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#### Abstract

The electron transm ission through a closed A haronov B ohm m esoscopic solid－state interferom e－ ter，w ith a quantum $\operatorname{dot}(Q D)$ on one ofthe paths，is calculated exactly for a sim plem odel．A though the conductance is an even function of the $m$ agnetic ux（due to $O$ nsager＇s relations），in $m$ any cases one can use the m easured conductance to extract both the am plitude and the phase of the \intrinsic＂ transm ission amplitude $t_{D}=i t_{D} \dot{e}^{i} D$ through the \bare＂QD．Wealso propose to com pare th is indirect $m$ easurem ent $w$ th the（ $h$ itherto untested）direct relation $\sin ^{2}(\mathrm{D}) \quad \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}} f=\mathrm{m}$ ax（ $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}} f$ ）．


PACS num bers： $73.63 .6,03.75 .6,85.35 \mathrm{D}$ s
$R$ ecent advances in nanoscience raised interest in quan－ tum dots（QD s），which represent arti cial atom $s$ w ith experim entally controllable properties［1］＂12l］．C onnecting the QD via m etallic leads to electron reservoirs yields resonant transm ission through the $Q D$ ，w ith peaksw hen－ ever the Ferm ienergy in the leads crosses a resonance on the QD．The energies of the latter are varied by con－ trolling the plunger gate voltage on the QD，V．The quantum inform ation on the tunneling of an electron is contained in the com plex transm ission am plitude， $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}=$
${ }_{i} \overline{T_{D}} e^{i}{ }^{D}$ ．The phase $D$ is particularly interesting， given its relation to the additionalelectron occupation in the system via the Friedel sum nule $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[14} & 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ ．This phase is also predicted to exhibit interesting behavior e．g．near a K ondo－like resonance $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\mathrm{I}}\end{array}\right]$ ．This m otivated experim ental attem pts to $m$ easure $D$［ $[1 / 2]$ ，using the A haronov－B ohm interferom eter（A B I）［8］ 1 ．

In the ABI，the QD is placed on one branch，in par－ allel to a \reference＂branch（both connecting the two extemal leads）．A magnetic ux in the area between the two branches creates a phase di erence $=e=h c$ betw een the wave functions in the two branches the two－slit lim it，the total A B I transm ission is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=t \mathcal{J}=t_{D} e^{i}+t_{B} J=A+B \cos (+) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $=\mathrm{D}$ ，where contains V －independent contributions from the reference transm ission，$t_{B}=$
$i j_{B} \dot{e}^{i}{ }^{\text {b }}$ ，and from the electron \optical＂paths on the tw o branches．H ow ever，for the \closed＂tw o－term inalge－ om etry，unitarity（conservation of current）and tim ere－ versal sym $m$ etry im ply the 0 nsager relations［［1］］，which state that the two－term inal conductance，$G=-\left(e^{2}=h\right) T$ ， is an even function of ．Therefore，a naive $t$ of the experim ental transm ission to Eq．［1기）m ust yield $=0$ or $\{$ w th no relation to $D$ ．Indeed，the experim ental data［G］for T depend only on cos［1］

A im ing to $m$ easure a non－trivialAB phase shift then

which contain additional \leaky＂channels，breaking the O nsager symm etry．A to Eq．（1，）then yields a phase which increases（w ith $V$ ）gradually from 0 to through each resonance．H ow ever，the detailed $V$－dependence of
depends on the strength of the coupling to the addi－ tionalterm inals［13］．A though it is possible to optim ize th is strength，and reproduce the tw o－s］it conditions［［14 $\left.\underline{4}^{1}\right]$ ， this involves large uncertainties．

In the present paper w e present exact results for the to－ taltransm ission of the closed A B I，T．A though T is even in ，contradicting the sim ple tw o－slit Eq．（［1］），进 does de－ pend on both $T_{D}$ and $D$ ．Under appropriate conditions （see below），one can thus extract $D$ from the $m$ easured $T$ ，elim inating the need to open the interferom eter． T his possible extraction w as not noticed in earlier discussions of the closed A B I．T heoretical analyses used the K eldysh technique，com bined w ith the w ide－band and related ap－ proxim ations $[1$ tions $[1]_{1}^{-1}$ ．T hese approxim ations，which som etim es $m$ iss im portant features of the results（see below），are avoided in our calculation，which is done in the linear response $\lim$ it，and at tem perature $\mathrm{T}=0$ ．

W e dem onstrate our results for a sim ple lattice m odel， shown in $F$ ig．$\overline{1}_{11}^{1}$ ：for $=0$ ，each（unit length）segm ent in the gure represents a real tight－binding hopping $m$ a－ trix elem ent J；I；末；I and 末，as in－ dicated．All the on－site energies are zero，except $D$ on the site \dot＂and 0 on the site \ref＂（which sits on the reference path and represents a sim ple point contact， a tunnel junction，etc）．The latter two energies can be varied experim entally by the plunger（or point contact） gate voltages $D \quad V$ and $\left.0 \quad V_{0}[]_{1}^{1}\right]$ ．A s usual for such m odels，electron－electron interactions are included only via an on－site $H$ ubbard interaction $U$ on the QD．TheAB phase in the triangle，$=\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{R}$ ，is included by attach－ ing a factor $e^{i}{ }^{L}\left(e^{i}{ }^{R}\right)$ to the hopping $m$ atrix elem ent $J_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ ．At $T=0$ ，the electron energy $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{~J}$ cosk is equal to the Ferm i energy on the leads，$f$ ，and we
calculate the transm ission for electrons w ith spin ．
$W$ e start by review ing the \intrinsic＂transm ission through the QD，w ithout the reference path（e．g．for large $\mathrm{J}_{0} j=j 0 j$ or $w$ ith $I_{L}=I_{R}=0$ ）．Adapting the results of Ref．［［ $\left[_{1}^{1}\right]$ ，one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{D}=i_{D} \sin { }_{D} e^{i D} \quad 2 i \sin k j j_{I} J_{R} g_{D}(k)=J ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the $Q \mathrm{D}$ asymmetry factor $\mathrm{D}=2 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}}=\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}+\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}\right)$ and the \intrinsic＂G reen function on the Q D ，$g_{D}(k)=$ $1=[k \quad D \quad D(k)]$ ．Here，$\quad D(k)$ is the selfenergy on the QD，which contains contributions from the leads， $D$ ；ext $=e^{j k j}\left(J_{L}^{2}+J_{R}^{2}\right)=J$（which exists also for the non－interacting case［13］），and from the electron－electron interactions on the QD itself，$D$ ；int（！）（which vanishes when $U=0$ ）．As $D \quad V$ increases，$D$ grows gradually from zero（farbelow the resonance），through $=2$（at the resonance），tow ards（far above the resonance）．

Interestingly，for this one－dim ensionalm odel，nom al－ izing the $m$ easured $T_{D}=t_{D} J={ }_{D}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(D_{D}\right)$ by its （ $V$－independent）m axim um ${ }_{D}^{2}$ yields the value of $D$ ． A ssum ing coherence，this（hitherto ignored）m ethod for $m$ easuring $D$ directly from $T_{D}$ elim inates the need for any com plicated interferom etry！［19 ${ }_{1}^{-1}$ ］In the rem ainder of this paper we discuss ways of extracting $D$ indirectly， from the closed AB I m easurem ents．C om paring results from $\sin ^{2}\left(D_{D}\right)=T_{D}={ }_{D}^{2}$ ，from the closed ABI（below） and from the open ABI［14］（all w th the same QD） should serve as consistency checks for this conclusion．

The sam e analysis yields the transm ission am plitude through the reference path（w hen e．g．$J_{L}=J_{R}=0$ ），

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{B}=i_{B} \sin { }_{B} e^{i_{B}} \quad 2 i \sin k j j_{R} g_{B}(k)=J \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the bare reference site $G$ reen fiunction $g_{B}=1=[\mathrm{k}$ $\left.0+e^{i j k j}\left(I_{L}^{2}+I_{R}^{2}\right)=J\right]$ ，and $B=2 I_{L} I_{R}=\left(I_{L}^{2}+I_{R}^{2}\right)$ ．In the
 the overall transm ission，as in Eq．（［1］）．H ow ever，the sit－ uation is $m$ ore com plicated for the closed A B I．T hem ain result of the present paper concems the exact transm is－ sion am plitude through the closed A B I，

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=A_{D} t_{D} e^{i}+A_{B} t_{B} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

wherewe nd $A_{D}=g_{B}\left(\begin{array}{ll}k & 0\end{array}\right) G_{D}(k)=g_{D}(k)$ and $A_{B}=$ $1+G_{D}(k) \operatorname{ext}(k) . H e r e, G_{D}(!)=1=[!\quad D \quad(!)]$ is the fully \dressed＂G reen function on the Q D，w th the dressed selfenergy $=$ int + ext．Both term s here di er from their counterparts in the \intrinsic＂ D，by contributions due to the reference path．Equation $\underline{(\underline{1}}^{\prime}$ ） looks like the two－slit form ula，$t=t_{D} e^{i}+t_{B}$. H ow ever， each of the term $s$ is now renorm alized：$A_{D}$ contains all the additionalprocesses in which the electron \visits＂the reference site（ $A_{D}=1$ when $I_{L}=I_{R}=0$ ），and $A_{B}$ con－ tains the corrections to $t_{B}$ due to \visits＂on the dot．In fact，a physicalderivation ofE q．（4）am ounts to starting from Eq．（ $\overline{2})$ ，and adding an in nite power series in $I_{L}$
and $I_{R} \cdot W$ e now discuss the－dependence of $T \quad \mathrm{t}^{2}$ ， in connection with the O nsager relations and with the possible indirect extraction of $D$ ．

W e rst note that both parts in（k）are even in ， due to additive contributions（w ith equal am plitudes） from clockw ise and counterclockw ise $m$ otions of the elec－ tron around the ring（see e．g．Refs． order that $T$ also depends only on cos ，as required by the O nsager relations，the ratio $\mathrm{K} \quad A_{B} t_{B}=\left(A_{D} t_{D}\right)$ $\mathbb{x}\left[G_{D}(k)^{1}+\operatorname{ext}(k)\right], w$ th the real coe cient $\mathbb{x}=$ $\left.I_{L} I_{R}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}U_{L} J_{R} & (k\end{array}\right)\right]$ ，$m$ ust be real，i．e．

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left[G_{D}(k)^{1}+\operatorname{ext}(k)\right]=\operatorname{int} 0: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sam e relation follow sfrom the unitarity of the 2 scattering $m$ atrix of the ring．This relation already $a p-$ peared for the special case of single im purity scattering， in connection w th the Friedel sum rule［ $[4]$ ，and was im－ plicitly contained in Eq．（ $\overline{2})$ ，where $=\mathrm{D}$ ；int $=0$［ $\left.{ }_{1}^{-1} \bar{q}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ ． Equation（⿳亠丷厂犬灬）implies that（at $T=0$ and ！＝k）the interaction self－energy int $(k)$ is real，and therefore the w idth of the resonance，$=G_{D}(\mathrm{k})^{1}$ ，is fully determ ined by the non－interacting self－energy $=\operatorname{ext}(k)$ ．

Since ext（！）depends only on the（non－interacting） tight－binding term $s$ ，it is easy to calculate it explicitly． We nd $\operatorname{ext}(k)=D$ ；ext $(k)+\operatorname{ext}$ ，where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ext }=e^{2 i j k j} g_{B}\left(J_{L}^{2} I_{L}^{2}+J_{R}^{2} I_{R}^{2}+2 J_{L} J_{R} I_{L} I_{R} \cos \right)=J^{2}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term proportional to cos comes from the elec－ tron clock－and counterclockw isem otion around the AB I \ring＂．Sim ilarly，one can write int $(k)=D$ ；int $(k)+$ int，and thus $G_{D}(k)^{1}=g_{D}(k)^{1} \quad$ ，with $=$
ext + int．Hence，$t=A_{D} t_{D}\left(e^{i}+K\right)$ ．W riting also $A_{D}=C=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & \Phi(k)\end{array}\right]$ ，w th $C=\left(\begin{array}{cc}k & 0\end{array}\right) g_{B}$ ，we nd

$$
T=j \mathcal{J}^{2} T_{D} \frac{1+K^{2}+2 K \cos }{12<\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { g } \tag{7}
\end{array}\right]+\dot{j} j_{D}}:
$$

Equation（푸）presents an altemative form of ourm ain result．A lthough the num erator looks like the tw o－slit Eq．（11），w ith $=0$ or（depending on signK），the new physics is contained in the denom inator $\{$ which be－ com es im portant in the vicinity of a resonance．The cen－ tralterm in this denom inator depends explicitly on the phase of the com plex num ber $g_{D}$ ．Since this num ber is directly related to $t_{D}$ ，via Eq．（Zㅡㄴ），one $m$ ay expect to extract $D$ from a $t$ to Eq．（71），taking advantage of the dependence of the denom inator on cos．Physically，th is dependence originates from the in nite sum over electron paths which circulate the AB I ring．The rest of this pa－ per is devoted to the conditions for such an extraction． G enerally，this is not trivial，as one needs the detailed dependence of on cos and on the various param eters． $W$ e have presented this dependence for ext，but not for int．

The extraction of $d$ becom es easy when one $m$ ay neglect int．The simplest case for this is for single－ electron scattering，when int $=0$ ．Interactions（i．e．U ） are also negligible for a relatively open dot，w ith sm all barriers at its contacts $w$ th the leads ［21 $\left._{1}^{1}\right]$ ．A nother e ectively single－electron scattering case arises near a C oulomb blockade resonance，when the e ect of inter－ actions can sim ply be absorbed into a H artree－like shiff， $D^{+}$int！$\quad+N U$ ，if one assum es that $N$ depends sm oothly on the num ber of electrons on the QD，and not on［ 20 d．If one $m$ ay neglect int，then ext is


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{D}} \frac{1+\mathrm{K}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~K} \cos }{1+2 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}+\cos )+\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{z}+\cos )^{2}} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=\left(J_{L}^{2} I_{L}^{2}+J_{R}^{2} I_{R}^{2}\right)=\left(2 J_{L} J_{R} I_{L} I_{R}\right), P=\left\langle\left[v t_{B} t_{D}\right]\right.$ ， $Q=j t_{B} \rho T_{D}$ ，and $v=e^{2 i j k j}=\left(2 \sin ^{2} k j\right)$ depends only on the Ferm iw avevector $k$ ，independent of any detail of the ABI．A 5－param eter to the explicit－dependence in Eq．（iq）for given values of $V$ and $V_{0}$ then yields $X{ }^{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{D}} ; \mathrm{K} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{P}$ and Q ，and thuscos（ $\left.\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}}+2 \mathrm{kj}\right)=$ $P=\bar{Q}$ ，from which one can extract the $V$－dependence of $D$ ．The same $V$－dependence of $D$ is also contained in $K /\left(\cot D+\cot \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ ．A s discussed after Eq．（द̄）， ourm odelalso im plies that $T_{D}={ }_{D}^{2} \sin ^{2}(\mathrm{D})$ ．Since the $V$－dependence of $T_{D}$ can also be extracted from the $t-$ ted values of either $f=\frac{?}{} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{D}}$ or $Q$ ，we end up with several consistency checks for the determ ination of $D$ ．Addi－ tional checks arise from direct $m$ easurem ents of $T_{D}$ and $T_{B}=J_{B} f$ ，by taking the lim its $J_{0} j=j_{0} j$ ！ 1 or打 ${ }^{\prime}=j_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{j}!1$ 。

The LHS fram e in Fig． and－dependence of $T$ for this lim it（no interactions）， with $k==2$ and $J_{L}=J_{R}=I_{L}=I_{R}=1 ; V_{0}=4$（in units of $J$ ），im plying $K=D_{0}=V=V_{0}$ ．Far aw ay from the resonance $T \quad 1, Q \quad f j 1$ and $k j 1$ ，yield－ ing the two－slit－like form $T \quad A+B$ cos，dom inated by its rst harm onic，w th $\left.B=A \quad 2 \mathbb{K}^{1} \quad \mathrm{P}\right]$ ．H ow－ ever，close the the resonance $T$ show s a rich structure； the denom inator in Eq．（ $\overline{1} \mathbf{1})$ generates higher ham onics， and the two－slit form ula is com pletely $w$ rong．T his rich structure $m$ ay be $m$ issed if one neglects parts of the－ dependence of ，as done in Ref．［1］${ }^{-}$］．N ote also the Fano vanishing［2］ n．W thout interactions，everything can be extended to $a Q D$ w th $m$ any resonances，e．g．due to C oulom b block－ ade shiffs in the ective $\alpha \mathrm{W}$ th the num ber ofelectrons．
 RHS frame in $F$ ig．$\overline{1}$ ，shows results for two resonances， w ith $\mathrm{D}=5$ ．Interestingly， F ig． 4 is qualitatively sim i－ lar to the experim entally $m$ easured transm ission in Ref． ［ 1211 In． H ．ow ever，so far there has been no quantitative anal－ ysis of the experim entaldata．

To treat the generalcase，we need in form ation on int． $F$ irst of all，we em phasize that a successfiul $t$ to Eq．
（iq）justi es the neglect of the－dependence of int．If the various procedures to determ ine $D$ from Eq．（ig） yield the sam e V－dependence，this would also con m that int is negligibly sm all．A failure of this check，or a m ore com plicated dependence of the $m$ easured $T$ on cos ，would im ply that int is not negligible．

As seen from Eq．（G），ext is fully determ ined by a single \visit＂of the electron at \ref＂．For sm all $T_{B}$ ，or large $j_{0} j=j o j$ it is reasonable to conjecture that int is also dom inated by such processes．In that case，we ex－ pect＿int to be proportional to the sam e brackets as in Eq．（ $(\overline{6})$ ，i．e．int $w(z+\cos )$ ，with a realcoe cient $w$ ．$T$ his yields the sam e dependence of $T$ on cos as in Eq．（ $\overline{(8)} 1 \mathbf{1})$ ，w ith a shifted coe cient $v$ ．If w depends only weakly on $V$ ，then this shift has little e ect on the de－ term ination of $D$ ．A gain，the validity of this approach relies on getting the sam $\mathrm{e} V$－dependence of D from all of its di erent determ inations．

The situation becom es $m$ ore complicated near a $K$ ondo－like resonance．$M$ aintaining the（non－trivial）as－ sum ption that $G_{D}=1=[!\quad D \quad D(!)]$ ，the $K$ ondo peak at the Ferm ienergy m ust be generated by D．For the intrinsic QD，this yields $D==2$ and $t_{D}=D$ ， resulting in a $V$－independent plateau for $T_{D}$ ．A priori， it is not obvious what happens in the presence of the \reference＂path． H ofstetter et al．identi ed the K ondo region by requiring that the phase res of the fully dressed $G$ reen function $G_{D}$ be equal to $=2$ ．O ur result for $G_{D}$ show sthat this is im possible：the phase res depends on ，via the－dependence of，and thus cannot be set at the constant value $=2$ ．（A pparently，this－dependence w as neglected in the analytic parts，and weak for the nu－ m erical param eters used in Ref．［1］］）A ltematively，one $m$ ight assum e that the \bare＂QD sticks to the $K$ ondo resonance，and thus ${ }_{\mathrm{D}}=2$（independent ofV）even in the ABI．Equation $\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)$ then replaces the K ondo plateau by a complicated dependence on（including the rst harm onic），which di ers signi cantly from that of $R$ ef． ［1］－］．C learly，this lim it requires $m$ ore research．

Finally，we give som e m ore details of our derivation． O ur H am iltonian，which sim ply adds the reference path to that of Ng and Lee $\left[\underline{1} \mathbf{8}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ ，is

$$
\begin{align*}
H & ={ }^{D}{ }^{X} d^{y} d+\frac{U}{2}{ }^{X} n_{d} n_{d}- \\
& +{ }^{X}{ }_{k} C_{k}^{y} q_{k}+{ }^{X} V_{k} d^{y} q_{k}+V_{k} c_{k}^{y} d  \tag{9}\\
& +{ }_{0}^{X}{ }^{X} C_{0}^{y} c_{0}+{ }_{k}^{X} U_{k} C_{0}^{y} q_{k}+U_{k} c_{k}^{y} C_{0} \quad ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}}$ creates single particle eigenstates（w ith spin ）on the unperturbed \background＂chain（w ith $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ $I_{R}=J, J_{L} \bar{e}_{P} J_{R}=0, \underline{w}$ ith eigenenergy $k=2 J$ cosk， while $C_{B}={ }_{k} G_{k}=\bar{N}, U_{k}=\left[\left(玉_{\mathrm{E}} J\right) e^{i k}+\left(I_{R}\right.\right.$ $J) e^{i k} J=\bar{N}$ ，and $V_{k}=\left(\Psi^{i} e^{i k}+J_{R} e^{i}{ }^{+}+i k\right)=\bar{N}$ ．

The operators on the dot, $d$ and $d^{y}$, anti-com $m$ ute $w$ th $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}}$. A lso, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{d}$, and -

A s stated above, one can derive Eq. ( $\overline{4} 1 \mathbf{1})$ by expanding Eq. $(\underline{Z})$ in powers of $I_{L}$ and $I_{R}$. $A$ m ore general approach uses the standard relation between the 2 scattering $m$ atrix $T_{k k^{0}}$ and the $m$ atrix of retarded singleparticle $G$ reen functions, $G_{k k^{0}}(!)={ }_{k k}{ }^{0} g_{k}^{0}+g_{k}^{0} T_{k k^{0}} g_{k^{0}}^{0}$, $w$ th $g_{k}^{0}(!)=1=(!\quad \ldots$ k , evaluated on the energy shell, $!={ }_{\mathrm{F}}={ }_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}^{0}\left[\underline{5}_{1}^{-1}\right]$. The equation-ofm otion (EOM) $m$ ethod is then used to express (! $k) G_{k k^{\circ}}(!)$ and (! $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{kd}}(!)$ as linear com binations of each other and of $G_{D}(!)$, allow ing us to express each of them (and thus also $t / T_{j k} j_{j k j}$ ) in term $s$ of $G_{D}$ (!), yielding Eq. (내) ). Since we do not use an explicit solution for $G_{D}(!)$ itself, we don't need to dealw ith the higher order correlation functions (due to $U$ ), which appear in its EOM.
$W$ e hope that our paper $w$ ill stim ulate attem pts to $t$ experim entaldata to our Eq. (酫), and to com pare the resulting $D$ w ith its direct estim ate via $T$. This procedure should work in $m$ any cases. We also hope that our paper will stim ulate m ore detailed theoretical calculations of int. A s explained, the existing approxim ate calculations $m$ iss the crucial -dependenœ of these interactiondependent term s .
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F IG . 1. M odel for the closed A B I.



FIG.2. A B transm ission $T$ versus the AB phase and the gate voltage $V$, for one (LHS ) and two (R H S ) non-interacting resonances.

