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#### Abstract

$U$ sing the results of the recently studied problem of adsonption of a $G$ aussian polym er in a weak periodic surface potential we study the in uence of a single rod like defect on the polym er being localized in the periodic surface potential. W e have found that the polym er will be localized at the defect under condition $u>u_{c}$, where $u_{c}$ is the localization threshold in the periodic potential, for any in nitesim al strength of the interaction with defect. $W$ e predict that the concentration of $m$ onom ers of the localized polym er decays exponentially as a function of the distance to the defect and is $m$ odulated $w$ ith the period of the surface potential.


PAC S num bers: $36.20 .-\mathrm{r}, 82.35 \mathrm{G}, 73.20 .-\mathrm{r}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

Adsorption of polym ers at surfaces and interfaces is of large interest in di erent topics of science and technology and has been investigated extensively in recent
 heterogeneities, which is ofw ide interest for di erent applications such as pattem recognition, technological and
 R ecently, we have considered the problem of adsorption of a G_aussian polym er in a weak periodic surface potential [1] $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$. W e present here the details of these calculations and apply the m ethod we used in [15] to study the localization of a G aussian polym er in the periodic surface potential disturbed by a single defect.

The problem of the behaviour of a G aussian polym er in an extemal potential is equivalent to the problem of the behaviour of a quantum m echanicalparticle in an extemal potential $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ \hline\end{array}, \underline{2}\right]$. A ccording to this $m$ apping our results for polym er adsonption are valid for localization ofa quantum $m$ echanicalparticle in the periodic extemal potential. In the case ofpolym er the periodic surface potentialcan be realized by the surface of a $m$ icrophase separated diblock copolym er $m$ elt (see for exam ple $[1 \underline{1}, 1,1]$ and references therein), while in the quantum $m$ echanical counterpart of the problem in context of sem iconductor superlattices [18-1 (and references therein).

The paper is organized as follow. A fter brief introduction to the m odel and the $G$ reen's function $m$ ethod in Section 2, we consider in details the problem of adsorption of a polym er chain in a weak periodic surface potential. In Section 3 we study the e ect of a single defect on the polym erbeing localized in the periodic surface potential.

[^0]

F IG . 1: The periodic surface, which is m odelled by the potential in equation (1).
II. ADSORPTION OFA POLYMER IN THE PERIOD IC SURFACE POTENTIAL

T he periodic surface potential can be described by

$$
V(x ; y ; z)=u\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & \left.z_{0}\right)^{x^{3}}  \tag{1}\\
n=1
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & n a
\end{array}\right) ;\right.
$$

$w$ here $u, a, z_{0}$ are assum ed to be positive, and $(x)$ is the D irac's delta-fiunction. T he potentialm odels rods which are parallel to the $y$ axis $w$ ith the distance a along the $x$ axis betw een the next neighbors (see $F$ igure $\left.{ }_{1}^{\prime} I_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. T he size of the rods w , which is suppressed in $[1])$, is how ever a relevant quantity as it will be shown below. D ue to the im penetrability of the $w$ all at $z=0$ the distance of the potential well to the surface is chosen as $z_{0}$. A s it is well-know from $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics [ $\left[\underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ the deltafunction potential corresponds to the shallow potential well. The lim it to the hom ogeneously attracting surface can be obtained from (11) by a ! 0 and $u=a!$ const (see below ).

T he G reen's function of a polym er in an extemalpotential, which gives the relative num ber of conform ations of the chain $w$ ith the ends xed at $r$ and $r^{0}$, obeys the
follow ing equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ N} G\left(r ; N ; r^{0}\right) \quad D r^{2} G\left(x ; z ; N ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right)+\frac{V(r)}{k_{B} T} G=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith condition $G\left(r ; 0 ; r^{0}\right)=\left(r \quad r^{0}\right)$. Here $N$ is the polym erization degree of the ideal chain and $D=l^{2}=6$, where $l$ is the statistical segm ent length of the chain. T he equation (2) can be w ritten as an integral equation as follow s

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{G}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}} \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right) \text {; } \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{0}\left(x ; z ; N ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{4 D N} \exp \frac{\left(x x^{0}\right)^{2}}{4 D N} \\
& \quad \exp \frac{\left(z z^{0}\right)^{2}}{4 D N} \quad \exp \frac{\left(z+z^{0}\right)^{2}}{4 D N} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\mathrm{N} \quad 0$ and is zero for $\mathrm{N}<0$. Equation ( to the Schrodinger equation by using the replacem ents: $\mathrm{N}=$ it, $\mathrm{l}^{2}=3 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=1=\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{h}$. The bare G reen's function $G_{0}\left(x ; z ; N ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right)$ is the solution of the di usion equation i.e. equation $(\bar{Z})$ w th $V(r)=0$ in the half space, z $0, w$ th the $D$ irichlet boundary condition at $z=0$. $T$ he dependence on $y$ in equation ( $\left(\frac{1}{1}\right)$ is separated while the potential is independent of $y$. Inserting the potential ${ }_{(11)}^{1}$ ) into ( $\overline{1} 1 \overline{1}^{1}$ ) and carrying out the Laplace transform w ith respect to N we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(x ; z ; p ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right)=G_{0}\left(x ; z ; p ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{G}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{an} ; z_{0}\right) \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{an} ; \mathrm{z}_{0} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; z^{0}\right) ; \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{0}\left(x ; z ; p ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 D_{p}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\left(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{z} \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{D})}\right.  \tag{6}\\
& \mathrm{K}_{0}\left(\overline{\left(\mathrm{x} \mathrm{x}^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{z}^{0}\right)^{2}} \overline{\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{D})) ;}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

is the Laplace transform of ( $\overline{4} \mathbf{4}), K_{0}(\mathrm{x})$ is the modi ed B essel function of the second kind. H enceforth $u$ is given in units of $k_{B} T$. In the case of adsorption onto an interface only the rst term on the right-hand side of (i, $\overline{\text { i }}$ ) appears. Restricting the sum $m$ ation in ( $\bar{S}_{1}$ ') to only one term gives the problem of localization onto one rod. Ne glecting the $z$-dependence in ( $\bar{S}_{1}$ ") and using

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}\left(x ; p ; x^{0}\right)=1=\frac{p}{4 D p} \exp \left(\quad \dot{x} \quad x^{0} j^{p} \overline{p=D}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of $\left.{ }_{(\bar{G})}^{\mathbf{G}}\right)$ gives the $G$ reen's function form ulation of the well-known $K$ ronig $P$ enney $m$ odel $\left[{ }_{2} \bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. The $K$ ronig$P$ enney $m$ odel w as used in [21] to study the behaviour of a polym er in a striped potential.

W e now w ill solve equations ( $\left(\frac{5}{5} 1\right)$. Inserting $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{an}$, $\mathrm{n}=0,1$, ::: and $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}_{0}$ into ( $\mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{- 1}}^{-1}$ ) we obtain an in nite inhom ogeneous system ofequations for $G\left(a n ; z_{0} ; p ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(a n ; z_{0} ; p ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right) u^{u^{A}} G_{0}\left(a n ; z_{0} ; p ; a m ; z_{0}\right) \\
& G\left(a m ; z_{0} ; p ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right)=G_{0}\left(a n ; z_{0} ; p ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

The periodicity of the potential ( $[1.1)$ along the x axis, which entails the Bloch theorem for the wave function [ $\quad$ 2 2 in, perm its to solve the system ofequations ( $\overline{-1}$ ) by using the Fourier transform ation. A ssum ing that the system consists of $2 \mathrm{M}+1$ rods we consider the discrete Fourier transfipm for each rod-dependent quantity $F_{n}$ as follow $s$ $F_{n}={ }_{k} e^{i k a n} f_{k}$, where $k=\frac{2}{a} \frac{j}{(2 \mathrm{M}+1)},(j=M ;:: ; M)$ is the quasim om entum. Substituting $G\left(\underset{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{P}} ; \mathrm{z}_{0} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)=$ ${ }_{-k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ikan}} g_{k}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{0}\left(\mathrm{an} ; \mathrm{z}_{0} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ikan}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ into ( $\left.(\underline{l})^{\prime}\right)$ diagonalizes the latter (in the lim it of large M), so that we obtain the solution as


T he inverse Fourier transform of $(\underline{\overline{9}} \mathbf{-}$ ) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(a n ; z_{0} ; p ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2 M+1} X_{k} \frac{1}{1 u_{\#}(k ; p)} \\
& \quad X^{M} \quad e^{i k a(n m)} G_{0}\left(a m ; z_{0} ; p ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right) ; \\
& \quad m=M \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have introduced the function

$$
\begin{align*}
& R(k ; p)=G_{0}\left(0 ; z_{0} ; p ; 0 ; z_{0}\right) \\
& +2_{m=1}^{X^{a}} \cos (m k a) G_{0}\left(a m ; z_{0} ; p ; 0 ; z_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(x ; z ; p ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right)=G_{0}\left(x ; z ; p ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{u}{2 M+1}_{X^{M} \quad X^{M} \quad X^{M} \quad \frac{\exp (i k a(n \quad m))}{1 \operatorname{uR}(k ; p)}}^{G_{0}\left(x ; z ; p ; a n ; z_{0}\right) G_{0}\left(a m ; z_{0} ; p ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right):}
\end{align*}
$$

In the lim it M ! 1 the sum over $k_{p}$ should be replaced by the integral in agreem ent with ${ }_{k} f(k)$ ! (2M + 1) $(a=2) d k f(k)$. The zeros of the denom inator of (12 give the $m$ ain contributions to $G\left(x ; z ; N ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right)$ for large N. Taking into account the latter generates the spectral expansion of the $G$ reen's function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(x ; z ; N ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right)^{\prime} \underbrace{X^{p_{k} N}}_{k}{ }_{k}(x ; z)_{k}\left(x^{0} ; z^{0}\right) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k(x ; z)$ and $p_{k}$ are eigenfiunctions and eigenvalues of the tim e-independent Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D r^{2} k(x ; z)+\frac{V(r)}{k_{B} T} k(x ; z)=p_{k} k(x ; z): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the zeros of the denom inator in equation (12 sidered as fiunction ofp gives the energy eigenvalues $p_{k}$. $T$ he com parison of the inverse Laplace transform ofequation (12) w ith (13) gives the follow ing expression for the wave functions

$$
k(x ; z) \quad \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad e^{i k a n} G_{0}\left(x ; z ; p_{k} ; \operatorname{an} ; z_{0}\right):
$$

It is easy to check that (15) ful ls the B loch theorem . N otice that the exact wave function $k(x ; z)$ is given as the Laplace transform of the bare $G$ reen's function.

W e now w ill show how to recover from $\left.\mathbf{1 1}_{1} \mathbf{2}_{1}\right)$ the case of continuously attracting_surface. T he rst two term s in the denom inator of (12) corresponds to the eigenvalue condition for a rod. If $a$ is $s m$ all, the sum can be replaced by the integral as follow s ${\underset{\mathrm{R}}{1}}_{1}^{\mathrm{m}=1} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{m} a)$ ! $a^{1}{ }_{0}^{R_{1}} d x f(x)$. The quantity $u=a=\bar{u}$ is the surface density of the strength of the potential. The integral over $x$ w th $f(x)=G_{0}\left(x ; z_{0} ; p ; 0 ; z_{0}\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1} \\
& d x \cos (k x) G_{0}\left(x ; z_{0} ; p ; 0 ; z_{0}\right) \\
& =1=\left(4^{p} \bar{D}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{Dk}^{2}}\right) \\
& \left(1 \quad \exp \left(2 z_{0}=D_{D}^{P} \overline{p+D k^{2}}\right)\right): \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The case of adsonption onto a hom ogeneously attracting surface will be recovered by taking the lim it u! 0, a ! 0 and $u=a=\bar{u}$. As it was pointed in [2] for the case of continuously interface the transverse degree of freedom are decoupled to the in-plain degree of freedom, since the latter can be integrated out in the de nition of $G$ reen's function. The fill $G$ reen's function can be obtained from the reduced G reen's function by replacing the Laplace transform variable $p$ through $p+D k^{2}$, where $k$ is the $w$ ave vector comesponding to the Fourier transform ation $w$ ith respect to the in-plane coordinates. $T$ he term $D k^{2}$ is sim ply the energy of free $m$ otion along the surface. If we are interested in studying the localization at the surface only and do not consider $m$ igration of polym er along the surface we can put the quasim o$m$ entum $k=0$. $T$ he second term in the denom inator of ( $\mathbf{1}^{-1}$ ) disappears in the $\lim$ it $u!0$, so that the denom inator of (12-) gives the correct eigenvalue condition, $1 \frac{p \bar{u}}{2 \overline{p D}}\left(1 \quad \exp \left(2 z_{0} \quad \overline{p=D}\right)\right)=0$, for adsonption onto a hom ogeneously attracting surface $w$ th the potential $u(z)=\bar{u}\left(z \quad z_{0}\right)$.

Equation (12) applies to the $K$ ronig-P enney model if one neglects there the dependences on $z$. The bare $G$ reen's function is then given by equation $\left[\overline{7}_{1}\right)$. A s a re-


FIG. 2: The localization band for $\mathrm{w}=1, \mathrm{z}_{0}=2$, $\mathrm{a}=10$ $m$ easured in units of lfor follow ing strengths of the potential: 1. $u=0: 55 ; 2 . u=0: 6 ; 3 . u=0: 65 ; 4 . u=0: 7 ; 5$. $u=0: 75 ; 6 . u=0: 8$. T he localized states appears for $u>u_{c}$ : $u_{c}=0: 54$. For $u<0: 674$ the quasim om entum of localized states does not exceed the value $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}<=$ a, for $\mathrm{u}>0: 674$ the localized states exist up to the edge of the B rillouin zone.
sult the denom inator in (12) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\cos (k a) \quad \cosh \left(\bar{p} \overline{a^{2} p=D}\right)+u=\left(2^{p} \overline{D p}\right) \sinh \left({ }^{p} \overline{a^{2} p=D}\right)}{\cos (k a) \quad \cosh \left(\overline{a^{2} p=D}\right)}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The num erator of $\left(\overline{1} \bar{T}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ equated to zero gives the wellknown energy eigenvalue condition for $K$ ronig-P enney model.

W e now will consider the case of the periodic surface potential given by ( $\bar{I}_{1}^{1}$ ). T he bare $G$ reen's function obeys the D irichlet boundary condition at $z=0$ and is given by (4-1). Its Laplace transform is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{0}\left(a m ; z_{0} ; p_{i} ; ;_{0}\right)=1=(2 \mathrm{D})\left(\mathrm{K}_{0}(\mathrm{am} \overline{\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{D}})\right. \\
& \left.\quad \mathrm{K}_{0}\left(\overline{a^{2} m^{2}+4 z_{0}^{2}} \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{D}}\right)\right): \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The divergence of (1) for am ! 0 , which is due to modelling the potential by Dirac's delta-fiunction, can be avoided by replacing N in the rst term of the expression $G_{0}\left(0 ; Z_{0} ; N ; 0 ; Z_{0}\right)=1=(4 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{N})$ $1=(4 \mathrm{D} N) \exp \left(\mathrm{z}_{0}^{2}=\mathrm{D} N\right)$ by $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{b}$ w ith b being a m icroscopic cuto along the polym er (corresponds to tim e in $Q M$ language ), will be related to the size of the potentialw ellw. T he necessity of introduction of the cuto b is due to the follow ing. The interaction potential of one rod is product of two delta functions, i.e. the problem is two dim ensional. It is well-known from Q uantum m echanics [191] that in tw o dim ensionalpotentialw ell the eigenenergy depends on both the depth and the width of the potential well separately. T he com parison of the binding energy in the potential $u(x)\left(z \quad z_{0}\right)$ obtained via the present $m$ ethod $w$ ith the energy in a shallow two dim ensionalpotentialw ell [19, $\left.{ }_{[1}^{1}\right]$ gives $b=\mathrm{w}^{2}=4 \mathrm{D}$, where w
is the radius of the potentialw ell. The Laplace transform of $G_{0}\left(0 ; z_{0} ; N ; 0 ; z_{0}\right)$ is then obtained as
$G_{0}\left(0 ; z_{0} ; p ; 0 ; z_{0}\right)=\frac{e^{b p} E i(\mathrm{bp})}{4 \mathrm{D}} \quad \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{D}} \mathrm{K}_{0}\left(2 \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{pz}}{ }_{0}^{2}=\mathrm{D}\right)$;
where Ei( $x)={ }_{R_{1}}^{x}$ dtexp $(t)=t$ is the exponential integral. N otice that we can avoid the introduction of a cuto at interm ediate steps of the w ork by replacing one of delta-functions in equation ( $\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) by the d-dim ensional delta-fiunction with $d<1$ and introducing the cuto in carrying out the lim ita! 1. U sing (1-1 1 the denom inator of (12 $\mathbf{1}_{1}^{-1}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+\frac{u}{4 D} \exp (\mathrm{gp}) E i(\mathrm{lpp})+\frac{u}{2 \mathrm{D}} \mathrm{~K}_{0}\left(2 \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{pz}_{0}^{2}=\mathrm{D}}\right) \\
& \frac{u}{D}_{m=1}^{X^{\prime}} \cos (a m k)^{h} K_{0}(a m \quad p \overline{p=D}) \\
& o\left({ }^{q} \overline{a^{2} m^{2}+4 z_{0}^{2}} p \overline{p=D}\right) \text { : } \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

C onsidering $[\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ as an equation for $p$ gives the spectrum of the problem under consideration. T he sum in (201) cannot be perform ed exactly, so that we have solved equation (2 On $^{\prime}$ ) for $p$ num erically. T he localized states appears, if $u$ exceeds som e threshold value $u_{c}$. Figure show $s$ the binding energy as a function of the quasim om entum k for di erent strengths u. Figure $\overline{2}$ dem onstrates that the localized states in the periodic potential form a band w th the width depending on the strength of the potentialu. T he localized states exist, if the quasim om entum does not exceed the value $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{max}}$. In contrast to a ho$m$ ogeneously attracting surface, the in-plane degrees of freedom here are coupled to the transversal degree of freedom. W e expect that the width of the localization band in uences the $m$ igration of the localized polym er chain along the surface. D ue to the fact that the width is controlled by the tem perature, the in-plane $m$ igration of the polym er will be controlled by tem perature. W e expect that also in the quantum $m$ echanical countenpart of the problem the nite width band of surface localized states will a ect the in-plane properties (see below).

W e now will consider the $m$ ean-square distance of one end of the polym er chain to the surface, which has to be com puted according to

$$
h z^{2}(\mathbb{N}) i=\frac{\int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{0}^{R} d x^{0} z^{2} G\left(x ; z ; N ; x^{0} ; 0\right)}{{ }_{1}^{R} d x_{0}^{R} d z{ }_{0}^{R} d x^{0} G\left(x ; z ; N ; x^{0} ; 0\right)} ;
$$

where the integration over $x^{0}$ is carried for sim plicity over the period of potential. To evaluate the ( $\left.2 \overline{1} \bar{I}_{1}\right)$ we have to perform the inverse Laplace transform of (12'). In the lim it of large chain lengths $N$ ! 1 the $m$ ain contribution to the inverse Laplace transform appears from the residues associated $w$ th the poles. The com putation of


FIG. 3: The e ective statistical segm ent length as a function of the binding energy $p_{0}$ for $w=1, z_{0}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ easured in units of l. D ashed line: $a=3$; $D$ otted line: $a=6 ; C$ ontinuous line: $a=10$.
$h z^{2} i=\lim _{N}!1 h z^{2}(\mathbb{N}) i$ is straightforw ard and results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
h z^{2} i=\frac{2 D}{p_{0}}+\frac{z_{0}^{2}}{1 \exp \quad z_{0} \overline{p_{0}=D}} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $p_{0}$ is the absolute value of the ground state eigenenergy associated with the quasim om entum $k=0$. It is surprising that the states w ith $\mathrm{k}>0$ do not contribute to $h z^{2}$ i. $W$ e have checked that the sam e holds for the $m$ ean square-distance of an arbitrary $m$ onom er, and for the $m$ onom erdistribution fiunction $(x ; z)$. This is due to the fact that after integrating over $x\left(x^{0}\right)$ in equation (12) the sum over $n(m)$ gives (k) so that only the ground state contributes to $h z^{2} i$.

The obtained spectrum allow sus to investigate the inplane behavior of adsorbed polym er. D ue to the periodicity of the potential the long polym er chain behaves in plane as free chain endowed with e ective statistical segm ent length $\frac{l_{e}^{2}}{e}$. To de ne the e ective statisticalseg$m$ ent we com pute the $x$-com ponent of the $m$ ean-square end-to-end distance of the adsorbed polym er for large $N$ by using equation (12) and nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(x \quad x^{0}\right)^{2} i=\frac{1}{3} l_{e}^{2} N \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the e ective statistical segm ent length

$$
\mathrm{l}_{e}^{2}=3 @^{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}=@ \mathrm{k}^{2} \dot{j}=0
$$

can be represented as

$$
{\frac{l^{2}}{e}}_{2}=3 \frac{\varrho^{2} R(k ; p)}{@ k^{2}}{\underset{c}{k=0}}_{p=p_{0}}<\frac{@ R(k ; p)}{@ p} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ p=p_{0}}}^{\# 1}:
$$

$N$ otioe that $l_{e}$ de ned in $\left(\underline{2} \overline{3}_{2}\right)$ relates to the behaviour of the polym er along the x-axes. The num erical evaluation of $l_{e}$ is shown in $F$ igure ${ }_{1}$ for three values of the period
of the potential a. At the localization transition $l_{e}$ is equal to $l$. It strongly decreases for large $p_{0}$ i.e. in the regim e of strong adsonption. For large strength of the potential well $u$ all pieces of the polym er are localized at the surface, so that in this lim it the problem of the localization in the surface periodic potential converts to the $K$ ronig-P enney $m$ odel. It is well-know $n$ that for this $m$ odel the e ective statisticalsegm ent is sm aller than the bare one [ $\left[\overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$. The squeezing ofthe polym erdue to $l_{e}<1$ can be explained by the fact that the polym erw in senergy while the portions of the polym er $m$ ake excursions along the attracting rods as it show in $F$ igure $\overline{11}$. . This results in squeezing the polym er along the periodicity direction. $N$ otice that the squeezing of the polym er occurs at the expense of the transversal size of the polym er. T he size of the polym er along the y-axes does not change. Figure show $s$ that the decrease of a results in a w eaker decrease of $l_{e}$. T he num erical analysis of the behaviour of $l_{e}$ in the vicinity of the localization transition for param eters $\mathrm{w}=1, \mathrm{z}_{0}=2, \mathrm{a}=3$ yields that $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{e}}=1=1: 0044>1$ for $p_{0}=0: 002$, i.e. $l_{e}$ has a weak $m$ axim um as a function of po (see Figure , ',3') . T he latter disappears for larger values of $a$. The condition $l_{e}>l m$ eans that the polym er stretches along the $x$-axes. D ue to this the polym erwins energy by having contacts $w$ ith m ore rods. $W$ e expect that the $m$ axim um is due to the rigidity of the polym er, which how ever cannot be described in a m ore consistent way by the present $m$ odel.

In context of the behaviour of a quantum particle (for exam ple an electron) in a surface periodic potential the $m$ otion of the particle along the surface can be described in term $s$ of the ective $m$ ass $m$, which is the counterpart of the statistical segm ent length and is proportional to $\frac{1}{e}^{2}$. N otice, that the above explanation of the inequalty $l_{e}<l i n$ term sofcon gurationsofthe polym er chain implicates an explanation of the inequality $m>m$ in term $s$ of tim e-space trajectories of the quantum particle. The increase of the $m$ ass is related to the size of the pieces of the tra jectory localized at the sam e rod. U sing the well-known form ula for electric conductivity in Solid State $P$ hysics (see for exam ple [24']) we w rite the surface electric conductivity as $=e^{2} n=m$, wherem is the effective $m$ ass, $e$ is the electron charge, is the relaxation tim $e$, and $n$ is the surface electron density. W e expect that this form ula is valid for a weakly lled band, while in the opposite case of an alm ost lled band we have to take into account the e ect of the delocalization of electrons due to the extemal eld. A ccording to Figure the e ective $m$ ass is nearly everyw here larger than the bare $m$ ass. It increases $w$ th the increase of the strength of the potential, excepting the vicinity of the localization transition, which is in agreem ent w ith the prediction for $K$ ronig-P enney model. A ccording to the dependence of on $m$ we expect that the surface electric conductivity is a decreasing function of the strength of the potential. $T$ his is intuitively clear because if the size of the pieces of the tra jectory of the particle along one rod is large, the driving eld is ine ective to disengage the latter from the
rod.

## III. LOCALIZATIONATASINGLE SURFACE DEFECT

T he realsurfaces contain various defects, so that studying their e ect on adsorption of polym ers is an im portant question. In this section we consider the localization of the polym er chain in a periodic surface potential disturbed by a single defect. T he surface potential in the presence of the extended defect, which can be view ed as the additional rod situated at $x=x_{0}$, is $m$ odeled by the follow ing potential
$V(r)=u\left(\begin{array}{ll}z & z_{0}\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & n a\end{array}\right) \quad v\left(\begin{array}{lll}x & x_{0}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}z & z_{0}\end{array}\right)$;
(24)
where $v$ is the strength of the defect. Them ethod developed in Section II can be used in a straightforw ard way to study the e ect of the defect. For this aim we use the system $w$ ith the periodic potential ( $\overline{11} 1)$ as the reference state, where the $G$ reen's function $\bar{G}\left(x ; z ; N ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right)$ in the periodic potenrial is given by Eq. (1010). C onsidering the last term in Eq. (24) as perturbation we rew rite the equation $\left.\overline{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{L}^{\prime}\right)$ as an integral equation as follow s

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)} \\
& +\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{dsG}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{z}_{0}\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{z}_{0} ; \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right):(25)
\end{aligned}
$$

$H$ enceforth the potential of defect $v$ as the strength of the periodic potential $u$ is given in units of $k_{B} T$. The explicit expression for $G\left(x ; z ; N ; x^{0} ; z^{0}\right)$ appearing in (2-3) can be derived by using the inverse Laplace transform of (12른). C arrying out the Laplace transform of (2-1) we arrive at the follow ing algebraic equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{vG}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{z}_{0}\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{z}_{0} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right): \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

To solve this equation we substitute $x=x_{0} ; z=z_{0}$ and nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{z}_{0} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{z}_{0} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)}{1 \quad \mathrm{VG}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{z}_{0} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{z}_{0}\right)}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ herefore, the $G$ reen's function $G_{d}$ of the problem with potential $\left(\underline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ can be expressed in term $s$ of the $G$ reen's function $G$ of the system $w$ th the idealperiodic potential as follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; z^{0}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{v} \frac{\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}_{0} ; z_{0}\right) \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; z_{0} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ; z^{0}\right)}{1 \mathrm{vG}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{z}_{0} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{z}_{0}\right)}: \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

The zero of the denom inator in the last term of (28) gives the value of the eigenenergy of the state when polym er


F IG . 4: The binding energy of localized in-plane ground state as function of the defect's potential $v$ for $w=1, a=10$, $z_{0}=2, u=0: 8$. At $v=0$ the energy coincide $w$ ith the energy corresponding to the upper edge of the band.
chain is localized at the defect. T he eigenenergy condition is obtained from (2\%) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 \quad v G_{0}\left(x_{0} ; z_{0} ; p_{i} x_{0} ; z_{0}\right) \quad u v a^{Z} \frac{d k}{1} u R(k ; p) \\
& X^{Z} \quad e^{i k a(n m)} G_{0}\left(x_{0} ; z_{0} ; p ; a n ; z_{0}\right) \\
& n ; m=1 \\
& G_{0}\left(a m ; z_{0} ; p_{i} ; x_{0} ; z_{0}\right)=0 ;
\end{align*}
$$

where we have taken the lim it N ! 1 . Equation ( 2 d) cannot be solved analytically so that we analyzed it nu$m$ erically. W e consider the case of extended adsorbing defect ( $v>0$ ) which is situated at $x_{0}=0$. This allow s us to sim plify the equation (2-9), so that we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad v G_{0}\left(0 ; z_{0} ; p ; 0 ; z_{0}\right) \quad u v \underline{a}^{Z} d k \frac{\mathbb{R}(k ; p)]^{2}}{1 \operatorname{uR}(k ; p)}=0 \text { : } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The num erical calculation show s that upon condition $u>u_{c}$, where $u_{c}$ is the threshold value of the periodic potential, the equation $\left[\overline{3} \overline{0}_{1}\right)$ has a single solution $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{v})$ starting at the upper edge of the band $p_{d}(0)=p_{0}$ which increases $w$ th the strength of the defect $v$. The typical dependence of $p_{d}$ on $v$ is show $n$ in $F$ igure shows that the polym er is localized for any in nitesim al potential of the defect $v$, if there are localized states in the periodic potential. The eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $p_{d}$ is obtained from (2d) using the spectral expansion of the $G$ reen's function $\left(1 \mathbf{1}^{\prime}\right)$ as

$$
d \quad G_{0}\left(x ; z ; p_{d} ; 0 ; z_{0}\right)+u a^{Z} d k \frac{R\left(k ; p_{d}\right)}{1 u R\left(k ; p_{d}\right)}
$$



FIG. 5: The pro le of wave function $d(x ; z)$ in the plane $z=3$ for $w=1, a=10, z_{0}=2, u=0: 8, v=0: 01$.

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{A}} \cos (\mathrm{mka}) \mathrm{G}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}} ; \mathrm{am} ; \mathrm{z}_{0}\right):
$$

The function $d(x ; z)$ decays exponentially as function of the distance to the defect and is $m$ odulated $w$ th the period of the periodic surface potential (see Figure 5). D ue to the gap betw een the localized state and the band we have the situation of the ground state dom inance, if the polym er chain is large enough. The consequence of this is that only the eigenfunction (31) contributes to the G reen's function (13). It is well-known that in this case the concentration ofm onom ers is given by c(r) ja(r) f [2]l, so that the oscillations of $d(r) m$ ay be observed experim entally by studying the distribution of polym er chains on the periodic surfaces $w$ ith defects.

> IV. CONCLUSION

W e have considered the adsorption of a G aussian poly$m$ er (and of a quantum particle) onto an attracting surface w th potentialperiodic along one direction. W e have found that the surface localized states form a band which can be described by the quasim om entum entailed by the periodicity of the surface potential. The width of the localization band depends on the strength of the attracting potential. Thebinding energy decreasesw ith increase of the quasim om entum and becom es zero at $k=k_{m a x}$, where for not to large strengths $u$ of the periodic potential $k_{m}$ ax lies within B rillouin zone, i.e. $k_{m}$ ax $=$. For $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}<\mathrm{k}<=\mathrm{a}$ no localized states exist. For su ciently strong potential strengths (w hen $k_{m}$ ax becom es equal to =a) the polym er is alw ays localized.

W e have studied the e ect of perturbation of the periodic potential by a single rod-like defect on the adsonption of the polym er. W e have found that the defect localizes the polym er for any in nitesim al strength of the
defect potential, so that the concentration of $m$ onom ers decays exponentially $w$ ith the distance to the defect and undergoes $m$ odulation associated $w$ ith the periodic surface potential. W e expect, that this oscillations can be observed experim entally by studying the distribution of polym er chains on the periodic surfaces w ith defects.

T hem ethod used here can be straightforw ardly applied to treat $m$ ore com plicated periodic arrangem ents (ideal or w ith weak deviations from the periodicity) of attracting wells, for exam ple the in nite periodic set of parallel
planes along the $z$-axes $w$ ith the potential in each of the plane being periodic along the x - and y -axes.
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