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#### Abstract

W e review various com binatorial applications of eld theoretical and $m$ atrix $m$ odel approaches to equilibrium statisticalphysics involving the enum eration of xed and random lattice $m$ odel con gurations. W e show how the structures of the underlying lattioes, in particular their colorability properties, becom e relevant when we consider hard-particles or fully-packed loop m odels on them. W e show how a carefulback-and-forth application of results oftw o-dim ensionalquantum gravity and $m$ atrix $m$ odels allow $s$ to predict critical universality classes and consequently exact asym ptotics for various num bers, counting in particular hard ob ject con gurations on xed or random lattioes and $m$ eanders.
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## 1. Introduction

Physics provides a source of altemative approaches to well-posed $m$ athem aticalproblem s, allow ing som etim es for less rigorous but m ore predictive results, such as those discussed in these notes. The reason is that the tools em ployed are often som ew hat ill-de ned from a purely $m$ athem atical point of view, although they are often validated by experi$m$ ent. In every physicist's toolbox, eld theory is certainly the $m$ ost powerfil one, as it basically allow sfor an e ective description of the essential behavior and properties of the situations it $m$ odels.

O ur main concem here will be w th solving well-posed com binatorial problem s by $m$ eans of physical approaches and reasoning. This type of approach $m$ ust have a sort of exotic avorwhen observed from a purely $m$ athem aticalpoint ofview. The very principles it relies on borrow from the developm ents of eld theory, such as renorm alization group techniques applied to critical phenom ena. Instead of trying to be com pletely exhaustive, which would go far beyond the scope of the present notes, we w ill rather try to extract the essentials and $m$ ain lessons of the eld-theoretical approaches. W e w ill also present som e altemative techniques such as m atrix integrals allow ing to address som e of these com binatorial problem s.

The questions we will be considering here dealwith xed or random statistical twodim ensional lattice $m$ odels, used to describe tw o-dim ensional interfaces as well as discrete tw o-dim ensional quantum gravity (2D Q G ). C om binatorially, these are sim ply problem s of enum eration ofcon gurations involving either decorated lattices or decorated graphs. M ore precisely, we w ill discuss here tw o classes ofm odels for which the details of the underly ing lattice are im portant: these $m$ odels \feel" strongly their underlying discretized space. $T$ hey are the hard-particle and fully-packed loop m odels. W e willbe m ainly interested in understanding the criticalbehavior of these system $s$, nam ely in locating phase transitions in the space ofparam eters where them odynam ic quantities, characteristic of the collective behavior of the system at large size, becom e singular. A swew illexplain, these singularities fall into \universality classes" described by various eld theories, and characterized by critical exponents goveming their algebraic singularities.

The rst theory describes ob jects (particles) occupying the vertioes of the lattice, w ith a nearest neighbor exchusion constraint that no tw o vertioes connected by an edge $m$ ay be sim ultaneously occupied. D espite the local character of this constraint, it allow s for the particles to \feel" the details of the lattioe. W hen for instance we increase the density
of occupation up to its maxim um, particles will try to occupy every other vertex in the lattice, thus will feel for instance whether it is bipartite or not.

The second theory describes loops occupying edges of the lattice, w ith the additional constraint that every vertex is visited by a loop. A gain, the bipartite (or not) character of the lattice will prove crucial in the discussion of the critical behavior of the system .

W hile solving the rst theory will eventually lead to the exact enum eration of random planar graphs w ith hard-particles on them, solving the second one will lead to an asym ptotic solution of the so-called \m eander" problem of enum eration of the topologically inequivalent con gurations of a closed road crossing a river through a given num ber ofbridges. Them aterials collected in this review article include in particular R efs. [ī1] and [2̄], where random lattice hard-particle and meander problem $s$ were rst solved (see also


The paper is organized as follow s. In Sect. 2, we collect a few de nitions and results regarding the them odynam ics of two-dim ensional statistical lattice models on $x e d$ and random lattioes, including in particular eld-theoretical ones. Sect. 3 is devoted to the study of hard particles on various random lattices, in the form ofplanar graphs with xed valence. W e show in particular how another favorite physicist's tool, the m atrix integral, $m$ ay be used to com pletely solve various enum eration problem s involving decorated graphs. W hen applied to hard particles, these allow for a com plete understanding of the crystallization transition, nam ely a criticalvalue of the density ofoccupation below which the system is uid (particles occupy vertices quasi-random ly) and beyond which a crystal of particles form s . W e show how ever that such a transition only exists on ( xed or random ) lattioes w ith a colorability property allow ing for the existence of m axim ally occupied sublattioes. The criticalbehavior is then traced back directly to the existence and structure of these sublattioes. W e show in particular that for bipartite lattioes, the critical transition lies in the universality class of the critical 2D Ising $m$ odel, thus proving on a random lattice
 Sect. 4, we address fully-packed loop $m$ odels on xed and random lattices. A fter recalling som e know $n$ results in the xed lattioe case, we m ove to random lattioes. Rem arkably, just like in the hard-particle case, we nd that according to whether the random lattioes are bipartite or not, the critical universality class predicted is di erent. By suitably follow ing a two- avor fully-packed loop $m$ odel from its $x e d$ lattice version to its random lattice one, we arrive at the identi cation of the universality class for $m$ eanders, which allow s in particular to predict the $m$ eander con guration exponent $=\frac{29+{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{145}}{12}$, goveming the
large $n$ asym ptotics of the $m$ eander num ber with $2 n$ bridges $M_{2 n} \quad g_{c}{ }^{2 n}=n . W$ e gather a few concluding rem arks in Sect. 5, as well as another sim ple prediction, as a challenge for $m$ athem aticians to prove.
2. 2D $Q$ uantum $G$ ravity and $A$ sym ptotic $G$ raph $C$ om binatorics

### 2.1. 2D Lattice M odels

The archetypical system s studied in 2D equilibrium statisticalm echanics are lattice m odels, whose con gurations are de ned asmaps from, say the vertices i of a 2D lattice L (orm ore precisely a nite connected subset thereof say of rectangular shape of size L T, denoted by $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{T}}$, and w th de nite, say periodic boundary conditions) to a target space $T$ usually real. The model is further de ned through an energy functionalE ( ), and each con guration is attached a statisticalw eight proportionalto e $E()$, where $=1=\left(k_{B} T\right)$, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$ the Boltzm ann constant and T the tem perature. O ne is usually interested in the properties of global functions such as the partition function

$$
Z_{L ; T}={ }^{X} e^{E()}
$$

where the sum extends over the set ofm aps from $L_{L ; T}$ to $T$. This is precisely the norm alization of the probability $p()=e^{E()}=Z_{L ; T}$ of the con guration. This probability weight allow s to answer various questions regarding correlations $\underset{P}{\text { in }}$ the system, through the corresponding expectation value denoted generically by h:::i= $\quad$ :: p ( ).

A s a simple illustration, the Ising $m$ odel has $T=f 1 ;+1 g$, and the im ages (i) are used for instance to describe the spins ( $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents) in $m$ etals. The functional energy usually inconporates som e inform ation on interactions with in the system or with som e extemal elds or forces. For the Ising model, it reads E ( ) = $J_{\text {(i;j) (i) (j) }}$ $H_{i}{ }_{i}(i)$, where the rst sum extends over nearest neighboring pairs of vertices $(i ; j)$ and expresses ferro\{ ( $J>0$ ) or antiferro $\{(J<0$ ) magnetic interactions, while the second sum expresses the coupling of the system to an extemalm agnetic eld H. In general, the energy functional depends on extemalparam eters (such as $J$ and $H$ in the Ising case).

The archetypical questions one tries to answer involve the therm odynam ic lim it in which the system becom es large, say L; T ! 1 with $L=T$ nite, and on its dependence on the extemalparam eters. In this lim 五, them odynam ic functions such as $Z=\lim \left(Z_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{T}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mathrm{LT}}}$
$m$ ay develop singularities when the param eters approach som e critical values, corresponding to phase transitions and critical phenom ena. O f particular interest are those in which a divergence occurs for the length characteristic of the e ective range of interactions, the correlation length. The corresponding critical singularities fall into so-called universality classes, characterized by scaling exponents which govem the leading algebraic behavior of the singular part of the them odynam ic functions of the system. For instance, in the ferrom agnetic Ising $m$ odel $w$ th vanishing $m$ agnetic eld, one de nes a \therm al" exponent, characteristic of the leading singularity of the free energy $F=\log Z$ when the tem perature $T$ approaches a critical value $T_{C}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F j_{\text {jing }} / \mathrm{T} T T_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{?} \tag{2:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, at $T=T_{c}$, we also get a singular behavior for $F$ when we sw itch on a sm all magnetic eld H,with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { F } \dot{j}_{\text {jing }} / \text { H } \mathrm{J} \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which de nes the \m agnetic susceptibility" exponent. The exponents ; are expected to be $m$ ore universal than the actual value of the critical tem perature $T_{C}$ : indeed, one nds for instance that $T_{c}$ depends on the precise type of lattioe on which the $m$ odel is de ned, while ; only depend on its dim ensionality.

In two dim ensions, it has been show $n$ that under som e assum ption of locality of the interactions, critical singularities corresponding to the divergence of the correlation length fall into universality classes described by two-dim ensional conform al eld theories (CFT), upon taking the continuum criticallim it of them odels, in which we let at the sam e tim e the size of the system tend to in nity and the lattice spacing tend to zero while param eters tend to critical values, so that the lattice becom es the tw o-dim ensional plane (or a higher genus $R$ iem ann surface according to boundary conditions), and con guration mapsbecom e elds. C onform alinvariance of the resulting eld theory is directly linked to the divergence of the correlation length which im plies in particular local scale invariance of the theory. Twodim ensionalCFT's have been extensively studied and partly classi ed, providing us w ith a sort ofM endeleiev table of universality classes of critical phenom ena in two dim ensions, in the form of a list of critical exponents (see the text $[\underline{1}, \overline{1}]$ and references therein). M ore precisely, CFT's are characterized by their central charge $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}=1 \quad 6=(\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{m}+1))$ and the conform al dim ensions of their operators $h_{r ; s}=\left(((m+1) r \quad m s)^{2} \quad 1\right)=(4 m(m+1))$ respectively the centralextension and highest weights of the corresponding representations
ofthe $V$ irasoro algebra, $m$ som e com plex param eter, and $r$; s som e positive integers, further restricted to a nite set in the case ofm inim alm odels, where $m$ is rational. $T$ he conform al dim ensions govem the fall-o with distance of correlation functions. For instance we have for a conform aloperator $h$ ofdim ension $h: h_{h}(z ; z) h(0 ; 0) i=1=\dot{j} \mathcal{J}^{4 h}$. U pon identifying the operators of the theory as generating perturbations of the various param eters aw ay from their critical values, one $m$ ay relate the corresponding critical exponents to their conform aldim ension throughi'

$$
=\frac{1 \quad 2 \mathrm{~h}}{1 \mathrm{~h}}
$$

For instance, the critical 2D Ising model corresponds to a CFT w ith m = 3, i.e. c = 1=2 and the them aloperator associated with perturbations in the tem perature has $\mathrm{h}_{1 ; 3}=1=2$, so that the them alexponent is $=0$ (in this case, the singularity is weaker and involves the logarithm of $\boldsymbol{\top} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} \boldsymbol{j}$. Besides, the $m$ agnetic $\backslash$ spin " operator, the continuous version of them ap , hasconform aldim ension $h_{2 ; 2}=1=16$, which leads to the $m$ agnetic susceptibility exponent $=14=15$.

A num ber ofclassi cations ofC FT'sare now available, them ost rem arkable ofw hich is probably that of $\backslash m$ inim alm odels" w ith centralcharges c $<1$, nam ely CFT'swith a nite
 $16(\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{q})^{2}=(\mathrm{pq})$, indexed by two coprim e positive integers $p$ and $q$. The constraint of unitarity (positivity of correlators, and in particular positivity of the probabilities p( )) further restricts $q$ and $p$ to obey $j p q j=1$. In this language, the Ising $m$ odel corresponds to $p=3, q=4$.

### 2.2. 2D Random Lattice M odels

In this work, we consider random lattice m odels, de ned in precisely the sam eway as ordinary lattioe $m$ odels, but by replacing the underlying lattice by a som ew hat arbitrary possibly disconnected tessellation of the plane (or higher genus surface). The tessellation becom es therefore part of the con guration to be sum $m$ ed over (see Fig. 1 for an illustration). Thiswas introduced as a discrete m odel of 2D quantum gravity (2D Q G ), nam ely to describe the coupling of som e statistical $\backslash m$ atter" $m$ odels to the quantum uctuations of
${ }^{2} \mathrm{~T}$ his is done by dim ensional analysis. W riting the corresponding perturbation of the conform al action functional as ( $t \quad t_{c}$ ) $d^{2} z h(z ; z)$, and perform ing a local rescaling $z$ ! $z$, we nd that ( $t \quad t_{c}$ ) ${ }^{2 h} 2$ as the action is dim ensionless. The therm odynam ic free energy $F=\lim \frac{1}{\mathrm{LT}} \log Z_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{T}}$ how ever is per unit of area, hence $\left(\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{2} \quad 2$, hence $=1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & h\end{array}\right)$.


Fig. 1: Schem atic representation of how we go from a 2D statistical lattice $m$ odel (de ned here on a nite portion of the triangular lattice) to a random lattice m odel, in which the lattice is replaced by arbitrary tessellations of space (here a random triangulation tessellating a genus one surface).
the underlying \space", represented by random lattioes or tessellations (see e.g. Ref. [9ַ, $\overline{-1}$ ] for a review and $m$ ore references). For each tessellation ,we associate a statisticalw eight directly borrowed from the E instein action in 2D, nam ely a weight $N$ () $\mathrm{g}^{\text {A () }}$ where and A are respectively the Euler characteristic and area of the tessellation (respectively m easured via = \# faces \# edgest \# vertices and A = \# tiles), and where N and g are the discrete counterparts of the N ew ton constant and cosm ological constant. For each tessellation together with a spin con guration : ! T on it, we also have a weight $e^{E()}$ and we must divide by the order of the autom onphism group $\mathfrak{A l} u t(;) j$. This leads for instance to the discretized partition function of any 2D statistical lattioe $m$ odel coupled to 2D quantum gravity

N ote that the param eter N allow s to isolate the contributions oftessellations of xed genus. In the follow ing we will be mainly interested in the genus zero contributions, obtained by letting N ! 1 . N ote also that the free energy $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Log} \mathrm{Z}$ selects only the connected tessellations in the sum (2,

Like in the xed lattice case, we are interested in the therm odynam ic lim it of the system, in which say the average area or som e related quantity diverges, ensuring that the dom inant contributions to $Z$ e.g. come from large tessellations. This is guaranteed in general by the existence of a critical value $g_{c}$ of the cosm ological constant $g$ at which such singularities take place. This value is a priori a function of the type of random lattices we sum over as well as of the various $m$ atter param eters. W e may now attain interesting critical points by also letting the $m$ atter param eters approach critical values, a priori distinct from those on xed lattioes. T he result is well described by the coupling
of the corresponding CFT's with quantum uctuations of space, nam ely by letting the $m$ etric of the underlying 2 D space uctuate. Such uctuations $m$ ay be represented in the conform algauge by yet another eld theory, the Liouville eld theory, which is coupled to the m atter CFT.This eld theoretical setting allows for a com plete understanding of the various critical exponents occurring at these critical points $[\underline{2} \overline{1} 11]$. For instance, one de nes the (genus zero) string susceptibility exponent str as the exponent associated to the cosm ological constant singularity, nam ely by w riting the singularity of the free energy as

$$
F \dot{j}_{\text {jing }} /\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{c} & g \tag{2:6}
\end{array}\right)^{2} \text { str }
$$

In the case of coupling of a m atter theory with central charge $c$ to $2 D Q G$, one has the exact relation $\underline{2 n}_{2}^{2} 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{str}=\frac{\mathrm{c} 1^{\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{(1 \quad \mathrm{c})(25 \quad \mathrm{c})}}}{12} \tag{2:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of \pure gravity", nam ely when the $m$ atter is trivial and has $c=0$, we get $\operatorname{str}=1=2$, while for the critical Ising $m$ odelw th $c=1=2 \mathrm{we}$ have $\mathrm{str}=1=3$.

U pon coupling to gravity the operators of the C FT ( $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}))$ get \dressed" by gravity ( $\mathrm{h}!\sim_{\mathrm{h}} \quad$ ) and acquire dressed dim ensions , given sim ilarly by [íin]

A s opposed to the xed lattice case, where conform al dim ensions govem the fall-o of correlation functions of operators w ith distance, the dressed operators of quantum gravity do not feel distances, as their position is integrated over the surfaces, but rather only feel changes of area at xed genus. M ore precisely the general genus zero correlators behave in the vicinity of $g_{c}[\underline{2}-1]$ as

These results $m$ ay be easily translated into the large (but xed) area A behavior of the various them odynam ic quantities, upon perform ing a Laplace transform, which selects the coe cient of $g^{A}$ in the various expansions. Let $F_{A}$ denote the partition function for connected tessellations of genus zero and area A, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{A} \quad \frac{g_{C}{ }^{A}}{A^{3} \text { str }} \tag{2:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $\mathrm{h}:$ :: in denotes any genus zero correlator at xed area, we have

$$
h \quad h_{2}::: \quad i_{A} \quad \frac{P_{C}^{A}}{A^{3} \operatorname{str}^{A} i_{i n}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & 1 \tag{2:11}
\end{array}\right)}
$$

In $\left[\underline{2} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$, all these form ulas were also generalized to higher genus as well.
 sphere w ith A square tiles and with a m arked edge (dually equal to the num ber of rooted tetravalent planarm aps w ith A vertices) reads [1] $\left.{ }_{1}{ }_{-1}\right]$

$$
N_{A}=\frac{3^{A}}{2(A+1)(A+2)} \quad \begin{gather*}
2 A  \tag{2:12}\\
A
\end{gather*} \frac{12^{A}}{A^{5=2}}
$$

$N$ oting that the rooting sim ply am ounts to $N_{A} / A F_{A}$, the asym ptotics ( $\left.2 . \overline{1} \overline{1}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ correspond to $g_{c}=1=12$ and $\operatorname{str}=1=2$, hence $c=0$. $T$ his is one of the various ways to attain the universality class of pure gravity, nam ely by sum $m$ ing over bare tessellations $w$ thout $m$ atter on them.

So far so good, basically all asym ptotic com binatorics problem s involving planar (or
 higher genus generalizations, provided one is able to identify the central charge c of the underlying CFT. This latter step how ever may prove to be quite involved. In fact, the aim of this note is to clarify a large class of cases for which the naive application of these form ulas would lead to the wrong result. These are statistical m odels whose de nition strongly relies on the structure of the underlying ( xed or random ) lattioe. This is the case for hard particles, as well as for fully-packed loops, the tw o m ain sub jects of this note.

## 3. H ard P articles

The rst class of problem swew illbe discussing is that of hard particles occupying or not the vertioes of the xed or random lattices, and sub ject to the \hardness" or particleexclusion constraint that no two adjacent vertioes $m$ ay be sim ultaneously occupied. O ne usually attaches an activity z per occupied vertex. In this section, we rst recall the xed lattioe results, and display the exact or predicted critical behavior of the model. Generically it undergoes a crystallization transition betw een a disordered phase of low density of occupation and a crystalline phase of $m$ axim al occupancy. W e next tum to the exact solution of the sam e model on som e random lattioe, only to discover that the crystalline transition is wiped out by the sum over lattioes. To solve this puzzle, we sim ply
notioe that the transition can take place only if the random lattices allow for the existence of som e generic crystalline con gurations. We nally show that the model, when de ned on random bipartite lattices, has a crystalline transition in the univensality class of the tw o-dim ensional critical Ising model coupled to 2D Q G .

### 3.1. F ixed lattice results and con jectures



Fig. 2: Typical con gurations of hard particles on the (a) hexagonal, (b) square and (c) triangular lattices. W e have also represented in grey the excluded area translating the nearest neighbor exclusion into an nonoverlapping constraint of tiles. Their respective shapes are triangles, squares and hexagons, hence the nam es hard triangles, squares or hexagons.

H ard particle m odels have been extensively studied in the physics literature, under

 hexagonal, square and triangular lattioes. T he corresponding $m$ odels are also often referred to as hard triangle, square or hexagon $m$ odels, as in the dual picture an occupied vertex $m$ ay be replaced by som e tile w hose shape transform sthe hard-particle constraint into a nooverlap condition betw een tiles (see Fig. 2 for an illustration in the hexagonal (a), square (b) and triangular (c) cases, respectively corresponding to hard triangles, squares and hexagons). In the three cases, one expects in the them odynam ic lim it a crystallization transition to take place at som e critical value $z=z_{+}>0$ between a low -z disordered phase and a high-z crystalline phase in which, when $z$ ! 1 , only one of a few available \groundstates" are realized, nam ely som em axim ally occupied con gurations, in which the particles occupy a sublattice of the originalone. For the hexagonal and square cases, w here the lattice is bipartite, there are exactly two such crystalline groundstates, as opposed to
the triangular case, where there are three. In addition, one expects as well som e \nonphysical" critical point at som e other value $z=z<0$, independent of the precise details of the lattice, and generically described by the Y ang-Lee edge singularity [ī2̄] (of the Ising m odel in the presence of a critical im aginary electric eld).

The triangular lattice case is particularly interesting, as it proved to be exactly solvable by B axter [ī0] the crystallization transition. He found the two critical points $z=\left(\frac{1^{p}{ }_{5}^{5}}{2}\right)^{5}$, with respective them al exponents $=7=6$ and $+=1=3$ goveming the singularities of the them odynam ic free energy $f \dot{j}_{\text {jing }} \dot{j} \quad z_{i}{ }^{j}{ }^{i}, i=\quad$. These correspond respectively to the $m$ inimalCFT's with $(p ; q)=(2 ; 5)$ and $(p ; q)=(5 ; 6)$ respectively, nam ely $w$ th central charge $c(2 ; 5)=22=5$ and $c(5 ; 6)=4=5$. T hese are the universality classes of the 2D Y ang-lee edge singularity [1-1-1] and of the 2D critical three-state Potts model (a generalization of the Ising $m$ odelw ith three spins instead of two).

A s to the two other cases of hard squares and triangles, no exact solution is known to this day. The pow erfiulC omer $T$ ransfer $M$ atrix $m$ ethod of $B$ axter has allow ed for $m$ any exact series expansions for them odynam ic quantities up to quite large orders [1] eventually leading to the very reasonable conjecture that in these tw o bipartite cases the crystallization transition point lies in the universality class of the critical Ising $m$ odel, at som e critical value $z_{+}$depending on the lattice, while the other critical point still lies in the $Y$ ang-Lee edge singularity class, at som e z also depending on the lattice.

Beyond num ericalevidence accum ulated so far, we w illpropose here a \gravitational" proof of the identi cation of these universality classes via their random lattice version.

### 3.2. M atrix m odels as com binatorial tools

$M$ atrix $m$ odels are rem arkably e cient tools to realize the sum $m$ ation over (possibly
 view s). The basic idea is to replace the task of perform ing say a Gaussian integration over an $N \quad N$ Herm itian $m$ atrix $M$ by the draw ing of pictures, called \Feynm ann diagram s", weighted accordingly in such a way that the weighted sum over diagram s equals the $G$ aussian integral.

A $s$ an archetypical exam ple of such a thing, let us display the quartic onem atrix m odel, w ith partition function

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(g)=Z^{Z} d M e^{N \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{M^{2}}{2} \quad g \frac{M^{4}}{4}\right)} \tag{3:1}
\end{equation*}
$$


$F$ ig. 3: The pictorial representation for $m$ atrix elem ents $M_{i j}$ (double halfedges carrying $m$ atrix indiges), term $\operatorname{Tr}\left(M^{4}\right.$ ) (a collection of four double halfedges connected at a vertex, with matrix indices conserved along the oriented lines), and the propagators used to ghe pairs of double halfedges into edges in the fatgraphs representing the $m$ atrix integral.
where dM stands for the standard $H$ aar $m$ easure, norm alized in such a way that $Z(g=$ $0)=1 . Z(g)$ is to be understood as a form al power series of $g$, the coe cients of which $m$ ust be com puted by perform ing $G$ aussian $m$ atrix integrals. By virtue of $W$ idk's theorem, this is readily done (for the coe cient $Z_{n}$ of $g^{n}$ ) by com puting

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{n}=\quad \mathrm{Z} M e^{\mathrm{NT}\left(\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{2}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}!} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{M^{4}}{4}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \\
& =\frac{(\mathbb{N}=4)^{n}}{n!} X_{\text {pairs (ij); (kl) ij;kl }} \mathrm{XM}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{kl}} \mathrm{i} \tag{3:2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sum extends over all decom positions of the $m$ atrix elem ents into pairs and the
 tum, the value of the propagator allow s to devise a pictorial representation for the sum in ( $\left.{ }^{-} \bar{Z}_{2}\right)$. Representing a $m$ atrix elem ent $M_{i j}$ by a double halfedge as in $F$ ig. 3, where each oriented line carries a m atrix index running from 1 to $N$, we may express $\operatorname{Tr}\left(M^{4}\right)$ as
 obtained by gluing halfedges into edges (propagators). E ach such graph receives a weight $1=\mathrm{N}$ per edge (from the propagators), $\mathrm{N} g$ per vertex, and N per rem aining oriented loop carrying an index sum $m$ ed from 1 to $N$. This gives an overallweight $g^{A} N^{F} \quad E+A$ for each possibly disconnected graph ( $\mathrm{A}=$ \# vertioes, $\mathrm{F}=$ \# faces and $\mathrm{E}=$ \# edges), as well as an overall rational factor expressed as ${ }^{P} \quad 1=\left(4^{n} n!\right)$, which is nothing but the inverse of the order of the autom orphism group of the corresponding fatgraph. Finally upon taking the logarithm of $Z$ ( g ), one ends up with the desired sum over connected tessellations ( m ade
of squares here, dual to the tetravalent vertioes of the $m$ atrix $m$ odel), and with a weight N ( ) $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{)}=\gamma^{A} u t() j\right.$. This particular exam ple therefore corresponds to pure gravity, in the form of random quadrangulations. N ote that the size N of the m atrix serves as $N$ ew ton constant in this approach, while the cosm ological constant $g$ is a feature of the potential (term in the non-gaussian part of the exponential weight).

M ore generally, w em ay engineerm atrix models to suit our needs, either by considering a m ore general potential allow ing for w eighted vertioes of arbitrary valencies, or/and by considering m ulti-m atrix integrals of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z=Z^{Z} \quad d A_{1}:: d A_{k} e^{N \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2} A^{t} Q \AA\right.} P_{i=1}^{k} V_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \tag{3:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $\overparen{A}$ denotes the colum $n$ vector of $m$ atrices $\left(A_{1} ;:: ; A_{k}\right)^{t}$ and $Q$ is a $k \quad k$ quadratic form (w ith $\AA^{t} Q \widetilde{A}={ }^{P} \quad 1$ a;b $k Q_{a b} A_{a} A_{b}$ ), and the $V_{i}$ som e polynom ial potentials. N ote that again we norm alize the $m$ easure in such $a$ way that $Z=1$ if the $V_{i}$ vanish. The diagram $m$ atic intenpretation of $Z$ follow $s$ from the $m$ ultim atrix generalization of $W$ ick's theorem expressing the $m$ ulti-G aussian $m$ atrix integral as a sum over pair decom positions ofm atrix elem ents of the integrand evaluated by using propagators, nam ely

$$
h f\left(f\left(A_{a}\right)_{i j} g\right) i_{Q}=\underbrace{X}_{\text {pairings pairs }} \underset{A_{a}}{ })_{i j}\left(A_{b}\right)_{k l} i_{Q}
$$

$w$ here $h:::_{Q}={ }^{R Q}{ }_{a} d A_{a}::: e^{N \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^{t} Q \mathbb{A}\right)}$ stands for the m ulti-G aussian average. In particular, propagators are easily com puted in term $s$ of the inverse of the quadratic form Q :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(A_{a}\right)_{i j}\left(A_{b}\right)_{k l} i_{Q}=\frac{i l j k}{N}\left(Q^{1}\right)_{a ; b} \tag{3:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he diagram $m$ atic interpretation of $Z$ is now clear: attach di erent \colors" $a=1 ; 2 ;::: ; k$ to the $m$ atrices. The potentials $V_{i}(x)={ }^{P} \quad g_{i, m} x^{m}=m$ contain the list of allow ed vertiges of color $i$ and valence $m$ w ith their respective weights $g_{i, m}$. The quadratic form $Q$ encodes via its inverse the allowed propagators, nam ely the list of possible edges linking these vertices to one another. T he result is a sum over vertex-colored graphs w ith speci c gluing nules. $N$ ote $m$ oreover that irrespectively of its color each vertex receives a weight $N$, each edge a weight 1=N and each loop of oriented index lines a factor $N$, which still produces the topological factor $N$ in the expansion of Log Z, the Euler characteristic of the (decorated) connected graph. This allow s in particular to extract the contribution from planar graphs by taking N ! 1 .

In the next two sections, we use som e of these m odels to solve the hard-particle problem on various random lattices.


Fig. 4: A typical con guration of hard particles on a tetravalent planar graph. The particles are represented by led circles ( ). They obey the nearest neighbor exclusion constraint that no two particles $m$ ay be adjacent to the sam e edge.
3.3. H ard objects on random tetravalent graphs: $m$ atrix $m$ odel solution

W e now tum to the enum eration ofhard-particle con gurations on random tetravalent graphs, the natural uctuating versions of the square lattice. For illustration we display in Fig. 4 a typical hard-particle con guration on a connected planar tetravalent graph. T he occupied vertioes (represented by lled circles) obey the nearest-neighbor exclusion (hardness) constraint that no tw o particlesm ay be ad jacent to the sam e edge. A sexplained before, we attach a weight z per particle and $g$ per vertex.

In view of previous section, we are now ready to write a two-m atrix model for enu$m$ erating such con gurations, w ith partition function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z(g ; z)=\quad d A d B e^{N \operatorname{Tr}(A B} \quad \frac{A^{2}}{2} \quad g z^{\frac{A^{4}}{4}} \quad g \frac{B^{4}}{4}\right) \tag{3:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m$ easure is now norm alized in such a way that $Z(g=0 ; z)=1$. The graphical interpretation (see Fig. 5) is a consequence of the tw o-m atrix form of the $W$ ick theorem,
 $w$ ith $h A_{i j} B_{k l} i=i l j k=N$ and $h B_{i j} B_{k l} i=i l j k=N$ wile $h A_{i j} A_{k l} i=0$ as a particular case of eqn. ( $\overline{3}-{ }_{-}^{-1}$ ) . R epresenting the term $\sin \left(B^{4}\right)$ as em pty tetravalent vertices and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{4}\right)$ as occupied ones, we see that the gluing of halfedges into edges (through the propagators) now incorporates the hard-particle exchusion rule that no two occupied vertioes $m$ ay be ad jacent (vanishing of the hA A i propagator). A gain, $\log Z(g ; z)$ is the generating function


$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{A}^{4}\right)$


## $\left\langle\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} \mathrm{l}}\right\rangle$

$\left\langle\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} 1}\right\rangle$
$F$ ig. 5: The pictorial representation for the $m$ atrix elem ents of the two$m$ atrix $m$ odel describing hard-particles on tetravalent fatgraphs. The occupied (resp. em pty) vertioes correspond to the con uence of four A (resp. B) $m$ atrix elem ents, represented as solid (resp. dashed) double half-lines. W e have also represented the only two non-vanishing propagators allow ing for gluing double halfedges into edges of the nal graph. T hese obey the particle exclusion rule that no two adjacent vertioes of the nal graph may be sim ultaneously occupied. This inform ation is encoded in the quadratic piece of the potential of the tw o-m atrix $m$ odel.
for connected fatgraphs $w$ th hard particles on them, and $w$ ith a weight $g$ per vertex, $z$ per occupied vertex, tim es N ()=AA ut( ) jfor the decorated graph.

The calculation of the matrix integral (3).-G. goes through a succession of standard steps.

Step 1 consists in replacing the integral by one over eigenvalues of the $m$ atrioes, using the Itzykson-Zuber form ula

$$
\left.Z(g ; z)=d^{Z} d^{\mathbb{N}} a d^{\mathbb{N}} b(a) \quad \text { (b) } e^{\operatorname{NTr}(a b} \frac{a^{2}}{2} \quad g z \frac{a^{4}}{4} \quad g \frac{b^{4}}{4}\right)
$$

where a and b are realdiagonalm atrioes of size $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$, and stands for the V anderm onde determ inant $(a)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{lll}a_{i}^{j} & 1\end{array}\right]_{1} \quad i ; j n \cdot$

Step 2 consists in rst noting that $(a)=\operatorname{det}\left[p_{j 1}\left(a_{i}\right)\right]_{1} \quad i_{j} N$, for any sequence ofm on ic polynom ials $p_{j}$ of degree $j$. O ne then picks the two sets $p_{j}$ and $q_{n}$ to be inserted in (a) and (b) respectively in such a way that they form a bi-orthogonal set w it the
follow ing bilinear form :

$$
(f ; g)=\quad \text { dxdye }{ }^{N\left(x y \quad x^{2}=2 \quad g z x^{4}=4 \quad g y^{4}=4\right)} f(x) g(y)
$$

form ally de ned by using the size-one $m$ atrix propagators. W riting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{j} ; q_{n}\right)=\quad j ; m h_{j}(g ; z) \tag{3:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

one then com putes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(g ; z)={ }_{i=0}^{l y}{ }^{1} \frac{h_{i}(g ; z)}{h_{i}(0 ; z)} \tag{3:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3 consists in calculating the $h_{j}{ }^{\prime} s$. O ne introduces the operators $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ of $m$ ultiplication by $x$ and $y$, expressed respectively on the basis $p_{j}(x)$ and $q_{n}(y)$. Introducing sim ilarly $P_{1}=d=d x$ and $P_{2}=d=d y$, one obtains upon integration by parts the follow ing relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{P_{1}}{N}=Q_{2}^{Y} & Q_{1}  \tag{3:11}\\
\frac{P_{2}}{N}=Q_{1}^{Y} & g Q_{1}^{3}
\end{array}
$$

where the adjoint is obtained by letting the corresponding operator act on the other poly-


$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1} p_{n}(x)=p_{n+1}(x)+r_{n} p_{n} \quad 1(x)+s_{n} p_{n} \quad 3(x)  \tag{3:12}\\
& Q_{2} q_{n}(y)=q_{n+1}(y)+x_{m} q_{n} \quad 1(y)+s_{n} q_{n} \quad 3(y)
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote the presence of only every other term, due to the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ sym $m$ etry of the potential under A ! A;B! B. The fact that $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ have a nite range is generic in
 recursion relations for the various coe cients of ( $3.12 \overline{1}$ ), which upon elim ination allow for expressing $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}$ 1, and nally the free energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(g ; z)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \log Z(g ; z)={\frac{1}{N^{2}}}_{i=0}^{X^{N}}(\mathbb{N} \quad i) \log \frac{V_{i}(g ; z)}{V_{i}(0 ; z)} \tag{3:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s we will be only interested in the large N lim it, in which only planar graphs are selected (w ith genus zero free energy $f_{0}(g ; z)=\lim { }_{N}!1 F(g ; z)$ ), let us perform the Step 4, nam ely the reduction of equations in the large $N$ case. W e m ake the standard assum ption that all coe cients $r_{n} ; h_{n}$, etc... tend to $s m$ ooth functions of $x=n=N$ for
n ; N ! 1 while x rem ains xed . This is certainly true in the vicinity of the $G$ aussian m odel, for sm all $g$. W e have the lim its

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}!v(x) ; r_{n}!r(x) ; S_{n}!\quad s(x) \tag{3:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

 sim ply

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
Q_{1}! & +r^{1}+s^{3} \\
Q_{2}! & +r^{1}+s{ }^{3} \tag{3:15}
\end{array}
$$

where the commuting dummy variables ; are inherited from the corresponding (noncom $m$ uting) index shift operator acting on the respective polynom ialbases. In particular, the operator adjoint sim ply follow sfrom $y=v \quad{ }^{1}$. P lugging ( $\left(3,1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ into ( $\left(3,1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$ and noting that $P_{1}=N=x \quad{ }^{1}+O\left({ }^{2}\right)$, we nally get a set of algebraic equations for the $r^{\prime} s$ and $s^{\prime} s$ as well as their tilded counterparts. De ning rescaled versions $V=g v, R=g r, S=g^{2} s$ and sim ilarly for the tilded quantities, we get

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
g \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{V} & \mathrm{R}
\end{array} \quad 3 \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}+\mathrm{S}\right) \mathrm{l}
$$

These algebraic equations were given a com binatorial interpretation in [ī the various functions $V$;R;S;:: were shown to generate decorated rooted trees. A fter elim ination, the system ( $\overline{(\overline{1}} \overline{1}-1 \overline{\mathrm{a}})$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g x=\prime(V) \quad V\left(1 \quad 3 z V^{2}\right) \frac{3 V^{2}}{\left(19 z V^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{3:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x e d g$ and $z$ this equation de nes upon inversion the unique function $V(x)$ such that $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{gx}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}^{2}\right)$ at sm all g . It encodes the asym ptotic properties of the sequence $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$, hence those of the $h_{n}$ ' $s$, and nally we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(g ; z)=\int_{0}^{Z} d x(1 \quad x) \log \frac{V(x)}{g x} \tag{3:18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have identi ed $v(0 ; z)=x$.

It is now a sim ple exercise to nd the singularities of the therm odynam ic function $f_{0}(g ; z) . N$ oting indeed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d g^{2}} g^{3} \frac{d}{d g} f_{0}(g ; z)=\frac{g d}{d g} \log \quad \frac{V_{g ; z}}{g} \tag{3:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $V_{g ; z}$ is sim ply the unique solution to $g='\left(V_{g ; z}\right)$ such that $V_{g ; z}=g+O\left(g^{2}\right)$ (identical to $V(x=1))$, we see that the singularities of the free energy are those of $V_{g ; z}$. De ning the critical cosm ological constant by

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{c}(z) & =r\left(V_{c}(z)\right) \\
0 & =r{ }^{0}\left(V_{C}(z)\right) \tag{3:20}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $V_{C}(z)$ is the $s m$ allest value of $v$ where a $m$ axim um of ${ }^{\prime}$ is attained, we im m ediately get the critical behavior $f_{0} \dot{j}_{\text {ing }} \quad\left(g_{c}(z) \quad g\right)^{2} \quad w$ here $=1=2$, as this generically corresponds to a square root singularity of $V$ from $\left(g \quad g_{c}\right) \quad,{ }^{\infty}\left(V_{c}\right)\left(V \quad V_{c}\right)^{2}=2$. Eqns. (3̄2̄10) are easily param etrized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{12 u(1+3 u)^{2}}{(13 u)^{8}} \quad g_{c}(z)=\frac{(13 u)^{4}\left(1+10 u 15 u^{2}\right)}{12(1+3 u)^{2}} \tag{3:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives a critical curve ending at the point

$$
\begin{align*}
z & =\frac{25}{8192}\left(11^{p} \overline{5}+25\right)<0 \\
g & =g_{c}(z)=\frac{64}{45}\left(13^{p} \overline{5}\right.  \tag{3:22}\\
V & =V_{C}(z)=\frac{32}{75}\left(7^{p} \overline{5}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

and where in addition we have ${ }^{\infty}(\mathrm{V})=0$, which implies a susceptibility exponent $=$ $1=3$, as we now have an equation of the form $g \quad g \quad, \infty 0(V)(V \quad V \quad)^{3}=6$.

To conclude, we nd only one critical value of $z$ at a negative value $z$, corresponding to a non-unitary critical point. T he value $=1=3$ can be carefully traced back to the coupling of the Yang-Lee edge singularity w ith $C(2 ; 5)=22=5$ to $2 D Q G \overline{1-1} \cdot T$ his show
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}$ his is in apparent contradiction w ith the form ula (2, $\left.\overline{2}\right)$ which would lead to $\mathrm{str}=3=2$. $T$ his is due to the fact that the leading behavior observed in the $m$ atrix $m$ odelsolution corresponds to another scale set not by the identity operator (leading by integration over the random surfaces to the area $A=\quad \operatorname{Idd}^{2} z$ or to the conjugated coupling g via the o -criticalterm $\quad\left(g_{c} \quad g\right) \quad I d d^{2} z$
that no crystallization transition takes place when we couple the hard-particle m odel to ordinary 2DQG.

Let us how ever com plete the task of com puting the free energy. U sing the Lagrange inversion form ula, we m ay explicitly invert ( $\overline{3} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{-} \bar{T}_{1}$ ) and evaluate any function of $V_{g ; z}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(V_{g ; z}\right)=X_{n}^{X} \frac{g^{n}}{n} \frac{d w}{2 i} \frac{h^{0}(w)}{(w)^{n}} \tag{3:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $\prime_{n}(z)=((n+1)(n+2))$, where ${ }_{n}(z)$ are generated by $\log \left(V_{g ; z}=g\right)=P_{n} I_{1}{ }_{n}(z) g^{n} \cdot W e$ $m$ ay now use the Lagrange inversion form ula ( $\overline{3} 2 \overline{2}$ ) for $h(V)=\log (V)$. This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{n}^{I} \frac{d w}{2 i w} \frac{1}{(w)^{n}} \tag{3:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his nally leads to the com pact form ula for the free energy $f_{n}(z)$ for hard particles on planar tetravalent fatgraphs w ith n vertioes, and a weight z per particle:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{n(n+1)(n+2)} \begin{array}{ccccc}
x & 2 n & 21 & 2 p & 1 \\
\substack{1, p \\
2(1+p) \\
n} & n & 1
\end{array} \tag{3:25}
\end{align*}
$$

A s a side rem ark, we recover the result for pure tetravalent graphs when $z=0$, nam ely $f_{n}(z=0)=3^{n} \underset{n}{2 n}=(2 n(n+1)(n+2))=N_{n}=n, N_{n}$ as in (2.12

in the gravitational action) but by that with the low est (negative) conform aldim ension, as is the case in all non-unitary theories with $c(p ; q)$, say $w$ ith $q>p+1$. This operator has conform al dim ension $\left.h_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & (\mathrm{p} & \mathrm{q}\end{array}\right)^{2}\right)=(4 \mathrm{pq})$, and gravitationally dressed dim ension $\quad 0=\left(\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{p} \\ \mathrm{R}\end{array} \mathrm{q}+1\right)=(2 \mathrm{p})$
 dim ensional argum ent we nd $g_{c} g(\mathrm{c} \quad)^{1} \quad{ }^{0}$. The exponent observed in the $m$ atrix m odel corresponds to the scaling in term sof c . O nce translated back into the language of the correct cosm ological constant $g_{c} \quad g$, we have $f j_{\text {jing }} \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}c & )^{2} & \left(g_{c}\right. & g\end{array}\right)^{\frac{2}{1}}{ }^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}g_{c} & g)^{2}\end{array}{ }^{\text {str }}\right.$. This allowsto nally identify $=2=(p+q \quad 1)$ for any $m$ in $\dot{m}$ alm odel, while str $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}(p)\end{array}\right)=p$ follow s from $\overline{(2}=1)$. Here, for $=1=3$ we must have $p+q=7$, hence $p=2 ; q=5$. The only other possibility would be $p=3$ and $q=4$, but the corresponding $m$ odel is unitary and could not have a negative critical value of $z$ as in (3)
in ( $m$ axim ally occupied. $W$ e nd that only $f_{2 n}$ survive, $w$ th the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2 n}=\frac{3^{n} 1}{n(2 n+1)(2 n+2)} \quad 3 n \tag{3:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

 other way of understanding this result, is by looking directly at the $m$ atrix integral ( 3 . $\overline{-1}$ ). Indeed, perform ing the change of $m$ atrix variables $A!A=z^{\frac{1}{8}}, B!B z^{\frac{1}{8}}$ and rede ning
 to

$$
Z(g ; 1)=\quad d A d B e^{N \operatorname{Tr}\left(A B \quad \frac{g}{4}\left(A^{4}+B^{4}\right)\right)}
$$

which clearly enum erates the bipartite tetravalent graphs.
$T$ he lesson to be draw $n$ from this section is that sum $m$ ing over arbitrary tetravalent graphs destroys the crystallization transition of the hard-particle m odel. H ow ever, the crystalline groundstates which would $m$ ake this transition possible dom inate the sum at large $z$, and involve only bipartite tetravalent graphs. The problem is that these graphs have a negligible contribution at all nite values of $z$. This suggests to reduce the range of sum $m$ ation to bipartite graphs from the very beginning, which is the sub ject of next section.

### 3.4. H ard objects on bipartite trivalent graphs: $m$ atrix $m$ odel solution

Sim ply for pedagogical purposes, we will address here the problem of enum eration of hard-particle con gurations on trivalent bipartite fatgraphs. T he case of tetravalent graphs was solved in $\left.{ }_{[-1}^{1}\right]$, and presents only technical com plications, whereas the nal results conceming the crystallization transition and its universality class are the sam e. So we choose to concentrate here on the case of hard-triangles coupled to $2 \mathrm{D} Q \mathrm{G}$.

W e w ish to generate bipartite trivalent graphs say with altemating black and white vertioes, which in addition $m$ ay be either occupied or em pty. To generate the desired decorated graphs, we now need four $m$ atrices $\mathrm{A}_{1} ; \mathrm{A}_{2} ; \mathrm{A}_{3} ; \mathrm{A}_{4}$ each standing for halfedges connected to em pty white, occupied black, occupied white and em pty black vertioes. The corresponding $m$ atrix integral reads

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z(g ; z)=\mathrm{ZA}_{1} d A_{2} \mathrm{dA}_{3} d A_{4} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{NTrV}\left(A_{1} ; \mathrm{A}_{2} ; \mathrm{A}_{3} ; \mathrm{A}_{4}\right)} \\
V\left(A_{1} ; A_{2} ; A_{3} ; A_{4}\right)=A_{1} A_{2} \quad A_{2} A_{3}+A_{3} A_{4} \quad g\left(\frac{A_{1}^{3}}{3}+\frac{A_{4}^{3}}{3}\right) \quad g z\left(\frac{A_{2}^{3}}{3}+\frac{A_{3}^{3}}{3}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

$T$ he quadratic form in $V\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} ; \mathrm{A}_{2} ; \mathrm{A}_{3} ; \mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ hasbeen engineered so as to reproduce the correct propagators, nam ely that only black and white vertices are connected in the Feynm an diagram $s\left(\mathrm{hA}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{A}_{j} \mathrm{i}=0\right.$ if $i$ and $j$ have the sam e parity) and that two occupied vertioes exclude one-another ( $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{i}=0$ ).

W e may now repeat the straightforw ard, though tedious, steps 1-4 of the previous section.

Step 1 takes us to the eigenvalue integral

$$
Z(g ; z)={ }^{Z} d^{N} a_{1} d^{N} a_{2} d^{N} a_{3} d^{\mathbb{N}} a_{4}\left(a_{1}\right)\left(a_{4}\right) e^{N \operatorname{TrV}\left(a_{1} ; a_{2} ; a_{3} ; a_{4}\right)}
$$

$w$ here the $a_{i}$ are real diagonalm atrioes of size $N \quad N$.
Step 2 goes through as well, by sim ply replacing the bilinear form '

$$
(f ; g)=^{Z} d x_{1} d x_{2} d x_{3} d x_{4} e^{N V\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3} ; x_{4}\right)} f\left(x_{1}\right) g\left(x_{4}\right)
$$

Step 3: we de ne analogously operators $Q_{i} ; P_{i}, i=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4$, acting on the left orthogonal polynom ial basis for $i=1 ; 2$ and on the right for $i=3 ; 4$, and satisfying the follow ing system, obtained by integration by parts:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{P_{1}}{N}=@_{x_{1}} V\left(Q_{1} ; Q_{2} ; Q_{3} ; Q_{4}\right)=Q_{2} \quad g Q_{1}^{2} \\
& \frac{P_{2}}{N}=0=Q_{x_{2}} V\left(Q_{1} ; Q_{2} ; Q_{3} ; Q_{4}\right)=Q_{1} \quad Q_{3} \quad g z Q_{2}^{2} \\
& \frac{P_{3}}{N}=0=@_{x_{3}} V\left(Q_{1} ; Q_{2} ; Q_{3} ; Q_{4}\right)=Q_{4} \quad Q_{2} \quad g z Q_{3}^{2}  \tag{3:31}\\
& \frac{P_{4}}{N}=@_{x_{4}} V\left(Q_{1} ; Q_{2} ; Q_{3} ; Q_{4}\right)=Q_{3} \quad g Q_{4}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he obvious sym $m$ etry of the potential under the interchange $x_{i} \$ x_{5}$ i allow $s$ to infer that $Q_{4}=Q_{1}^{Y}$ and $Q_{3}=Q \frac{Y}{2}$, so that the $m$ ain equations reduce sim ply to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\mathrm{Q}_{2} \quad \mathrm{gQ}{ }_{1}^{2}  \tag{3:32}\\
& \mathrm{Q}_{1}=\mathrm{Q}_{2}^{\mathrm{Y}}+\mathrm{gzQ} \mathrm{Q}_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he corresponding $Q$-operators are easily expressed on the left basis of orthogonal polynom ials as

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}=+{ }^{2} r^{(1)}+{ }^{5} r^{(2)}+{ }^{8} r^{(3)} \\
& Q_{2}={ }^{2} s^{(0)}+{ }^{1} s^{(1)}+{ }^{4} s^{(2)} \tag{3:33}
\end{align*}
$$

where is the shift operator acting on the p's as $p_{n}=p_{n+1}$ and the operators $r^{(i)} ; s^{(i)}$ are diagonal. The presence of only powers of spaced by multiples of 3 is a consequence of the accidental $\mathbb{Z}_{3}-$ sym $m$ etry of the potential $V$, nam ely thet $V\left(!x_{1} ;!x_{2} ;!x_{3} ;!x_{4}\right)=$ $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3} ; \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)$ for $!=e^{\frac{2 i}{3}}$. The equations ( $\left.\frac{1}{2} \overline{3}\right)$ together w th the fact that $\mathrm{P}_{1}=\mathrm{N}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}$ ) $\quad{ }^{1}+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{2}\right)$ allow in principle for solving them odelfor all N by expressing recursion relations for the quantity $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1$.

Step 4: We are how ever only interested here in the planar $N$ ! 1 lim it, in which becom es a commuting dummy variable, and the $r^{(i)} ; s^{(i)}$ some functions of $x=$ $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}$, while $\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{N}$ ! 1 . The equations ( $\frac{1}{-}-\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Z}$ ) translate sim ply into algebraic equations for these functions, as well as for $v(x)=\lim v_{n}$. Upon de ning the rescaled quantities $R^{(1)}=g^{3} z^{2} r^{(1)}, S^{(0)}=s^{(0)}=g, S^{(1)}=g^{2} z S^{(1)}, S^{(2)}=g^{5} z^{2} s^{(2)}$ and $V=g^{2} z V$, we nd the algebraic system

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{(0)} & =1 \\
S^{(2)} & =z V^{4} \\
V & =S^{(1)}+2 S^{(1)} V  \tag{3:34}\\
R^{(1)} & =V^{2}+z\left(S^{(1)}\right)^{2}+2 z S^{(2)} \\
g^{2} z^{2} x & =z S^{(1)} \quad 2 R^{(1)}
\end{align*}
$$

By elim ination, we are sim ply left w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{2} z^{2} x=\prime(V) \quad z \frac{V}{(1+2 V)^{2}} \quad 2 V^{2}\left(1 \quad 2 V^{2}\right) \tag{3:35}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the desired planar free energy reads $f_{0}(g ; z)=\int_{0}^{R_{1}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x\end{array}\right) \log \left(V=\left(g^{2} z x\right)\right)$ by virtue of the obvious generalization of ( $3.1 \overline{1} 1$ ) . The function $V \mathrm{~m}$ ust be com puted by inverting ( $\overline{3} . \overline{3} \overline{3}^{-1}$ ) $)$ order by order in $g^{2} x$. A $s$ in the case of previous section, the singularities of the planar free energy com efrom those of the solution $V_{g ; z}$ of ( $\left.\overline{3}-\overline{3}-\overline{3}\right)$ at $x=1$, as we still have a relation of the form $d^{2}=d g^{2} g^{3} d=d g f_{0}(g ; z)=g d=d g L o g\left(V_{g ; z}=\left(g^{2} z\right)\right)$.

Expressing the critical points $g_{c}(z)$ solving the obvious adaptation of ( $\left.\overline{3} \mathbf{2} \overline{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{d}\right)$, nam ely that $g_{c}(z)^{2}='\left(V_{c}(z)\right)=z^{2}$, while $\left.{ }^{\prime}{ }^{0} V_{c}(z)\right)=0$, w th the appropriate value of from ( $\left.\overline{3} . \overline{3} \overline{3}^{-1}\right)$ ), we see a rst di erence w ith the case of previous section, nam ely that as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}(\mathrm{~V}) \quad(1 \quad 2 \mathrm{~V})\left(\mathrm{z} \quad 4 \mathrm{~V}(1+2 \mathrm{~V})^{4}\right) \tag{3:36}
\end{equation*}
$$

we now have two critical curves

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}^{2}(z)=\frac{1}{8 z} \frac{1}{4 z^{2}} ; z>0 ; V=1=2 \\
& g_{2}^{2}(z)=\frac{1+8 V+10 V^{2}}{8(1+2 V)^{8}} ; w \text { th } z(V)=4 V(1+2 V)^{4} \tag{3:37}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 6: The critical curves $g_{C}^{2}(z)$ are represented in solid $\left(g_{2}^{2}\right)$ and dashed $\left(g_{1}^{2}\right)$ lines. The higher critical endpoint $z=2^{9}=5^{5}$ and intersection point $z_{+}=32$ respectively correspond to the $Y$ ang-Lee edge singularity and to the critical Ising m odelboth coupled to 2D Q G .
along which we generically have a string susceptibility exponent of $=1=2$. These are displayed in Fig. 6. W hile the curve $g_{2}^{2}(z)$ possesses an endpoint at a value $z=$ $z=2^{9}=5^{5}$ (where $V=1=10$, and $g^{2}=3: 5^{7}=2^{20}$ ) at which ${ }^{\prime} \infty$ vanishes and which qualitatively resem bles that found in the previous section, we now get another critical point at the intersection of the two curves $g_{1}^{2}(z)$ and $g_{2}^{2}(z)$ at $z=z_{+}=2^{5}$ (w ith $V_{+}=1=2$ and $g_{+}^{2}=15=2^{12}$ ) where we also have a vanishing of ${ }^{\infty}$. B oth critical points correspond to $=1=3$, but while the rst one is at a negative value of $z$ (hence expected to be described by a non-unitary CFT ), the other one is at a positive value of $z$. The only two m inim alm odel candidate CFT's for $=1=3$ are such that $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=7$ (from the relation $=2=(p+q \quad 1)) . T$ his leaves us $w$ th only the $Y$ ang-Lee edge singularity, non-unitary $w$ ith $c(2 ; 5)=22=5$, and the critical Ising $m$ odel, unitary with $c(3 ; 4)=1=2$. N oting that the second critical point found separates two phases of low and high occupancy, we deduce from the above discussion that the endpoint corresponds to the Yang- $\longleftarrow e \mathrm{~m}$ odel coupled to 2D Q G , while the intersection point corresponds to the crystallization transition, and is described by the critical Ising model coupled to 2 DQG . This assertion is further con $m$ ed by actually com puting critical exponents corresponding to various operators (see [ī1] for details). T his com pletes our gravitational proof that the hard triangle m odel's crystallization transition lies in the universality class of the critical Ising model.

Let us for com pleteness express the planar free energy's coe cients $f_{2 n}(z)$ of $g^{2 n}$ in the (even) g-expansion, by inverting (
the argum ents of the previous section, we arrive at
$N$ ote this tim e the presence of signs in the polynom ialexpression for $f_{2 n}(z) . N$ ote also that for $z=0$ we recover the num ber ofbipartite trivalent planar graphswith $2 n$ vertioes $N_{2 n}=$ $2 n f_{2 n}(z=0)=2^{n} \begin{array}{cc}1 & 2 n \\ n\end{array}=((n+1)(n+2))$ dual to the num ber of Eulerian triangulations of area $2 n\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \overline{9}]\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}{[2 \overline{-1}}\end{array}\right]$. T he above results also translate into large $n$ asym ptotics of $f_{2 n}(z)$ via (2.101), nam ely that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{2 n}(z) \quad \frac{g_{c}(z)^{2 n}}{n^{\frac{7}{2}}} \quad w \text { ith } \quad \begin{array}{l}
g_{c}(z)=g_{2}(z) \quad z<z<z_{+} \\
g_{c}(z)=g_{1}(z) \quad z>z_{+}
\end{array} \\
& f_{2 n}(z) \quad \frac{g_{c}(z)^{2 n}}{n^{\frac{10}{3}}} \quad w \text { ith } \quad \begin{array}{l}
g_{c}(z)=\frac{p}{15}: \frac{5^{3}}{2^{10}} \\
g_{c}\left(z_{+}\right)=\frac{\frac{15}{2^{6}}}{}
\end{array} \tag{3:39}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.5. P artial conclusion

U sing m atrix m odel techniques, we have been $a b l e$ to observe how geom etrical constraints (on the hard-particle models) have $m$ ade bipartiteness of the random lattiges relevant. Indeed, im posing bipartiteness of the underlying graphs from the very beginning proves to be instrum ental in restoring the expected crystallization transition. T his allows to give a form algravitationalproof in the cases of hard triangles (as well as hard squares) that this transition is indeed in the universality class of the 2D critical Ising model. Som e generalizations of the $m$ odels show $n$ here have been worked out in $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ and concem particle models sub ject to a weaker exclusion constraint. T hese allow in principle to visit all criticalpoints described by $m$ inim alm odels coupled to $2 \mathrm{D} Q \mathrm{G}$, and $m$ ust allbe de ned on bipartite graphs as well.

O ne could w onder w hat happens in the case ofhard hexagons. Inspired by the previous lesson, we w ould expect that the crystallization transition for hard hexagons only survives on tripartite (i.e. vertex-tricolored) fatgraphs. W e expect indeed the existence of three sym $m$ etric com peting $m$ axim ally occupied crystalline groundstates to induce a transition in the universality class of the critical 3 state P otts m odel coupled to 2D Q G. A ttem pts in this direction show that a certain rectangularm atrix m odelshould do the job ofgenerating the corresponding con gurations of hard particles on tricolored trivalent graphs, but this $m$ odel has not been am enable to an exact solution yet [2̄2̄-1].

A s a both nal and prelim inary rem ark for the rem ainder of this note, the crucial properties of colorability of the random lattices we have sum $m$ ed over have guaranteed the existence of crystalline groundstates, also responsible for the existence of the phase transition. In the next exam ple ofm eanders, we w ill also arrive at a sim ilar discussion of critical properties according to the colorability constraints of the random lattioes we w ill sum over. H ow ever in this new case, not only the structure of groundstates does rely on the colorability constraint, but the very de nition of the degrees of freedom of the $m$ odel as well, essential in determ ining the central charge of the underlying C FT .

## 4. M eanders

In this second part, we address the apparently unrelated problem of enum eration of $m$ eanders, nam ely of topologically inequivalent con gurations of a road (sim ple closed curve) crossing a river (in nite line) through a given num ber 2 n of bridges (sim ple intersections). A fter rst describing the problem in detail, wewill tum to a description of the $m$ eander con gurations as som e particular random tetravalent planar graphs decorated by loops (actually two loops: the road, and the river). This leads us naturally to study the $m$ ore general problem of loop models coupled to $2 \mathrm{D} Q \mathrm{G}$. In carefully pursuing this, we w illeventually see that geom etrical constraints on these m odels (the so-called fully-packed loop m odels) $m$ ake again the colorability of the underlying lattice relevant, like in the hard-particle case. W e will be able to identify the m eander universality class by a subtle reasoning on the type of random lattices we m ust sum over to nally generate the correct $m$ eander con gurations.

### 4.1. T he $m$ eander enum eration problem

M eanders are de ned as planar graph con gurations of a closed nonsel ntersecting curve (road) crossing a line (river) through a xed num ber 2 n of sim ple intersection points (bridges). These con gurations $m$ ust be counted up to $s m$ ooth deform ations preserving the topology. W e denote by $M_{2 n}$ the totalnum ber of such distinct con gurations for given num ber of bridges 2 n . T he $m$ eander enum eration is an old problem : it can probably be traced back to som e w ork by P oincare (1911), and reem erged in various contexts since: as
 problem [2]


In the works [2] $[2]$ and subsequent, our $m$ ain $m$ otivation $w$ as the study of the folding problem of polym er chains. Such a polym er is ideally described by a chain of identical line segm ents attached by their ends, which serve as hinges betw een adjacent segm ents. Think of a single strip of stam ps, which can be folded along the edges com $m$ on to each neighboring stam ps. We w ill distinguish betw een closed and open polym ers according to whether the chain form sa loop or is open with two free ends. $W$ e will be addressing the com pact self-avoiding folding of such ob jects, nam ely study the various ways in which the polym er can be com pletely folded onto one of its segm ents. N ote that a closed com pactly foldable polym er $m$ ust have an even num ber of segm ents.


Fig. 7: Two com pactly folded polym ers and the corresponding m eanders. The rst exam ple is a closed polym er w ith 8 segm ents, and corresponds to a $m$ eander w th 8 bridges. The second exam ple is an open polym er with 6 segm ents, and corresponds to a sem i-m eander with 7 bridges.

To distinguish betw een the various ways of com pactly folding a closed polym er, we w ill represent the folded ob jects as a $m$ eander w ith 2 n bridges. To visualize the relation between com pactly folded closed polym ers and $m$ eanders, it is sim plest to im agine we draw a line penpendicular to the segm ents form ing the folded polym er with a total of 2 n intersections (each segm ent intersects the line once), and then separate the various segm ents (see Fig.7).

In the case of an open polym er w th say $n \quad 1$ segm ents, let us attach one of its ends to say a wall or a support (see Fig.7), so as to prevent the polym er from winding around that end (this is exactly the situation in a strip of stam ps, attached by one end to its support). Starting from a com pactly folded con guration, let us again draw this tim e a circle that intersects each of the n segm ents once, and also intersects the support once. Extending the polym er so as to let it form a half-line with origin its free end, we form a
planar con guration of a non-sel ntersecting loop (road) crossing a half-line (river w ith a source) through $n$ points. These con gurations considered up to $s m$ ooth deform ations preserving the topology are called sem i-m eanders (see Fig. 7 for an illustration). T he total num ber of sem i-m eanders $w$ th $n$ bridges is denoted by $M_{n}$.

The aim of the subsequent sections is to give a sem i-rigorous physical argum ent leading to the prediction of the $m$ eander and sem im eander con guration exponents ; which govem the large $n$ asym ptotics of the corresponding numbers $M_{2 n} \quad g_{c}{ }^{2 n}=n$ and $M_{n} \quad g_{c}{ }^{n}=n, g_{c}$ some constant. This is done by identifying the universality class of the corresponding critical phenom enon, characteristic of the com pact folding of onedim ensional objects. We try here to sum $m$ arize and put in perspective various works am ong which the paper $\left[\frac{2 \pi}{[3}\right]$ where these results were rst obtained.
4.2. Fixed lattice results for (fully-packed) bop $m$ odels


Fig. 8: Q ualitative phase diagram of the $O(n) m$ odel on the honeycomb lattice. The thick lines correspond to critical curves, for which we have indicated the value of the central charge. We have param etrized $n=2 \cos e$, 0 e 1 .

Loop $m$ odels have been studied extensively for decades as toy $m$ odels for describing (self-avoiding) polym ers and we will only sum $m$ arize the results obtained for their critical behavior in two dim ensions. They are de ned as statistical lattioe $m$ odels, for which the con guration $m$ aps go from the set ofedges of the lattice to $T=f 0 ; 1 \mathrm{~g}$, where $\quad(e)=1$ i the edge e is occupied by a loop edge.

M ore speci cally let us rst discuss the case of loop (so-called $O(n)$ ) m odels on the honeycomblattice. The self-avoidance constraint is very easy to im plem ent as at most one loop $m$ ay visit any given vertex, hence at each vertex $w$ ith adjacent edges $e ; e^{0} ; e^{\infty}$, we either have $(e)=\left(e^{0}\right)=\left(e^{0}\right)=0$, or exactly two of the edges are occupied say $(e)=\left(e^{0}\right)=1$, while $\left(e^{\infty}\right)=0 . W$ e attach two types of B oltzm ann weights to the loop con gurations: a weight K per occupied edge, and a weight n per loop. T he partition function of the $m$ odel reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(n ; K)=\underbrace{X}_{\text {loop con gs: }} n^{\text {L }} K^{E} \tag{4:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $L$ is the totalnum ber of loops and $E$ the totalnum ber of loop edges. The qualitative phase diagram of this $m$ odel is displayed in $F$ ig. 8. The $m$ odel undergoes various phase transitions within the range $2 \mathrm{n} \quad 2$, in which we param etrize $\mathrm{n}=2 \cos \mathrm{e}, 0$ e 1 . It has three critical curves (represented as a zig-zag thick line and a thick straight line), characterized by the density of loops being either 0 , betw een 0 and 1 or 1 , respectively called dihute, dense and fully-packed phase. The latter case corresponds to a situation where the lattice is m axim ally occupied by loops, nam ely each vertex is visited by a loop, and it is obtained in the $\lim$ it K ! 1 . The three criticalcurves are respectively described


$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\text { dilute }: c=1 & 6 \frac{e^{2}}{1+e} \\
\text { dense :c=1 } & 6 \frac{e^{2}}{1 e}  \tag{4:2}\\
\text { fully packed }: c=2 & 6 \frac{e^{2}}{1 e}
\end{array}
$$

It is beyond the scope of these notes to explain in detail these three form ulas. They involve the so-called C oulomb gas description of the various critical theories, nam ely a description involving scalar free elds, each contributing 1 to the central charge, while a suitably de ned background electric charge accounts for the contribution proportional to
$e^{2}$. Typically, these scalar elds are the continuum lim it of discrete \height variables" describing the degrees of freedom of the original $m$ odel. In the case of loops, one $m$ ay indeed de ne a dual height variable as follow s. W e rst orient the loops arbitrarily, and then de ne a height variable as constant within each dom ain delim ited by loops, and increasing or decreasing by a xed am ount when one goes across a loop pointing to the left or right (in other w ords, the loops form a contour plot of the height variable). T his explains at least vaguely why only one scalar eld will in general be su cient to give a com plete description of loop m odels. The background electric charge is also easy to understand as follow s . To produce the correct weight $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{cos}$ e per loop, one sim ply attaches a weight $e^{i}{ }^{e}$ for each orientation. In tum, this is obtained by sim ply introducing a local weight $e^{i} e^{=6}$ on each vertex visited by a loop, according to whether the loop makes a left or right tum. Indeed, on the hexagonal lattice the total of left $m$ inus right tums is alw ays 6, and the weight $n$ per loop follow s from the sum $m$ ation over all loop orientations. N ow if we try to $m$ ake sense of the loop $m$ odel in a cylindric geom etry, we see that if a given loop w inds around the cylinder, it will have as many left and right tums, hence receive a wrong weight 2 instead of $n$. This is repaired by adding the background electric charge, which modi es the free eld action and restores the correct weight, while m odifying the central charge accordingly.

The fully-packed case is clearly distinguished in that it requires tw o scalar elds, rather than just one for the two other cases. M ore precisely, the central charge for fully-packed loops is exactly one $m$ ore than that of the dense loops for the sam e value of $n$. Let us show in the two particular cases $n=1 ; 2$ where this extra degree of freedom com es from.
$T$ he case $n=1$ describes various equivalent problem $s$ for which con gurations are depicted in F ig. 9: (i) rhom bus tiling of the plane (ii) groundstates of the antiferrom agnetic Ising $m$ odelon the triangular lattioe (iii) fully-packed loops on the honeycom b lattioe. T he interpretation (i) allow s to im $m$ ediately understand why the central charge $c=1$ in this case. Indeed, the rhom bus tiling $m$ ay also be regarded as a view in perspective of the interface betw een tw o $m$ edia, $m$ ade of the piling-up of cubes. The natural height variable for this problem is sim ply the actual height of the various cubes, which in the continuum becom es the $z$ coordinate of som e landscape.
$T$ he case $n=2 \mathrm{~m}$ ay be interpreted as the problem of $\backslash$ phantom folding" of the 2D triangular lattice [


Rhombus Tiling


AF Ising Groundstate


Fully-Packed Loops

Fig. 9: Various interpretations of the fully-packed loop m odel's con gurations on the hexagonal lattioe: (i) rhom bus tiling, obtained via the dual of the hexagonal lattice, the triangular lattice, in which we erase the edges dual to unoccupied edges, thus form ing rhom bi, which com e in three possible orientations. (ii) A ntiferrom agnetic groundstates of the Ising m odel: one tries to $m$ axim ize the num ber of antiferrom agnetic interactions ( + ) betw een neighboring spins on the triangular lattice. E xactly one third of the edges rem ain frustrated (dashed here) nam ely are adjacent to equal spins: these frustrated edges of the triangular lattioe are nothing but the erased ones in the rhom bus tiling. (iii) Fully-packed loops on the hexagonal lattice: each vertex is visited by exactly one loop.


Fig. 10: The triangular lattice is represented as a surface, w ith edges view ed as tangent vectors (orientations are indicated by arrow s), such that the sum over edges around each face vanishes face $e_{i}=\theta$. A phantom folding map is sim ply a m ap sendig tangent vectors to either of the three im ages $1 ; 2 ; 3$ that we have represented. This im age is sim ply the value of the tangent vector in the fiplded con guration. A s such, it $m$ ust satisfy the face-rigidity constraint that face $\left(e_{i}\right)=0$.
w ith edges drawn as tangent vectors $e$, in such a way that the sum of tangent vectors around each face vanishes (see Fig. 10), nam ely

X

$$
e=0
$$

$e$ around face $f$
A phantom folding con guration is sim ply a con guration of this surface after being folded back to the plane, in a process where faces are not deform ed, and edges serve as hinges betw een adjacent triangles. W e are how ever interested only in the nal folded states, not in their realizability $w$ ith an actualm aterial such as a piece of paper. It $m$ eans that som $e$ of the foldings we consider $m$ ay require cutting the sheet and letting it cross itself, hence the nam e phantom. M ore precisely, a folding $m$ ap simply sends each edge e to its new value in the folded con guration (e). Finally the face-rigidity constraint tums into

X

$$
\begin{equation*}
(e)=0 \tag{4:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$e$ around face f
It is easy to see that the m ages (e) $m$ ay only take one of three possible values, denoted $1 ; 2 ; 3$ in $F$ ig. 10, nam ely the three unit vectors $w$ ith vanishing sum (up to a global rotation). This allow s to replace any phantom folding con guration by an edge tricoloring con guration of the triangular lattioe, in which we paint edges w ith colors 1;2;3 in such a way that the three edges adjacent to each face have distinct colors. Representing the dual of such a con guration, we obtain an edge-tricoloring of the hexagonal lattice. Let us concentrate on the edges colored 1 and 2. It is easy to see that edges of altemating colors $1 ; 2 ; 1 ; 2 ; 1 ; 2:::$ form fully-packed loops on the hexagonal lattice. $N$ ow exchanging the colors 1 \$ 2 along any of these loops independently also produces an adm issible and distinct edge-tricoloring. This shows that the fully-packed loops receive naturally a weight $n=2$ per loop. F inally picking arbitrarily the edges of color 3 in such a w ay that there be exactly one per vertex allow s to generate all fully-packed loop con gurations. $W$ e therefore end up w th the fully-packed loop m odelon the hexagonal lattice, w th $\mathrm{n}=2$. T he height variable of the folding $m$ odel is easily identi ed as the actual coordinate $\check{K}$ (in $R^{2}$ ) of the vertices in the folded con gurations. Being two-dim ensional, these give naturally rise to two com ponent scalar elds in the continuum, which explains the central charge $c=2$ in this case (no background charge is necessary as there are no speci c left/right tum weights) . N ote that the edge-tricoloring problem of the hexagonal lattioe was solved by B axter [ 13 j 9$]_{1}$ by Bethe A nsatz techniques, and the central charge $\mathrm{c}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ ay be directly extracted from


a

b

Fig. 11: A typical Fully P acked loop con guration on the square lattioe. A ssum ing doubly periodic boundary conditions, there are 6 black loops (solid line) and 4 white ones (dashed lines). Up to rotations, the vertioes of the m odel are of the two types (a) \crossing" or (b) \tangent".
that solution. The general fully-packed result (4-2") was derived by analogous techniques


Let us also mention another interesting loop model, which will eventually allow us to solve the $m$ eander problem : the tw o- avor loop model on the square lattioe. The con gurationsofthism odelarem ade ofloopsoftwo colors ( avors) say 1;2 (orblack,white) occupying edges of the square lattice, them selves belonging to at $m$ ost one loop. The new condition is that although these loops are self-avoiding, tw o loops of distinct colors may coexist at a given vertex, by either crossing one-another, orbeing \tangent to one-another" as displayed in Fig. 11 (a) and (b). The model is further de ned by attaching a weight $K_{i}$ per edge occupied by a loop of color $i=1 ; 2$ and $n_{i}$ per loop of color $i=1 ; 2$. The partition function of this $m$ odel reads:

$$
\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \mathrm{K}_{1} ; \mathrm{K}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{X}_{\text {loop con gs: }}^{\mathrm{n}_{1}^{\mathrm{L}_{1}} \mathrm{n}_{2}^{\mathrm{L}_{2}} \mathrm{~K}_{1}^{\mathrm{E}_{1}} \mathrm{~K}_{2}^{\mathrm{E}_{2}}}
$$

where $L_{i}$ is the total num ber of loops of color $i=1 ; 2$ and $E_{i}$ the total num ber of edges in the loops of color $i=1 ; 2$. In a way sim ilar to the hexagonal lattioe case, the $m$ odel undergoes a num ber of interesting phase transitions, and in particular it still has a dense criticalline, as wellas a fully-packed one, for $2 \quad n_{1} ; n_{2} \quad 2$, which we stillparam etrize by $n_{i}=2 \cos e_{i}, 0 \quad e_{i} \quad 1, i=1 ; 2 . T$ he fully-packed constraint restricts the $m$ odelto only con gurations where all vertices are visited by the two types of loops, which corresponds to taking $K_{1} ; K_{2}$ ! 1 (see Fig. 11 for an exam ple). This model is referred to as the FP L ${ }^{2}$ m odel in the follow ing. A s before, C oulomb gas techniques have been used to identify
precisely the universality classes of the various critical models, leading in particular to form ulas for the central charge of the underlying CFT [ī̄̄̄]:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { dense :c=2} \\
\text { fully packed :c=3 }  \tag{4:4}\\
\text { fle } \\
\hline \frac{e_{1}^{2}}{1 e_{1}}+\frac{e_{2}^{2}}{1 e_{2}} \\
\frac{e_{1}^{2}}{1 e_{2}}
\end{array}
$$

hence we still have an increase of +1 in the central charge when going from the dense to the fully-packed case at $x e d n_{1} ; n_{2}$. A gain, the 2 and 3 in the central charges correspond to the num ber of degrees of freedom necessitated by the eld theoretical description of the continuum lim it, while the other contributions com e from background charges which ensure the correct weights $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ per loop of color i in cylindric geom etries. Let us now show where the three scalar elds com efrom in the fully-packed case.


Fig. 12: A typical con guration of the FPL ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel together w ith the bicoloration of its vertioes (checkerboard of led ( ) and em pty ( ) dots). We have added the corresponding dictionary that allow s to $m$ ap the loop con $g$ urations onto A;B;C;D labelings of the edges.

To do this, let us rephrase the m odel as a (3D ) height m odel as follow s . Starting again from an oriented fully-packed black and white loop con guration, we rst bioolor the vertices of the square lattice, say with altemating lled ( ) and em pty ( ) dots. Then we use the dictionary of $F$ ig. 12 to assign one of the four labels $A$; $B$; $C$; D to each colored and oriented edge. W ith this convention, it is clear that edges of type A B A B ::: altemate along black loops, whereas edges of type C D C D ::: altemate along white loops, and that each vertex has one incident edge ofeach type A ; B ; C ; D . It is clear that the four-labeling with $A ; B ; C ; D$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the coloring and orientation ofedges


Fig. 13: R ules determ ining the change of the height variable across labeled edges. W e adopt the Am pere convention that the height is increased (resp. decreased) by the edge value if the arrow of the edge points to the left (resp. right). T he edge labels $m$ ust be interpreted as three-dim ensional vectors $w$ ith the respective values A, B, C, D
of the FPL m odel. In particular, the orientation of a given black or white loop is reversed if we interchange the $A$ and $B$ or $C$ and $D$ labels along the loop.

The above colors allow for de ning a dual vector height variable on the center ofeach face of the lattice. Indeed, view ing as vectors the A;B;C;D labeling of the edges of the lattice, let us arbitrarily $x$ the height to be zero on a given face of the lattice, and de ne it on all faces by successive use the rules of $F$ ig. 13 for the transition from a face to any of its neighbors. $N$ ote that it is necessary to im pose the condition $A+B+C+D=0$ to ensure that the heights are consistently de ned around each vertex. $W$ e $m$ ay therefore assum $e$ in all generality that $A ; B ; C$; $D$ are actually four vectors in $R^{3} w$ th vanishing sum ; let us take for de niteness $A$; $B ; C$; $D$ to be the four unit vectors pointing from the center of a tetrahedron tow ards its vertioes. T he heights are then clearly three-dim ensional, as linear com binations of A ; B ; C ; D .

To conclude th is section, we have presented tw o types of loop m odels on the hexagonal and square lattice and studied their critical lines. In both cases, the fully-packed phase is described by one m ore scalar eld than the dense one, resulting in an increase of +1 in the CFT's central charge.

### 4.3. Fully-packed bop m odels and 2D Q G

In the next section, we will show how $m$ eanders $m$ ay be viewed as con gurations of the tw o- avor loop m odel on a random lattice. To pave the road for further results, let us rst consider here the ordinary (one- avor) loop model on a random (trivalent) lattige.

Let us go back for a while to the fully-packed loop modelwith $\mathrm{n}=2$, interpreted above as the $m$ odel of phantom folding of the triangular lattioe. Recall that in that case the height variable has been identi ed w ith the position in $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ of the vertices in the folded con guration. Wewish now to de ne this model on a random triangulation. For this to $m$ ake sense, we need the triangulation to be foldable. Indeed, if it is not, the height variable


Non-Eulerian


Eulerian

Fig. 14: Sam ple con gurations of a planar non Eulerian triangulation, and a planar Eulerian one. The Eulerian triangulation has only vertioes of even valence, which allow s to bicolor the triangular faces as show n.
can no longer be de ned as the (2D ) position in the folded con guration, but rather like in the dense case by view ing the loops as a contour plot for the (1D ) height. In genus 0 , the foldability am ounts to the fact that each vertex is adjacent to an even num ber of triangles. In other words, the triangulation $m$ ay be bioolored. Such a triangulation is also called Eulerian, as this guarantees the existence of an Eulerian path, visiting all triangles of the triangulation. Sam ple Eulerian and non Eulerian triangulations are depicted in $F$ ig. 14 for illustration. Sum $m$ ing over such triangulations $w$ ill be called Eulerian gravity for obvious reasons. N ow we may de ne two possible \gravitational" m odels of fully-padked loops on random trivalent graphs.

W e may sum over arbitrary trivalent graphs. A s the dual triangulation w ill in general not be Eulerian, it will not be foldable, and the extra degree of freedom will be lost. The string susceptibility of the corresponding gravitationalm odel (w ith as usual a weight $g$ per trivalent vertex) will be com puted using (2. $\bar{Z} \overline{1})$ w ith the dense central charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ordinary gravity }: c=1 \quad 6 \frac{e^{2}}{1 \quad e} ; n=2 \cos e \tag{4:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W em ay sum overbip artite trivalent graphs, whose dual triangulation is autom atically foldable, thus preserving the height variable in $R^{2}$. The string susceptibility $m$ ust be com puted using $\left(22_{-1}^{2}\right)$ w the fully-packed central charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Eulerian gravity :c=2 } 6 \frac{e^{2}}{1 e} ; n=2 \cos e \tag{4:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we expect here a pheom enon very sim ilar to that occurring in the case of hard particles. The universality class of the critical point depends crucially on the colorability property of the underlying random lattioes. This sim ilarity is no coincidence, as the very existence of com pletely folded groundstates relies crucially on the bipartiteness of the lattioe just like the crystalline groundstates of the hard-particle m odeldid.

To $m$ ake the above argum ent even $m$ ore solid, let us give a $m$ atrix $m$ odelderivation of
 graphs is described by the partition function

$$
\left.Z(g)=Z^{Z} d A d B e^{N \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{A^{2}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{B}^{2}}{2}\right.} \mathrm{gAB}^{2}\right)
$$

where as in Sect. 3.2 we use m atrix elem ents of A and B, two N N H em itian matrioes, to generate em pty (resp. occupied) halfedges glued into em pty (resp. occupied) edges via the propagators hAA i and hBBi. N ote that the measure of integration in ( $\overline{4} . \bar{d})$ is norm alized in such a way that $Z(g=0)=1$. As now usual, $\log Z(g)$ generates a sum over connected trivalent fatgraphs decorated by fully-packed loops of $B$ m atrix elem ents. W e have $\mathrm{n}=1$, as there is no extra weight per loop. N oting that the dependence of $\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{g})$ on $A$ is $G$ aussian, we $m$ ay explicitly integrate over A, w th the result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z(g)=\mathrm{ZBe}^{\mathrm{NTr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~B}^{2}}{2}\right.} 2 \mathrm{~g}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~B}^{4}}{4}\right) \tag{4:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sw e already $m$ entioned in Sect. 32, this latter integral sim ply generates random quadrangulations, therefore is a m odelofpure gravity w ith no m atter, i.e. w ith c $=0$, corresponding to the dense form ula ( $\left.4_{4}^{4} \cdot \overline{7}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, w th $\mathrm{n}=1, \mathrm{e}=1=3$.

To generate bipartite trivalent graphs (dual to E ulerian triangulations), we m ust use com plex m atrices A ; B , whose pictorial rep resentation bears an extra orientation, to distinguish betw een $A$ and $A^{Y}$. Them atrix integral for the fully-packed loop m odel on bipartite trivalent graphs reads [4̄1ī1]

$$
Z_{E}(g)=Z^{Z} d A d B e^{N \operatorname{Tr}\left(A A^{y}+B B^{y}\right.} g\left(A B^{2}+A^{y}\left(B^{y}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

where A and B are com plex N N m atrioes, and the measure is nom alized in such a way that $Z_{E}(g=0)=1 . W$ e note that the dependence on $A$ is still $G$ aussian, hence upon integrating it out, we get

$$
\left.Z_{E}(g)={ }^{Z} d B e^{N \operatorname{Tr}\left(B B^{y}\right.} g^{2} B^{2}\left(B^{y}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

$T$ his tums out to be a particular case of the six-vertex model coupled to 2D Q G solved
 $\mathrm{n}=1$, $\mathrm{e}=1=3$.
$T$ he lesson to be draw $n$ from this section is sim ple: the extra degree of freedom of the fully-packed loop $m$ odel is $w$ iped out ifwe are not carefulenough $w$ th the type of random lattices we sum over. Only if these are bipartite will the height variable survive and lead eventually to the central charge ( $(\overline{4} . \bar{d})$. 0 O therw ise, the $m$ ism atch betw een the random lattices and the m odel takes the universality class back to that of the dense model. We expect this result to be quite general and we will apply it in the next section to the case of the two- avor fully-packed loop $m$ odel on random tetravalent graphs.

### 4.4. From fully-packed loops on the square lattice to $m$ eanders

$W$ e are now ready to tum to the $m$ eander problem. It is by now clear that a $m$ eander con guration is nothing but a con guration of the two- avor loop model on a random tetravalent graph, w ith in addition exactly one loop of each color, say the river (color 1) and the road (color 2). This assum es that we have closed the river (in nite line) into a loop as well. The operation of cutting the river loop and extending it into a line is equivalent to a $m$ arking (rooting) of the corresponding diagram, and yields an overall factor of $4 n$ for diagram $s w$ th $2 n$ vertices. In the language of the tw O - avor fully-packed loop m odel, extracting the con gurations w th one loop ofeach color corresponds to letting $n_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}!0$, in order to select the leading contribution to the partition function, proportional to $n_{1} n_{2}$ in this lim it. But why at all consider the FP L ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel? Indeed, only the $\backslash$ crossing" vertex of F ig. 11 (a) occurs in a meander con guration. The problem is that a two-loop avor m odelon the square lattice w ith only the crossing vertex allow ed is trivial, as loops should all be straight lines (closed into loops say by periodic boundary conditions). It sim ply $m$ eans that the degrees of freedom of the lattice version of the $m$ eander $m$ odel are not taken into account by this naive de nition. W e will show that the FPL ${ }^{2}$ model provides the correct lattice version of the $m$ eander $m$ odel.

Let us now study the FPL ${ }^{2}$ m odel coupled to $2 D Q G$, nam ely de ned on random tetravalent graphs. A s explained in Sect. 2, the genus zero partition function for this m odel reads


Fig. 15: A sam ple bipartite tangent $m$ eander $w$ ith 8 vertices, am ong which 6 are crossing vertioes (bridges) and 2 are tangency vertices. T he vertioes are bicolored, and all river or road edges connect only vertiges of distinct colors.
where the sum extends over all planar four-valent graphs, and $\hat{A}$ ut () $j$ is the order of the symm etry group of , while we have also denoted by $V_{a} ; V_{b}$ the total num bers of vertices of type $a$ and $b$ de ned in $F$ ig. 11 in the particular loop con guration, nam ely we have weighted each crossing of a black and a white loop by gx and each tangency by gy. From the lesson of Sect. 4.3, we know that if we w ish to preserve all degrees of freedom of the m odel, we m ust couple it to Eulerian gravity, nam ely consider it on bipartite random tetravalent graphs in the sum (4.131) (w e w ill distinguish this particular sum $m$ ation by an index E for Eulerian in the notation for the partition function). Indeed, recall that the de nition of the (3D ) height variable in Sect. 42 has relied crucially on the prelim inary bicoloration of vertices of the lattice, allow ing forde ning the edge variables $\operatorname{c}$; ; C ; D . W e m ay therefore use ( $\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{3})$ to generate $\backslash \mathrm{b}$ ipartite tangent $m$ eanders", nam ely con gurations of a closed road crossing or tangent to a river (in nite line) through a given number of bicolored points 2 n , such that only points of distinct color are connected by river or road edges. Let $2 n$ denote the num ber ofbipartite tangent $m$ eanders $w$ ith $2 n$ vertioes (see $F$ ig. 15 for an illustration). These are wellenum erated by counting con gurations of the FPL ${ }^{2}$ m odel at $\mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=0$, nam ely $\mathrm{e}_{1}=\mathrm{e}_{2}=1=2$, and by taking $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}=1$ in (4.13). M ore precisely, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}!0} \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2}}\left(Z_{E}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; g ; 1 ; 1\right) \quad 1\right)={ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{4 \mathrm{n}} g^{2 \mathrm{n}} \tag{4:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have expressed that the opening of the river into an in nite line am ounts to the $m$ arking of a river edge, and results in a factor $4 n$ between the $x e d n$ free energy $f_{2 n}$ and the tangent $m$ eander number $2 n$. Plugging the values $e_{1}=e_{2}=1=2$ into the formula ( $\overline{4} \cdot \overline{-1}$ ) and using $(\underline{2} \cdot \overline{1})$, we nd

$$
c=3 \quad \text { str }=\frac{1+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{7}}{3}
$$

$T$ he free energy at xed area $A$ for this $m$ odel is nothing but

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{A}=\frac{A}{2 A} \quad \frac{g_{C}{ }^{A}}{A^{3} \text { str }} \tag{4:16}
\end{equation*}
$$

by directly applying ( $2.1 \overline{1}$ ). $N$ ote that the value of $g_{c}$ is not predicted by this argum ent, only the con guration exponent. $W$ e nally deduce the large $n$ asym ptotics of the bipartite tangent $m$ eander num bers

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{n} \frac{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2 \mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{7+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{7}}{3} \tag{4:17}
\end{equation*}
$$


(a)

(b)

Fig. 16: Typicalheight con gurations around (a) a crossing vertex and (b) a tangency vertex. W hen going diagonally say from the NW to the SE face (shaded), the height increases by an am ount restricted to (A C ); (B + C ) in the case (a) and only to ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ ) in the case (b). Forbiding (b) will therefore am ount to a reduction of the height variable range from 3 to 2 dim ensions.
$T$ his is not how ever the end of the story. Indeed, the num bers $2 n \mathrm{~m}$ ight have nothing to do with the $m$ eander num bers $M_{2 n}$. W e m ust exam ine $m$ ore closely the role of the tangency points. $N$ ote that the $m$ eander num bers are correctly generated by taking $\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \mathrm{y}!0$ in (4.131) :

$$
\lim _{n_{1} ; \mathrm{m}_{2}!} \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; g ; 1 ; 0\right) \quad 1\right)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{2 \mathrm{n}}}{4 \mathrm{n}} g^{2 \mathrm{n}}
$$

which just am ounts to forbiding the tangency vertex bofFig. 11. Forbiding the tangency vertex b w ill further restrict the range of the height variable of the model. In F ig. 16, we have displayed typical height con gurations around a type a and a type $b$ vertex for
com parison. It is easy to see that the increase in height when going diagonally from a face to another through the vertex (shaded faces in Fig. 16), there is m ore freedom at the crossing vertex $a$, where wem ay have $h$ ! $h \quad(A \quad C)$ or $h(B+C)$, while at the tangent vertex bwem ay only go in one spatialdirection $h!h \quad(A+B)$. Forbiding the $b$ vertex therefore has the net e ect of dim ensionally reducing the range of the height variable to only two dim ensions rather than three in the full model. Forbiding tangencies therefore takes the central charge back to the dense value, which for $e_{1}=e_{2}=1=2$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}=4 \quad \text { ) } \quad \operatorname{str}=\frac{5+\mathrm{P} \overline{145}}{12} \tag{4:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using ( $\overline{4} \cdot 1$ for large $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2 \mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{29+\mathrm{p} \overline{145}}{12} \tag{4:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

To $m$ ake the above argum ent $m$ ore solid, let us present an altemative route lead-
 (non-necessarily bipartite) tetravalent graphs. In this case, the bicoloration of vertices disappears, and we have therefore no way ofdistinguishing the vector labels $A$ and $B$ on one hand and C and D on the other hand. This am ounts to im posing an extra condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+B=C+D=0 \tag{4:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is precisely the condition one would obtain by considering the dense tw o- avor loop m odel on the square lattice. Indeed, we still have the dictionary off ig. 12 for edge labels, but as m ore vertices are allow ed, we get som e extra conditions for the height variable to be well-de ned. If we consider a vertex crossed by only a black or white loop we im m ediately see that (4-2 $\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ') m ust be satis ed. This explains the reduction $3!2$ in the expression for the dense central charge (4.-G) com pared to the fully-packed one, as the (2D) height is now a linear com bination of $A$; $C$ which we $m$ ay choose to form an orthonorm al basis of $R^{2}$. H ence the sim ple fact that we sum over arbitrary tetravalent graphs takes the universality class of the FPL ${ }^{2}$ m odelback to that of the dense one. For $e_{1}=e_{2}=1=2$, we nd precisely the result (

W em ust now see where m eanders $t$ into th is picture. Recall that we still have at th is point crossing and tangent vertioes, but we have relaxed the constraint of bicolorability.


Fig. 17: A type b vertex of the FPL ${ }^{2}$ m odel coupled to ordinary $2 \mathrm{D} Q \mathrm{G}$, together $w$ ith its dual height con guration. W e note that the NE and SW heights are identical. We may therefore undo the vertex as shown, which explains its irrelevance.

W e m ust again exam ine more closely the role of the tangency points. Forbiding them will yield the $m$ eander con gurations as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n_{1} ; n_{2}!0} \frac{1}{n_{1} n_{2}}\left(Z\left(n_{1} ; n_{2} ; g ; 1 ; 0\right) \quad 1\right)=X_{n}^{X} \frac{M_{2 n}}{4 n} g^{2 n} \tag{4:22}
\end{equation*}
$$

E xam ining the height variable of the dense $m$ odel around a tangency vertex, we see that the height variable is the sam e in the faces lying outside of the two loops (see Fig. 17). $T$ his $m$ eans sim ply that the tangency vertex is irrelevant in the ordinary gravity situation, nam ely that its presence or absence, although itm ight a ect the precise value of $g_{c}$, doesn't a ect the universality class, hence the con guration exponent is independent of $y$ at $x=1$


Som e im portant num erical checks of the results of this section have been carried out by use of Jensen's transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod [ī] tangent $m$ eander or bipartite tangent $m$ eander con gurations by applying a local \transfer $m$ atrix" along the river, which im plem ents the addition of a new vertex to a previous state. The $m$ ethod is powerfiul enough to give very accurate values for the extrapolated con guration exponents, which all con $m$ the various results obtained above [an that these are som ew hat contested in [4] exponents is extrem ely sm all and possibly due to subtleties w th the large n corrections.

### 4.5. The $m$ eander universality class: asym ptotics of $m$ eandric num bers

W e have now identi ed the CFT underlying $m$ eanders, as the dense tw O - avor loop model with $n_{1}=n_{2}=0$ coupled to ordinary 2 DQG . The com plete know ledge of the conform al operator content of this CFT via the C oulomb gas picture gives access to a host ofm eandric num bers which we describe now .

The im portant operators for our present purpose are those identi ed as generating oriented river vertices, nam ely the operators $k$ (resp. k), $k=1 ; 2 ;::$ which correspond to the insertion of a $k$-valent source (resp. sink) vertex from (resp. to) which $k$ oriented river edges originate (resp. term inate). U sing these, we $m$ ay generate con gurations in $w$ hich the river $m$ ay itself form a com plicated though connected oriented planar graph w ith possibly loops and endpoints, either sources or sinks according to the operators. In the C oulom b gas picture, these operators create $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ agnetic" defect lines along which the


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2} \quad 4}{32} \tag{4:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k=1 ; 2 ;::: W$ hen coupled to $2 D Q G$, these operators get dressed (into $k$ ) and acquire the dim ension ( 2,0 ) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{{ }_{\frac{1}{2}} p_{\overline{8+3 k^{2}}} p_{\overline{5}}}{p_{\overline{29}} p_{\overline{5}}} \tag{4:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a prelim inary rem ark, we note that $\mathrm{h}_{2}=2=0.2$ indeed corresponds to the $m$ arking of an edge of the river in $m$ eanders, and $m$ oreover such operators $m$ ust go by source/sink pairs for the orientations of the pieces of river connecting them to be
 we nd

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
h_{2} & i_{A} & \frac{g_{C}{ }^{\text {A }}}{A^{1}} \text { str } \tag{4:25}
\end{array}
$$

while the m eander countenpart (w ith a closed river) behaves asM $A=(2 A) \quad g_{C}{ }^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A^{3} & \text { str })\end{array}\right.$. $W$ e see that the net e ect of the insertion of the operators 2 is an overall factor proportionalto $A^{2}$, which con m stheir intenpretation as $m$ arking operators. These are very im portant to keep in $m$ ind, as they $m$ ight be required to ensure source/sink balance in various river geom etries.

W e may now tum to the case of sem i-m eanders, nam ely $m$ eanders in which the river is a sem $i$-in nite line around the origin of which the road $m$ ay freely $w$ ind. C onsidering the point at in nity on the river as just another point, the sem i-m eanders $m$ ay equivalently be viewed as $m$ eanders whose river is $m$ ade of a segm ent. Sending one of the ends of the segm ent to in nity just resolves the winding ambiguities around both ends. U sing the above river insertion operators, we im $m$ ediately identify the generating function for sem i-m eanders as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1} \quad i=\sum_{n_{1}}^{X} M_{n} g^{n} \tag{4:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing again (2. 2 in in $)$ and the explicit values of 1 via ( asym ptotics

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} \frac{g_{c}^{n}}{n} \quad=1+2{ }_{1} \quad \operatorname{str}=1+\frac{p}{24}\left(p_{5}+\frac{p}{29}\right) \tag{4:27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that we expect the value of $g_{c}$ to be the sam e for $m$ eanders and sem i-m eanders, as both ob jects occur as them odynam ic quantities in the sam e e ective eld theory.


## Star



Eight


Cherry

Fig. 18: Three types of $m$ eandric con gurations in which the river has the geom etry of (a) a $k$-valent star (b) an \eight" (c) a \cherry". The vertioes corresponding to river sinks or sources are represented by lled circles ( ). $T$ he edges of river inbetw een them are oriented accordingly. T he road (dashed line) $m$ ay freely $w$ ind around univalent vertioes.

We may now generate $m$ any $m$ ore $m$ eandric numbers by considering $m$ ore general correlators. To name a few (all depicted in Fig. 18), we may generate rivers with the geom etry of a star $w$ ith one $k$-valent source vertex and $k$ univalent sink vertioes generated by $h_{k}\left(\quad l_{1}\right)^{k}$ i, rivers $w$ ith the geom etry of an leight" w ith one tetravalent source vertex and two loops, each containing a bivalent sink vertex generated by $h_{4}\left({ }_{2}\right)^{2}$ i, or rivers w ith the geom etry of a \cherry" with one trivalent source vertex, one univalent sink, and one loop, m arked by a bivalent sink vertex generated by h 3 i $\quad$ 2i, etc ... For each of these situations, we get the corresponding con guration exponent $=3+{ }^{P}{ }_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}i & 1\end{array}\right)$


$$
\begin{align*}
& k \text { star }=\frac{1}{48}\left(\frac{p}{5}+\frac{p}{29}\right)\left(\bar{p} \overline{3 k^{2}+8}+k(\overline{11}\right. \\
&\left.\left.2^{p} \overline{29}\right)+4^{p} \overline{29} \quad 2^{p} \overline{5}\right)  \tag{4:28}\\
& \text { eight }=\frac{1}{24}(\overline{5}+p \overline{29})\left(\bar{p} \overline{14}+\frac{p}{5}\right) \\
& \text { cherry }=\frac{1}{48}\left(\bar{p} \overline{5}+\frac{p}{29}\right)\left(\overline{11}+\frac{p}{35}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

T hese values were also checked num erically in tī̄

## 5. C onclusion

In these notes, we have tried to clarify the role of random lattices when coupling geom etrically constrained system s such as hard particles or fully-packed loops to 2D Q G .
 can be subtle, and involves the correct understanding of the $m$ odels' degrees of freedom both on the xed and random lattices. Themain lesson is that if we want to preserve the essence (universality class) of a given modelwhen coupled to $2 \mathrm{D} Q \mathrm{G}$, then we must pay the price of restricting the uctuations of space (random lattices) to those and only those preserving these degrees of freedom.

P rovided we inconporate th is lesson, we are free to use back and forth the K P Z form ulas to transpose xed lattioe results into random lattice ones and vioe versa. In the case of hard objects, we have used the $2 \mathrm{D} Q \mathrm{G}$ picture to infer som e result on xed lattioes. In the case of fully-packed loops, we have used the opposite strategy to solve the $m$ eander asym ptotics problem by using xed lattice results on the dense or fully-packed two-avor loop m odel. A long the way we have presented a num ber of other relations between xed and random lattice $m$ odels.

O ne could stillw onder, now that we know the exact answ ers, w hether one could devise som e $m$ ore rigorous $m$ athem atical proof of the various results inferred. In particular, the hard-triangle and hard-square $m$ odels rem ain to be solved. A s to $m$ eanders, the existence of a transfer $m$ atrix form ulation $m$ ay give som e hope to be able to attain a com binatorial proofofour assertions. O ne could also w onder w hether the recent com binatorialtechniques for tackling planar graphs by use of trees $m$ ight apply to $m$ eandric or loop problem $s$ (see [2]


Fig. 19: A con guration of the one- avor fully-packed loop model on a bipartite trivalent planar graph. W e have opened the loop into a straight line and represented the unoccupied edges as dashed non-intersecting arches. B oth loop and non-loop edges connect only vertioes of distinct colors.

A s a nal question, let us $m$ ention the follow ing sim pler enum eration problem for which we also know the exact con guration exponent. It corresponds to the one- avor fully-packed loop m odel coupled to Eulerian 2D Q G, when n! 0 [14īin]. The corresponding con gurations are sim ply trivalent planar graphs w ith bicolored vertioes and exactly one loop visiting all vertioes. U pon opening the loop into an in nite line, we m ust enum erate planar con gurations of non-intersecting arches connecting bicolored vertices on the line by pairs, in such a way that the colors of the connected vertiges are alw ays distinct (both along the river and along arches). A sam ple such con guration is depicted in $F$ ig. 19. The resulting num ber of con gurations for a xed number $2 n$ of vertices, $2 n$, counts also by duality the num ber of E ulerian triangulations w ith a $H$ am iltonian cycle. $T$ his num ber has the follow ing large $n$ asym ptotics

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{n} \frac{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2 \mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{13+{ }^{\mathrm{p} \overline{13}}}{6} \tag{5:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for som e constant $g_{c}$. This is obtained by applying (2,1") w th the string susceptibility (2.7.1) of the fully-packed loop $m$ odelw ith $n=0$ coupled to Eulerian gravity, nam ely w ith the fully-packed central charge ( (14-2) w ith $\mathrm{e}=1=2$, hence $\mathrm{c}=1$, and $\operatorname{str}=\frac{1+{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{13}}{6}$. C hallenge: prove eqn. (5. ${ }^{-1}$ ) !

## R eferences

[1] J. B outtier, P.D i Francesco and E. G uitter, C ritical and tricritical hard objects on bicolourable random lattices: exact solutions J. P hys. A : M ath. Gen. 35 (2002) 38213854.
[2] P.D iFrancesco, O .G olinelliand E . G uitter, M eanders: exact asym ptotics, N ucl.P hys. B570 (2000) 699-712.
[3] P.D iF rancesco, Folding and C oloring P roblem $s$ in $M$ athem atics and P hysics, B ulletin of the AM S, Vol. 37, No. 3 (2000) 251-307.
[4] D . G aunt and M .F isher, H ard-Sphere Lattice G ases.IP lane-Square Lattice, J. C hem . Phys. 43 (1965) 2840-2863.
[5] L. R unnels, L. C om bs and J. Salvant, E xact F inite M ethods of Lattice Statistics. II. H oneycom b-Lattioe G as of H ard M olecules, J. C hem . Phys. 47 (1967) 4015-4020.
[6] R.J.Baxter, I. G.Enting and S.K.T sang, H ard Square Lattice G as, J. Stat. Phys. 22 (1980) 465-489.
[7] P.D i Francesco, P. M athieu and D. Senechal, C onform al Field Theory, G raduate Texts in C ontem porary Physics, Springer (1996) 1-890 (1st ed.) and Springer (1999) 1-890 (2nd ed.).
[8] A. C appelli, C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, The A-D E classi cation of minim al and $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{(1)}$ conform al invariant theories, C om $\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{M}$ ath. Phys. 113 (1997), 1-26.
[9] P.D iFrancesco, P.G insparg and J. Zinn\{Justin, 2D G ravity and Random M atrices, Physics Reports 254 (1995) 1-131.
[10] R.J.Baxter, H ard H exagons: Exact Solution, J. Phys. A 13 (1980) L61-70; R.J. B axter and S K. T sang, E ntropy of H ard H exagons, J. P hys. A 13 (1980) 1023-1030; see also R . J. B axter, E xactly Solved M odels in StatisticalM echanics, A cadem ic P ress, London (1984).
[11] R . J. B axter, P lanar Lattice G ases w ith N earest-neighbour E xclusion, A nnals of C om -

[12] D. K urze and M.Fisher, Yang-Lœ Edge Singularities at High Tem peratures, P hys. Rev.B 20 (1979) 2785-2796.
[13] J. C ardy, C onform al Invariance and the Yang-L๒E Edge Singularity in Two D im ensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, No. 13 (1985) 1354-1356.
[14] P.D iFrancesco, M atrix M odelC om binatorics: A pplications to Folding and Coloring, B leher and Its E ds., M SR I P ublications V ol. 40 111-170, C am bridge U niversity P ress (2001), , 'math
[15] B .Eynard, Random M atrioes, Saclay Lecture N otes (2000),

[16] C . Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, T he planar approxim ation II, J.M ath. P hys. 21 (1980) 411.
[17] J. B outtier, P. D i Francesco and E. Guitter, Com binatorics of H ard P articles on P lanar $G$ raphs, Saclay preprint t02/160 and icond-mat 0211168 (2002).
[18] $M$. B ousquet $-M$ elou and $G$. Schae er, $T$ he degree distribution in bipartite planarm aps:

[19] W . Tutte, A C ensus of P lanar M aps, C anad. Jour. of M ath. 15 (1963) 249-271.
[20] G. Schae er, B ijective census and random generation of Eulerian planar maps, Electronic Joumal of C om binatorics, vol. 4 (1997) R 20; C on jugaison d'arbres et cartes com binatoires aleatoires PhD Thesis, U niversite B ordeaux I (1998).
[21] V.G. Knizhnik, A M . Polyakov and A B. Zam olodchikov, Fractal Structure of 2D Q uantum Gravity, M od. Phys. Lett. A 3 (1988) 819-826; F. D avid, C onform al F ield T heories C oupled to 2D G ravity in the C onform alG auge, M od. Phys. Lett. A 3 (1988) 1651-1656; J. D istler and H. K aw ai, C onform alF ield Theory and 2D Q uantum G ravity, N ucl. P hys. B 321 (1989) 509-527.
[22] P. D i Francesco, Rectangular M atrix M odels and C om binatorics of C olored G raphs, preprints SP hT /02-096 and condeat/0208037, (2002), to appear in Nucl . Phys. B .
[23] A. Sainte-Lague, A vec des nom bres et des lignes (R ecreations M athem atiques), Vuibert, Paris (1937).
[24] J.T ouchard, C ontributions a l'etude du problem e des tim bres poste, C anad. J.M ath . 2 (1950) 385-398; W . Lunnon, A m ap \{ folding problem , M ath. ofC om putation 22 (1968) 193-199.
[25] P. D i Francesco, O. G olinelli and E. G uitter, M eander, folding and arch statistics, M athl. C om put. M odelling 26 (1997) 97-147.
[26] V.A mold, T he branched covering of C $P_{2}!S_{4}$, hyperbolicity and projective topology, Siberian M ath. Jour. 29 (1988) 717-726.
[27] K.H.K o, L. Sm olinsky, A com binatorialm atrix in 3-m anifold theory, Paci c. J. M ath 149 (1991) 319-336.
[28] K. Ho m an, K. M eh hom, P.R osenstiehland R. Tarjan, Sorting Jordan sequences in linear tim e using levellinked search trees, Inform ation and C ontrol68 (1986) 170-184.
[29] P.D iFrancesco, O. G olinelli and E.Guitter, M eanders and the Tem perley-Lieb A lgebra, C om m un. M ath. Phys. 186 (1997), 1-59.
[30] R.B acher, M eander A lgebras, prepublication de l'Institut Fourier n ${ }^{\circ} 478$ (1999).
[31] S. Lando and A. Zvonkin, P lane and P rojective M eanders, Theor. C om p. Science 117 (1993) 227-241, and M eanders, Selecta M ath. Sov. 11 (1992) 117-144.
[32] P. D i Francesco, O . .G olinelli and E. G uitter, M eanders: a direct enum eration approach, Nucl. Phys. B 482 ES] (1996) 497-535.
[33] O. G olinelli, A M onte-C arlo study of m eanders, Eur. P hys. J. B 14 (2000) 145-155.
[34] Y.M akeenko Strings, M atrix M odels, and M eanders, N ucl.P hys.P roc.Suppl. 49 (1996) 226-237; G. Sem eno and R. Szabo Ferm ionic M atrix M odels Int J M od P hys. A 12 (1997) 2135-2292.
[35] B . N ienhuis in P hase Transitions and C riticalP henom ena, Vol. 11, eds. C . D om b and J L . Lebow itz, A cadem ic P ress 1987.
[36] H .W J. B lote and B.N ienhuis, Phys. R ev. Lett. 72 (1994) 1372.
[37] M .T.Batchelor, J. Suzuki and C M . Yung, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 2646, '--- $-\mathrm{mat} / 94 \overline{4} \overline{0} \overline{0} \overline{0} \overline{8} 3{ }^{\prime}$.
[38] P.D iF rancesco and E.G uitter, E ntropy of Folding of the T riangular Lattice, E urophys. Lett. 26 (1994) 455.
[39] R. B axter, C olorings of a hexagonal lattice, J. M ath. P hys. 11 (1970) 784-789.
[40] J. Jacobsen and J. K ondev, F ield theory of com pact polym ens on the square lattice, Nucl. Phys. B 532 ES], (1998) 635-688, Transition from the com pact to the dense phase of two-dim ensional polym ers, J. Stat. Phys. 96, (1999) 21-48.
[41] P.D iFrancesco, E.G uitter and C.K ristjansen, Fully P acked O ( $n=1$ ) M odelon Random Eulerian Triangulations, Nucl.P hys. B 549 (1999) 657-667.
[42] V .K azakov and P.Z inn-Justin, T wo-M atrix m odelwith A B A B interaction, N ucl.P hys. B 546 (1999) 647-668.
[43] I. Jensen, E num erations of $P$ lane $M$ eanders, preprint
[44] P.D iF rancesco, E. G uitter and J. Jacobsen, E xact M eander A sym ptotics: a N um erical C heck, N ucl.P hys. B 580 (2000) 757-795.
[45] I. Jensen and A .G uttm ann, C riticalexponents ofplanem eanders, J.P hys.A 33 (2000) L187; I. Jensen, A transfer $m$ atrix approach to the enum eration of plane $m$ eanders,

[46] J. B outtier, P.D iFrancesco and E.G uitter, C ounting colored random triangulations, N ucl. Phys. B 641 [F S ] (2002) 519-532; C ensus of P lanar M aps: From the O ne-M atrix M odel Solution to a C om binatorialP roof, N uclP hys. B 645 (2002) 477-499.
[47] E.G uitter, C. K ristjansen, and J.N ielsen, Ham ittonian C ycles on Random Eulerian Triangulations, Nucl.P hys. B 546 (1999) 731.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ philippe@ spht.saclay.cea.fr

