# Jahn-Teller distortions and excitation energies in $C_{60}^{n+}$

Martin Luders<sup>1,2</sup> and Nicola Manini<sup>1,3,4</sup>y

 $^{1}$  International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA),

V ia Beirut 4, 34014 Trieste, Italy

 $^{2}\,$  IN FM  $\,$  D em ocritos N ational S im ulation C enter,

and INFM, Unita Trieste, Italy

<sup>3</sup> D ip. F isica, U niversita di M ilano, V ia Celoria 16, 20133 M ilano, Italy
<sup>4</sup> IN FM, U nita di M ilano, M ilano, Italy

#### A bstract

Based on previously computed parameters for the electron-phonon couplings and the C oulomb exchange, we compute and classify the static Jahn-Teller distortions, i.e. the minima of the lowest adiabatic potential energy surface, of  $C_{60}^{\,n+}$ , for all values of charge 1 n 9 and spin. We compute the intra-band electronic excitation energies in the dierent optimal geometries in the sudden approximation, and nd a spread of the electronic states of roughly 1 eV. We also obtain the leading vibronic quantum corrections to the ground-state energy, equal to zero-point energy lowering due to the softening of the phonons at the adiabatic Jahn-Teller minima: these non-adiabatic corrections are so large that for 4 n 6 states of dierent spin symmetry turn lower than the high-spin adiabatic ground state.

E-m ail: lueders@ sissa.it

YE -m ail: nicola m anini@ m i.infm .it

#### 1 Introduction

Low-spin states are associated to larger distortions, thus larger energy gains, than high-spin states in degenerate electron-phonon coupled molecules and im purity centers. Electron-electron Coulomb repulsion opposes this tendency, favoring high-spin states instead, in accord to the st of Hund's rules. The Jahn-Teller (JT) system  $SC_{60}^{n+}$  are no exception to this rule: if electron-phonon coupling was the only relevant interaction, then the n-holes ground state would be either of spin S = 0 (even n) or S =  $\frac{1}{2}$  (odd n). As was recently shown [1], in positive fullerene ions the size of Coulom b interaction is su ciently large to enforce H und's rule: the ground states of C  $_{60}^{\,\mathrm{n}+}$  was calculated to always be high 5,  $S = \frac{10 \text{ n}}{2}$  for n > 5) in the adiabatic approximation.  $spin (S = \frac{n}{2} for n)$ This result is con m ed for n = 2 by NMR investigation of solid-state com pounds [2]. The JT distortions in  $C_{60}^{n+}$ , though strongly counteracted by the larger electron-electron repulsion, yet represent an important, and still largely unexplored, contribution to the energetics of C  $_{60}^{\rm n+}$  . Investigation of this contribution, and in particular of the corrections to the adiabatic approximation, is the main subject of this work.

The JT model relevant for  $C_{60}^{n+}$  is conventionally indicated as  $h^n$ G + H), where h refers to the vefold-degenerate highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO), and A, G, H refer to the 2 nondegenerate A, 6 fourfolddegenerate G  $_{\rm q}$  and 8 vefold-degenerate H  $_{\rm q}$  m olecular vibration m odes that are linearly coupled to the  $h_u$  states according to icosahedral sym m etry [1, 3, 4]. We investigate this model by treating the normal coordinates for these vibrational modes as classical variables, and searching the minima of the adiabatic potential energy surface in the 66-fold dimensional space of these distortions. Each of these static JT con qurations is characterized by a reduced symmetry from icosahedral to some (usually) lower symmetry. New vibrational frequencies arise at these localm in in a: we determ in e these frequencies by evaluation of the Hessian matrix at the minimum [5]. The lowering of the vibrational frequencies gives the leading quantum correction to the adiabatic approximation. The original icosahedral symmetry of the problem is restored once the presence of several equivalent optimal distortions is recognized, and quantum tunneling between these wells is allowed. Proper accounting of tunneling gives the next-order quantum correction, but in the present work, we lim it ourselves to the study of the local properties of the wells and the connectivity of the sets of minima in distortion space, for all values of charge n and spin S.

The competing intra-molecular exchange of Coulomb origin and the JT interaction both contribute to the computed spectrum of excitations. Dierences in energies of the fully relaxed congurations at dierent spin compare directly

with spin gaps as could be measured in \slow" spectroscopies such as electron or nuclear magnetic resonance. In contrast, the electronic excitation energies com puted keeping the molecular geometry xed in the lowest minimum compare directly with the vertical excitations probed by fast optical spectroscopies. Both these class of quantities are reported in this work.

This paper is organized as follows: Sec. 2 introduces the model and the parameters used in this calculation, which is then described in Sec. 3, along with the properties of the JT minima for all values n and S; Sect. 4 contains the vertical excitation spectra. The the zero-point non-adiabatic corrections are described in Sec. 5. The results are discussed in Sec. 6, and connectivity m atrices are collected in an Appendix.

#### 2 The model Hamiltonian

W e report here for com pleteness the m odel H am iltonian previously introduced in Ref. [1] to describe the physics of the holes in the  $h_u$  HOMO of C  $_{60}$  fullerene:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{0} + \hat{H}_{vib} + \hat{H}_{ev} + \hat{H}_{ee}$$
 (1)

w here

$$\hat{H}_0 = X_m \hat{c}_m \hat{c}_m$$
 (2)

$$\hat{H}_{0} = \sum_{m}^{X} \hat{C}_{m}^{y} \hat{C}_{m}$$

$$\hat{H}_{vib} = \sum_{i}^{X} \frac{h!_{i}}{2} (\hat{P}_{i}^{2} + \hat{Q}_{i}^{2})$$
(3)

$$\hat{H}_{ev} = \begin{array}{ccc} X & \frac{k & g_i^r & h!_i}{2} & X \\ & & & \end{array} \quad C_{mm}^r \circ \hat{Q}_i \quad \hat{C}_m^v & \hat{C}_m \circ \end{array} \tag{4}$$

$$\hat{H}_{ee} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{x} \frac{X}{x} w ; o (m; m^{0}; n; n^{0}) \hat{C}_{m}^{Y} \hat{C}_{o_{m}} \circ \hat{C}_{o_{n}} \circ \hat{C}_{n} :$$
 (5)

are respectively the single-particle Hamiltonian, the vibron contribution (representing the phonon kinetic energy plus the restoring potential expanded to quadratic order around the equilibrium con guration of neutral  $C_{60}$ ), the electron-vibron coupling (in the linear JT approximation) [4, 6], and nally the mutual Coulom b repulsion between the electrons. The  $\hat{c}^{V}_{m}$  denote the creation operators of a hole in the HOMO, described by the single-particle wave function  $'_{m}$  (r). indicates the spin projection; m and n label the component within the vefold degenerate electronic HOMO multiplet, based on the  $C_5$  quantum number m from the  $I_h$   $D_5$   $C_5$  group chain [4,7]. i counts the phonon modes of symmetry (2 A  $_{\rm g}$ , 6 G  $_{\rm g}$  and 8 H  $_{\rm g}$  modes).  $C_{\rm m\,n}^{\rm r}$  are

| h! i           | h! i                  | дi    | i    | E <sub>s</sub> (D <sub>5</sub> ) | E <sub>s</sub> (D <sub>3</sub> ) |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------|------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| $$ am $^{1}$   | ${\tt m} \; {\tt eV}$ |       | deg  | m eV                             | m eV                             |
| Ag             |                       |       |      |                                  |                                  |
| 500            | 62.0                  | 0.059 | _    | 0.0                              | 0.0                              |
| 1511           | 187 <b>.</b> 4        | 0.274 | _    | 1.8                              | 1.8                              |
| G <sub>g</sub> |                       |       |      |                                  |                                  |
| 483            | 59.9                  | 0.757 | _    | 0.0                              | 1.9                              |
| 567            | 70.3                  | 0.102 | _    | 0.0                              | 0.0                              |
| 772            | 95 <b>.</b> 7         | 0.800 | _    | 0.0                              | 3 <b>.</b> 4                     |
| 1111           | 137.8                 | 0.624 | _    | 0.0                              | 3.0                              |
| 1322           | 163.9                 | 0.228 | _    | 0.0                              | 0.5                              |
| 1519           | 188.4                 | 0.467 | _    | 0.0                              | 2.3                              |
| Н <sub>g</sub> |                       |       |      |                                  |                                  |
| 261            | 32 <b>.</b> 4         | 3.042 | 0:1  | 30.0                             | 0.0                              |
| 429            | 53.2                  | 1.223 | 30.1 | 6.0                              | 1.1                              |
| 718            | 89.0                  | 0.995 | 89.4 | 0.0                              | 4.9                              |
| 785            | 97.3                  | 0.784 | 2:3  | 6.0                              | 0.0                              |
| 1119           | 138.7                 | 0.221 | 76.6 | 0.0                              | 0.4                              |
| 1275           | 158.0                 | 0.519 | 28.0 | 3.3                              | 0.5                              |
| 1456           | 180.5                 | 0.962 | 28.1 | 13.0                             | 2.1                              |
| 1588           | 196.9                 | 0.869 | 31:1 | 10.9                             | 2.2                              |

Table 1: C om puted m ode eigenfrequencies and e-v linear coupling param eters of the  $h_u$  HOMO in C  $_{60}$  [6]. The classical single-m ode JT stabilization energies E  $_{\rm S}$  are tabulated for both D  $_{\rm 5}$  and D  $_{\rm 3}$  distortions, for one hole in the HOMO .

C lebsch-G ordan coe cients [7] of the icosahedral group I  $_{\rm h}$ , for coupling two h $_{\rm u}$  states to phonons of sym m etry . r is a multiplicity label, relevant for modes of = H  $_{\rm g}$  sym m etry only [6, 7].  $\hat{Q}_{\rm i}$  are the molecular normal-mode vibration coordinates (measured from the adiabatic equilibrium con guration of C  $_{60}$ ), and  $\hat{P}_{\rm i}$  the corresponding conjugate momenta. Spin-orbit is exceedingly small in C  $_{60}$  [8] and it is therefore neglected.

The electron-vibron (e-v) couplings  $g_i^r$  are conveniently expressed in units of the corresponding harmonic vibron quantum of energy  $h!_i$ . In this calculation we adopt the numerical values of the e-v coupling parameters, listed in Table 1, from the Density Functional (DF) calculation of Ref. [6], and a second calculation [9] yields couplings in substantial accord with those of Table 1. The numerical factors  $k^{A_g}=5^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $k^{G_g}=\frac{5}{4}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $k^{H_g}=1$  in  $\hat{H}_{ev}$  have been introduced for compatibility with the normalization of Ref. [6].

| Param eter     | am eter Value |    |
|----------------|---------------|----|
|                | [m eV ]       |    |
| F <sub>1</sub> | 15646         | 9  |
| $F_2$          | 105           | 10 |
| F <sub>3</sub> | 155           | 4  |
| ${ m F}_4$     | 47            | 5  |
| $F_5$          | 0             | 3  |
| U              | 3097          | 1  |
|                |               |    |

Table 2: The Coulomb parameters for  $C_{60}^{n+}$ , as obtained from the DF calculations of Ref. [1]. One of the tabulated parameters (e.g.  $F_1$ ) is a linear combination of the ve others.

The Coulomb matrix elements are de ned by:

$$w ; \circ (m ; m^{0}; n; n^{0}) = d^{3}r d^{3}r^{0} '_{m} (r) '_{m^{0}} \circ (r^{0}) u ; \circ (r; r^{0}) '_{n} (r) '_{n^{0}} \circ (r^{0}) (6)$$

where u, o  $(r; r^0)$  is an elective C oulomb repulsion, screened by the other electrons of the molecule. Detailed symmetry analysis shows [1] that, assuming spin-independence of the orbitals, this set of coexients can be expressed as

$$w ; \circ (m ; m^{0}; n; n^{0}) = X F^{r;r^{0}}; X C_{m n}^{r} C_{m^{0}n^{0}}^{r^{0}}$$
(7)

in term s of a m in im alset of independent parameters  $F^{r,r^0}$ ; . A DF calculation of these parameters was carried out in Ref. [1], and for our calculation we adopt those values of the Coulomb parameters, which we report for completeness in Table 2. For the Coulomb parameters we use the shorthands

$$F_1 = F^{A_g}; F_2 = F^{G_g}; F_3 = F^{1;1;H_g}; F_4 = F^{2;2;H_g}; F_5 = F^{1;2;H_g};$$
 (8)

and the combination

$$U = \frac{F_1}{5} \frac{4F_2}{45} \frac{F_3}{9} \frac{F_4}{9} : \tag{9}$$

U de nes an average Coulomb repulsion within the n-holes multiplets, so that

$$E^{\text{ave}}(n) = Tr_n (\hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_{ee}) = n + U \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$
: (10)

It should be noted that U di ers from the usual de nition of the Hubbard U, involving the lowest multiplet in each n-con guration:  $U^{m \, in} = E^{m \, in} \, (n+1) + E^{m \, in} \, (n-1)$   $2E^{m \, in} \, (n)$ . This second de nition is inconvenient here, since it depends wildly on n.

| n | S   | adiabatic        | vibrational | electronic       |
|---|-----|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| 2 | 0   | -129             | 270         | -399             |
|   | 1   | -142             | 99          | -241             |
| 3 | 1/2 | -168             | 267         | -435             |
|   | 3/2 | <del>-</del> 222 | 99          | -320             |
| 4 | 0   | -200             | 361         | -561             |
|   | 1   | -211             | 229         | -440             |
|   | 2   | -308             | 69          | <del>-</del> 377 |
| 5 | 1/2 | -203             | 308         | -511             |
|   | 3/2 | <del>-</del> 256 | 169         | -425             |
|   | 5/2 | -397             | 0           | -397             |

Table 3: The total adiabatic energy V  $^{ad}$  (Q  $_{m\ in}$ ) (in m eV) of the lowest electronic state for each n and S, including the e-e and e-v contributions from  $\hat{H_{vib}}+\hat{H_{e\ v}}+\hat{H_{e\ e}}$  (but excluding the [U n (n 1)=2] term ), for C  $_{60}^{n+}$ . The last two columns distinguish the vibrational (H $_{vib}$ ) and electronic (H $_{e\ v}+\hat{H_{e\ e}}$ ) contributions.

#### 3 The adiabatic calculations

We approximate the vibron operators  $\hat{Q}_i$  with classical coordinates, in the spirit of the adiabatic approximation. In an orbitally degenerate situation (as for the  $C_{60}^{n+}$  ions at hand) the adiabatic approximation usually yields fairly accurate energetics in the limit of large the e-v couplings, so that tunneling between equivalent minima can safely be neglected [10]. The phonon kinetic term in (3) is neglected in the adiabatic approximation. In Sect. 5 we will partly restore this term by taking into account quantum zero-point energies. In any classical statically JT-distorted conguration, the icosahedral symmetry is broken: therefore states of dierent icosahedral symmetry representations are intermixed. Only the total number of holes n, total spin S and its projection  $S_z$  are conserved upon distortion. Here, we neglect any change of the C oulom b H am iltonian upon distortion, and we assume therefore that  $\hat{H}_{e,e}$  is still determined according to Eqs. (5,6,7) by the same parameters  $F_i$  of Table 2, as in icosahedral symmetry. Also, we assume no change of the phonon frequencies! and couplings  $g_i^r$  upon charging.

For each n, S and M  $_{\rm S}$ , we allow the 64 (6  $\,$  4 G  $_{\rm g}$  plus 8  $\,$  5 H  $_{\rm g}$ ) phonon coordinates to relax, and determ ine the optim all distortion, by full m in imization

of the lowest adiabatic potential sheet V  $^{\rm ad}$  (Q ) in the space of all the phonons coordinates Q . W e leave the A  $_{\rm g}$  m odes out, since they contribute a trivial

$$E^{A_g}(n) = \frac{1}{8}n^2 \int_{i}^{x} g_{iA_g}^2 h!_{iA_g} = n^2 1:79 \text{ m eV};$$
 (11)

spin- and sym m etry-independent term to the energetics. Because of particle-hole sym m etry, charges n > 5 can always be reduced to the computed charges n < 5. In Table 3, we report the resulting optimally-distorted energy in each spin sector, based on the electron-electron (e-e) and e-v couplings of  $C_{60}^{n+}$  ions, as previously published in Ref. [1]. The main outcome of the adiabatic calculation is that positive  $C_{60}$  ions favor high-spin ground states (contrary to the analogous noting for negative ions).

In the present contribution, we extend the previous calculation to obtain the complete set of all the equivalent m inim a for each (n;S) sector. To this purpose, we generate about a hundred random by distributed distortions away from the  $I_h$  high-symmetry point, and let the molecule relax to the closest m inim  $I_h$  mum, by combined standard (simplex and conjugate-gradients) m inimization algorithms. We then apply the symmetry operations of the icosahedral group to the each of the minima found, in order to locate any possibly missing minimum. Although the method employed is not deterministic, the symmetry analysis makes the probability that any set of minima is incomplete utterly negligible. Thus, for each n and S we obtain a complete set of equivalent global minima. In the few cases where the minimization leads to non-global minima, we have discarded them based on simple comparison of the adiabatic energies.

In Table 4 we sum marize some global properties of the obtained JT minima for all charge and spin states. In these multimode JT systems, the local symmetry of an optimal distortion is described in terms of the subgroup  $G_{local}$  of symmetry operations which leave that minimum invariant. We remind that the minima in the n=1  $S=\frac{1}{2}$  case, where e-e interaction is unimportant, were found to be 6, of local  $D_{5d}$  symmetry [3,4,6]. For 2-n-8, where the role of e-e interaction is crucial, the number of JT minima follows from the local symmetry: it is generally given by the ratio  $J_h = J_{Cal} = J_{Cal}$  of the orders of the icosahedral group (120) and of the invariant subgroup.

Special care has to be taken for n=5 holes. Here, in addition to the icosahedral symmetry, the system is particle-hole symmetric, i.e. invariant under exchange of ferm ion creation and annihilation operators. This transformation leaves the Coulomb Ham iltonian  $\hat{H_e}_e$  invariant, while the the vibron interaction  $\hat{H_e}_v$  is unchanged provided that a sign change of the vibron coordinates  $\hat{Q_i}$ ; is also performed. Hence, for a given minimum  $Q_{min}$ , also its opposite

| n | S   | num ber of | local                     | num ber of  | distortion    |
|---|-----|------------|---------------------------|---|---------------|
|   |     | m in im a  | sym m etry                | 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> neighbors | D m in j      |
| 2 | 0   | 6          | D <sub>5d</sub>           | 5   | 3.12          |
|   | 1   | 15         | D <sub>2h</sub>           | 4 4 4 2   | 1 <b>.</b> 87 |
| 3 | 1/2 | 30         | C <sub>2v</sub>           | 21244222442   | 80.8          |
|   | 3/2 | 15         | D <sub>2h</sub>           | 4 4 4 2   | 1.87          |
| 4 | 0   | 10         | D <sub>3d</sub>           | 3 6   | 3.52          |
|   | 1   | 30         | C <sub>2v</sub>           | 222144462   | 2.85          |
|   | 2   | 6          | D <sub>5d</sub>           | 5   | 1.58          |
| 5 | 1/2 | 60         | C <sub>2v</sub>           | 12244422242 242 242211  | 3.27          |
|   | 3/2 | 30         | C <sub>2v</sub>           | 8 12 8 1  | 2.46          |
|   | 5/2 | 1          | ${\mathtt I}_{\mathtt h}$ | 0   | 0             |

Table 4: The number and the local symmetries of the JT minima for given charge n and spin S. In the 5th column the number of neighbors of all orders are listed for a given minimum. The last column gives the total amount of dimensionless JT distortion at each minimum.

 $Q_{\,\mathrm{m\,in}}$  is a m inim um of the potential energy surface. In the case n=5 S  $=\frac{1}{2}$ , this leads to a doubling of the m inim a: the local  $C_{\,2v}$  sym m etry would lead to 30 m inim a, but 30 m ore equivalent m inim a are added in the opposite positions by particle-hole sym m etry. For n=5 S  $=\frac{3}{2}$  instead, the number of m inim a rem ains 30, since for each m inim um there is one of the  $I_h$  sym m etry operations that transforms this m inim um into its opposite point. Note that this operation is not the spatial inversion (since all vibrations considered here form even representations), which are invariant under inversion, but a twofold rotation. Finally, for n=5 S  $=\frac{5}{2}$ , the electronic state is orbitally nondegenerate, thus no JT distortion takes place.

Table 5 collects some quantitative information about the contribution of each mode to the amount of JT distortion at each minimum. As expected, the largest distortion involves always the lowest H  $_{\rm g}$  mode, which is the most strongly coupled one (see Table 1). Also, the D  $_{\rm 5}$  distortions receive no contribution of the G  $_{\rm g}$  modes, which contribute to all the lower-symmetry minima instead.

Table 4 contains some information about the connectivity of the minima in Q space. In some cases, the speci cation of the number of rst, second, etc.

| n | S   | Sym m etry                | distortions of G $_{\rm g}$ and H $_{\rm g}$ m odes (dim ensionless) |
|---|-----|---------------------------|--|
| 2 | 0   | D <sub>5d</sub>           | 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0  |
|   |     |                           | 2.69 0.935 0.0095 0.692 0.0455 0.405 0.749 0.657                     |
| 2 | 1   | D <sub>2h</sub>           | 0.0755 0.0102 0.0799 0.0623 0.0227 0.0466                            |
|   |     |                           | 1.58 0.611 0.138 0.404 0.0516 0.262 0.486 0.346                      |
| 3 | 1/2 | C <sub>2v</sub>           | 0.0548 0.0074 0.0580 0.0452 0.0165 0.0339                            |
|   |     |                           | 2.62 1.01 0.185 0.669 0.0799 0.433 0.801 0.571                       |
| 3 | 3/2 | D <sub>2h</sub>           | 0.0755 0.0102 0.0799 0.0623 0.0227 0.0466                            |
|   |     |                           | 1.58 0.611 0.138 0.404 0.0516 0.262 0.486 0.346                      |
| 4 | 0   | D <sub>3d</sub>           | 0.0828 0.0112 0.0877 0.0683 0.0249 0.0512                            |
|   |     |                           | 2.88 1.30 0.494 0.728 0.153 0.553 1.02 0.486                         |
| 4 | 1   | C <sub>2v</sub>           | 0.074 0.010 0.0782 0.061 0.0223 0.0457                               |
|   |     |                           | 2.39 0.968 0.228 0.609 0.0879 0.414 0.767 0.486                      |
| 4 | 2   | D <sub>5d</sub>           | 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0  |
|   |     |                           | 1 36 0 473 0 0046 0 35 0 023 0 205 0 379 0 333                       |
| 5 | 1/2 | C <sub>2v</sub>           | 0.101 0.0135 0.106 0.0827 0.0302 0.0619                              |
|   |     |                           | 2.70 1.17 0.401 0.683 0.129 0.50 0.926 0.492                         |
| 5 | 3/2 | C <sub>2v</sub>           | 0.0384 0.0053 0.0411 0.032 0.0117 0.024                              |
|   |     |                           | 2.12 0.756 0.0391 0.544 0.0427 0.327 0.605 0.503                     |
| 5 | 5/2 | $\mathtt{I}_{\mathtt{h}}$ | 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0  |
|   |     |                           | 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0                                   |

Table 5: The JT distortion at the m inim a, for each m ode and value of the charge n and spin S. The distortions  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_i$  j are given in units of the length scale  $x_0$  (!) =  $h=(!\ m_C)$  associated to each harmonic oscillator ( $m_C$  is the mass of the C atom ). The  $x_0$  (! $_i$ ) for the  $G_g$  and  $H_g$  m odes of  $C_{60}$  are: 76.3, 70.4, 60.3, 50.3, 46.1, 43.0; 103.7, 80.9, 62.6, 59.8, 50.1, 47.0, 43.9, 42.1 pm, respectively.

neighbors of a given m in im um is su cient to clarify completely the topology of the m in im a in the 64-dim ensional space. In particular, the D  $_{5d}$  wells of both the n = 2 S = 0 and the n = 4 S = 2 surfaces are located on the six vertices of the ve-dim ensional regular simplex, the generalization of a tetrahedron, each m in im um being equally distant from all the others: this is analogous to the previously determined minima of V  $^{ad}$  for n = 1 S =  $\frac{1}{2}$  [4]. In analogy, the connectivity of the 10 D  $_{3d}$  minima for n = 4 S = 0 is the same of the one depicted in Fig. 1b of Ref. [4].

For the other cases of lower symmetry, the number of neighbors of any given orderm ust be complemented by some extra connectivity information. First, we observe that the m in in a for n = 2 S = 1 and for n = 3 S =  $\frac{3}{2}$  are exactly the sam e. Indeed, these two case are related by a particle-hole sym m etry applied only to one spin avor. For all nonequivalent cases, the complete topological information about the wells is contained in the connectivity matrix C (n;S), whose matrix elements indicate that minimai and jare C (n; S); th neighbors. We report those matrices in the Appendix. Careful exam of C(n;S) for n=2S = 1 and n = 3  $S = \frac{3}{2}$  shows that each of the 15 m in im a is linked to four nearest neighbor m in im a, which, in turn, are linked to more m in im a, form ing a completely connected regular polytope. The matrices C  $3;\frac{1}{2}$  and C (4;1), show that, for n = 3 S =  $\frac{1}{2}$  and n = 4 S = 1, the 30 m in in a are divided into 6 pentagonal \clusters" of ve nearest-neighboring minima. In contrast, for  $n = 5 S = \frac{1}{2}$ , nearest-neighbor wells com e in pairs. Finally, the 30 m in im a for  $n = 5 S = \frac{3}{2}$ , show the largest connectivity, and sit at the vertices of a highly sym m etric polytope.

# 4 Vertical excitation energies

In Table 6 we report the range of \vertical" excitation energies E for all nal spin symmetries  $S^0$ , in the frozen minimum con gurations  $Q_{min}$  (n;S), for all values of n and S. The complete spectrum (available upon request from the authors) is very dense and not much informative. The listed energies give a quantitative prevision of the spectral range where a fast (optical) spectroscopy is likely to locate the intra-band HOMO excitations of the  $C_{60}^{n+}$  ions. For the experimentally most accessible case n=2 S=1, here follows the complete list of the triplet-triplet excitation energies: 127, 149, 150, 178, 182, 218, 326, 337, and 346 meV.

| m in im um |     | exc. states    | E <sup>m in</sup> | E max   |
|------------|-----|----------------|-------------------|---------|
| n          | S   | S <sup>0</sup> | [m eV ]           | [m eV ] |
| 2          | 0   | 0              | 221               | 823     |
|            |     | 1              | 140               | 507     |
|            | 1   | 0              | 75                | 635     |
|            |     | 1              | 127               | 346     |
| 3          | 1/2 | 1/2            | 132               | 918     |
|            |     | 3/2            | 99                | 619     |
|            | 3/2 | 1/2            | 125               | 784     |
|            |     | 3/2            | 127               | 346     |
| 4          | 0   | 0              | 192               | 1464    |
|            |     | 1              | 102               | 1141    |
|            |     | 2              | 165               | 509     |
|            | 1   | 0              | 82                | 1232    |
|            |     | 1              | 120               | 881     |
|            |     | 2              | 54                | 408     |
| 4          | 2   | 0              | 234               | 981     |
|            |     | 1              | 163               | 647     |
|            |     | 2              | 167               | 179     |
| 5          | 1/2 | 1/2            | 128               | 1320    |
|            |     | 3/2            | 74                | 805     |
|            |     | 5/2            | 114               | _       |
| 3/2        |     | 1/2            | 120               | 997     |
|            |     | 3/2            | 143               | 693     |
|            |     | 5/2            | 28                | _       |
|            | 5/2 | 1/2            | 316               | 731     |
|            |     | 3/2            | 203               | 377     |
|            |     | 5/2            | _                 | _       |

Table 6: The lowest and highest vertical excitation energies (in meV) calculated assuming that the C  $_{60}^{\rm n+}$  ion remains frozen in one of the adiabatic minima when the electronic state is excited. The rst two columns x the relevant distortion. The third column indicates the spin S  $^{\rm 0}$  of the excited states considered. The excitation energies in the last two columns are referred to the adiabatic energy of each speci c minimum, reported in Table 3.

#### 5 Non-adiabatic corrections

The leading quantum correction to the static JT energetics is given by the zero-point energy gain due to the softening of the vibrational frequency at the JT-distorted m in im a [5]. To obtain this information, by nite dierences we compute the Hessian matrix of the second-order derivatives of the lowest adiabatic potential sheet, at one of the static JT m in im a  $Q_{min}$ 

$$H_{fi gfi^{0} \circ g} = \frac{e^{2}V^{ad}(Q)}{eQ_{i} eQ_{i^{0}} \circ g}$$

$$Q_{min}$$
(12)

The vibrational frequencies  $\ddots_j$  at the distorted point are the eigenvalues of the H essian m atrix. There is no square root involved in the  $\ddots_j$ , as the coordinates are scaled with the harm onic length scale  $x_0$  (!) de ned in Table 5. In the harm onic approximation, these \new " normalmode frequencies  $\ddots_j$  contribute a zero-point energy  $\ddots_j \frac{1}{2} h \ddots_j$  to that minimum configuration: the difference between this and the original zero-point energy  $\ddots_j \frac{1}{2} h \dots_j$  gives the leading quantum correction

to the classical energy. We rewrite this correction as

$$E_{zero}(n;S) = \frac{1}{2} {X \atop H_{fi gfi g}(n;S) h!_{i}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} {4 r^{2} V^{ad}(Q)}_{Q_{min} i} {X \atop h!_{i} 5;}$$
(14)

using the invariance of the trace under change of basis.

Table 7 displays the lowest adiabatic energies for given charge and spin in various approximations. The rst column reports the adiabatic energy,  $E_{class} = V^{ad}$  ( $Q_{min}$ ), as in Table 3. The zero-point energy corrections in the following column are comparable in magnitude to the leading adiabatic energies. In particular the very large values of zero-point energy gain for n=5 S =  $\frac{1}{2}$  is associated to very shallow minima, connected by low barriers. The lowest vibrational frequency is as smallas  $\frac{1}{2}$  2.3 meV. In the rather close competition between the Coulomb physics (Hund's rules) and the JT physics (anti-Hund behavior) the zero-point correction is very in portant, and, as shown by the last column of Table 7, reduces drastically the spin-gap (n=3), or even changes the ground-state symmetry in favor of an intermediate (n=4) or low (n=5) spin state.

| n | S   | E class          | E zero           | E <sub>class</sub> + E <sub>zero</sub> |
|---|-----|------------------|------------------|--|
| 2 | 0   | -129             | <del>-</del> 125 | -254                                   |
|   | 1   | -142             | <del>-</del> 159 | -301                                   |
| 3 | 1/2 | -168             | -207             | -376                                   |
|   | 3/2 | <del>-</del> 222 | <del>-</del> 159 | -380                                   |
| 4 | 0   | -200             | -213             | -412                                   |
|   | 1   | -211             | <del>-</del> 227 | <del>-4</del> 37                       |
|   | 2   | -308             | <del>-9</del> 3  | <del>-4</del> 00                       |
| 5 | 1/2 | -203             | -247             | -449                                   |
|   | 3/2 | -256             | <del>-</del> 175 | -431                                   |
|   | 5/2 | <del>-</del> 397 | 0                | <del>-</del> 397                       |

Table 7: The total adiabatic energy E  $_{class} = V^{ad}$  (Q  $_{m \ in}$ ) (in m eV) of the lowest electronic state for each n and S , including the e-v and e-e contributions from  $\hat{H}_{vib} + \hat{H}_{e \ v} + \hat{H}_{e \ e}$  (but excluding the [U n (n 1)=2] term), for  $C_{60}^{n+}$ . The following column contains the leading non-adiabatic correction E  $_{zero}$ , the zero-point energy denned in Eq. (14). The last column reports the adiabatic energy E  $_{class}$  corrected by the zero-point term E  $_{zero}$ : for n 4 it leads to a dierent ordering of the spin states.

The zero-point correction treated here represents the  $g_i^0$  term of a large-coupling expansion, where the adiabatic energy  $E_{\rm class}$  is the leading  $(g_i^2)$  term. The next corrections to be considered, of order  $g_i^{\ 2}$ , are associated to tunneling among m inim a, possibly a ected by Berry phases [4, 11, 12]. Tunneling is likely to be especially important between the pairs of neighboring m inim a of the n = 5 S =  $\frac{1}{2}$  adiabatic surface. Tunneling will be dealt with in future work.

## 6 Discussion and Conclusions

In the present calculation both e-e and e-v interactions are included for the  ${\rm H\,O\,M\,O}$  shell of  ${\rm C\,_{60}}$ . E-e exchange terms are treated essentially exactly, in the assumptions that (i) inter-band couplings can be neglected, and only act as a renormalization of the Coulomb parameters and that (ii) the latter are independent of the charge n in the  ${\rm H\,O\,M\,O}$ . In principle, due to both orbital and geometrical relaxation, the elective Coulomb interaction (6) will depend

on the instantaneous charge state of the fullerene ion. However, this e ect, a very important one in single-atom calculations, is expected to be fairly small in such a large molecule as C  $_{60}$  . In a JT system , the coupled phonons should in principle be treated fully quantum mechanically, as nonadiabatic e ects m ay be important. However for strong electron-phonon coupling, the leading terms (of order g<sup>2</sup>) are obtained in the adiabatic approximation, by studying the m in im a of the lowest adiabatic potential surface V ad (Q). Non-adiabatic e ects are taken into account to the next order (q0) by the calculation of the new harm onic oscillation frequencies close to the adiabatic JT m inim a. These zero-point corrections are signi cantly large, and they can even reverse the theoretical prevision for the sym m etry of the ground state of the  $C_6^{n+}$  ion for 6. Tunneling matrix elements which mix dierent minima to suitable dynam ical com binations restore the original icosahedral sym metry and provide the next-order (g 2) quantum correction to the energetics. These terms, which will be the subject of future work, are likely to be especially large for n = 5 $S = \frac{1}{2}$ .

The present calculation was carried out in the linear e-v approximation. As the coupling and thus the distortions are fairly large, quadratic and higher-order (in Q) couplings and vibrations anham onicity could be important. Unfortunately, no estimate for those higher-order couplings is available yet.

The parameters used in this calculation, both for e-e and e-v interaction are most likely underestim ated by the local density approximation used in their determination, as discussed in Ref. [1, 6]. Consequently, both the Coulomb repulsion and the phonon-mediated attraction calculated within the local density approximation are likely to need a rescaling by a similar factor of order two. Indeed, the balance between the two opposing interactions is delicate in  $C_{60}^{n}$  ions (as demonstrated by the presence of both high-spin and low-spin local ground states in dierent chemical environments [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]). In  $C_{60}^{n+}$  e-e interaction prevails at the adiabatic level: high-spin states are favored, as experiments con m for n=2 [2]. According to our calculation, however, more highly charged states, close to HOMO half lling should favor local low-spin.

An elective local exchange interaction favoring low spin is a crucial ingredient for superconductivity in a strongly-correlated orbitally-degenerate material such as a solid of doped  $C_{60}$  [20, 21]. If screening and retardation elects could be neglected, the present single-molecule calculation suggests that superconductivity should be strongly suppressed in the hole-doped solid at doping 0 n 3, but could be recovered close to half lling 4 < n < 6. It is presently unclear if such a high level of hole doping is practically accessible, except possibly by eld-induced charging [22].

## A Appendix

We report here the connectivity matrices whose matrix element (ij) indicates that m in imai and jare C  $(n;S)_{ij}$ th neighbors. for all the nontrivial n, S cases. We include up to 9th neighbors, substituting those of higher order with a dash.

The 60 m in im a for n=5 S =  $\frac{1}{2}$  are conveniently split into two sets of 30 m in im a connected by the  $I_h$  operations. The m in im a in the \b" block are one by one ordinately opposite to those in the \a" block. A coordingly, the structure of the C-m atrix is as follows:

$$C \quad 5; \frac{1}{2} = {}^{2} {}^{C} \quad 5; \frac{1}{2} \quad {}^{a} \quad C \quad 5; \frac{1}{2} \quad {}^{b} \quad 3$$

$$C \quad 5; \frac{1}{2} \quad {}^{b} \quad C \quad 5; \frac{1}{2} \quad {}^{a} \quad 5 \quad ; \qquad (18)$$

where

Finally,

## A cknow ledgm ents

We are indebted to M.W. ierzbowska, G. Santoro, E. Tosatti for useful discussions. This work was supported by the European Union, contract ERBFM-RXCT970155 (TMRFULPROP), covering in particular the postdoctoral work of M. Luders, and by MURST COFIN 01.

### References

- [1] M. Luders, A. Bordoni, N. Manini, A. Dal Corso, M. Fabrizio, and E. Tosatti, Philos. Mag. B 82, 1611 (2002).
- [2] A.M. Panich, P.K. Ummat, and W.R. Datars, Solid State Commun. 121, 367 (2002).
- [3] A. Ceulem ans, and P. W. Fowler, J. Chem. Phys. 93, 1221 (1990).
- [4] N.Manini and P.De Los Rios, Phys. Rev. B 62, 29 (2000).
- [5] N.Maniniand E. Tosatti, Phys. Rev. B 58, 782 (1998).

- [6] N.Manini, A.DalCorso, M.Fabrizio, and E.Tosatti, Philos. Mag. B81, 793 (2001).
- [7] P.H.Butler, Point Group Symmetry Applications (Plenum, New York, 1981).
- [8] E. Tosatti, N. Manini, and O. Gunnarsson, Phys. Rev. B 54, 17184 (1996).
- [9] M . Saito, Phys. Rev. B 65, 220508 (2002).
- [10] R. Englman, The Jahn Teller E ect in Molecules and Crystals (Wiley, London, 1972).
- [11] A. Auerbach, N. Manini, and E. Tosatti, Phys. Rev. B 49, 12998 (1994).
- [12] N.M anini and P.DeLos Rios, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 10, 8485 (1998).
- [13] V. Brouet, H. Albul, T. N. Le, S. Garaj, and L. Forro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4680 (2001).
- [14] R.F.Kie, T.L.Duty, J.W. Schneider, A.MacFarlane, K.Chow, J. W. Elzey, P.Mendels, G.D.Morris, J.H.Brewer, E.J.Ansaldo, C. Niedermayer, D.R.Noakes, C.E.Stronach, B.Hitti, and J.E.Fischer, Phys.Rev.Lett. 69, 2005 (1992).
- [15] G. Zimmer, M. Mehring, C. Goze, and F. Rachdi, in Physics and Chemistry of Fullerenes and Derivatives, edited by H. Kuzmany, J. Fink, M. Mehring, and S. Roth (World Scientic, Singapore, 1995), p. 452.
- [16] I. Lukyanchuk, N. Kirova, F. Rachdi, C. Goze, P. Molinie, and M. Mehring, Phys. Rev. B 51, 3978 (1995).
- [17] K. Prassides, S. Margadonna, D. Arcon, A. Lappas, H. Shimoda, and Y. Iwasa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 11227 (1999).
- [18] A. Schilder, H. Klos, I. Rystau, W. Schutz, and B. Gotschy Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 1299 (1994).
- [19] D.P.A rovas and A.Auerbach, Phys. Rev. B 52, 10114 (1995).
- [20] M. Capone, M. Fabrizio, and E. Tosatti, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5361 (2001).
- [21] M. Capone, M. Fabrizio, C. Castellani, and E. Tosatti, Science 296, 2364 (2002).
- [22] J.H. Schon, Ch. Kloc, R.C. Haddon, and B. Batlogg, Science 288, 656 (2000).