## Them ally Enhanced Neutralization in Hypertherm al Energy Ion Scattering

C E. Sosolik, J.R. Hampton, A.C. Lavery,<sup>y</sup> and B.H. Cooper<sup>z</sup> Laboratory of Atom ic and Solid State Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853-2501

J.B. Marston

Department of Physics, Box 1843, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912 (Dated: March 22, 2024)

## Abstract

N eutralization probabilities are presented for hypertherm all energy N a<sup>+</sup> ions scattered from a C u (001) crystal as a function of surface tem perature and scattered velocity. A large enhancement in neutralization is observed as the tem perature is increased. Velocity-dependent charge transfer regimes are probed by varying the incident energy, with the most prominent surface tem perature e ects occurring at the lowest energies. The data agree well with results obtained from a model based on the New ns-Anderson H am iltonian, where the elects of both tem perature and velocity are incorporated.

PACS num bers: 34.50 Dy, 34.70.+ e, 79.20 Rf

M easurements of the charge states of alkali ions scattered from metal surfaces at hyperthermal energies ( 1 eV to 1 keV) have achieved remarkable success in isolating factors that govern neutralization at surfaces [1]. From these studies, it is well known that the magnitude of the neutralization probability,  $P_0$ , depends strongly on the value of the projectile ionization potential,  $I_0$ , and the surface work function, , while the rate of charge transfer is determined by the projectile-surface coupling [2]. The elects of surface temperature or  $T_s$  on neutralization at a surface, how ever, have typically been ignored experimentally. In fact, few quantitative measurements [3, 4] have explored the role of  $T_s$  in determining  $P_0$ , despite the many theoretical studies [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13] that have been devoted to the subject.

In this Letter, we present quantitative results which show that  $T_S$  has signi cant effects on the neutralization probability. O urm easurements involve scattering N a<sup>+</sup> ions from a Cu (001) surface as a function of incident energy and  $T_S$ . The N a-Cu (001) scattering system is interesting because both energy-dominated and coupling-dominated charge transfer regimes are accessible and can be probed by varying the incident energy or scattered velocity [14]. In the energy-dominated regime, the change in P<sub>0</sub> with scattered velocity is governed primarily by the relative values of I<sub>0</sub> and . In the coupling-dominated regime, the tunneling of electrons between the projectile and surface or equivalently, the projectile-surface coupling, governs the velocity dependence [14]. Our results show that increasing T<sub>S</sub> can change P<sub>0</sub> in both of these regimes, enhancing it by as much as a factor of three at the lowest incident energies. The elects of T<sub>5</sub> and scattered velocity on P<sub>0</sub> have been incorporated into a quantum mechanical model that treats the electrons involved in the charge transfer as independent particles. Results obtained from this model compare well with the trends seen in our experimental data.

O ur m easurem ents were perform ed in an ultra high vacuum cham ber and beam line that are described in detail elsewhere [15, 16]. All of the ion beam swere produced in a Colutron ion source that has been modiled to allow highly else cient alkali ion beam production from a solid state source [17]. The beam swere scattered along the hlooi azim uth of a Cu (001) single crystal sample. Surface clean liness and long range order were monitored using Auger electron spectroscopy and low energy electron di raction, respectively. The sample temperature was varied between 200 K and 1100 K during scattering using a combination of cooling from a liquid nitrogen reservoir connected by copper braids and heating from an electron-beam

heater m ounted behind the sam ple.

Velocity-resolved charge state fractions were obtained for particles scattered from the sam ple using time-of- ight techniques along with a neutral particle detector (NPD) [18]. A llm easurements were made at incident and nalangles of 45 in the plane de ned by the incident beam direction and the surface normal. A typical time-of-arrival spectrum obtained using the NPD is shown in Fig. 1 for 250 eV Na<sup>+</sup> scattered from the Cu (001) crystal at a temperature of 328 K. The dashed line shows the signal due to both the neutral atom s and ions, the total ux, and the solid line shows the signal coming from the neutrals only.  $P_0$  was obtained by integrating the intensity of the total and neutral spectra and taking a ratio of the results [19].

To illustrate the dependence of  $P_0$  on  $T_s$ , typical results obtained for incident N a<sup>+</sup> projectiles at energies of 22 eV, 152 eV, and 640 eV are shown in Fig. 2. There is a signi cant increase in  $P_0$  at all incident energies as  $T_s$  is increased. At each incident energy, the change in neutralization probability is monotonic with  $T_s$ , with the largest change occurring at the lowest incident energy.

The dependence of  $P_0$  on incident energy can also be observed from the data presented in Fig. 2. Above 750 K,  $P_0$  decreases monotonically as the incident energy is increased. However, below 750 K, the incident energy dependence is non-monotonic. This can be seen more clearly in Fig. 3, where  $P_0$  has been plotted as a function of the inverse perpendicular velocity of the scattered projectiles at three di erent  $T_s$  values. The non-monotonic change in neutralization is very apparent at 350 K, the lowest temperature shown.

To understand the velocity- and temperature-dependent results shown in Figs. 2 and 3 we rst focus on the energy and time dependence of charge transfer for this system at a xed temperature. We show that the data collected at 350 K exhibit aspects of both energy- and coupling-dom inated charge transfer. Then we exam ine the e ects of increased temperature and discuss why the e ects are so large at the low est incident energies. Finally, we compare our m easurements to the results of a theoretical model that incorporates the e ects of velocity and temperature.

The energetics of neutralization in ion-surface scattering experiments are typically described using an ionization level diagram. The ionization level,  $I(z) = I_0$  1=4z, represents both the bare ionization potential of the projectile and the distance dependence induced by the presence of an image charge in the metal [20]. A level diagram for Na ( $I_0 = 5:14 \text{ eV}$ )



FIG.1: Time-of-arrival spectra obtained with the NPD for 250 eV Na<sup>+</sup> ions scattered from a Cu (001) crystal. The surface temperature was held at 328 5 K during this measurement. The three peaks present in these spectra are due to trajectory types that involve scattering from one or more individual atoms at the surface [1].

outside of a Cu (001) surface is shown in Fig. 4. At any distance z the energetically-favored charge state of the N a is given by the position of I (z) relative to the Cu (001) Ferm i level,  $E_F$ . At large distances (z > 13 a.u.;) the ionization level is below  $E_F$ , and the energetically-favored charge state is neutral. C bær to the surface, the positive ion is the energetically-favored charge state, as the ionization level is shifted above  $E_F$ . The level diagram illustrates that di erent charge states are energetically favored at di erent z values. This description is incom plete, how ever, as the projectile-surface coupling m ust also be considered.

The projectile-surface coupling introduces dynam ical and charge statem ixing e ects that play an important role in determining the nal charge statem easured in a neutralization experiment. The dynamical e ect refers to the competition that arises between the rate of electron tunneling and the nite velocity of the scattered projectile. Put simply, as a projectile leaves the surface, electrons tunnel between the projectile and surface, tracking the energetically favored charge state described above. However, the exponential decay of the coupling with distance implies that at some distance z the charge state is \frozen in" and the projectile is left as either neutral or positive. Quantum -m echanical mixing of projectile



FIG.2: Tem perature-dependent neutralization results for 22 eV, 152 eV, and 630 eV Na<sup>+</sup> ions scattered from a Cu (001) crystal. The lines are drawn to guide the eye, and a typical error bar is shown.

charge states outside the surface, however, make this picture more complex as they imply that there is a non-zero probability of obtaining any allowed charge state at a distance z.

For the Na-Cu (001) system, only the two charge states, neutral and positive, need to be considered. The probability of obtaining either charge state at a distance z is determined primarily by the energetically favored charge state at that distance. Looking at Fig. 4, we see that this in plies that the positive ion will dominate at most z values. The velocity dependence at any z, however, is determined, to lowest order, by the relative magnitude of the projectile-surface coupling and the absolute energy dimense between I (z) and  $E_{\rm F}$ . Regions where either the coupling or this energy dimense are largest determine the energyand coupling-dominated charge transfer regimes, respectively. If a projectile leaves the surface slow ly, the energetically-favored charge state can be tracked to large distances, where the coupling is very small. In this case, the velocity dependence is classified as energydominated, and an exponential dependence of P<sub>0</sub> on the inverse perpendicular velocity is obtained [14]. Conversely, higher velocity projectiles only track the energetically-favored charge state near the surface where the coupling is large. This is the coupling-dominated regime where the simple exponential dependence of P<sub>0</sub> on inverse perpendicular velocity is no longer valid.



FIG. 3: The neutralization probability,  $P_0$ , for Na<sup>+</sup> ions scattered from a Cu (001) crystal at surface temperatures of 350 K, 773 K, and 923 K.  $P_0$  is plotted on a logarithm ic scale versus the inverse scattered perpendicular velocity to show the exponential dependence present at low energies and high temperatures. The velocity is shown in atom ic units (a.u.), where 1 a.u. is approximately  $22 10^8$  cm/sec. The corresponding incident energy scale is noted along the top axis.

Focusing on the data taken at 350 K in Fig. 3, one can see evidence for both charge transfer regimes as a function of the inverse perpendicular velocity. Energy-dominated charge transfer occurs at the lowest velocities, giving  $P_0$  an exponential dependence for incident energies less than 100 eV. Above 100 eV, the exponential dependence is no longer present, and  $P_0$  increases. The strong projectile-surface interaction dominates at these higher velocities, giving an increased neutral occupancy, or as we measure it, a larger  $P_0$  value [14]. Therefore, the non-monotonic change observed for  $P_0$  indicates that both energy-and coupling-dom inated charge transfer occur in this system at 350 K.

The data in Fig. 3 also show that the magnitude of  $P_0$  and its dependence on inverse perpendicular velocity change dramatically with  $T_S$ . Generally, an increase in  $T_S$  should alter the electron occupancy in the metal, populating metal levels above  $E_F$  according to the Ferm i-D irac distribution. If  $jI(z) = E_F j^{<} k_B T_S$ , thermale ects will become signi cant and lead to a larger  $P_0$  value. Looking at Fig. 4, we see that this condition is met at large distances, where I(z) crosses  $E_F$ . This is the energy-dom inated regime that is probed at



FIG. 4: An ionization level diagram for N a outside of a Cu (001) surface. The Ferm i level lies at an energy below the vacuum level, where = 4:59 eV for Cu (001). Filled electron levels in the m etal are indicated by the lines drawn on the far left. The distance, z, is plotted in atom ic units (a.u.), where 1 a.u. is 0.529 A.

low velocities, and it explains why the measured  $P_0$  values are very sensitive to  $T_s$  as the scattered perpendicular velocity is decreased. At higher velocities, I(z) is well above  $E_F$ , the charge transfer is coupling-dom inated, and consequently, the elects of  $T_s$  are reduced.

We have compared ourm easured tem perature-dependent  $P_0$  data to results obtained with an independent particle calculation [21]. This calculation is based on the spinless one-level Newns-Anderson Ham iltonian and allows for a determ ination of the occupancy of the Na atom ic level after scattering from the Cu surface. It is a quantum mechanical treatment that correctly models both of the charge transfer regimes that are probed in our results. This is in portant because sem i-classical treatments, such as the rate equations, do not reproduce the phenomena of coupling-dominated charge transfer that lead to the non-monotonic  $P_0$ dependence observed in Fig. 3. A modil ed Ferm i-Dirac distribution that incorporates both therm all and velocity-smearing elects was included in the calculation. The distribution was obtained by performing an angular average of a velocity-shifted Ferm i-Dirac distribution over a spherical Ferm i surface and is written as

$$f() = \frac{\ln(1 + e^{(e)}) - \ln(1 + e^{(+e)})}{2e};$$
(1)

where  $= 1 = k_B T_S$  and is the energy of a metallic level relative to  $E_F$ . The term e is equal to  $k_F v_{proj}$ , where  $k_F$  and  $v_{proj}$  are the Ferm i wavevector and the projectile velocity,



FIG.5: Comparison of the experimental neutralization probability (symbols) to the results of the independent-particle calculation (lines) for  $Na^+$  scattering from Cu (001). A typical error bar is shown.

respectively. The projectile-surface coupling used in the calculation was based on a t to the theoretical results of N ordlander and Tully [22].

A comparison of our theoretical and experimental results for  $P_0$  as a function of the perpendicular velocity at three di erent  $T_6$  values is shown in Fig. 5. The calculation reproduces the increase observed in  $P_0$  with  $T_s$  as well as the non-monotonic change seen in  $P_0$  with perpendicular velocity. Also, the large increase in  $P_0$  observed at the lowest velocity values is reproduced. The quantitative di erences evident between the experimental and calculation results shown in this gure vary with velocity and are very sensitive to the coupling and the inclusion of parallel velocity deal with this interplay between  $T_s$ , parallel velocity, and the projectile-surface coupling, it is remarkable that such a simple calculation can reproduce the observed trends.

In this Letter, we have shown that  $T_s$  can have signi cant e ects on the R values measured in hyperthem all energy ion-surface scattering experiments. By using Na-Cu (001) as the experimental system, our results reveal that these thermal e ects are present for both energy- and coupling-dom inated charge transfer. The sensitivity of these alkali ion measurements to  $T_s$  in the energy-dom inated regime demonstrate that the failure to include  $T_s$ 

in the analysis or modeling of neutralization experiments performed at nite temperatures could lead to large errors. Furthermore, in light of these results, future experiments aimed at measuring thermale ects due to many-body or K ondo e ects for alkaline-earth projectiles [5, 6], must be carefully interpreted.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF-DMR-9722771 and NSF-DMR-9712391). J.R.H. acknow ledges support from a National Physical Science Consortium Fellow ship.

Corresponding author: sosolik@nist.gov; Present Address: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, 20899-8412

- <sup>y</sup> P resent A ddress: W oods H ole O ceanographic Institution, W oods H ole, M A 02543
- <sup>z</sup> Deceased.
- B.H.Cooper and E.R.Behringer, in Low Energy Ion-Surface Interactions, edited by J.W.
  Rabalais (J.W iley & Sons, New York, 1994).
- [2] Here we refer to \charge transfer" as meaning the resonant transfer of charge between projectile and metal levels at the same energy.
- [3] E.G. Overbosch, B. Rasser, A.D. Tenner, and J. Los, Surf. Sci. 92, 310 (1980).
- [4] Y.Bu, EF.Greene, and DK.Stewart, J.Chem. Phys. 92, 3899 (1990).
- [5] H. Shao, P. Nordlander, and D.C. Langreth, Phys. Rev. B 52, 2988 (1995); Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 948 (1996).
- [6] J.M erino and J.B.M arston, Phys. Rev. B 58, 6982 (1998).
- [7] B.Rasser and M.Remy, Surf.Sci. 93, 223 (1980).
- [8] R.Brako and D.M. Newns, Surf. Sci. 108, 253 (1981); Vacuum 32, 39 (1982).
- [9] K.C.Liu, T.F.George, and K.-S.Lam, Solid State Commun. 53, 67 (1985).
- [10] F.Battaglia, K.C.Liu, and T.F.George, Int.J.Quantum Chem. Suppl. 19, 477 (1986).
- [11] S.I.Easa and A.M odinos, Surf. Sci. 183, 531 (1987).
- [12] H. Nakanishi, H. Kasai, and A. Okiji, Surf. Sci. 197, 515 (1988).
- [13] K W .Sulston and F D .G oodm an, J.Chem .Phys. 112, 2486 (2000); F D .G oodm an and K W . Sulston, J.Chem .Phys. 114, 3265 (2001).
- [14] G A.Kimmeland B.H.Cooper, Phys. Rev. B 48, 12164 (1993).

- [15] R L.M cEachern et al, Rev. Sci. Instrum . 59, 2560 (1988).
- [16] D.L.Adler and B.H.Cooper, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 59, 137 (1988).
- [17] D.R. Peale, D.L. Adler, B.R. Litt, and B.H. Cooper, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 60, 730 (1989).
- [18] GA.Kimmeland BH.Cooper, Rev.Sci.Instrum. 64, 672 (1993).
- [19] All three peaks were used to determ ine  $P_0$  as little variation was observed for the dimentity trajectory types represented. The assigned velocity value was obtained by averaging over the velocities present in each spectrum.
- [20] I(z),  $I_0$ , and z are expressed here in atom ic units.
- [21] A.V.Onufriev and J.B.Marston, Phys. Rev. B 53, 13340 (1996).
- [22] P.Nordlander and J.C. Tully, Surf. Sci. 211/212, 207 (1989).
- [23] C E. Sosolik, PhD. thesis, Comell University, 2001.