arXiv:cond-mat/0211554v2 24 Apr 2003

Therm oelectric e ects of an Aharonov-Bohm interferom eter with an embedded quantum dot in the Kondo regim e

Tae-Suk K im 1 and S. Hersh eld^2

¹ School of Physics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea ² Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-8440

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

Them oelectric elects are studied in an Aharonov-Bohm (AB) interferom eter with an embedded quantum dot in the K ondo regime. The AB ux-dependent transmission probability has an asymmetrical shape arising from the Fano interference between the direct tunneling path and the K ondo-resonant tunneling path through a quantum dot. The sign and magnitude of therm opower can be modulated by the AB ux and the direct tunneling am plitude. In addition, the therm opower is anom alously enhanced by the K ondo correlation in the quantum dot near the K ondo temperature (T_K). The K ondo correlation in the quantum dot also leads to crossover behavior in diagonal transport coe cients as a function of temperature. The amplitude of an AB oscillation in electric and therm al conductances is small at temperatures far above T_K , but becomes enhanced as the system is cooled below T_K . The AB oscillation is strong in the therm opower and the Lorenz number within the crossover region near the K ondo temperature.

PACS num bers: 72.10 Fk, 72.15 Qm, 03.65.Ta, 73.63 Kv

I. IN TRODUCTION

The phase-coherence of an electron's wave function in m esoscopic systems enables one to observe a wide variety of interesting phenomena in quantum physics. One of them is the phase shift experienced by electrons due to scattering centers. A lihough the phase cannot be m easured directly in bulk systems, m esoscopic systems provide an opportunity to attain such information. Recently the transm ission phase shift experienced by electrons passing through a quantum dot was m easured using the Aharonov-Bohm (AB) interferom eters^{1,2,3,4}. In addition to the direct observation of the phase, phasecoherence leads to such phenomena as the m agnetic and electrostatic AB e ects, Fano interference, persistent currents, universal conductance uctuations, etc.

Quantum dots provide a unique opportunity to study nonequilibrium many-body e ects due to the strong Coulomb interaction and quantization of energy levels, spin, and charge. A Fano resonance was observed⁵ in the conductance in transport through a quantum dot which is connected to two leads. The opening of direct tunneling between two leads was believed to be the main reason for the observation of the Fano interference pattern. The interference between two current paths, resonant and direct tunneling, leads to the asym m etrical Fano resonance in the di erential conductance. However, the nature of the direct tunneling path is not clear and the direct tunneling cannot be controlled in this work⁵. Inserting a quantum dot in an AB interferom eter, the Fano shape in the IV curves was also observed⁶. Free control of the direct tunneling probability is possible using a gate voltage.

In addition, the asymmetrical shape of a Fano resonance has been observed in other nanoscopic systems. When magnetic adatoms are deposited on a metallic surface, the local electronic density of states (DOS) on a

m etallic surface is modi ed due to the K ondo e ect occurring at the site of a magnetic adatom . Using a scanning tunneling m icroscope (STM)^{7,8}, the di erential conductance between the STM tip and the metallic surface was observed to be of asym m etrical Fano resonance type close to the magnetic adatom at low tem perature. Conning electrons to an ellipse⁹ in a quantum corral, the phase coherence of an electron's wave functions was detected by imaging the metallic surface with STM spectroscopy. W hen a magnetic adatom was positioned at one of the two foci of the ellipse, the STM experiments showed the coherently reproduced in age of the magnetic atom at the other empty focus point. A Fano interference pattern was also observed in the carbon nanotubes¹⁰ when Co ions were deposited on the surface of carbon nanotubes. The ability to observe the Fano resonance shape in all these experiments depends on the phase coherence of an electron's wave functions propagating along a m etallic surface.

In this paper, we consider a phase-coherent A haronov-Bohm interferom eter with an embedded quantum dot (see Fig. 1) and study theoretically the therm oelectric e ects of this system ¹¹ when the embedded quantum dot lies in the K ondo regime. The quantum dot in the K ondo regim $e^{12,13,14,15}$ will be called a K ondo dot. Though the issue of the transm ission phase in this system is a very interesting subject, we focus on the e ects of phase-coherence on the transport coe cients. The phase-coherence enables us to study the e ects of the A haronov-B ohm oscillations as well as the Fano interference on the therm oelectric properties of the m odel system. We use the nonequilibrium K eldysh G reen's function m ethod and the noncrossing approximation for our m odel study.

There are two possible paths for the ow of electrons in our AB interferom eter. The direct tunneling am plitude between two leads is a tem perature-independent



FIG.1: Schematic display of A haronov-Bohm (AB) interferom eter. Electrons can ow from one lead to the other through two paths, direct tunneling (T $_{\rm L\,R}$) and a resonant tunneling via a quantum dot (V_{dL} ; V_{dR}). The magnetic ux is threading through the AB ring form ed of two current paths. The AB phase = 2 = 0 is embedded in the tunneling matrices by the overall phase of $V_{dL} T_{LR} V_{Rd} = y_{dL} T_{LR} V_{Rd} je^{1}$.

constant, while the tunneling through the K ondo dot is strongly tem perature dependent due to the K ondo effect. Dierent physics is expected above and below the K ondo tem perature (T_K) , due to the crossover behavior in the Kondo dot as a function of temperature. Since the ow of electrons through a quantum dot is blocked by the strong repulsive Coulomb interaction (Coulomb blockade) at high tem peratures above T_K , practically no Fano interference arises and the AB oscillations are very weak in transport coe cients. W ith lowering temperature below ${\rm T}_{\rm K}$, the K ondo resonance peak in a quantum dot develops close to the Ferm i level. This opening of an additional resonant current path leads to the Fano interference with the direct tunneling path. Fano interference and AB ux modify the shape of the transmission probability through the system near the Ferm i level.

Out of all the transport coe cients, them opower is one of the most sensitive to the shape or the particlehole asymmetry of the transmission probability and is an appropriate experim ental probe to investigate the Fano interference and the AB e ect. W e nd that the sign and m agnitude of the therm opower can be modulated by controlling the Aharonov-Bohm phase with magnetic elds and the tunneling matrices with varying gate voltages. In addition, we nd that the K ondo correlation enhances the amplitude of AB oscillations in diagonal transport coe cients at low T compared to T $_{\rm K}$. Since two diagonal transport coe cients, the electric and therm al conductances, are in uenced by Fano interference and AB ux in the sam e way, their ratio, the Lorenz num ber, is insensitive to AB ux near the zero tem perature and is xed at the Sommerfeld value. The amplitude of AB oscillations in the therm opower and Lorenz number is strong near the K ondo tem perature. A short paper which presents som e of these results appeared elsew here¹⁶.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the m odel H am iltonian is introduced and the form ulation of electric and heat currents is sum m arized leaving the details to Appendix A and B. In Sec. III, the modied

noncrossing approximation (NCA) is brie y introduced for our m odel system . N um erical results from solving the NCA equations self-consistently are presented in Sec. IV and a conclusion is included in Sec. V.

MODELAND FORMALISM II.

In this section, we form ulate the electric and heat currents in the Aharonov-Bohm interferom eter which contains a quantum dot in one arm. The model system is shown in Fig. 1. Using the Keldysh's nonequilibrium G reen's function $m = thod^{17,18}$, the currents are derived in a Landauer-Buttiker form . The phase-coherence of the model system enables us to study the K ondo e ects of a quantum dot, the Fano interference between the direct path and the K ondo-resonant path, and the A haronov-Bohm e ects by applying magnetic elds.

W hen the quantum dot lies in the K ondo regime or when there is an odd number of electrons within a dot and the spin of a highest-lying electron is unpaired, the quantum dot can be e ectively considered as a m agnetic in purity with spin $\frac{1}{2}$. The highest-lying electron can then be described by the Anderson impurity model treating other electrons as a background. The model H am iltonian may be written as $H = H_0 + H_1$ where

$$H_{0} = \frac{X X}{p^{k} c^{y}_{pk} c_{pk}} (1a)$$

$$H_{1} = \frac{1}{V} \frac{X^{h}}{1} \frac{X^{h}}{1} \frac{1}{V} \frac{1}{1} \frac{X^{h}}{1} \frac{1}{V} \frac{1}{1} \frac{X^{h}}{1} \frac{1}{V} \frac{1}{V_{LR} c^{y}_{LR} c_{RR^{0}} + H \kappa:}{V_{pd} c^{y}_{pk} d + H \kappa::} (1b)$$

H $_{\rm 0}$ describes the isolated system of a dot and leads and the tunneling between a dot and two leads is described by the tunneling H am iltonian H $_1$. Here c_{pk} is the electron annihilation operator of spin direction in the p = L(left) p = R (right) lead. d is the annihilation operator of the highest-lying electron with unpaired spin in a quantum dot. T_{LR} is the direct tunneling matrix from the right lead to the left one and $V_{\rm dp}$ is the hopping am plitude from the left or right electrode to a quantum dot (d). In our model study, the wave vector dependence of these tunneling m atrices is neglected.

Since electrons can ow from left to right in two different paths (direct tunneling and resonant tunneling via a quantum dot), quantum interference is expected when the phase coherence of electrons is retained. Applying a magnetic eld to the system, we can study the A haronov-Bohm (AB) e ect on the transport. The AB phase = 2 = 0 is incorporated into the tunneling matrices in a manner that $V_{dL} T_{LR} V_{RL} = J_{dL} T_{LR} V_{RL} \dot{p}^{i}$.

is the magnetic ux passing through the system as

shown in Fig.1 and $_0 = hc=e$ is the ux quantum . Applied magnetic elds are assumed to be not strong enough to split the doubly degenerate spin states of a quantum dot.

The electric current operator can be de ned as a change in the number of electrons per unit time in the left electrode.

$$\hat{f}_{L} = e(N_{L}) = \frac{e}{i} N_{L}; H]$$

$$= \frac{e}{i} \frac{1}{V} X^{h} T_{LR} c_{LR}^{y} c_{RR^{0}} T_{RL} c_{RR^{0}}^{y} c_{LR}$$

$$+ \frac{e}{i} \frac{1}{V} V^{h} V_{Ld} c_{LR}^{y} d V_{dL} d^{y} c_{LR}$$

$$(2)$$

Here N_L = $P_{k} c_{Lk}^{y} c_{Lk}$ is the num ber operator in the left lead. The heat current operator is also de ned as a change in the therm all energy per unit time in the left lead.

$$\hat{Q}_{L} = \frac{1}{i \sim} \mathbb{H}_{L}^{\circ}; \mathbb{H}]: \qquad (3)$$

 $H_{L}^{'}$ is the H am iltonian of the left lead without the chem icalpotential shift due to the source-drain voltage. U sing the current conservation in a steady state, both currents can be written in a Landauer-Buttiker form in terms of the G reen's function of a dot.

$$I_{L} = \frac{2}{h} d e_{L} T() f_{L}() f_{L}() f_{L}()]:(4)$$

Here $f_p() = f(p;T_p)$ is the Ferm i-D irac therm all distribution function in the lead p = L;R when each lead is in therm all equilibrium at temperature T_p . $p = eV_p$ is the chem ical potential shift due to the applied source-drain bias voltage. T() is the transmission probability spectral function through an AB ring and is related to the G reen's function G_d^r of a dot by the equation,

$$T() = T_0()$$
 Im $[Z_R G_d^r]$: (5)

The set term $T_0\left(\right)$ comes from the direct tunneling and is given by the expression.

$$T_{0}() = \frac{4 \overline{N}_{L}()\overline{N}_{R}()}{\mathcal{D}^{r}()^{2}}; \qquad (6)$$

Here = ${}^{2}N_{L}N_{R} \int T_{LR} \int$ is the dimensionless measure of direct tunneling of electrons between two leads. N_{L,R} is the density of states (DOS) at the Ferm i level of the left, right leads, respectively. The overlined DOS is normalized such that its value is unity at the Ferm i energy. D^r() = 1 g()q^r() and the de nitions of reduced G reen's functions q_{p}^{ra} () of each lead (p = L;R) can be found in Appendix A. The resonant tunneling through the quantum dot and the interference e ect are all included in the second term of T().

$$Z_{LR} = \frac{4Z_{L}^{r}Z_{R}^{r}}{-1} :$$
 (7)

In the absence of the direct tunneling, Z_{LR} is reduced to the familiar expression, 4 $_{LR} = (L + R)$. The parameters of $Z_{L,R}^{r}$ and $_{L,R}^{r}$ () are tabulated in the table I. Detailed derivations and de nitions of new notations can be found in Appendix A. The line-broadening parameter $_{p} = N_{p} j J_{dp} j^{2}$ (p = L, R) measures the hopping rate of electrons between the quantum dot and the leads. The complex number $z = {}^{2}N_{L}N_{R}V_{dL}T_{LR}V_{Rd} =$

 $\begin{array}{c} F_{\rm L} = R \ e^{i} \ \mbox{contains the e} \ ect of applied m agnetic \ elds \\ through the Aharonov-Bohm phase = 2 = _0. This \\ \mbox{com bination of tunneling matrices } V_{dL} T_{LR} V_{Rd} \ has the \\ m eaning that electrons hop o the quantum dot into \\ the right lead, tunnel from the right lead to the left one \\ via the direct tunneling, and hop back on the quantum \\ dot. One com plete circulation of an electron's motion \\ along the Aharonov-Bohm ring picks up the AB phase \\ generated by the enclosed m agnetic ux, . Note that <math>Z_p^r(;) = Z_p^r(;)$ and $p(;) \in p(;)$ for p = L; R, but L(;) + R(;) = L(;) + R(;). These relations under the inversion of the m agnetic ux leads to the (broken) AB phase symmetry in (out of) equilibrium, respectively.

In the wide conduction band limit, all the relevant G reen's functions of two electrodes become energyindependent except for the therm al functions. The transm ission probability spectral function reduces to (see Appendix B for details)

$$T() = \frac{4}{(1+)^{2}} + \frac{4_{L}^{R}}{L+R} \operatorname{Im} G_{d}^{r}$$

$$\frac{1}{1+} = \frac{4}{L+R} \operatorname{Im} G_{d}^{r} - Z_{R}^{r} + R_{R}^{r} Z_{L}^{r} \quad (8)$$

The rst term is the transm ission amplitude due to the direct tunneling. The second term is the expected form for transport through a quantum dot with the renorm alized hopping rates $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}$. The third term is the interference between two current paths. We can further simplify the transm ission probability spectral function T () as

$$T() = T_{0} + 2^{p} \overline{gT_{0}(1 - T_{0})} \cos ReG_{d}^{r} + T_{0} g(1 - T_{0}\cos^{2}) \operatorname{Im} G_{d}^{r}; \quad (9a)$$

$$T_0 = \frac{4}{(1 +)^2}; \quad g = \frac{4_{L R}}{(L +)^2}; \quad (9b)$$

Here is equal to $_{\rm L} + _{\rm R} = (_{\rm L} + _{\rm R}) = (1 +)$. Note that T_0 is the transm ission probability through the direct tunneling, and g is the maximum dimensionless linear conductance through a quantum dot in the absence of the direct tunneling. This dimensionless conductance also provides measures of the asymmetry in the coupling of a quantum dot to the left and right electrodes.

In the linear response regime, we can expand the electric and heat currents up to the linear terms of V = $V_L - V_R$ and T = $T_L - T_R$. The transport coe cients

TABLE I: New parameters are tabulated in this table.

(a)	$Z_{L}^{r}(;) = \frac{\overline{N}_{L}()}{D^{r}()p^{r}()j} + R[g_{R}^{r}()]^{2} + (z+z)g_{R}^{r}()$	
(d)	$Z_{R}^{r}(;) = \frac{\overline{N}_{R}()}{D_{r}^{r}()D^{r}()j} R + L [g_{L}^{r}()]^{2} + (z + z)g_{L}^{r}()$	
(C)		
(d)	$\frac{1}{p^{r}(\mathbf{j})} = \frac{\overline{N}_{R}(\mathbf{j})}{p^{r}(\mathbf{j})^{2}} + L \dot{y}_{L}^{r}(\mathbf{j})^{2} + Z g_{L}^{r}(\mathbf{j}) + Z g_{L}^{a}(\mathbf{j})$	

 L_{ij} are de ned by the relations,¹⁹

$$\begin{array}{rcl} I_{L} & = & L_{11} & L_{12} & V_{L} & V_{R} \\ Q_{L} & = & L_{21} & L_{22} & T_{L} & T_{R} \end{array} \textbf{;} \qquad (10)$$

and can be expressed in terms of the transport integral,

$$I_n(T) = \frac{2}{h}^{2} d^{-n}T() \frac{d}{d} ;$$
 (11)

as $L_{11} = e^2 I_0$, $L_{21} = L_{12}T = eI_1$ and $L_{22} = I_2 = T$. The linear response conductance, $G = \lim_{V \to 0} dI = dV = L_{11}$, is given by the equation,

$$G = e^2 I_0 (T);$$
 (12)

which measures particle-hole symmetrical part of the transmission probability T() with respect to the Fermi level. The therm opower of a quantum dot in a two-term inal con guration can be found in an open circuit (I = 0) by measuring the induced voltage drop across a quantum dot when the temperature di erence between two leads is applied. The therm opower is dened by the relation

S
$$\lim_{T_{L} \stackrel{!}{:} T_{R}} \frac{V_{L}}{T_{L}} \frac{V_{R}}{T_{R}} = 0$$
 (13)

and can be expressed as

$$S = \frac{L_{12}}{L_{11}} = \frac{k_B}{e} \frac{I_1}{k_B T I_0}$$
: (14)

Here the constant k_B =e is approximately 86:17 $\,$ V/K. In most metals, the therm opower is of the order of a few $\,$ V/K.Since the integral I_1 measures the rstmoment of energy in the transmission probability, the therm opower probes the particle-hole asymmetric part in T () with respect to the Ferm ienergy. The therm al conductance through an AB ring can be expressed in terms of these transport integrals as

$$= \frac{1}{T} I_2 \frac{I_1^2}{I_0} :$$
 (15)

The therm al conductance probes the particle-hole sym – metric part of the transmission probability.

III. NON-CROSSING APPROXIMATION

To study the therm celectric e ects of our model system, we have to nd the Green's function, G_d , of a

quantum dot. Due to the strong repulsive C oulom b interaction in a dot, the calculation of G_d is nontrivial and needs a many-body technique. For this purpose, we adopt the noncrossing approximation (NCA), which is a self-consistent diagrammatic method (for details, see Refs.^{20,21,22,23,24}). In our model system, the direct tunneling path renormalizes the Anderson hybridization functions and leads to a ux-dependent e ective continuum band for the Anderson in purity (quantum dot), and the NCA integral equations are accordingly modi ed as shown below.

The NCA has been very successful in studying the Anderson in purity models in the K ondo regime both in nom almetals^{20,21} and out of equilibrium ^{22,23,24}. The zero-tem perature analysis of the NCA self-energies reveals that the NCA gives rise to the nonanalytic behavior of the G reen's functions near the Ferm i energy. However this nonanalytic behavior shows up in the nite tem perature NCA below the pathological tem perature T_p. The value of T_p can be estimated analytically²⁰ and T_p T_K, where T_K is the K ondo tem perature, so that the interesting K ondo e ects in physical quantities can be computed down to tem peratures far below T_K but still larger than T_p.

D ue to the direct tunneling between the two electrodes, the e ective continuum band for the Anderson impurity (quantum dot) is modied from two simple leads. In general, the self-energy for an electron on an Anderson impurity embedded in an electron continuum band can be decomposed into two terms, the one-body and many-body contributions,

$$_{d}() = _{Z^{c}}() + _{U}();$$
 (16a)

$$_{c}() = \frac{d}{d} - \frac{e()}{+i}$$
: (16b)

Here $_{\rm U}$ () is the self-energy due to the on-site C oulom b interaction, while the rst term $_{\rm c}$ com es from hopping into the continuum band. In our m odel system, the one-body contribution $_{\rm c}$ () is given by the Eq.(A 7b) and can be written as

$$c(\mathbf{t};\mathbf{t}^{0}) = \frac{1}{V} \frac{X X}{\sum_{p=L;R KK^{0}} V_{dp} \overline{G}_{p} (Kt; K^{0} \mathbf{t}^{0}) V_{pd}} + \frac{1}{V} \frac{X X}{\sum_{p=L;R KK^{0}} V_{dp} \overline{G}_{pp0} (Kt; K^{0} \mathbf{t}^{0}) V_{pd}: (17)$$

The two auxiliary G reen's functions, \overline{G}_p and G_{pp0} , are introduced in Appendix A. This one-body self-energy



FIG. 2: NCA self-energy diagrams for (a) a pseudoferm ion and (b) a slave boson. The dashed (wavy) lines represent the propagators of pseudoferm ion (slave boson), respectively. The solid lines (look at Fig.10 for de nitions) are the e ective continuum to the Anderson in purity m odel and represent all the multiple tunnelings between two leads (p = L; R). Open (solid) circle denotes the tunneling matrix between two leads (between a quantum dot and leads), respectively.

show sup as the continuum band propagator in the Feynm an diagram s of the pseudoparticle G reen's functions, which will be de ned below.

In this paper, we take the limit of U ! 1 and rem ove the double occupancy in the highest-lying electron's state. Since we are interested in the Aharonov-Bohm effect and the K ondo e ect in the therm oelectric transport coe cients, the essential physics can be obtained using the in nite U NCA approach. In general the vertex correction in the self-energy is needed to get the correct K ondo energy scale²⁵ when all three Fock spaces of an electron in a dot (empty, singly occupied, and doubly occupied con gurations) are included.

In the in nite U NCA, pseudoparticle G reen's functions are introduced for the empty and singly occupied states, and the self-energy equations for these propagators are solved self-consistently to second order in $V_{\rm dL}$ and $V_{\rm dR}$. The empty state is represented by a slave boson operator b and singly occupied states (doubly degenerate) are denoted by the pseudoferm ion operator f . The highest lying electron's annihilation operator in a dot can then be represented as

$$d = b^{y}f :$$
 (18)

The NCA self-energy diagrams for a pseudoferm ion and a slave boson are displayed in Fig.2 for ourm odel system and their equations are

$$_{f}(t;t^{0}) = i - G_{b}(t;t^{0}) _{c}(t;t^{0});$$
 (19a)

$$_{b}(t;t^{0}) = i \sim N_{s} G_{f}(t;t^{0}) c(t^{0};t);$$
 (19b)

respectively. Here N $_{\rm s}$ = 2 accounts for the two spin directions or the degeneracy of pseudoferm ion state. G $_{\rm b}$ and G $_{\rm f}$ are the G reen's functions of the slave boson and pseudoferm ion operators and are de ned as

$$i \sim G_{b}(t;t^{0}) = hT_{c}b(t)b^{y}(t^{0})i;$$
 (20)

$$i \sim G_{f}(t;t^{0}) = hT_{c}f(t)f^{y}(t^{0})i;$$
 (21)

P rojecting onto the physical H ilbert space²⁴, the lesser and retarded self-energies can be shown to be given by the equations,

$$r_{f}() = \frac{d}{r_{f}^{2}}G_{b}^{r}()^{2}()^{2}();$$
 (22a)

$$f_{f}^{<}() = \frac{d}{2}G_{b}^{<}()_{c}^{<}();$$
 (22b)

$${}_{b}^{r}() = N_{s} - \frac{d}{z^{2}} G_{f}^{r}(+)_{c}^{<}();$$
 (22c)

$$_{b}^{<}() = N_{b} \frac{d}{2} G_{f}^{<}(+)_{c}^{>}():$$
 (22d)

These four equations are our NCA integral equations to be solved. The lesser and greater self-energies of a quantum dot due to the hopping into the two leads are

where the Anderson hybridization functions are given by the equations,

$$\begin{array}{c} - \frac{\overline{N}_{L}(r)}{p^{r}(r)^{2}} = \frac{\overline{N}_{L}(r)}{p^{r}(r)^{2}} + r^{2} g_{R}^{r}(r)^{2} \\ + z g_{R}^{r}(r) + z g_{R}^{a}(r); \qquad (24a) \\ - \frac{\overline{N}_{R}(r)}{p^{r}(r)^{2}} = \frac{\overline{N}_{R}(r)}{p^{r}(r)^{2}} + r^{2} g_{L}^{a}(r); \qquad (24b) \end{array}$$

Two Ferm i-D irac functions $f_{\rm L}$ and $f_{\rm R}$ describe the thermal distribution in the left and right electrodes, respectively and $f_{\rm p}=1$ fp. The Anderson hybridization functions, $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}$, are renormalized due to the direct tunneling term . In a wide conduction band limit, the renormalized Anderson hybridization becomes independent of energy variable and simpli es to

$$-_{L;R} () = \frac{_{L;R} + _{R;L} 2 - _{L,R} \sin}{(1 +)^2} :(25)$$

This reduction of couplings between the quantum dot and the two leads results in the sm aller K ondo tem perature than in the absence of the direct tunneling. In addition, the e ective Anderson hybridization functions are explicitly dependent on the A haronov-B ohm phase . Note that the AB phase dependence of the Anderson hybridization functions is di erent in the above self-energies and in the equations of table I (c) and (d) de ned in the expression for the transmission probability. W hen a nite source-drain bias voltage is applied, $f_{\rm L}\,$ is not equal to f_R , and the lesser and the greater self-energies $\frac{2}{3}$ do not rem ain invariant under the inversion of the magnetic . Out of equilibrium, the AB phase symmeux, ! try is broken in the pseudoparticle self-energies and the G reen's function of a quantum dot so that the transm is-. In sion probabilities are not the same under ! equilibrium, $f_L = f_R$ and the AB phase symmetry under 1 is recovered.

IV. RESULTS

Although we use the formalism presented in Appendix A which treats electrodes with a general density of states (DOS), in actual num erical work a Lorentzian DOS is adopted,

$$g_{\rm L}^{\rm r}$$
 () = $g_{\rm R}^{\rm r}$ () = $\frac{{\rm D}}{+{\rm i}{\rm D}}$: (26)

Here D is the bandwidth of two leads and is used as the energy unit (D = 1). The formalism for the at DOS in the leads or the wide conduction band limit is useful in analyzing our numerical results. Throughout our numerical works, we use the following set of model parameters for a quantum dot. The energy level of a dot is chosen to be $_{\rm d}$ = 0.5D. The values of $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}$ are adjusted to satisfy both the total linewidth, $_{\rm L}$ + $_{\rm R}$ = 0.14D, and the chosen value of g (the asymmetry coupling factor).

Since the elective Anderson hybridization function or linewidth of the quantum dot gets smaller due to the direct tunneling between two leads, the Kondo tem – perature T_K is also suppressed. In a wide conduction band limit, the linewidth is = L() + R() = (L + R) = (1 + N), which is independent of the AB phase T_K can be estimated by the equation,

$$T_{K} = D c exp \frac{1}{c};$$
 (27)

where the dimensionless exchange coupling c is dened by the relation c $2 = j_d j$. One notable point is that the K ondo temperature is very sensitive to the value of the direct tunneling probability T_0 .

In the NCA approach to the Anderson in purity, the one-body hopping term (V_{dL} and V_{dR}) is used as the expansion parameter. Since only a subset of the self-energy diagram s is included up to the in nite order, the NCA underestimates the one-body contribution ($_{\rm c}$) to the self-energy of an in purity site. A coordingly, the Fermi liquid relation²⁶ is not satis ed at T = 0K and the K ondo resonance peak is exaggerated especially for the orbitally nondegenerate S = $\frac{1}{2}$ Anderson m odel. This may lead to the violation of the causality relation well below $T_{\rm K}$, or to the negative spectral weight in other renormalized G reen's functions^{24,27} (the transm ission probability T () near = 0 in our case). We can remedy this unphysical situation by exploiting the Fermi liquid relation²⁶ for the self-energy of a dot,

$$\operatorname{Im} \, {}^{r}_{d} \, (= 0; T = 0) = : \qquad (28)$$

This relation follows from the fact that the dot's selfenergy due to the Coulomb interaction U satis es the following relation²⁸,

Im _U (;T) / ² +
$$[k_B T]^2$$
; (29)

when k_B T;jj $\,$ D;U . However the self-energy $_{\rm NCA}$ of a dot computed from the NCA always satis es the



FIG. 3: Tem perature dependence of a G reen's function of a dot near the Ferm i energy. (a) The imaginary part or the spectral function develops the K ondo resonance peak near the Ferm i energy with lowering temperature. (b) The real part develops a dip and peak structure with cooling. The temperature T is varied as $T=T_{\rm K}=100;20;4;0:8;0:16;3:2$ 10²;6:3 10³;1:3 10³. The last four temperature curves cannot be distinguished with the naked eye.

following inequality,

$$Im_{NCA} (= 0; T = 0) < :$$
 (30)

That is, the imaginary part of the NCA self-energy is always less than the Ferm i liquid value, \cdot . In fact, the NCA does a relatively good job in producing the energy and temperature dependence of the self-energy near the Ferm i energy, but underestim ates the one-body contribution to the dot's self-energy as noted above. Note that the one-body contribution is temperature-independent, and its form is known once the shape of the Anderson hybridization is given. We can make corrections in the one-body contribution to the NCA self-energy of a dot such that the above Ferm i liquid relation is qualitatively satis ed. W ith this schem $e^{24,27}$, we rem edy the unphysicalbehavior of the NCA results at low temperatures well below T_K in our work.

Transm ission probability spectral function, T (). The transm ission probability shows the crossover behavior as a function of temperature due to the K ondo correlation in the K ondo dot. At high tem peratures above $T_{\rm K}$, electrons ow from the left lead to the right one predom in nantly via the direct tunneling since the tunneling of an electron from the leads to the dot is prohibited by the strong C oulom b repulsion (C oulom b blockade). In this case, the AB e ect and the Fano interference in the transmission probability are weak. As the system is cooled below $T_{\rm K}$, the K ondo resonance peak in the quantum dot develops close to the Ferm i level and provides a new channel for the ow of electrons though the dot. The new ly opened current path interference and a strong AB e ect in the transmission probability.

The general structure of the transmission spectral functions near the Ferm i level (= 0) can be read o from the equation (9a). As shown in Fig. 3 Im G_d^r develops the K ondo resonance peak with its width of the order of T_K near = 0 while $\operatorname{Re} G_d^r$ varies very rapidly over the energy scale of T_K near = 0 with a dip just below = 0 and a peak above = 0. The overall shape of the transmission spectral function T () is determined by the value of the AB phase and the sign of $_c$ [see Eq. (9a)],

$$_{\rm c}$$
 I_0 $g(1 \ T_0 \cos^2)$: (31)

A typical Fano interference pattern { a dip and peak structure { is expected when $\cos \ \epsilon \ 0$. At $\cos \ = \ 0$, T () has a dip (peak) resonance structure if $_c > \ (<)0$, respectively. Furtherm ore, we have one exact relation at zero tem perature for the transm ission probability at the Ferm i level (= 0), T (0) = g, which derives from the Ferm i liquid relation²⁶ for the G reen's function of a dot, Im G $_d^r(0) = 1 = \overline{$.

In equilibrium, T(;) = T(;) or the transmission probability remains invariant under the inversion of the magnetic ux, ! . This AB phase symmetry or Onsager relation can be deduced from the expression of T () in Eq.(5) or Eq.(9a). If G_d^r () remains invariant under the transform ation ! , so is the transmission probability. In equilibrium, the Ferm i-D irac therm ald istribution functions in two leads are identical or $f_{\rm L}$ = $f_{\rm R}$. $G_{d}^{r}(;) = G_{d}^{r}(;)$ since the total Anderson hybridiza-) is an even function of and tion $_{\rm L}$ (;) + $_{\rm R}$ (; is reduced to $\overline{}$ = ($_{\rm L}$ + $_{\rm R}$)=(1 +) in a wide conduction band lim it which does not depend on the AB phase. Due to the AB phase symmetry and the periodicity in we have only to consider T () in the range, 0 Out of equilibrium or when a nite source-drain voltage is applied, the two therm ald istribution functions are not identical so the AB phase symmetry is broken in G_d and T().

W hen the direct tunneling is weak, so is the Fano interference, and the K ondo-related resonance peak persists for the entire range of the AB phase. As the direct tunneling is increased, the overall m agnitude of the transm ission probability is also increased, and the Fano interference becom es m ore pronounced.



FIG.4: Tem perature dependence of the transm ission probability near the Ferm i energy for the asymm etrically coupled dot. The model parameters are chosen as the asymmetrical factor g=0.5 and the direct tunneling probability $T_0=0.3$. The AB phase is varied as (a) =0, (b) =90, (c) =180.

W hen g = 1 or the quantum dot is coupled sym m etrically to the left and right leads ($_L = _R$), the transm ission probability T () for the case of $T_0 = 0.5$, is presented in the short paper¹⁶. We brie y sum marize the shape of T () in this case. W hen $\cos = 0$, the transm ission probability has a K ondo-related resonance peak near the Ferm i level. W hen $\cos = 0$, the typical shape of a Fano antiresonance show s up in the transm ission probability. W hen $0 < \cos < 1$, a dip (peak) structure develops below (above) the Ferm i level (E_F), respectively. On the other hand, a dip (peak) structure arises above (below) E_F , respectively, when $1 < \cos < 0$.

In Figs. 4 and 5, we present the computed T () when the couplings are asymmetrical or g < 1. The AB phase dependence of T () is displayed in Fig. 4 when g = 0.5and $T_0 = 0.3$. Comparing the curves in Fig. 4 and in Fig. 2 in our short paper¹⁶, the overall shape of T () is not qualitatively dimensionally and symmetrically coupled dots when $\cos 6 0$. When = 0[see Fig. 4 (a)], T () shows a typical Fano interference



FIG.5: Temperature dependence of the transm ission probability near the Fermi energy for the asymmetrically coupled dot when = 90. The asymmetrical coupling factor is g = 0.5 and the direct tunneling probability is varied as (a) $T_0 = 0.1$, (b) $T_0 = 0.5$, (c) $T_0 = 0.9$ from top to bottom panels.

= 0 and a peak above pattern, a dip structure below = 0. W ith further increasing for =2 < , the Fano resonance pattern is inverted with respect to = 0 compared to the case 0 < =2. For a symmetrical K ondo resonance peak at = 0 or when the energy structure in a quantum dot is particle-hole symmetric, exact inversion is expected, T(;) = T(;)), for 0 =2. In our case of the in nite U calculation, the doubly occupied con guration in the dot is rem oved from our consideration, and particle-hole symmetry is broken. Due to this broken particle-hole symmetry, the spectral function of the dot has more spectral weight in the electron excitations (> 0) than the hole excitations (< 0)and the relation T(;) = T(;)) for 0 does not hold in our case, which can be seen by com paring the curves in Fig. 4 (a) and (c).

W hen $\cos = 0$ or = 90, $_{\rm c}$ is equal to T_0 g and $\operatorname{ReG}_{\rm d}^{\rm r}$ is absent in T (). The shape of T () near = 0 is solely determined by the sign of $_{\rm c}$. $_{\rm c}$ remains always negative in the case of a symmetrically coupled dot so



FIG.6: Electric conductance and AB e ects. M odel parameters: g = 1 (symmetrically coupled dot). D irect tunneling probability (a) $T_0 = 0.1$, (b) $T_0 = 0.5$, (c) $T_0 = 0.9$ from top to bottom panels.

that the K ondo resonance peak persists in the transm ission probability spectral function over the entire range of the direct tunneling amplitude To. W ith increasing $T_{\rm 0}$, the K ondo-related peak becom es sm aller and in the end is buried by the magnitude of T_0 as T_0 ! 1. Note that T () is less than or equal to one for all energies in our one transport mode model. The transmission spectral function reaches its maximum possible value g = 1, a unitary K ondo resonance tunneling. On the other hand, c can change its sign from minus to plus in the case of an asymmetrically coupled dot. T () retains its peak structure near = 0 until $_{c} < 0$ [See Fig. 5 (a)]. The maximum value of T () is set by the value of g. W hen $_{c} = 0 \text{ or } T_{0} = g \text{ [see Fig. 5 (b)], } T() = T_{0} \text{ is a con-}$ stant. The interference com pletely washes out the tun-=2 neling probability through the quantum dot. The dip structure develops replacing the peak near = 0 as soon as c becom es positive with increasing direct tunneling am plitude, $T_0 > g$ [See Fig. 5 (c)]. The dip structure becomes more pronounced with further increasing T_0 .

Electric conductance, G (Γ ;). The temperature and AB phase dependence of the electric conductance is dis-



FIG.7: Lorenz num ber and AB e ects. g = 1 and $T_0 = 0.5$.

played in Fig. 6 for a symmetrically coupled dot. The temperature dependence of the electric conductance is determined by the values of T_0 and . When T_0 is small, the electric conductance ismonotonically increasing with lowering temperature for all AB phases. When T_0 is large, G (T;) is increasing for some range of and decreasing for others (see Fig. 6).

The direct tunneling probability is a temperatureindependent constant, while the transmission through the K ondo dot is strongly temperature dependent and shows crossover behavior as a function of temperature due to the K ondo correlation. Since the AB e ects derive from the interference between two paths, the amplitude of the AB oscillation depends on the magnitude of the transmission coe cients for the two arms of the AB interferom eter. A stronger direct tunneling amplitude, T_0 , leads to a larger amplitude of the AB oscillation.

The amplitude of the AB oscillation also shows crossover behavior as a function of temperature. The amplitude is weak (strong) at high (low) temperatures above (below) $T_{\rm K}$, respectively. This crossover behavior derives from the Fano interference and the K ondo correlation in the quantum dot. Since the quantum dot lies in the C oulom b blockade regim e at high temperatures above $T_{\rm K}$, the G reen's function of the dot is negligibly sm all near the Ferm i level so that the amplitude of the AB oscillation is weak. On the other hand, the K ondo correlation with low ering temperature below $T_{\rm K}$ opens a new transport channel through the quantum dot and the amplitude of the AB oscillation is enhanced.

Therm al conductance and Lorenz ratio, (T;)=T and L (T;). The diagonal transport coe cients, the electric and therm al conductances, are in uenced by the Fano interference and the AB ux in the same way. The variation of (T;)=T versus T or is very similar to that of electric conductance G (T;). The Lorenz number L (T;) is de ned by the ratio of two diagonal conductances

$$L(T;) = \frac{(T;)}{TG(T;)}$$
: (32)

The variation of L (T) is displayed in Fig. 7 as a func-



FIG.8: Therm opower and AB e ects. (a) g = 1 and $T_0 = 0.1$, (b) g = 0.5 and $T_0 = 0.3$

tion of tem perature T and the AB phase . Our results obey the W iedem an-Franz law satisfying the relation $\lim_{T \ ! \ 0} L(T;) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{k_B^2}{e^2}$, This relation is independent of the AB ux. The AB oscillation in the Lorenz number is strong in the crossover region or near the K ondo tem – perature.

Therm opower, S. Since therm opower measures the average of the transm ission probability weighted by the electronic excitation energy with respect to the Ferm ienergy, it is a very sensitive probe of the particle-hole asym metry in the transmission probability T (). As shown in Figs. 4 and 5, the shape of T () can be modulated through the Fano interference by the AB ux and the direct tunneling am plitude T₀. The sign of the therm opower can probe this structure. The sign of the therm opower is one of the experim ental tools which can determ ine the main carriers of charge and heat. W hen more spectral weight lies in the electron excitations than in the hole excitations, the main carriers of charge and heat are electron excitations, and the sign of S is negative [see Figs. 4(a) and 8(b)]. In the opposite case, the main carriers are hole excitations, and the sign of S is positive [see Fig. 4(c) and 8(b)]. In the particle-hole symmetric case (not shown here), electron and hole excitations carry the sam e am ount of electric and heat currents. The signs of electric (heat) current are the same (opposite) for electron and hole excitations. The net result is that the therm opower is zero. The particle-hole symmetric case

can be realized when the quantum dot is located in the center of the C oulom b blockade diam ond.

Since the main part of our computed therm opower S in the AB interferom eter is already reported in our short paper¹⁶, we brie y sum marize the main results. We nd that the sign of S can be changed by the energy structure in a quantum dot^{16,29} or the gate voltage capacitively coupled to the dot and by the AB ux¹⁶. The magnitude of S is anom alously enhanced and is of the order of k_B =e(86:17 V=K) within the crossover region or near the K ondo temperature. For comparison, S is of order V=K in m ost of norm alm etals. The sam e enhancem ent of S is well known in heavy ferm ion system s and in the bulk K ondo system s^{20,21,30}.

W hen the direct tunneling amplitude is weak or ${\rm T}_{\rm 0}$

1, the sign of S remains invariant under the inversion of AB ux at temperatures near or less than T_K [see Fig.8(a)]. This result follows from the fact that the Fano interference is weak and the K ondo-related peak persists in T () over the entire range of AB phase . The sign change in S with AB ux can be realized when the direct tunneling T_0 is increased [see Fig.8(b) and also Fig. 3 in our short paper¹⁶].

The am plitude of an AB oscillation in the therm opower is strongest within the crossover temperature region which is similar to the Lorenz number. The am plitude is weak (strong) when the direct tunneling is sm all (large), respectively [see Figs. 8 (a) and (b)].

The sign of S can be changed by varying the direct tunneling amplitude T_0 . When $T_0=0.1$ in Fig. 5(a), the transm ission probability has more spectral weight in the electron excitations than in the hole excitations. In this case, the therm opower is negative. In Fig. 5(b) or when $T_0=g=0.5, T()$ is more or less particle-hole symmetric so that S 0. When the direct tunneling is increased or $T_0=0.9$ [Fig. 5(c)], holes are the main carriers since the transm ission probability is featured with more spectral weight below the Ferm i energy. In this case, the therm opower is positive.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this work we studied the e ects of Fano interference and Aharonov-Bohm phase on the diagonal and o -diagonal transport coe cients in an AB ring with an embedded quantum dot in the Kondo regime. The transport properties shows crossover behavior from a high-tem perature regime with no Kondo correlation to a low -tem perature regime with Kondo correlation. The crossover behavior manifests itself in the amplitude of an AB oscillations in the diagonal transport coe cients, the electric and therm al conductances. The amplitude is sm all at high tem peratures above T_K but becomes enhanced below T_K by the Kondo correlation in the quantum dot. In the case of therm opower, the amplitude of an AB oscillation is strongest within the crossover region near the Kondo tem perature. The Lorenz number, de-

(a)
$$G_{dp} = \underbrace{\stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \overline{p}}_{p} \oplus \underbrace{\stackrel{p}{\bigoplus} \overline{p}}_{p} \oplus \underbrace{\stackrel{p}{$$

FIG.9: Feynm an diagram s for the m ixed G reen's functions which appear in the expression of current. D ouble wavy lines represent the G reen's function of a quantum dot. The solid line with one arrow m eans the conduction electron propagator in the lead p = L; R. The solid line with two arrows is the auxiliary G reen's function de ned in Fig.10(a).

ned as the ratio of electric and therm al conductances, is xed at the Som m erfeld value near zero tem perature, but also shows AB oscillations near the K ondo tem perature.

The e ect of the AB ux is more dram atic in the therm opower than in diagonal transport coe cients. In addition to the AB oscillations in m agnitude, even the sign of therm opower can be m odulated by the AB ux. In the case of weak direct tunneling am plitude, the sign change is not possible under the AB ux since the Fano inference is weak. W hen the direct tunneling am plitude is increased, the AB ux can change the sign of the therm opower.

M ost of studies in quantum dots have focused on the IV curves. Charge con nem ent is relatively easy with the use of the gate voltages, but the heat con nem ent is a more di cult job possibly because of the easy transfer of heat via other excitations. With more advances in nanotechnology, therm celectric transport coe cients will provide additional inform ation about the quantum transport in quantum dot system s.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work is supported in part by the BK 21, in part by the N ational Science Foundation under G rant N o. D M R 9357474, and in part by grant N o. 1999–2–114–005–5 from the K o SEF.

APPENDIX A: DERIVATION OF EQUATION (5)

In this section, we derive the expression of electric and therm al currents for general shape of the density of states ($D \circ S$) for two leads. Since the derivation of therm alcurrent is the sam e as electric current, we derive the expression of electric current below in detail and discuss brie y therm alcurrent at the end.

U sing the nonequilibrium G reen's function m ethod¹⁷, the electric current can be expressed in term s of the lesser

(a)
$$\overline{G}_p = \underbrace{\xrightarrow{p}}_{p} + \underbrace{\xrightarrow{p}}_{p} \underbrace{\overline{p}}_{p} \underbrace{\overline{p}}_{p} + \underbrace{\xrightarrow{p}}_{p} \underbrace{\overline{p}}_{p} \underbrace{\overline{p}}_{p} \underbrace{\overline{p}}_{p} + \underbrace{\xrightarrow{p}}_{p} \underbrace{\overline{p}}_{p} \underbrace{\overline{p}}_{$$

FIG. 10: Auxiliary G reen's functions and one-body selfenergy of a dot. Explaining the bare interaction vertex, the open circle means the direct tunneling matrix and the solid circle denotes the hopping am plitude between a quantum dot and two leads.

m ixed G reen's functions,

$$I_{L} = \frac{2e}{h} \frac{1}{V} X^{Z} \qquad h \qquad i$$

$$I_{LR} G_{RL}^{<} (K^{0}; \tilde{K};)$$

$$+ \frac{2e}{h} \frac{1}{V} X^{Z} \qquad h \qquad i$$

$$H^{K^{0}} \qquad d \text{ Im } V_{Ld} G_{dL}^{<} (\tilde{K};); (A1)$$

where two mixed G reen's functions are de ned by the equations

$$i \sim G_{dp}(k;t;t^0) = h I d (t) c_{pk}^{\gamma} (t^0) i;$$
 (A 2a)

$$i \sim G_{pp^{0}}(kt; k^{0}t^{0}) = hT_{pk}^{c}(t)c_{p^{0}k^{0}}^{v}(t^{0})i:$$
 (A 2b)

The Feynm an diagram softhese twom ixed G reen's functions are shown in Fig.9. The mixed G reen's function $G_{\rm dp}$, shown in Fig.9(a), is given by the equation

$$G_{dp}(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{t};\mathbf{t}^{0}) = \frac{1}{\overline{V}} X^{2} dt_{1} G_{d}(\mathbf{t};\mathbf{t}_{1}) V_{dp} \overline{G}_{p}(\mathbf{K}_{1}\mathbf{t}_{1};\mathbf{K}^{0}) dt_{1} G_{d}(\mathbf{t};\mathbf{t}_{1}) V_{dp} \overline{G}_{p}(\mathbf{K}_{1}\mathbf{t}_{1};\mathbf{K}^{0}) dt_{1} dt_{1} G_{d}(\mathbf{t};\mathbf{t}_{1}) V_{dp} \overline{G}_{p}(\mathbf{K}_{1}\mathbf{t}_{1};\mathbf{K}^{0}) dt_{1} dt_{1}$$

The subscript C in the integral symbol denotes the Keldysh contour. The auxiliary G reen's function \overline{G}_p is de ned in Fig.10(a) and is determined by the following D yson-like equation

G $_{\rm p}$ is the G reen's function of the conduction electrons in the lead p when T $_{\rm L\,R}$ = 0 = V $_{\rm dp}$.

$$i \sim G_p(k;t;t^0) = hT_{pk} (t) c_{pk}^{y} (t^0) i_0$$
: (A 5)

 G_d is the G reen's function of a quantum dot,

$$i \sim G_{d}(k;t;t^{0}) = hT d (t)d^{y}(t^{0})i;$$
 (A 6)

and its self-energy ($_{\rm d}$) consists of two parts, the one-body contribution($_{\rm c}$) com ing from tunneling into two reservoirs and the many-body contribution ($_{\rm U}$) due to the on-site C oulom b interaction U . Fig. 10 (b) gives the one-body contribution to the self-energy of a quantum dot.

$$C_{c}(\mathbf{t};\mathbf{t}^{0}) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathsf{p}^{\mathsf{K}\mathsf{K}^{0}}}^{\mathsf{A}} V_{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{p}}\overline{\mathsf{G}}_{\mathsf{p}}(\mathsf{K}\mathsf{t};\mathsf{K}^{0}\mathsf{t}^{0}) V_{\mathsf{p}\mathsf{d}} + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathsf{p}^{\mathsf{K}\mathsf{K}^{0}}}^{\mathsf{A}} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathsf{K}_{1}}^{\mathsf{A}} \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathsf{K}_{1}}^{\mathsf{A}} d\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{I}} V_{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{p}}\overline{\mathsf{G}}_{\mathsf{p}}(\mathsf{K}\mathsf{t};\mathsf{K}_{1}\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{I}}) T_{\mathsf{p}\mathsf{p}}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{p}}(\mathsf{K}^{0};\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{I}};\mathsf{t}^{0}) V_{\mathsf{p}\mathsf{d}}:$$
(A 7b)

c includes all the multiple tunneling processes between two leads. Feynm an diagram softhe mixed G reen's function

 G_{pp} is shown in Fig. 9(b) and G_{pp} is given by the equation

$$G_{pp}(kt;k^{0}t^{0}) = G_{pp0}(kt;k^{0}t^{0}) + \frac{1}{V} X^{2} dt_{1} dt_{2} dt_{2} h_{\overline{G}_{p}}(kt;k_{1}t_{1}) V_{pd} + G_{pp0}(kt;k_{1}t_{1}) V_{pd}$$

$$G_{d}(t_{1};t_{2}) V_{dp}\overline{G}_{p}(k_{2}t_{2};k^{0}t^{0}) + V_{dp}G_{pp0}(k_{2}t_{2};k^{0}t^{0}); \qquad (A 8a)$$

$$G_{pp0}(\tilde{K}t;\tilde{K}^{0}t^{0}) = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\tilde{K}_{1}}^{X} dt_{1} \overline{G}_{p}(\tilde{K}t;\tilde{K}_{1}t_{1})T_{pp}G_{p}(\tilde{K}^{0};t_{1};t^{0}):$$
(A 8b)

Г

Another auxiliary G reen's function G_{pp0} , de ned in Fig. 10(c), is introduced to facilitate the algebra. Introducing the wave-vector-sum m ed G reen's functions,

$$G_{p}(t;t^{0}) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k}^{X} G_{p}(k;t;t^{0});$$
 (A 9a)

$$\overline{G}_{p}(t;t^{0}) = \frac{1}{V} X^{T} \overline{G}_{p}(\tilde{k}t;\tilde{k}^{0}t^{0}); \qquad (A 9b)$$

$$G_{pp}(t;t^{0}) = \frac{1}{V} \underset{r}{\overset{X}{\int}} G_{pp}(kt;k^{0}t^{0});$$
 (A 9c)

$$G_{dp}(t;t^{0}) = \frac{1}{\overline{V}} \sum_{k}^{X} G_{dp}(k;t;t^{0}); \quad (A 9d)$$

the electric current can be written as

$$I_{L} = \frac{2e}{h} N_{s} d \text{ Im } T_{LR} G_{RL}^{<} ()
 + \frac{2e}{h} N_{s} d \text{ Im } V_{Ld} G_{dL}^{<} () : (A10)$$

Here N $_{\rm s}$ = 2 is two possible spin directions of conduction electrons in the reservoirs. The wave-vector-sum m ed G reen's functions are determ ined by the following equations,

$$G_{dL}(t;t^{0}) = dt_{1} D(t;t_{1}) V_{dL}\overline{G}_{L}(t_{1};t^{0}) + V_{dR}G_{RL0}(t_{1};t^{0}); \qquad (A11a)$$

$$\overline{G}_{L}(t;t^{0}) = G_{L}(t;t^{0}) + dt_{1} dt_{2} \overline{G}_{L}(t;t_{1})T_{LR}G_{R}(t_{1};t_{2})T_{RL}G_{L}(t_{2};t^{0}); \qquad (A \ 11c)$$

$$G_{RL0}(t;t^{0}) = dt_{1} G_{R}(t;t_{1})T_{RL}\overline{G}_{L}(t_{1};t^{0}) = dt_{1} \overline{G}_{R}(t;t_{1})T_{RL}G_{L}(t_{1};t^{0}):$$
(A 11d)

 $G_{\,{\rm L\,R}}\,$ is obtained from the equation (A 11b) by interchanging L $\$ R .

 G_R

The retarded, advanced, and lesser G reen's functions

of the left and right reservoirs (sum m ed over the wave vector) can be written as

$$G_{p}^{r;a}() = \frac{1}{V} \frac{X}{\sum_{k=1}^{p_{k}} \frac{1}{p_{k}}} = \frac{Z}{d} \frac{N_{p}()}{i} = N_{p} g_{p}^{r;a}();$$
 (A12a)

$$G_{p}^{<}() = 2 N_{p}() f_{p}() = 2 N_{p} \overline{N}_{p}() f_{p}() 2 N_{p} F_{p}();$$
 (A 12b)

(A 12c)

Here N_p() = N_p \overline{N}_p () is the DOS of two leads and is normalized such that \overline{N}_p (0) = 1. Reduced G reen's function $g_p^{r/a}$ is introduced to simplify our algebra below and \overline{N}_p () = $\operatorname{Im} \check{g}$ (). The auxiliary G reen's functions, given by the

equations (A 11c, A 11d), are

$$\overline{G}_{p}^{r;a}() = \frac{G_{p}^{r;a}()}{D^{r;a}()} = N_{p} \frac{g_{p}^{r;a}()}{D^{r;a}()};$$
(A13a)

$$\overline{G}_{p}^{<}() = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^{r}()^{2}_{J}} G_{p}^{<}() + \mathcal{I}_{LR} \mathcal{I}_{J}^{2} \mathcal{G}_{p}^{r}()^{2}_{J} G_{p}^{<}(); \qquad (A 13b)$$

$$G_{pp0}^{r;a}() = \frac{T_{pp}}{D^{r;a}()} G_{p}^{r;a}() G_{p}^{r;a}() = \frac{T_{pp}}{D^{r;a}()} {}^{2}N_{L}N_{R} g_{L}^{r;a}() g_{R}^{r;a}(); \qquad (A 13c)$$

$$G_{pp0}^{<}() = \frac{T_{pp}}{\mathcal{D}^{r}()^{2}} \quad G_{p}^{<}()G_{p}^{a}() + G_{p}^{r}()G_{p}^{<}()$$

$$= \frac{T_{pp}}{\mathcal{D}^{r}()^{2}} 2^{-2}N_{L}N_{R} \quad g_{p}^{a}()F_{p}() + q_{p}^{r}()F_{p}(): \qquad (A13d)$$

New variables are introduced,

$$D^{r_{i}a}() = 1 \quad \text{I}_{R} \stackrel{f}{} G_{L}^{r_{i}a}() G_{R}^{r_{i}a}() = 1 \qquad \text{g}^{r_{i}a}() g_{R}^{r_{i}a}(); \qquad (A 14a)$$
$$= {}^{2}N_{L}N_{R} \text{I}_{LR} \stackrel{f}{} f; \quad p = N_{p} \text{I}_{dp} \stackrel{f}{} f: \qquad (A 14b)$$

The electric current [Eq.(A10)] can be written as

$$I_{L} = \frac{2e}{h}^{Z} d \operatorname{Im} G_{IL}^{<}(); \qquad (A 15a)$$

$$G_{IL}^{<}() = 2T_{LR} G_{RL}^{<}() + 2V_{Ld} G_{dL}^{<}()$$

$$= 2T_{LR} G_{RL0}^{<}()$$

$$+ 2G_{d}^{a} f V_{dL} \overline{G}_{L}^{a} + V_{dR} G_{RL0}^{a} g T_{LR} \overline{fG}_{R}^{c} V_{Rd} + G_{RL0}^{<} V_{Ld} g$$

$$+ 2G_{d}^{c} f V_{dL} \overline{G}_{L}^{c} + V_{dR} G_{RL0}^{c} g T_{LR} \overline{G}_{R}^{c} V_{Rd} + (1 + T_{LR} G_{RL0}^{c}) V_{Ld} g$$

$$+ 2G_{d}^{c} f V_{dL} \overline{G}_{L}^{a} + V_{dR} G_{RL0}^{a} g T_{LR} \overline{G}_{R}^{c} V_{Rd} + (1 + T_{LR} G_{RL0}^{c}) V_{Ld} g$$

$$+ 2G_{d}^{c} f V_{dL} \overline{G}_{L}^{a} + V_{dR} G_{RL0}^{a} g T_{LR} \overline{G}_{R}^{c} V_{Rd} + (1 + T_{LR} G_{RL0}^{c}) V_{Ld} g; \qquad (A 15b)$$

Note the following reduction of equations

$$V_{dL}\overline{G}_{L}^{a} + V_{dR}G_{RL0}^{a} = \frac{G_{L}^{a}}{D_{r}}V_{dL} + V_{dR}G_{R}^{a}T_{RL}] = \frac{G_{L}^{a}}{D_{r}}\overline{V}_{dL}^{a};$$
(A16a)

$$1 + T_{LR} G_{RL0}^{r} = \frac{1}{D^{r}};$$
 (A 16b)

$$V_{dL}\overline{G}_{L}^{<} + V_{dR}G_{RL0}^{<} = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{P}^{r}\mathfrak{f}} \frac{h}{V_{dL}}G_{L}^{<} + \overline{V}_{dR}^{r}G_{R}^{<}T_{RL}G_{L}^{a}; \qquad (A \, 16c)$$

$$\overline{G}_{R}^{<} V_{Rd} + G_{RL0}^{<} V_{Ld} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^{r} f} G_{R}^{<} \overline{V}_{Rd}^{a} + G_{R}^{r} T_{RL} G_{L}^{<} \overline{V}_{Ld}^{a}; \qquad (A \, 16d)$$

where the renorm alized tunneling matrices are introduced to simplify the equations.

$$\overline{V}_{dp}^{r;a} \qquad V_{dp} + V_{dp} G_p^{r;a} T_{pp}; \qquad (A 17a)$$

$$\overline{V}_{pd}^{r;a} \qquad V_{pd} + T_{pp}G_p^{r;a}V_{pd}:$$
(A 17b)

The current spectral function in the left lead can be written as

$$G_{IL}^{<}() = \frac{4}{\mathcal{D}^{r} \mathring{f}} fg_{L}^{a}()F_{R}() + \mathfrak{q}_{R}^{f}()F_{L}()g$$

$$+ \frac{2}{\mathcal{D}^{r} \mathring{f}} \frac{G_{d}^{a}}{D^{a}} \nabla_{dL}^{a} G_{L}^{a} T_{LR} G_{R}^{<} \nabla_{Rd}^{a} + \mathcal{J}_{LR} \mathring{f}G_{L}^{a} G_{R}^{r} - \overset{a}{\mathcal{V}}_{L} G_{L}^{<} \nabla_{Ld}^{a}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{\mathcal{D}^{r} \mathring{f}} \frac{G_{d}^{r}}{D^{r}} \nabla_{dL}^{r} G_{L}^{<} \nabla_{Ld}^{r} + \nabla_{dR}^{r} G_{R}^{<} T_{RL} G_{L}^{a} \nabla_{Ld}^{r}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{\mathcal{D}^{r} \mathring{f}} \frac{G_{d}^{c}}{D^{r}} \nabla_{dL}^{r} G_{L}^{<} \nabla_{Ld}^{r} + \overline{\nabla}_{dR}^{r} G_{R}^{<} T_{RL} G_{L}^{a} \overline{\nabla}_{Ld}^{r}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{\mathcal{D}^{r} \mathring{f}} G_{d}^{<} \overset{a}{\mathcal{F}} \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_{dL}^{a} \mathring{f}: \qquad (A18)$$

We also nd the current spectral function in the right lead,

$$G_{IR}^{<}() = \frac{4}{p^{r}j^{2}}fg_{R}^{a}()F_{L}() + f_{L}^{c}()F_{R}()g + \frac{2}{p^{r}j^{2}}\frac{G_{d}^{a}}{D^{a}}^{n}\overline{V}_{dR}^{a}G_{R}^{a}T_{RL}G_{L}^{<}\overline{V}_{Ld}^{a} + J_{LR}^{2}f_{R}^{a}G_{L}^{r} \qquad \overset{a}{\underline{V}}_{R}^{a}G_{R}^{<}\overline{V}_{Rd}^{a} + \frac{2}{p^{r}j^{2}}\frac{G_{d}^{r}}{D^{r}}^{n}\overline{V}_{dR}^{r}G_{R}^{<}\overline{V}_{Rd}^{r} + \overline{V}_{dL}^{r}G_{L}^{<}T_{LR}^{r}G_{R}^{a}\overline{V}_{Rd}^{r} + \frac{2}{p^{r}j^{2}}G_{d}^{<} \qquad \overset{a}{\underline{V}}\overline{y}_{dR}^{a}f_{l}^{2}:$$
(A19)

Since only the imaginary parts are relevant to the expression of electric current, the current spectral function in each lead can be further simplied.

$$\operatorname{Im} G_{IR}^{<}() = T_{0}() ff_{L}() \quad f_{R}()g + 2G_{d}^{<} \quad R() + \frac{2}{\mathcal{D}^{r} \int^{2}} \operatorname{Im} \quad \frac{G_{d}^{r}}{D^{r}} \nabla_{dR}^{r} G_{R}^{<} \nabla_{Rd}^{r} [L \quad J_{LR}^{r} \int^{2} G_{R}^{r} G_{L}^{a}] + \nabla_{dL}^{r} G_{L}^{<} T_{LR} [G_{R}^{a} \quad G_{R}^{r} \overline{N}_{Rd}^{r}] \quad (A 20b)$$

where we introduced the transmission probability T_0 for the direct tunneling and the renormalized Anderson hybridization \overline{P}_p ,

$$T_{0}() = \frac{4}{\mathcal{D}^{r} \mathcal{J}} \overline{N}_{L}() \overline{N}_{R}(); \qquad (A21a)$$

$$P_{p}() = \frac{N_{p}()\overline{y}_{dp}^{a}f}{D^{r}()f};$$
(A21b)

To simplify the algebra, we write

$$\operatorname{Im} G_{Ip}^{<}() = T_{0}() f_{p}() = f_{0}() g + 2G_{d}^{<} - X_{p}() + 2 A_{pq}G_{q}^{<}(); \qquad (A 22a)$$

$$A_{LL} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^r f} \operatorname{Im} \quad \frac{G_d^r}{D_r^r} \overline{V}_{dL}^r \overline{V}_{Ld}^r [1 \quad f_{LR}^r f_{G_L}^r G_R^a]; \qquad (A 22b)$$

$$A_{LR} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^{r} \mathcal{J}} \operatorname{Im} \quad \frac{G_{d}^{r}}{D^{r}} \overline{V}_{dR}^{r} T_{RL} [G_{L}^{a} \quad G_{L}^{r} \overline{N}_{Ld}^{r}; \qquad (A 22c)$$

$$A_{RL} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^{r} \mathcal{J}} \operatorname{Im} \quad \frac{G_{d}^{r}}{D^{r}} \overline{V}_{dL}^{r} T_{LR} \left[G_{R}^{a} \quad G_{R}^{r} \, \overline{N}_{Rd}^{r} \right] ; \qquad (A \, 22d)$$

$$A_{RR} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}^{r} f} \operatorname{Im} \quad \frac{G_{d}^{r}}{D_{r}} \overline{V}_{dR}^{r} \overline{V}_{Rd}^{r} [I \quad J_{LR}^{r} f_{R}^{r} G_{L}^{a}] : \qquad (A 22e)$$

U sing the current conservation in a steady state, $I_L + I_R = 0$, the expression of $G_d^{<}$ can be obtained in terms of the retarded and advanced G reen's functions.

$$G_{d}^{<} = \frac{1}{\prod_{L} () + \prod_{R} ()} (A_{LL} + A_{RL})G_{L}^{<} + (A_{LR} + A_{RR})G_{R}^{<} :$$
 (A 23)

Inserting the lesser G reen's function of a quantum dot into G $_{\rm IL}^{\,<}$, we $\,$ nd the current spectral function,

$$\operatorname{Im} G_{\mathrm{IL}}^{<}() = T_{0}() [f_{\mathrm{R}}()] + \frac{2}{()} (R_{\mathrm{R}}A_{\mathrm{LL}} - A_{\mathrm{R}}A_{\mathrm{L}})G_{\mathrm{L}}^{<} + (R_{\mathrm{R}}A_{\mathrm{LR}} - A_{\mathrm{R}})G_{\mathrm{R}}^{<} : \qquad (A 24)$$

A fter som e algebra, we can readily derive the following identities,

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}^{r} A_{LL}} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}^{r} f} \operatorname{Im} \frac{G_{d}^{r}}{D^{r}} D^{a} N_{R} () \overline{V}_{dL}^{r} \overline{V}_{Ld}^{r} \overline{V}_{Rd}^{r};$$
 (A25a)

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_{R}} A_{LR} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_{r}} \operatorname{Im} \frac{G_{d}^{r}}{\mathcal{D}_{r}} D^{a} \qquad N_{L} () \overline{V}_{dL}^{r} \overline{V}_{Ld}^{r} \overline{V}_{dR}^{r} \overline{V}_{Rd}^{r};$$
 (A 25b)

U sing these results, the current spectral function is reduced to a simple form ,

$$\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{G}_{\operatorname{IL}}^{<}() = \operatorname{T}() [\underline{f}()]; \qquad (A 26a)$$

$$T() = T_0()$$
 Im $[G_d^{x} Z_{LR}]$: (A 26b)

New ly introduced param eters are given by the equations,

$$Z_{LR} = \frac{4Z_{L}^{r} Z_{R}^{r}}{\frac{1}{L}() + \frac{1}{R}()};$$
 (A 27a)

$$Z_{L}^{r}() = \frac{N_{L}()V_{dL}^{r}V_{Ld}^{r}}{D^{r}()\mathcal{P}^{r}j} = \frac{N_{L}()}{D^{r}()\mathcal{P}^{r}j} + R_{R}[g_{R}^{r}()]^{2} + (z+z)g_{R}^{r}(); \qquad (A 27b)$$

$$Z_{R}^{r}() = \frac{N_{R}()V_{dR}V_{Rd}}{D^{r}()\mathcal{D}^{r}j} = \frac{N_{R}()}{D^{r}()\mathcal{D}^{r}j} R + L \left[g_{L}^{r}()\right]^{2} + (z+z)g_{L}^{r}(); \qquad (A27c)$$

$$\frac{-1}{2} () = \frac{N_{L} () \dot{y}_{dL}^{a} \dot{f}}{\mathcal{D}^{r} \dot{f}} = \frac{\overline{N}_{L} ()}{\mathcal{D}^{r} \dot{f}} _{L} + _{R} \dot{y}_{R}^{r} () \dot{f} + z g_{R}^{r} () + z g_{R}^{a} () ;$$
 (A 27d)

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{N_{R}(1) y_{dR}^{a} f}{p^{r} f} = \frac{\overline{N_{R}(1)}}{p^{r} f} = \frac{\overline{N_{R}(1)}}{p^{r} f} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} y_{L}^{r} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} y_{L}^{r} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$$

Here $z = {}^{2}N_{L}N_{R}V_{dL}T_{LR}V_{Rd} = {}^{p}$ $_{\rm L R}e^{\rm i}$ where is the A haronov-B ohm phase due to the magnetic ux threading through the AB ring.

Them alcurrent can be derived in the same way. The only di erence between electric and them alcurrents is the multiplying factor in the integrands of the Landauer-Buttiker formula. The charge of an electron, e, in the electric current is replaced by the energy of an electron, L, in the therm all current leaving the left lead. The therm all current is given by the expression,

$$Q_{L} = \frac{2}{h}^{Z} d (_{L}) T() [f_{L}() f_{L}()]:$$
 (A28)

r

Here T () is the transm ission probability which we derived in the above.

APPENDIX B:W IDE CONDUCTION BAND LIM IT

In a wide conduction band lim it, all the G reen's functions related to the leads become energy-independent constants. Especially, $g_p^{r;a}() = i, N_p() = 1$, and $D^{r;a}() = 1 + .$ The expression of the current can be highly simplied in this case. All the relevant G reen's functions of two leads are given by the equations,

$$G_{p}^{r;a}() = i N_{p};$$
 (Bla)

$$G_{p}^{<}() = 2 N_{p}f_{p}();$$
 (B1b)

$$\overline{G}_{p}^{r;a}() = \frac{i N_{p}}{1+}; \quad (B1c)$$

$$\overline{G}_{p}^{<}() = \frac{2 N_{p}}{(1 +)^{2}} [f_{p}() + f_{p}()];$$
 (B1d)

$$G_{pp0}^{r;a} = \frac{2N_{\rm L}N_{\rm R}}{1+} T_{pp};$$
 (B1e)

$$G_{pp0}^{<} = \frac{2i^{2}N_{L}N_{R}}{(1+)^{2}}T_{pp}[f_{p}()] + [B1f]$$

The param eters introduced in the previous Appendix are also sim pli ed,

$$Z_{L}^{r} = \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} L & R & i(z+z) \end{bmatrix}; \quad (B2a)$$

$$Z_{L}^{r} = \frac{1}{(1+z)^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} L & R & i(z+z) \end{bmatrix}; \quad (B2b)$$

$$Z_{R}^{r} = \frac{1}{(1+)^{2}} [_{R} \qquad _{L} \qquad i(z+z)]; \quad (B 2b)$$

$$- \frac{1}{L} = \frac{1}{(1+)^{2}} [_{L} + R \qquad i(z-z)]; \quad (B 2c)$$

$$\frac{1}{R} = \frac{1}{(1+)^2} [R + L + i(z z)]; (B2d)$$

F inally, the param eters in the transm ission spectral function becom e

$$Z_{LR} = \frac{4}{(1+)^{3}} \begin{bmatrix} L & R & i(z+z) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R & L & i(z+z) \end{bmatrix}$$

=
$$\frac{-h}{T_{0}} g(1 - T_{0} \cos^{2}) + 2i gT_{0}(1 - T_{0}) \cos^{2} i$$
 (B3)

Here = = (1 +) and = $_{\rm L}$ + $_{\rm R}$. After some algebra, we nd Im G[<]_{IL} to be Im G[<]_{IL} () = T () [${\mbox{\tt f}}$ ()] with

$$T() = \frac{4}{(1+)^2} + \frac{4_{L}R}{L+R} \operatorname{Im} G_d^r + \frac{1}{1+} \frac{1}{L+R} \operatorname{Im} G_d^r - LZ_R + RZ_L :$$
(B4)

literature.^{16,31,32}

The transmission spectral function $T \ ($) can be written in another form ,

$$T() = T_0 + 2 \frac{p}{gT_0(1 - T_0)} \cos \text{ReG}_{d}^{r} + T_0 g(1 - T_0 \cos^2) \text{Im} G_{d}^{r}; (B5)$$

$$T_0 = \frac{4}{(1+)^2}; \quad g = \frac{4 L_R}{(L+R)^2}; \quad (B 6)$$

- ¹ A. Yacoby, M. Heiblum, D. Mahalu, and H. Shtrikman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4047 (1995).
- ² R. Schuster, E. Buks, M. Heiblum, D. Mahalu, V. Umansky, and H. Shtrikman, Nature 385, 417 (1997).
- ³ Y.Ji, M.Heiblum, D.Sprinzak, D.Mahalu, and H.Shtrikman, Science 290, 779 (2000).
- ⁴ Y. Ji, D. M ahalu, and H. Shtrikm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 076601 (2002).
- ⁵ J.Gores, D.Goldhaber-Gordon, S.Heemeyer, M.A.Kastner, H.Shtrikman, D.Mahalu, and U.Meirav, Phys.Rev. B 62, 2188 (2000).
- ⁶ K.Kobayashi, H.Aikawa, S.Katsum oto, and Y.Iye, Phys. Rev.Lett. 88, 256806 (2002).
- ⁷ V.Madhavan, W.Chen, T.Jam neala, M.F.Crommie, and N.S.W ingreen, Science 280, 567 (1998).
- ⁸ J.Li, W .Schneider, R.Bemdt, and B.Delley, Phys.Rev. Lett. 80, 2893 (1998).
- ⁹ N.C.M anoharan, C.P.Lutz, and D.M.Eigler, Nature 403, 512 (2000).
- ¹⁰ T.W. Odom, J.-L. Huang, C.L. Cheung, C.M. Lieber, Science 290, 1549 (2000).
- ¹¹ For the case of noninteracting electrons, see, for exam ple, G.D.Guttman, E.Ben-Jacob, and D.J.Bergman, Phys. Rev. B 51, 17758 (1995); Ya.M.Blanter, C.Bruder, R. Fazio, and H.Schoeller, Phys.Rev.B 55, 4069 (1997); M. V.Moskalets, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.114, 1827 (1998).
- ¹² D. Goldhaber-Gordon, H. Shtrikman, D. Malahu, D. Abusch-Magder, U. Meirav, and M A. Kastner, Nature 391, 156 (1998).
- ¹³ SM. Cronenwett, TH. Oosterkamp, and LP. Kouwenhoven, Science 281, 540 (1998).
- ¹⁴ J.Nygard, D H.Cobden, and PE.Lindelof, Nature 408, 342 (2000).
- ¹⁵ L.J. G lazm an and M E. Raikh, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 47, 378 (1988) [JETP Lett. 47, 452 (1988)]; T K. Ng and P A.

Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 1768 (1988).

¹⁶ T.-S.K in and S.Hersh eld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 136601 (2002).

 T_0 and g are the dimensionless conductance of direct

tunneling and a resonant tunneling through a quantum dot, respectively. We note that the expression of T() in the wide conduction band limit was derived in the

- ¹⁷ L.V. Keldysh, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 47, 1515 (1964). [Sov. Phys. JETP 20, 1018 (1965)].
- ¹⁸ D.C. Langreth, 1976, in Linear and Nonlinear Electron Transport in Solids, Vol.17 of NATO Advanced Study Institute, Series B: Physicsi, edited by J.T. Devreese and V.E. van Doren (Plenum, New York, 1976), p. 3.
- ¹⁹ U.Sivan and Y.Im ry, Phy. Rev. B 33, 551 (1986).
- ²⁰ N E. Bickers, Rev. M od. Phys. 59, 845-939 (1987); N E. Bickers, D L. Cox, and JW . W ilkins, Phys. Rev. B 36, 2036 (1987).
- ²¹ T.-S.K im and D.L.Cox, Phys. Rev. B 55, 12594 (1997).
- ²² Y.Meir, N.S.W ingreen, and P.A.Lee, Phys.Rev.Lett. 70, 2601 (1993); N.S.W ingreen and Y.Meir, Phys.Rev. B 49, 11040 (1994).
- ²³ M H.Hettler, J.K roha, and S.Hersh eld, Phys.Rev.Lett. 73, 1967 (1994).
- ²⁴ T.S.Kim and S.Hersh eld, Phys. Rev. B 63, 245326 (2001).
- 25 Th.Pruschke and N.Grewe, Z.Phys.B 74, 439 (1989).
- 26 D $\mathcal L$.Langreth, Phys.Rev.150, 516 (1966).
- ²⁷ D L. Cox and N. Grewe, Z. Phys. B 71, 321 (1988); E. Kim and D L. Cox, Phys. Rev. B 58, 3313 (1998).
- ²⁸ K. Yam ada, Prog. Theor. Phys. 53, 970 (1975); Prog. Theor. Phys. 54, 316 (1975).
- ²⁹ T.-S.K im and S.Hersh eld, unpublished.
- ³⁰ T.-S.K im and D.L.Cox, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75, 1622 (1995).
- ³¹ B.R.Bulka and P. Stefanski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5128 (2001).
- ³² W .H ofstetter, J.K onig, and H.Schoeller, Phys.Rev.Lett. 87, 156803 (2001).