ESR investigation of the spin dynamics in $(G d_1 x Y_x)_2 P dS i_3$

J.Deisenhofer, H.-A.Krug von Nidda, and A.Loidl

Experim entalphysik V, EKM, Universitat Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germany

E.V.Sam pathkum aran

Tata institute of Fundam ental Research, Hom i Bhabha Road, Mumbai-400 005, India

A bstract

We report electron-spin resonance measurements in polycrystalline sam ples of (G d $_1$ xY $_x$) $_2$ P dSi $_3$. We observe the onset of a broadening of the linewidth and of a decrease of the resonance eld at approximately twice the Neel temperature in the paramagnetic state, this characteristic temperature coincides with the electrical resistivity minimum. The high-temperature behaviour of the linewidth is governed by a strong bottleneck e ect.

K ey words: E lectron Spin R esonance, G d alloys, m agnetoresistance PACS: 76.30.v, 75.30.Vn, 75.30.Kz

1 Introduction

It is of general believe that due to the close f-shell G d ions do not show exotic magnetic behaviour in solid-state environment. However, recently it has been reported that some G d alloys exhibit interesting phenomena including large magnetoresistance e ects [1,2,3,4]. A metal-to-insulator-like transition has been reported for G d $_5$ G e $_4$ [5]. In addition, a large number of the G d-based intermetallics reveal large negative magnetoresistance (MR) e ects arising from peculiar magnetic precursor e ects [6]. Recently, the G d transition-metal silicides and germanides with 2:1:3 stoichiometry (AB $_2$ -type hexagonal structure [7]) came into focus, as some of these compounds even show a K ondolike minimum in the temperature dependence of the resistivity well above the magnetic ordering temperatures [4,8,9]. In polycrystalline G d $_2$ P dSi $_3$ (T $_N$ = 21 K), the compound of interest in this article, a pronounced minimum occurs

at about 45 K, which has been con rmed in single crystalline Gd_2PdSi_3 Saha et al. [10]. The minimum persists even when diluting the Gd sublattice by Y in $(Gd_1 \ _xY_x)_2PdSi_3$ [4]. Chaika et al. investigated the electronic structure for R_2PdSi_3 with $R=La_cCe_cGd$ and Tb by photoem ission and band-structure calculations [11] and found that in Gd_2PdSi_3 the Gd 4f level is far below the Ferm i level and hence should not signi cantly hybridize with the band states. In order to shed some light on the unusual magnetic and electronic properties of this compound we system atically investigated the spin dynamics of $(Gd_1 \ _xY_x)_2PdSi_3$ by electron-spin resonance (ESR).

2 Experim ental details and results

The sam ples were prepared by arc melting and are taken from the same batch as those used in previous investigations by Mallik et al. [4]. ESR measurements were performed in a Bruker ELEXSYS E500 CW-spectrometer at X-band frequencies ($9.47~{\rm GHz}$) equipped with a continuous He-gas-ow cryostat in the temperature region $4.2 < T < 300~{\rm K}$. The polycrystalline samples were powdered, placed into quartz tubes and xed with para n. ESR detects the power P absorbed by the sample from the transverse magnetic microwave eld as a function of the static magnetic eld H. The signal-to-noise ratio of the spectra is improved by recording the derivative dP=dH with lock-in technique.

2.1 ESR spectra and intensity

Typical ESR spectra are presented in Fig. 1 and illustrate the evolution of the G d resonance with Y concentration x (left column) and temperature T (right column). As expected, no ESR signal could be observed for x=1. For x<1, within the whole param agnetic regime the spectrum consists of a broad, exchange narrowed resonance line, which is well tted by a D ysonian line shape [12]. As in the present compounds the linewidth H is of the same order of magnitude as the resonance eld H $_{\rm res}$, both circular components of the exciting linearly polarized microwave eld have to be taken into account. Therefore the resonance at the reversed magnetic eld H $_{\rm res}$ has to be included into the t formula for the ESR signal, given by

$$\frac{dP}{dH} / \frac{d}{dH} \frac{(H + (H + H_{res}))^{2} + H^{2}}{(H + H_{res})^{2} + H^{2}} + \frac{H + (H + H_{res})^{2}}{(H + H_{res})^{2} + H^{2}}$$
(1)

This is an asymmetric Lorentzian line, which includes both absorption and dispersion, where denotes the dispersion-to-absorption ratio. Such asymmetric line shapes are usually observed in metals, where the skine ect drives electric




Fig. 1. ESR spectra of $(G d_{1} x Y_{x})_{2} P dSi_{3}$. Left column: various Y concentrations x at T = 100 K.R ight column: temperature evolution of the ESR spectrum for x = 0. Solid lines represent the tsusing the Dysonian line shape, Eq. (1).

and magnetic microwave components out of phase in the sample and therefore leads to an admixture of dispersion into the absorption spectra. For samples sm all compared to the skin depth one expects a symmetric absorption spectrum (= 0), whereas for samples large compared to the skin depth absorption and dispersion are of equal strength yielding an asymmetric resonance line (= 1). At high Y concentrations the spectra are nearly sym m etric with respect to the resonance eld in accordance with the pure absorption spectra for = 0. W ith decreasing x they become more and more asymmetric corresponding to an increasing parameter . To check, whether the skin e ect is the reason for the asymmetric line shape, we have to estimate the skin depth = $(=_0!)^{0.5}$ from the electric resistance and the microwave frequency ! = 2 10^{-7} V s/Am). Using the resistivity values determ ined by M allik et al. [4] - for example at 60 K for x = 0, = 0.25 m cm -we nd a skin depth = 5.7 m. Therefore the skin depth is comparable to or even smaller than the grain size (40 m) in accordance with the asymmetry of the ESR spectra. The large increase of the linewidth on decreasing temperatures (see Fig. 1, right column) results in an increasing uncertainty in determining both, resonance eld H res and dispersion-to-absorption ratio accurately, because both quantities are correlated, while the linewidth remains relatively una ected. As 150 K changes by only 20% [4], the resistivity of the present com pounds T it is reasonable to x the parameter for T < 50 K at a value determined for $T > 50 \,\mathrm{K}$, where is found to be nearly tem perature independent (e.g. = 0:7) for x = 0.2). The integrated intensity I_{ESR} of the resonance line measures the spin susceptibility $_{\rm S}$ of the ESR probe, ${
m I}_{{
m ESR}}$ $_{\mbox{\scriptsize S}}$. In the case of nonzero dispersion the intensity is determ ined by $I_{E\,SR}$ = A $H^{\,2}\,(1+\,^{\,2})^{0.5}$, where A




Fig. 2. Tem perature dependence of the ESR intensity I_{ESR} and the magnetic susceptibility (taken from Ref. 5) and the corresponding reciprocal values (inset) in $(Gd_{1} xY_{x})_{2}PdSi_{3}$. The solid lines in the inset represent ts using a CW behavior.

denotes the amplitude of dP = dH and $(1 + 2)^{0.5}$ takes changes of the dispersion into account. However, one has to be careful with this formula on approaching = 1. If the skin depth is small compared to the grain size, the microwave probes only a small fraction of the sample and changes of the resistivity with tem perature also change this fraction. Then the ESR intensity is not a sensible m easure of the spin susceptibility anym ore. Fortunately, the relative changes of the resistivity are small in the temperature regime of interest, and hence the ESR intensity is even useful, when approaches unity. Figure 2 shows both I_{ESR} and the susceptibility data from M allik et al. [4] for x = 0.2. Good agreem ent between the two sets of data can be observed throughout the whole concentration range in the param agnetic regim e. The peaks in the intensity appear at T = 20;15 and 8K for x = 0;0.2 and 0.5 respectively. Below the Neel-Tem perature T_N we still observe a distorted resonance signal for x as shown in Fig. 3 for x = 0.2, but due to the reasons mentionend above deviations between ESR intensity and the magnetic susceptibility appear. The inverse quantities $1=I_{ESR}$ and 1= , which are sketched for x=0.2 in the inset of Fig. 2, exhibit a linear tem perature dependence in the param agnetic regim e _{CW}) ¹ Curie-(T > T_N). The sam ples with x = 0;0.2 and 0.5 follow a (T Weiss (CW) law, with positive Curie-Weiss temperatures CW of 25,17 and $6 \text{ K, respectively. For } x > 0.5 \text{ the reciprocal ESR intensities } 1=I_{ESR} \text{ show no}$ deviations from a Curie law ($_{CW}$ = 0). The resonance lines below T_N (Fig. 3) exhibit a splitting into a broad absorption band with decreasing temperature, which can be interpreted in terms of antiferrom agnetic powder spectra with a sm all antiferrom agnetic gap [13]. However, the observation of resonance lines below T_N is a rather unusual feature of interm etallics [21].




Fig. 3. ESR spectra of $(Gd_{0:8}Y_{0:2})_2PdSi_3$ for $T=T_N$ (4-15 K), which have to be multiplied by the given amplication factors in order to get the original amplitude.

2.2 Temperature dependence of the ESR linewidth and the resonance eld

Generally, the ESR linewidth H m easures the spin-spin relaxation rate 1=T $_2$ of the Gd-spins, whereas the resonance eld H $_{\rm res}$ or elective g-value (! $_{\rm L}$ = g $_{\rm B}$ H $_{\rm res}$) gives inform ation about the local static magnetic eld at the Gd site. The linewidth is plotted versus temperature for all investigated samples in Fig. 4. As the temperature is lowered, the linewidth shows a monotonous behaviour that can be tted very well with a linear function H = c T. Then the linewidth passes a minimum at $T_{\rm min}$ $2T_{\rm N}$ and increases drastically while approaching the transition temperature to the magnetically ordered state. This feature is clearly seen for x 0.5, whereas for higher Y concentrations we can only detect the onset of a line broadening in the temperature range investigated.

In Fig. 5 we show the temperature dependence of the resonance eld H $_{\rm res}$ for all samples under investigation. Again, we have to distinguish between the Gd-rich concentration range x 0.5 and the samples with x 0.8: At high temperatures H $_{\rm res}$ is nearly constant for all concentrations and yields a g-value g 1.99 slightly below the free-electron value. For T < 100 K and x




Fig. 4. Tem perature dependence of the ESR linewidth H in $(Gd_{1}_{x}Y_{x})_{2}PdSi_{3}$. The solid lines represent a linear t for $T > T_{m \text{ in}}$, yielding a concentration dependence (in set) of the slope according to eq. (2).

0.5 we observe a dram atic shift of the resonance to lower elds, which coincides with the onset of the line broadening mentioned above. Finally, a distinct kink and an upturn to higher elds follows. The peak-like minima for x = 0; 0.2; 0.5 are found at 20, 15 and 6 K respectively. These temperatures are consistent with the ESR intensity and the magnetic-ordering temperatures obtained from measurements of the heat capacity and the magnetic susceptibility [4].




Fig. 5. Tem perature dependence of the ESR resonance eld H $_{\rm res}$ in $(Gd_{1~x}Y_{x})_{2}PdSi_{3}$.

3 Discussion

The tem perature dependencies of the g-value and the G d-ESR linewidth bear important information on the interaction between the localized G d-4f spins and the conduction electrons (for a review on the theory of ESR in metals, see e.g.Barnes [14], for experimental reviews see Taylor [15] and E Ischner and Loidl [16]). Due to its half-led 4f shell (4f 7 , spin J = S = 7=2) the orbital momentum of G d $^{3+}$ vanishes (L = 0), and therefore the G d spin does not relax directly to the lattice. But the energy is transferred via the exchange interaction to the conduction electrons, which them selves couple to the phonons of the lattice. This process is usually known as K orringa relaxation and yields a linear increase of the resonance linewidth H $_{\rm K}$ / bT with increasing temperature T, where the slope b is proportional to the squared electronic density of states N 2 (E $_{\rm F}$) at the Ferm i energy.

This holds as long as the back-scattering rate $_{\rm ei}$ of the conduction electrons to the G d ions is small compared to the scattering rate $_{\rm el}$ of the conduction electrons to the lattice. If however the form er becomes comparable or even larger than the latter, the so called bottleneck electrocurs and the K orringa law is modified by the ratio $B = _{\rm el} = _{\rm el}$ of both rates. This results in a reduced linear increase following [14]:

$$H(T) = H_K(T) \frac{B}{1+B}$$
 (2)

As the back-scattering rate $_{\rm ei}$ is proportional to the concentration of the localized spins (here $_{\rm ei}$ Gd concentration (1 x)), it is possible to prove the in uence of the bottleneck e ect in a given compound by the dependence of the linew idth on the concentration of magnetic ions.

The inset of Fig. 4 shows the slope c of the linear high-tem perature increase of the linew idth H (T) = c T in (G d₁ $_{\rm X}$ Y $_{\rm X}$) $_{\rm 2}$ P dSi $_{\rm 3}$ as a function of the G d-concentration (1-x). It is well tted by eq. (2), assuming a constant electron-lattice relaxation rate $_{\rm el}$, where B can be expressed as B = A = (1 $_{\rm X}$) with a constant parameter A . The resulting K orringa rate b = 10 0 e/K is typical for usual metals and related intermetallic compounds (e.g. LaC u $_{\rm 2}$ Si $_{\rm 2}$ [20]). Hence, at high tem peratures the system behaves like a regular metal under the strong in uence of the bottleneck e ect for all G d concentrations. U sually in unbottlenecked metallic hosts the g-value of G d diers from the value in non-metallic hosts by g = N (E $_{\rm F}$)J $_{\rm ie}$ (0) with the coupling constant J $_{\rm ie}$ between the G d-spins and the band states [14]. The fact that at high tem peratures the g value is not strongly shifted from g = 1:997, which is usually observed for G d $_{\rm 3}$ in insulators [16], is in accordance with the strong bottleneck. The 122-type compounds have been intensively investigated by ESR by

K aczm arska and coworkers during the last 15 years [19]. They found that the ESR behaviour of dense Gd systems depends on the transition metal with the thermal broadening parameter c decreasing with increasing number of delectrons from Co to Cu. For GdPd $_2$ Ge $_2$ a value of 2.0 Oe/K was found, [18] which is enhanced in comparison to $1.20\,\text{e/K}$ for Gd $_2$ PdSi $_2$ (see Fig. 3). This result is in agreement with regard to the relative Gd concentration, which is higher in the latter compound.

The increase of the resonance linewidth and the shift of the resonance eld towards lower temperatures on approaching magnetic order is a common feature of interm etallic com pounds containing rare-earth ions like G d as reported in the experim ental review by Taylor and Coles [21]. Generally, for antiferrom agnetic compounds they $\,$ nd no ESR signal below $\,$ T $_{
m N}$, but a broadening of the line at about $1.5T_N$ < T < $10T_N$. Som e system s like Pd₃Gd and EuA l4, however, show an ESR signal also below TN, which has been attributed this as com m on to m aterials which undergo m etam agnetic transitions in m agnetic elds comparable to the resonance eld [21]. Exactly such a behaviour has been reported for Gd2PdSi3. At 2 K Saha et al. observed two metam agnetic transitions in elds of 3 and 9 kO e [10]. On approaching T_N from below both transitions coincide at about 3 kO e, the value of the resonance eld. Together with the spectra we observed below T_N (see Fig. 3) for x 0:5, we conclude that our system belongs to the same peculiar class of interm etallics as Pd3Gd and EuA 14.

A part from the existence of these signals and the suggested connection to metam agnetism, we found another very interesting feature:

To analyze the linewidth in $(Gd_1 \times Y_{\times})_2 PdSi_3$ at low temperatures in more detail, we rem ind the magneto-resistance e ects, which indicate the importance of the scattering of the conduction electrons on the localized G d spins. Indeed, the comparison of the resistivity data reported by Mallik et al. [4] with the EPR linewidth shows that the onset of the line broadening and the resonance shift (Fig. 5) coincides with the increase of resistivity for x In Fig. 6 we show the ESR linewidth and resistivity for the pure compound using a scaling that reveals the similarity of the increase in resistivity and linewidth. Unfortunately, only limited resistivity data has been reported for EuA l_4 [21] and P d_3 G d m aking it di cult to judge unam biquously, whether a correlation between linewidth and resistivity could be another common property of those m aterials. To date, the origin of such a behaviour cannot easily be explained, but due to the fact that the G d 4f level in G d₂P dSi₃ is about 2 eV below the Fermilevel the Kondo e ect cannot be responsible for the observed minima [11], which is in agreement with the fact that the Korringa rate and therefore the density of states at the Ferm i level is independent on x. A comparison with other metallic systems reveals that also in many ordered and disordered magnetic compounds a minimum of the ESR linewidth can be found at twice the critical temperature [16]. The increase of the linewidth is attributed to spin uctuations, which could also give rise to the resistivity




Fig. 6. Tem perature dependencies of ESR linewidth () and resistivity (, taken from [4]) in Gd_2PdSi_3 .

behaviour. A nother system where a correlation between ESR linewidth and resistivity has been observed is Fe doped La₂ $_x$ Sr $_x$ CuO $_{4+}$ [22], where localization e ects were considered to explain that feature. Indeed, a localization scenario has also been proposed by M allik et al. in order to explain the resistivity m in in um in (G d₁ $_x$ Y $_x$) $_2$ P dSi $_3$ [4]. Very recently, Erem in et al. [1] gave an explanation for the m agneto-resistance e ects of another G d alloy, namely G dI $_2$, which orders ferrom agnetically close to room temperature [3,2]. The concomitant anomalous peak in the resistivity has been attributed to scattering of the 5d conduction electrons by localized 4f 7 electrons as a result of the special topology of the Fermi surface. A similar mechanism could also account for the correlation in resistivity and linewidth in (G d₁ $_x$ Y $_x$) $_2$ P dSi $_3$.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion we perform ed electron-spin resonance measurements in $(G d_1 \ _x Y_x)_2 P dSi_3$. On approaching magnetic order, both the ESR linewidth and the resonance eld are correlated with the resistivity minimum for x 0.5. The high-temperature behaviour of the linewidth can be well described by a strong bottleneck e ect, yielding a constant density of states at the Fermi level. The observation of antiferrom agnetic-resonance-like absorption lines below the Neel temperature can be attributed to a metamagnetic transition in a magnetic eld comparable to the resonance eld. High-Field ESR measurements in single crystals should be performed in order to investigate the frequency and eld dependence of the resonance lines. Thus, it should be possible to separate the in uences of metamagnetic transitions, spin uctuations and localization

scenarios. Hopefully, such investigations will also trigger theoretical studies on localization elects in compounds with stable localized moments, which do not show a Kondo elect.

5 A cknow ledgem ents

This work was supported in part by the BMBF under contract no. 13N 6917 (EKM) and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) via the SFB 484.

R eferences

- [1] I. Erem in, P. Thalm eier, P. Fulde, R. K. Krem er, K. Ahn, and A. Sim on, Phys. Rev. B 64,0544 (2001).
- [2] C. Felser, K. Ahn, R. K. Kremer, R. Seshadri, and A. Simon, J. Solid State Chem. 147, 19 (1999).
- [3] K. Ahn, C. Felser, R. Seshadri, R. K. Kremer, and A. Simon, J. Alloys Comp. 303, 252 (2000).
- [4] R.Mallik, E.V. Sam pathkum aran, M. Strecker and G.W ortmann, Europhys. Lett., 41, 315 (1998).
- [5] E.M. Levin, V.K. Pecharsky, K.A. Gschneidner, Jr., and G.J. Miller, Phys. Rev. B 64, 125121 (2001).
- [6] R.M allik and E.V.Sam pathkum aran, Phys.Rev.B 58, 9178 (1998).
- [7] PA. Kotsanidis, JK. Yakinthos, and E. Gamari-Seale, J.Magn.Magn.Mat.199-204, 199 (1990).
- [8] S.Majum dar, and E.V. Sam pathkum aran, Phys. Rev. B 61, 43 (2000).
- [9] S.Majum dar E.V. Sam pathkum aran, M. Brando, J. Hem berger, and A. Loidl, J.Magn. Magn. Mat. 236, 99 (2001).
- [10] S.R. Saha, H. Sugawara, T.D. Matsuda, H. Sato, R. Mallik, and E.V. Sam pathkumaran, Phys. Rev. B 60, 12162 (1999).
- [11] A N. Chaika, A M. Ionov, M. Busse, S.L. Molodtsov, S. Majum dar, G. Behr, E.V. Sam pathkum aran, W. Schneider, and C. Laubschat, Phys. Rev. B 64, 125121 (2001).
- [12] G. Feher, and A. F. Kip, Phys. Rev. 98, 337 (1955).
- [13] A. J. Smimov, V. N. Glazkov, H.-A. Krug von Nidda, A. Loidl, N. Demianetes, and A. Ya. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. B 65, 174422 (2002).

- [14] S.E. Bames, Adv. Phys. 30, 801 (1981).
- [15] R. H. Taylor, Adv. Phys. 24, 681 (1975).
- [16] B. Elschner and A. Loidl, in Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths, edited by K. A. G. schneidner, L. Eyring, and S. Hufner (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1997).
- [17] K. Kaczmarska, J. Pierre, A. Guzik, and A. Slebarski, J. Magn. Magn. Mat. 147, 81 (1995).
- [18] K . K aczm arska, E . K wapulinska, and A . Szytula, J. Less-C om m on M et. 153, 229 (1989).
- [19] K. Kaczmarska, J. Alloys Comp. 147, 81 (1995).
- [20] M. Schlott, B. Elschner, M. Herrmann, and W. Assmus, Z. Phys. B 72, 385 (1988).
- [21] R. H. Taylor and B. R. Coles, J. Phys. F 5, 121 (1974); J. Phys. F 4, 303 (1973)
- [22] G. Kruschel, PhD thesis, TH Darm stadt (1993).