to $x$. So, one can in generaluse a boundary condition like

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(@_{1} \quad @_{2}\right) P(x ; x) & =1 @ P(x ; x)+\quad 2 @ @ P(x ; x)+ \\
& =\mathrm{f}(@) P(x ; x) ; \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $f$ is an analytic function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=0: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a boundary condition ensures probability conservation. In [16], a slightly di erent boundary condition was introduced, which in this language is equivalent to $f(0)<0$. It was seen there such a boundary condition describes annihilation as well as di usion.

## 3 The B ethe-ansatz solution

The B ethe-ansatz solution to the $M$ aster equation (1), $w$ ith the boundary condition (13) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x ; t)=e^{E t}(x) ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \quad(x)=\frac{1}{2} r^{2}(x) ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the boundary condition (13). The solution to these, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x)=X^{X} \text { A } e^{i(k)} x_{j} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2}_{i}^{X} k_{i}^{2} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided one can nd A 's so that (13) is satis ed. The sum m ation in (17) is over the perm utations of $N$ ob jects, A pplying (13) to the case $x_{j}=x_{j+1}$, one obtains
$\left.i_{\text {ik }}{ }_{(j)} \quad{ }_{(j+1)}\right](A$
$\left.\mathrm{A}_{j}\right)=\mathrm{i}\left[\tilde{\mathbb{I}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{(j)}\right)+\tilde{f}\left(\mathrm{k}_{(j+1)}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{A}+\mathbb{A}{ }_{j}\right) ;$
where $\tilde{f}$ is de ned as

$$
\mathrm{f}^{\sim}(\mathrm{k}):=\quad \text { if }(\mathrm{ik}) ;
$$

and $j$ is that perm utation which changes $j$ to $j+1$ and vioe versa, and leaves other num bers unchanged. From (19), A can be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{A}_{j}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{(j)} ; \mathrm{k}_{(j+1)}\right) \mathrm{A} \text {; } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
S\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right) & =\frac{k_{2} k_{1}+\tilde{f}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)}{k_{2} \quad k_{1} \tilde{f}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)} \\
& =1+\frac{2 \tilde{f_{1}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)}}{k_{2} \quad k_{1} \tilde{f_{1}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)}}: \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

As $121=212$, the coe cients A, should satisfy the consistency condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{121}=A_{212}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above criterion, in term sof $S$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(k_{2} ; k_{3}\right) S\left(k_{1} ; k_{3}\right) S\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right)=S\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right) S\left(k_{1} ; k_{3}\right) S\left(k_{2} ; k_{3}\right) ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is obviously an identity.
U sing this B ethe-ansatz solution, the conditionalprobability can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x ; t y ; 0)=\frac{Z}{(2)^{N}} k(x) e^{E(k) t i k} y ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in $k$, the coe cient of $e^{i k} x^{\text {is set to be equal to one. }}$
$T$ his show $s$ the integrability of the system, in the sense that the $N$-particle scattering $m$ atrix (A 's) can be expressed as a product oftw o-particle scattering m atrioes.

### 3.1 T he conditional probability for the 2 -particle sector

$T$ he conditional probability for the 2 -particle sector, is $w$ ritten as
$P(x ; t \dot{y} ; 0)={\frac{1}{4^{2}}}^{Z} d k_{1} d k_{2} e^{i k_{1} x_{1}+i k_{2} x_{2}}+S\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right) e^{i k_{1} x_{2}+i k_{2} x_{1}} e^{E t i k} \quad y ;$
so that it satis es 7) and the the initial condition

$$
P(x ; 0 \dot{y} ; 0)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & y_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{2} & y_{2} \tag{27}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for the physical region ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}<\mathrm{x}_{2}$; $\mathrm{y}_{1}<\mathrm{y}_{2}$ ).
U sing the form of $S$, one can w rite this conditional probability as

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(x ; t y ; 0)={\underset{n}{4}}_{\frac{d^{2} k}{4^{2}} e^{E t} \text { ik } y e^{i\left(k_{1} x_{1}+k_{2} x_{2}\right)}} \\
& +1+\frac{2 f\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)}{k_{2} \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{f}^{\sim}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)} \quad \text { ) } \quad: \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above integration, the possible am biguity arising from the the pole of the fraction is rem oved through $k_{1}!k_{1}+i$ " and $k_{2}!k_{2} \quad i "$, where the "! $0^{+}$ lim it of the integral is $m$ eant. $T$ his ensures that the probability tends to zero as $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ ! 1 or $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ ! +1.

The two rst integrals of the right-hand side are easily calculated. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.P(x ; t y ; 0)=\frac{1}{2 t} e^{\left[\left(x_{1}\right.\right.} y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right)^{2}\right]=(2 t)+e^{\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right)=(2 t)}+I_{3} ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=\mathrm{x}_{1} \quad \mathrm{y}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{z}_{2}=\mathrm{x}_{2} \quad \mathrm{y}_{1}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the third integral $\left(I_{3}\right)$, one uses the change of variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}:=\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{q}:=\mathrm{k}_{2} \quad \mathrm{k}_{1} \quad \quad \tilde{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}\right): \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{3}= Z \frac{d k d q}{4^{2}} \frac{f^{(k)}}{q} e^{t f k^{2}+[q+f(k)]^{2} g=4} e^{i f k A_{2}+[q+f(k)] A_{1} g=2} \\
&= \bar{Z}^{Z} \frac{d k}{4^{2}} f(i k) e^{\left[i k A_{2}+A_{1} f(i k)\right]=2} e^{t k^{2}=4} \\
& Z_{A_{1}} d A e^{\left[A^{2}+2 t A f(i k)\right]=(4 t)} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}:=z_{1} \quad z_{2} ; \quad A_{2}:=z_{1}+z_{2}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim plifying (32), one arrives at

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{\frac{\mathrm{tk}^{2} 2}{2 \mathrm{ikA}_{2}}} 4 e^{\frac{\mathrm{A}^{2}}{4 \mathrm{t}}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 t} \int_{1}^{Z_{A_{1}}} d A f 2 \frac{@}{@ A_{2}} e^{\frac{A_{1} A}{2} f} 2 \frac{@}{@ A_{2}} e^{\frac{A^{2}+A_{2}^{2}}{4 t}} \text { : } \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

To show that (29) does indeed satisfy the initial conditions, rst note that

$$
P(x ; 0 \dot{y} ; 0)=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
\left(x_{1}\right. & y_{1}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(x_{2} \quad y_{2}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & y_{2} \tag{35}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(x_{2} \quad y_{1}\right)+I_{3}(t=0):
$$

$T$ he second term is obviously zero in the physical region $\mathrm{x}_{1}<\mathrm{x}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{1}<\mathrm{y}_{2}$. For the third term, we have from the rst equality in (32)

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}(t=0)=\frac{Z}{4^{2}} f^{\sim}(k) e^{i\left[k A_{2}+A_{1} f^{\prime}(k)\right]=2} \frac{Z}{q \quad i^{\prime \prime}} e^{i q A_{1}=2}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he integralover q is, how ever, zero in the physical region. Since in the physical region $A_{1}<0$, and one can close the integration contour by adding a large sem icircle in the low er halfplane of $q$, to the real line. T here are no singularities inside the closed contour, so the integral vanishes. So (29) does indeed satisfy the initial conditions.

N ow consider the large-tim e behavior of the system . using the change of variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}=: 2 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{t}_{i}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

the integral $I_{3}$ becom es

It is seen that at large tim es, the dom inant term com es from the rst nonzero term in the expansion off. Thismeans that if the rst derivative off does not vanish at the origin, then at large tim es, $f$ is equivalent to a linear function. But a linear $f$ is just the boundary condition used in [3]. So at large tim es, the boundary condition has e ectively only one free param eter determ ining the interaction.

If $f$ is an at-m ost-quadratic polynom ial, then the integration over $k$ in 32) can be done. For the sim ple exam ple

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=2 x^{2} ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

the result would be

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{3}=\sum_{1}^{\left.Z_{A_{1}} \frac{d A}{2} \frac{A_{2}^{2}}{\left.t+2\left(A A_{1}\right)\right]^{2}} \frac{2}{t+2(A} A_{1}\right)} \\
\frac{1}{t\left[t+2\left(A \quad A_{1}\right)\right]} e^{\frac{A^{2}}{4 t}} \frac{A_{2}^{2}}{4 t+8\left(A A_{1}\right)}: \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
$$

4 Boundary conditions corresponding to functions $f$ with vanishing rst derivative at the origin

Taking the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=n x^{n}+O\left(x^{n+1}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f$ (where $n>1$ ), it is seen from (38) that at large tim es, the leading term of $I_{3}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3} \quad \frac{p^{n}}{t^{n+1}}{ }_{1}^{Z} d a \frac{@^{n}}{@ a_{2}^{n}} e^{\left(a^{2}+a_{2}^{2}\right)}: \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he integration in the lefthand side, can now be easily done, and one arrives at

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3} \quad \frac{p}{2} \frac{n}{t^{n+1}}\left[1+\operatorname{erf}\left(a_{1}\right)\right] \frac{\varrho^{n}}{\varrho a_{2}^{n}} e^{a_{2}^{2}}: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two im portant quantities to be calculated, are the m ean position of the particles, and the variance of the $m$ ean position. The expectation value of any function $g\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)$, is obtained through

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{hg}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) i & =\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{xP}(\mathrm{x} \dot{\mathrm{y}}) \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) ; \\
& =\operatorname{hg}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) i_{0}+\operatorname{hg}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) i_{3} ; \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{hg}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right) i_{0}:= & x_{x_{Z} x_{2}} d^{2} x \frac{1}{2 t} e^{\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & y_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{2} & y_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{2}\right]=(2 t)} g\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right) ; \\
& +{ }_{x_{1} x_{2}} d^{2} x \frac{1}{2 t} e^{\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & y_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{2} & y_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{2}\right]=(2 t)} g\left(x_{2} ; x_{1}\right): \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

If $g\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)=g\left(x_{2} ; x_{1}\right)$, then the above expression becom es sim pler:

$$
\left.\left.\operatorname{hg}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right) i_{0}=d^{2} x \frac{1}{2 t} e^{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\left(x_{1}\right. & y_{1} \tag{47}
\end{array}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}\right.} y_{2}\right)^{2}\right]=(2 t) g\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right):
$$

U sing these, we want to calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}:=\frac{1}{2} h \mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{i}_{;} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X)^{2}:=\frac{1}{2} h x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} i \quad x^{2}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing (47), it is easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{y}_{1}+\mathrm{y}_{2}}{2} ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} h x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} i_{0}=\left(X_{0}\right)^{2}+t: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (38), it is seen that $I_{3}$ is the $n$ 'th derivative of som e function $w$ ith respect to $\mathrm{a}_{2}$. So, if g is a polynom ial of order less than $\mathrm{n} w$ th respect to $\mathrm{a}_{2}$, the right-hand side of (45) vanishes; this can be seen through $n$ tim es integration by parts. The functions relevant to the calculation of the $m$ ean position and the variance, in term s of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, are polynom ials of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ of at $m$ ost the second degree. From (41), it is seen then that only ha ${ }_{2}^{2} i_{3} m$ ay be nonvanishing, and that even this term vanishes if $n>2$. So, for $n>2$ we have $X=X_{0}$ and Var $=$ Var $x_{0}$. For $n=2$, it is seen that $I_{3}$ contains second and higher derivatives of functions $w$ th respect to $a_{2}$. In calculating ha $_{2}^{2} i_{3}$, only the second derivatives are relevant. $T$ hat is, one can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=\frac{p}{2} \frac{2}{t^{3}}\left[1+\operatorname{erf}\left(a_{1}\right)\right] \frac{@^{2}}{@ a_{2}^{2}} e^{a_{2}^{2}}+E_{3} ; \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{3}$ is irrelevant to the calculation of ha ${ }_{2}^{2} i_{3}$. So, one arrives at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{ha}_{2}^{2} i_{3}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{P}}_{\overline{\mathrm{t}}}^{2}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{y}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\left(2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{t}}\right) \quad \mathrm{da}_{1}\left[1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)\right]: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{x}_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{B} ; \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is a rst order polynom ial, one arrives at

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} h x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} i_{3} & =\text { tha } i_{2}^{2} i_{3} \\
& =22_{2}^{p_{\bar{t}}^{Z}}{ }_{1}^{\left(y_{1} \quad y_{2}\right)=\left(2^{p} \overline{\mathrm{t}}\right)} \mathrm{da}_{1}: \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, (51), and the fact the $m$ ean does not depend on $f$ (so long as the rst derivative of $f$ vanishes at the origin) it is seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Var}=t+22_{2}^{p_{\bar{t}}^{Z}}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{y}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\left(2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{t}}\right) \quad \mathrm{da}_{1}\left[1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)\right]: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

This becom es even sim pler at large tim es. At large tim es, the upper lim it in the above integral tends to zero. So, using

$$
{ }^{Z} 0 \quad[1+\operatorname{erf}(x)] d x=p^{1}=;
$$

one arrives at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}=t+2_{2}^{p} \overline{t=}+O\left(t^{1=2}\right): \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The e ective di usion param eter is de ned as the derivative of the above:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d V a r}{d t}=1+p \frac{2}{t}+O\left(t^{3=2}\right): \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is seen that ast! 1 , th is param eter tends to one, in agreem ent w ith $[3,12]$.
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