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#### Abstract

W e investigate dynam ics of an inference algorithm term ed the belief propagation (BP) when em ployed in Spin glass (SG) m odels and show that its $m$ acroscopic behaviors can be traced by recursive updates of certain auxiliary eld distributions whose stationary state reproduces the replica sym $m$ etric solution o ered by the equilibrium analysis. We further provide a com pact expression for the instability condition of the BP's xed point which tums out to be identical to that of instability for breaking the replica sym $m$ etry in equilibrium when the num ber of couplings per spin is in nite. This correspondence is extended to a SG model of nite connectivity to determ ine the phase diagram, which is num erically supported.


PACS num bers: $89.90 .+n, 02.50 .-\mathrm{r}, 05.50 .+\mathrm{q}, 75.10 \mathrm{Hk}$

Recently, there is grow ing interest in a sim ilarity betw een researches on spin glass (SG ) and inform ation processing ( $\mathbb{P}$ ) $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{1} 1\right]$. Since em ploym ent ofm ethods from SG theory provided signi cant progresses for several problem s related to $\mathbb{P}$ such as $m$ achine leaming '[ [1] ], error-
 direction $m$ ight be possible.

The purpose of this article is to show such an exam ple. M ore speci cally, we show that investigating dynam ics ofan iterative inference algorithm term ed the beliefpropagation (BP) which has been developed in $\mathbb{P}$ research [ $[\underline{9}, 1 \overline{1} \bar{O}]$ ] w hen em ployed in SG m odels provides a new understanding about them odynam icalproperties ofSG .W e show that the replica sym $m$ etric (RS) solution known in the equilibrium analysis can be characterized as a m acroscopically stationary state in BP.W e also provide a com pact expression of the $m$ icroscopic instability condition around the xed point in the BP dynam ics which tums out to be identical to that of instability forbreaking the replica sym $m$ etry in equilibrium term ed the A $m$ eida-T houless (AT) instability $[\underline{[1]}]$ in when the num ber of connectivity per spin is in nite. E cacy of this expression for a sparsely connected SG m odel is also num erically supported.

W e here take up a fam ily of Ising SG models de ne by H am ittonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(S j J)=\mathrm{X}_{=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{M}} \underset{12 \mathrm{~L}()}{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~S}_{1} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L()$ denotes a set of indices which are connected to a quenched coupling $J . W e$ assum e that each coupling is independently generated from an identical distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(J)=\frac{1+J_{0}=(\bar{P} \bar{C} J)}{2} \quad J \quad P_{\bar{C}}^{J}+\frac{1 \quad J_{0}=\left(\overline{\mathrm{C}} \overline{\mathrm{C}}^{\prime}\right)}{2} J+\mathrm{P}_{\overline{\mathrm{C}}}^{\mathrm{J}} \quad: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further assum e that for each , $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{l}$ ) is com posed of random ly selected $\mathrm{K} \quad \mathrm{O}$ (1) spin indiges and each spin index $l$ is concemed w th $C$ couplings the set of which is denoted as M ( 1 ). $J_{0}>0$ and $J>0$ are param eters to control the $m$ ean and the standard deviation of $J$, respectively, which naturally links the current system (i-1) to the Sherrington-K irkpatridk (SK ) m odel [1] $1 \overline{2}]$ in the case of $K=2$ and C $\quad O(\mathbb{N})$ and to sparsely connected $S G$ m odels


A major goal of statistical mechanics in the current system is to calculate the mi croscopic spin average $m_{1}=T r_{S} S_{1} \exp [H(S j J)]=T r_{S} \exp [H(S j J)]$ from given Ham ittonian $(\underset{-1}{1})$. This is form ally identical to an inference problem for a posterior dis-

$\exp ^{h} J^{Q}{ }_{12 L()} S_{1}{ }^{i}={ }^{P}{ }_{J=}=J^{p} \bar{C}_{C} \exp { }^{h} J^{Q}{ }_{12 L()} S_{1}{ }^{i}$ and a uniform prior, which can be expressed in a bipartite graph as Figure ${ }_{i}$ (a). In this expression, spins and couplings are denoted as two di erent types of nodes and are linked by edges when they are directly connected, which is useful to explicitly represent statistical dependences betw een estim ation variables (spins) and observed data (couplings).
$B P$ is an titerative algorithm de ned over the bipartite graph to calculate the spin average for a given set of couplings $J=(J)$ 副, 11 passing beliefs (or m essages) between the two types of nodes via edges at each update as
where beliefs $m{ }_{1}$ and $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{t}}{ }_{1}$ are param eters to represent auxiliary distributions at th update
 $\left(1+\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{t}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)=2$, respectively. L ( ) nl stands for a set of spin indiges which belong to L() other than $l$ and sim ilarly to $M$ (l)n. Calculating $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ I iteratively, the estim ate of the spin average at th update is provided as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}^{0}{\underset{2 \mathrm{M}}{\text { (1) }}}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\tanh }{ }^{1} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{t}}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is know $n$ that BP provides the exact spin average by the convergent solution when the bipartite graph is free from cycles (Figure'in (b)). A ctually, BP is a very sim ilar schem e to the transferm atrix m ethod (TM M) or the Bethe approxim ation "īī్, in physics and the current statem ent can be regarded as a generalization of a know $n$ property of TM M that o ers the exact results for a one dim ensional lattioe or a tree. H ow ever, BP still has a possibility to introduce som ething new into physics since it is explicitly expressed as an algorithm and such view point has been rare in the research on $m$ atters. This strongly m otivates us to exam ine its dynam ical properties, which we will focus on hereafter.
 the current random ly constructed system is not free from cycles, it can be shown that the typical length of the cycles grow sasO ( $\ln \mathrm{N}$ ) w th respect to the system size N as long as C is O (1) [10
in the them odynam ic lim it. On the other hand, the self-interaction is also expected as su ciently sm alleven ifC is large since the strength of the coupling becom es weak as ( ( ${ }^{1=2}$ ).

 is likely to be well captured by recursive equations
where $h$ r represents the average $w$ ith respect to $J$ follow ing distribution (2, ${ }^{2}$ ).
The validity of the current argum ent and its link to the replica sym m etric (RS) ansatz in the equilibrium analysis have been shown already for nite C show that these can be extended to the case of in nite C even if the AT stability of the RS solution is broken in equilibrium .

W hen $C$ becom es in nite, it is more convenient to deal $w$ ith an auxiliary eld of nite strength $h^{t}{ }_{1} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{2 \mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{n}$ tanh ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{t}}{ }_{1},{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{2 \mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{n} \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{t}}{ }_{1}$ rather than $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{t}}{ }_{1}$ since $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{t}}{ }_{1}$ becom es in nitesim al. D ue to the centrallim it theorem, the distribution of the auxiliary eld ${ }^{t}$ ( h )

where $E^{t}$ and $F^{t}$ are the average and the variance to param eterize the $G$ aussian distribution ${ }^{t}(\mathrm{~h})$, respectively. The expression in the m iddle im plies ${ }^{t}(\mathrm{x})={ }^{R} \mathrm{dh}^{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{h})(\mathrm{x}$ tanh $(\mathrm{h}))$.
 for the update of $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{t}}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{t+1} & =J_{0} M^{t^{K} 1} ; F^{t+1}={ }^{2} J^{2} Q^{t}{ }^{K 1} ;  \tag{9}\\
M^{t} & =D z \tanh \left(\bar{F}^{t} z+E^{t}\right) ; Q^{t}=D z \tanh ^{2}\left({ }^{P} \bar{F}^{t} z+E^{t}\right) ; \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

 $(1=N)^{P}{ }_{l=1}^{N} m_{1}^{t}$ and $Q^{t} \quad(1=N)^{P}{ }_{l=1}^{N}\left(m^{t}\right)^{2} \quad(1=N)^{P}{ }_{l=1}^{N}\left(m_{1}^{t}\right)^{2}$, respectively, due to the law


It should be notioed here that these equations can be regarded as the forw ard iteration of the saddle point equations to obtain the RS solution in the replica analysis of the $m$ ultispin interaction in nite connectivity SG models'[i] ] and, in particular, of the SK m odel for
$K=2$ [12 $\overline{1}]$. In order to con $m$ the validity of the above argum ent, we com pared the tim $e$
 SK ( $K=2$ ) model, which is shown in $F$ igures $1, \overline{2}(a)$ and (b). W e also com pared them $w$ ith the trajectory of the naive iteration of the $B P$ 's xed point condition
(TAP) which can be obtained inserting $m_{1}^{\prime} m_{1} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & m_{1}^{2}\end{array}\right) m_{1}$ to the xed point of eqs. $(\overline{\mathrm{B}})$ and $(\underline{\underline{4}}) \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{t}}{ }_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{t}}{ }_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{m}_{1}$. This becom es identical to the fam ous Thouless-A nderson $P$ alm er (TAP) equation of the $S K \mathrm{~m}$ odel, in particular, for $K=2$ [2]in].
$T$ he experim ents were perform ed for $J_{0}=1: 5,0: 5$ keeping $J=1$ and $T=0: 5$, where the AT stability of the RS solution in equilibrium is satis ed for $\bar{\sigma}=1: 5$ but broken for $J_{0}=0: 5$ [ī1]. F igures ${ }_{2}^{2}$ (a) and (b) show that B P and R S exhibit excellent consistency w ith respect to the $m$ acrosoopic variables irrespectively of whether the AT stability is satis ed or not.
 and ( $1 \overline{10} \overline{-1})$. On the other hand, TAP is considerably di erent from the others. In a sense, thism ay be naturalbecause naively iterating eq. (1ָin) is just one of procedures for obtaining a solution and its trajectory in dynam ics does not necessarily have any consistency with $B P$ or $R S$ while the BP's xed point is correctly characterized by the TAP equation which does have a certain relation to the RS solution in equilibrium as shown in $[\underline{\underline{2}} \overline{2}]$. These gures also im ply that the dynam ics of BP cannot be traced by a closed set of equations $w$ ith respect to singly indexed variables $m{ }_{1}^{t}$ even for C ! 1 while the xed point condition in this lim it is provided as coupled equations ofm $I_{1}(\overline{1} 1 \overline{1})$, which is also observed in a sim ilar system [ब్రె].

A though $F$ igures $12 \overline{1}(a)$ and (b) show that the $m$ acroscopic variables rapidly converge to those of the RS solution in BP, this does not im ply that BP m icroscopically converges to a certain solution. In order to probe this $m$ icroscopic convergence, we exam ined the squared di erence of spin averages between successive updates $D^{t} \quad(1=N)^{P}{ }_{l=1}^{N}\left(m_{1}^{t} \quad m_{1}^{t}\right)^{2}$, the tim e evolution of which is shown in the insets of $F$ igures in (a) and (b). These illustrate that the ( $m$ icroscopic) local stability of the BP's xed point can be broken even if the $m$ acroscopic behavior seem $s$ to converge, which cannot be detected by only exam ining the reduced $m$ acroscopic dynam ics $(\overline{\mathrm{G}})$ and (11010).

In order to characterize such instability, we next tum to the stability analysis of the
 tanh ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~m}_{1}$ around a xed point solution $\mathrm{m}_{1}=\mathrm{m} \quad{ }_{1}$, we obtain a dynam ics of the auxiliary eld uctuation ${ }_{12}$ as

A nalytically solving this linearized equation for a large graph is generally di cult. H ow ever, since the current system is random ly constructed, the self-interaction of $h^{t}{ }_{1}$ from the past can be considered as sm all as those of beliefs are. This im plies that the tim e evolution of the uctuation distribution $\left.f^{ \pm}(y) \quad(1=N C)^{P}{ }_{l=1}^{N} \quad 2 M_{1} \quad(y) \quad h_{1}^{t}\right)$ can be provided by a functional equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{t+1}(y)=\mathrm{G}^{1 K_{Y}} 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h \quad{ }_{j}^{i x_{1}} \dot{j}_{1}$ denotes the average over $J$ and $x_{1}$ follow ing eq. (2) and the stationary distribution of ${ }^{t}(x)=(x)$, respectively, and the stability of the BP's xed point can be characterized by whether the stationary solution $f^{t}(y)=f(y)=(y)$ is stable or not in update ( $(\overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{1})$. . This form ulation $m$ akes analytical investigation possible to a certain extent.

In order to connect eq. (프구) to the existing analysis, let us rst investigate the lim it C ! 1 for which much more results are known compared to the case of nite C. D ue to the central lim it theorem, the distribution of the eld uctuation can be assum ed as a G aussian $f^{t}(y)=\left(1=P \overline{2 b^{t}}\right) \exp \left[\left(y \quad a^{t}\right)^{2}=\left(2 b^{t}\right)\right]$, where $a^{t}$ and $b^{t}$ are the $m$ ean and the variance of the distribution, respectively. P lugging this expression into eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{3})$ O ens update rules $w$ ith respect to a and $b^{t}$ as $a^{t+1}=J_{0} M^{k} \quad{ }^{2}(1 \quad Q) a^{t}$ and $b^{t+1}=(J)^{2} Q^{K} \quad 2^{R} D z \quad 1 \quad \tanh ^{2}(\bar{P} z+E)^{2}\left(b^{t}+\left(a^{t}\right)^{2}\right)$, where $M ; Q ; E$ and $F$ represent the convergent solutions of eqs. $\left(\mathbf{9}_{1}\right)$ and $(\underline{1} 0 \overline{1})$. In order to exam ine the stability of $f(y)=(y)$, we linearize these equations around $a^{t}=b^{t}=0$, which provides the critical condition of the instability $w$ ith respect to the grow th of bt

$$
\begin{equation*}
(J)^{2} Q^{K} 2^{Z} D z \quad 1 \quad \tanh ^{2}(\overline{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{z}+E)^{2}=1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which becom es identical to that of the AT stability for the in nite range multi-spin interaction SG models and, in particular, for the SK m odel when $\mathrm{K}=2$ [ī1]. . Furtherm ore, in the case of the $S K$ model $(K=2)$, the critical condition $w$ ith respect to $a^{t}$ around the param agnetic solution $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Q}=0$ corresponds to the para-ferrom agnetic transition. These $m$ ean that the two di erent phase transitions from the param agnetic solution can be linked in a uni ed fram ework to the dynam ical instabilities of BP by eq. '(1] 1

W hen $C$ is nite, one can num erically perform the stability analysis em ploying eq. '( $1 \overline{1} \overline{1})$, the detail of which willbe reported elsew here. In addition, analytical investigation becom es possible for $K=2$ as follow $s$ since transitions from the param agnetic solution in this case occur due to the local instability.

For a small , the param agnetic solution $(x)=\wedge(x)=(x)\left(m_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{1}=\right.$ 0 ) expresses the correct stable xed point of the BP dynam ics. Inserting this into eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{\overline{3}})$ does not provide a closed set of equations with respect to a nite num ber of param eters since $f^{t}(y)$ is no more a Gaussian. However, assum ing $f^{t}(y){ }^{\prime}$
(y), the stability analysis can be reduced to coupled equations with respect to the $m$ ean and the variance of $f_{D}^{t}(y)_{E}$ as $a^{t+1}=(C \quad 1) h t a n h ~ J i_{J} a^{t}$ and $b^{t+1}=(C$ 1) $\tanh ^{2} J_{J} b^{t}+\tanh ^{2} J_{J}$ htanh $\mathrm{Ji}_{J}^{2}\left(a^{t}\right)^{2}$. Linearizing these around $a^{t}=$ $b^{t}=0$ provides the critical conditions w ith respect to the grow th of $a^{t}$ and $b^{t}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (C 1) htanh } J i_{J}=1 ;  \tag{15}\\
& \text { (C 1) } \tanh ^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{J}}=1 ; \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. It should be mentioned that a sim ilar condition to eq. (1َब̄) was once obtained for a SG m odel on the Bethe lattioe then
 therefore, can be easily extended to the case ofm ulti-spin interaction ( $\left.\begin{array}{lll}K & 3\end{array}\right)$ w th the aid of num ericalm ethods while such extension requires higher order perturbation and becom es highly com plicated in the other schem e.
 transitions, respectively, since they do in the lim it C ! 1 . In order to exam ine this, we perform ed num erical experim ents for $N=2000$ and $C=4$. A though further investigation $m$ ay be necessary to prove correctness, the data obtained from 100 experim ents of 20000 M onte Carlo steps per spin exhibit good consistency w ith the analytical expressions (1]
and ( $\mathbf{1} \overline{1} \overline{-})$ indicating that the correspondence between the phase transitions in equilibrium and the dynam ical instabilities of BP holds for nite C as well ( $F$ iguré ${ }_{-}^{\prime}$ ) .

In sum $m$ ary, we have investigated dynam icalbehavior ofBP when em ployed in SG models. We have shown that the tim e evolution ofm acroscopic variables can be well captured by recursive updates of auxiliary eld distributions which becom es identical to the forw ard treration of the saddle point equations under the RS ansatz in the replica analysis. $W$ e have further shown that the dynam icalinstability of the BP's xed point is closely related to the AT instability of the RS solution, which has been num erically supported.

Relationship between the current schem e and an existing AT analysis for nite connectivity SG m odels [ em ployed in practice is under investigation. Besides this, extension of the current fram ew ork
 future work.
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FIG.1: (a): G raphicalexpression of SG m odels in the case of $K=3$ and $C=4$. In this expression, each spin $S_{1}$ denoted as is linked to $C=4$ couplings $J \quad(2)$, each ofwhich is connected to $K=3$ spins. $L()$ and $M(1)$ represent sets of indiees of spins and couplings that are related to $J$ and $S_{1}$,
 A cycle is com posed ofm ultiple paths to link an identical pair of nodes. It is show $n$ that BP can provide the exact spin averages in a practicaltim e scale if a given graph is free from cycles [ָ̣̣ $]$.


FIG. 2: Tim e evolution ofm acroscopic variables $M^{t}=(1=N)^{P} \underset{l=1}{N} m_{1}^{t} a n d Q^{t}=(1=N)^{P} \underset{l=1}{N}\left(m_{1}^{t}\right)^{2}$
 ( $\mathrm{R} S:$ lines) and the naive iteration of the TAP equation ( $\overline{1}_{1} \overline{1}$ ) (TAP $:+$ ) for (a) $J_{0}=1: 5$ and (b) $J_{0}=0: 5$ keeping $J=1$ and $T=0: 5$. TAP is plotted only for $Q^{t}$ in the case of $J_{0}=0: 5$ in order to save space. Each m arker is obtained from 100 experim ents for $\mathrm{N}=1000$ system s . The AT stability is satis ed for $J_{0}=1: 5$ but broken for $J_{0}=0: 5$. Irrespectively of the AT stability, the behavior of the m acroscopic variables in the BP dynam ics can be well captured by the reduced dynam ics while the naive iteration of the TAP equation does not exhibit any convergence even in the $m$ acroscopic scale. Insets: Squared deviation of spin averages betw een the successive updates $D^{t}=(1=N)^{P} \underset{l=1}{N}\left(m_{l}^{t} \quad m_{1}^{t}\right)^{2}$ is plotted for the BP dynam ics. The deviation vanishes to zero indicating convergence to a xed point solution for $J_{0}=1: 5 \mathrm{w}$ hile rem ains nite signalling instability of the xed point for $J_{0}=0: 5$.


FIG. 3: Phase diagram for $K=2$ and $C=4$ suggested by eqs. (1] 1 SG stand for the param agnetic, the ferrom agnetic and the spin glass phases, respectively. T he boundary betw een $F$ and $S G$ is just a con jecture. In order to exam ine the validity of this diagram, 100 M onte C arlo experim ents were perform ed for $\mathrm{N}=2000$ system s at conditions denoted by + . For each condition, frequencies of $m$ acroscopic $m$ agnetizations $M_{a}=(1=N)^{P}{ }_{l=1}^{N} m_{l}^{a}$ and overlaps $Q_{a b}=(1=N)^{P} \underset{l=1}{N} m_{1}^{a} m_{1}^{b}(a>b)$ were evaluated, where $m{ }_{1}^{a}$ is the average of $S_{1}$ obtained from 20000 M onte C arlo steps per spin for experim ents $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}=1 ; 2 ;::: 100$ (insets). For both of the two conditions in $P$, all of $M$ a and $Q_{a b}$ fall into the nst bin. On the other hand, sharp peaks indicate the order to the ferrom agnetic state in $F$ and a broad distribution of $Q_{a b}$ signals the breaking of the replica sym $m$ etry in $S G$.

