Interference patterns of B ose-condensed gases in a two-dim ensional optical lattice

Shujuan Liu¹, Hongwei Xiong¹, Zhijun Xu¹, and Guoxiang Huang²

¹D epartm ent of A pplied P hysics, Z he jiang U niversity of Technology, H angzhou, 310032, C hina

²D epartm ent of P hysics and K ey Laboratory for O ptical and M agnetic Resonance Spectroscopy, East C hina N orm al

University, Shanghai 200062, China

(April 14, 2024)

For the Bose-condensed gas con ned in a magnetic trap and in a two-dimensional optical lattice, the non-uniform distribution of atom s in dierent lattice sites is considered based on Gross-Pitaevskii equation. A propagator method is used to investigate the time evolution of 2D interference patterns after (i) only the optical lattice is swithed o , and (ii) both the optical lattice and the magnetic trap are swithed o . An analytical description on the motion of side peaks in the interference patterns is presented by using the density distribution in a momentum space. PACS number(s): 03.75 Fi, 05.30 Jp

I. IN TRODUCTION

In the last few years, due to the experim ental realization of B ose-E instein condensation in 1995 [1], we witnessed the rem arkable experim ental and theoretical advances in the study of ultra-cold B ose gases [2]. Recently, a B ose-condensed gase con ned in an optical lattice (created by retrore ected laser beam s) and in a magnetic trap is investigated (see [3] and references therein). In such system, m any subcondensates form and they are con ned in the m inim um s of optical lattice potential. This provides an ideal system for investigating m any interesting properties of B ose-E insten condensate (BEC), such as the quantum transition from a super uid to a M ott insulating state of a ultra-cold B ose gas [4].

The coherence property of a BEC connect in an optical lattice and in a magnetic trap can be obtained by observing the time evolution of the interference patterns when both the optical lattice and the magnetic trap are switched o. The interference patterns obtained in this way is similar to the diraction patterns of a coherent light from a grating. For the BECs in one-dimensional (1D) [5], 2D [6] and 3D [4] optical lattices, the interference patterns are clearly shown experimentally. In [4], the quantum transition from a super uid to a Mott insulating state is con rm ed by observing the characters of the interference patterns.

In a recent experiment, Muller et al [7] studied the time evolution of the interference patterns when only a 1D optical lattice is switched o . It is shown that, due to the presence of the magnetic trap, there is a periodic harm onic motion in side peaks. This gives us a new opportunity to investigate the properties of coherent matter wave such as the collision between the side peaks. In our previous work [8], a propagatorm ethod is used to study the time evolution of the interference patterns form ed in a 1D optical lattice, and the harm onic motion of the side peaks predicted is in agreement with the experimental result given in [7]. In the present work, we generalize the method developed in [8] to a 2D case, i. e. we consider the time evolution of the interference patterns for a Bose-condensed gas con ned in a 2D optical lattice and in a magnetic trap, with the emphasis especially on the situation when only the optical lattice is switched o .

II.A BOSE-CONDENSED GAS IN COMBINED TRAPPING POTENTIALS

For the Bose-condensed gas con ned in a 2D optical lattice and a magnetic trap with an axial symmetry along z-axis, the dynamics of the condensate at low temperature is described by the well-known G ross-P itaevskii equation [3]

$$ih\frac{\theta}{\theta t} = \frac{h^2}{2m} 5^2 + \frac{1}{2}m !_2^2 (x^2 + y^2) + \frac{1}{2}m !_z^2 z^2 + V_{latt} + gjj^2 ; \qquad (1)$$

where $!_{2}$ and $!_{z}$ are respectively the harm onic angular frequencies of the magnetic trap in the radial and axial directions, $g = 4^{2}h^{2}a_{s}=m$ with a_{s} the s-wave scattering length. When the polarization vectors of two perpendicular optical standing waves are orthogonal, the 2D optical lattice potential takes the form [6]

$$V_{latt} = U_0 \sin^2 \frac{2 x}{1} + \sin^2 \frac{2 y}{1}$$
; (2)

where U_0 denotes the depth of the optical lattice which can be increased by increasing the intensity of retrore ected laser beam s, while is the wavelength of the retrore ected laser beam s. We consider here the case of !_? << !_z and hence the condensate is disk-shaped with a larger extension in the x and the y directions when in the presence of only the magnetic trap. A fler the optical lattice potential V_{latt} is switched on a lot of subcondensates appear which are con ned in the minimum s (lattice sites) of the optical lattice potential. For the optical lattice created by the laser beam s with wavelength , d = =2 is the period of the optical lattice potential, which can be regarded as the distance between two neighboring lattice sites.

We assume that the width of subcondensates in each lattice site, , is much less d, which can be realized in the present experiment by simply increasing the depth of the optical lattice. We consider here the case that the subcondensates in di erent lattice sites are fully coherent, i.e., the chem ical potential of these subcondensates are identical and hence the condensed state wave function (or called order parameter) of the overall subcondensates can be written as:

$$= \sum_{\substack{k_{x},k_{y} \\ k_{x},k_{y}}}^{X} (x;y;z) e^{i t=h};$$
(3)

where is the chemical potential, k_j (j = x;y) denotes the k_j -th lattice site in the j-direction. Substituting (3) into Eq. (1), we have

$$\frac{h^2}{2m} 5^2 + \frac{1}{2}m !_2^2 (x^2 + y^2) + \frac{1}{2}m !_z^2 z^2 + V_{latt} + g_{k_x k_y}^2 k_{k_x k_y} = k_{k_x k_y}:$$
(4)

In obtaining Eq. (4) we have used the condition that the overlap between neighboring subcondensates can be neglected in the case of << d. To illustrate the character of $k_x k_y$ clearly, using the coordinate transformation $x k_x d! x$, $y k_y d! y$ and making a Taylor expansion of the optical lattice potential to the quadratic term s w ith respect to the lattice site $fk_x;k_yg$, it is straightforward to get the follow ing equation:

$$\frac{h^{2}}{2m} 5^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m !_{?}^{2} ((x + k_{x}d)^{2} + (y + k_{y}d)^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}m !_{z}^{2}z^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m e_{?}^{2} x^{2} + y^{2} + g k_{x}k_{y} = k_{x}k_{y};$$
(5)

Equation (5) determ ines the wavefunction $k_x k_y$ at the lattice site $(k_x; k_y)$. In the present experiment, the intensity of the retrore ected laser can be increased so that one can have $e_2 >> !_2$. For the subcondensate conned in the lattice sites, one has d=2 < x < d=2 and d=2 < y < d=2. Thus we obtain $x << k_x d$ and $y << k_y d$ except for the subcondensate in the central lattice site. As a result the term $\frac{1}{2}m !_2^2 ((x + k_x d)^2 + (y + k_y d)^2)$ in Eq. (5) can be approximated as $\frac{1}{2}m !_2^2 (k_x^2 d^2 + k_y^2 d^2)$. As for the subcondensate in the central lattice site, because $e_2 >> !_2$, the above approximation is valid too. Thus Eq. (5) can be reduced to

$$\frac{h^2}{2m} 5^2 + \frac{1}{2}m !_z^2 z^2 + \frac{1}{2}m \phi_2^2 x^2 + y^2 + g_{k_x k_y}^2 k_{k_x k_y} = k_{k_x k_y} k_{k_x k_y};$$
(6)

where $k_x k_y = \frac{1}{2}m !_2^2 d^2 k_x^2 + k_y^2$, which can be regarded as an elective chemical potential of the subcondensate on the lattice site fk_x ; $k_y g$. A sum ing that $= \frac{1}{2}m !_2^2 d^2 k_M^2$, the elective chemical potential then reads

$$k_{x k_{y}} = \frac{1}{2} m !_{?}^{2} d^{2} (k_{M}^{2} - k_{x}^{2} - k_{y}^{2}):$$
 (7)

Because the occupied lattice sites lie within a circle, k_{M}^{2} can be regarded as the number of subcondensates induced by the optical lattice.

In the present work, we are interested in the case $h!_z << ... << he_2$. In this situation, each subcondensate in the optical lattice is a cigar-shaped one along the z-direction thus it displays a quasi-1D character. So a Thom as Ferm i approximation can be applied in the z direction. A fler integrating out the fast-varying variables x and y in Eq. (6), one obtains [9]

$$\frac{h^{2}}{2m}\frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}} + \frac{1}{2}m !_{z}^{2}z^{2} + g_{1D} \prime_{k_{x}k_{y}}(z)^{2} \prime_{k_{x}k_{y}}(z) = k_{x}k_{y} \prime_{k_{x}k_{y}}(z); \qquad (8)$$

where $'_{k_x k_y}(z)$ is the wave function of the subcondensate at the lattice site $(k_x; k_y)$ in the z-direction and $g_{1D} = 2h^2 a_s = m \frac{q_2}{2}$ ($g_z = (h=m \frac{q_2}{2})^{1=2}$) is an electric coupling constant in one dimension.

In the z direction, using the Thom as-Ferm i approximation we obtain the atom ic number N $_{k_x k_y}$ in the lattice site fk_x ; $k_y g$ as

$$N_{k_{x}k_{y}} = \frac{4^{p} \overline{2}_{k_{x}k_{y}}^{3=2}}{3g_{1D} m^{1=2}!_{z}};$$
(9)

Thus the ratio between the atom ic number in the lattice site $(k_x;k_y)$ and the atom ic number in the central lattice site (0;0) reads

$$N_{k_x k_y} = N_{00} \quad 1 \quad \frac{k_x^2 + k_y^2}{k_M^2} :$$
 (10)

We see that the uniform them ical potential of the fully coherent subcondensates and the presence of the magnetic trap result in a non-uniform distribution of the atom ic number in di erent lattice sites. It is worth pointing out that the atom s can move from one lattice site to another ones when the subcondensates are fully coherent (i.e. the system is in a super uid state). Thus the atom ic number in lattice sites discussed here should be regarded as an average one. In addition, the value of $k_{\rm M}$ can be obtained by considering the condition N₀ = $P_{\rm k_x,k_y}^{0}$ N $_{\rm k_x,k_y}$. Here N₀ is the total atom ic number in all subcondensates, the prime in the sum means that the sum mation about $k_{\rm x}$, $k_{\rm y}$ should satisfy the condition $k_{\rm x}^2 + k_{\rm y}^2$ $k_{\rm M}^2$. Based on this analysis, $k_{\rm M}$ is given by

$$k_{\rm M} = \frac{15N_0 g_{\rm 1D} !_z}{4 m !_0^3 d^3} :$$
(11)

In the present work, the parameters used are $!_{?} = 24 - 2 + z$, $!_{z} = 220 - 2 + z$ and $e_{?} = 10^{4} - 2 + z$ for N₀ = 10^{5-87} Rb atoms. Note that $e_{?}$ here is about one half of the experimental value used in [6], thus the subcondensates discussed here are fully coherent. It is obvious that the condition h!_z << < < he_? used above holds for these parameters.

III. THE BOSE-CONDENSED GAS IN A MOMENTUM SPACE

We now investigate the density distribution of the Bose-condensed gas in a momentum space. Note that for the parameters used here, the Thom as Ferm i approximation is no more valid in the x and y directions. For a larger value of U_0 it is reasonable to assume that the density distribution of subcondensate in the optical lattice has a form of Gaussian distribution in x-y coordinate space, i.e. $_0 (x;y) = \frac{P_0}{k_x;k_y} \exp[(x + k_x d)^2 + (y + k_y d)^2 = 2^2]$. Due to the periodicity of the lattice, the wave function in momentum space takes the form

$$_{0}(p_{x};p_{y}) = _{0}(p_{x};p_{y}) \frac{\sin \left[(2k_{M} + 1)p_{x}d=h\right]}{\sin p_{x}d=2h} \frac{\sin \left[(2k_{M} + 1)p_{y}d=h\right]}{\sin p_{y}d=2h};$$
(12)

where $_{0}$ ($p_{x}; p_{y}$) exp[($p_{x}^{2} + p_{y}^{2}$)²=2h²]. We see that the momentum distribution of the Bose-condensed gas exhibits sharp peaks at $p_{j} = 2 n_{j}h=d$ (j = x; y). The width of each peak in momentum space can be approximated as p_{j} h= ($2k_{M} + 1$) d. From this character one can obtain directly the following results:

(i) A spointed out in [5,8], the density distribution of the subcondensates in the momentum space provides in portant inform ation for the evolution of interference patterns. The sharp peaks of the momentum distribution of the condensate with nonzero n_j implies that there would be side peaks in coordinate space after the optical lattice is switched o. The classical approximation of the initial velocity $v_j = p_j = m$ can give a quite well description for the motion of the side peaks. When only the optical lattice is switched o, due to the presence of the magnetic trap the harm onic motion of the center of mass of the side peaks is given by

$$r_{n_x;n_y} = \frac{2 n_x h}{m!_2 d} \sin (!_2 t) e_x + \frac{2 n_y h}{m!_2 d} \sin (!_2 t) e_y;$$
(13)

where e_x and e_y are two unit vectors along the x and y directions. W hen both the optical lattices and m agnetic trap are switched o , the motion of the side peaks is given by

$$r_{n_x,n_y} = \frac{2 n_x h t}{m d} e_x + \frac{2 n_y h t}{m d} e_y :$$
(14)

(ii) From the density distribution in momentum space n $(p_x; p_y) = j_0 (p_x; p_y)j^2$, the relative population of the side peaks with respect to the central peak can be approximated by exp[$4^2(n_x^2 + n_y^2)^2 = d^2$]. This form ula is very useful when experimental parameters are chosen to observe clearly the side peaks in the interference patterns.

IV . DENSITY DISTRIBUTION OF THE CONDENSATE AFTER SW ITCHING OFF ONLY THE OPTICAL LATTICE

In [8], evolution of interference patterns of the subcondensates induced by a 1D optical lattice is investigated when only the optical lattice is switched o. In this section, we generalize the method developed in [8] to consider the evolution of interference pattern when switching o only the 2D optical lattice. Using the density distribution in coordinate space and the result given by Eq. (10), the norm alized wave function of the Bose-condensed gas at time t = 0 takes the form

$$(x;y;z;t=0) = A_{n} \begin{array}{c} X^{0} \\ k_{x}k_{y} \\ k_{x},k_{y} \end{array} (x;y;t=0) \quad k_{x}k_{y} (z;t=0);$$
(15)

where $A_n = \frac{p}{5-2} = k_M$ is a norm alization constant. In the above equation, $k_x k_y$ (z;t= 0) represents the norm alized wave function in the z direction, and $k_x k_y$ (x;y;t= 0) is given by

$$k_{x}k_{y}$$
 (x;y;t= 0) = 1 $\frac{k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2}}{k_{M}^{2}}$ e $((x - k_{x}d)^{2} + (y - k_{y}d)^{2}) = 2^{-2}$: (16)

Note that the factor 1 $k_x^2 + k_y^2 = k_M^2$ in the above expression relects the non-uniform distribution of the atom s in the lattice which is given by Eq. (10).

W hen the optical lattice is switched o, the evolution of a interference pattern can be illustrated by considering the density distribution n(x;y;t) in x-y coordinate space:

$$n (x;y;t) = N_{0} j (x;y;z;t) j^{2} dz = N_{0} j (x;y;t) j^{2} :$$
(17)

In the case of the elective chem ical potential $k_x k_y$ being much smaller than the ground state energy $he_2 = 2$ of the atom s in the lattice site $(k_x; k_y)$, the noninteracting model can provides a good description [5,8]. When neglecting the interatom ic interaction, the normalized wave function $(x; y; t) = A_n \sum_{k_x; k_y} k_x k_y$ (x; y; t) can be obtained through the well-known propagator method [8]. Recently, the vortex dynam ics of parabolically con ned B ose gases is also investigated by the propagator method [10]. Using this technique the condensed state wave function at time t can be obtained by using the follow ing integral equation [11]:

$$(x;y;t) = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{1} \\ K_{2}(x;y;t;x_{1};y_{1};t=0) & (x_{1};y_{1};t=0) dx_{1} dy_{1}; \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

where the propagator K $(x;y;t;x_1;y_1;t=0)$ is given by

$$K (x;y;t;x_1;y_1;t=0) = \begin{cases} Y \\ K_j(r_j;t;r_{j1};t=0): \\ j=x,y \end{cases}$$
(19)

In the above equation, $r_x = x (r_y = y)$, $r_{x1} = x_1 (r_{y1} = y_1)$, and

$$K_{j}(r_{j};t;r_{j1};t=0) = \frac{m!_{?}}{2 \sinh \sin !_{?}t} \exp \frac{im!_{?}}{2h \sin !_{?}t} r_{j}^{2} + r_{j1}^{2} \cos !_{?}t 2r_{j}r_{j1} :$$
(20)

By Eqs. (16) and (18) it is straightforward to get the following analytical result of (x;y;t):

$$(x;y;t) = A_{n} \sum_{\substack{k_{x};k_{y} \\ k_{x};k_{y}}}^{X^{0}} 1 \frac{k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2}}{k_{M}^{2}} \sum_{\substack{j=x;y \\ j=x;y}}^{j} (r_{j};t); \qquad (21)$$

where

$$s = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\sin ! \cdot t (\cot ! \cdot t + i \cdot)}} \exp \left(\frac{k_{j} d \cos ! \cdot t \cdot r_{j}}{2 \cdot 2 \sin^{2} ! \cdot t \cot^{2} ! \cdot t \cdot t \cdot t + 2}\right)^{\#}$$

$$\exp - \frac{i(k_{j}d\cos!_{?}t r_{j})^{2}\cot!_{?}t}{2^{2}\sin^{2}!_{?}t \cot!_{?}t + 2}} \exp - \frac{ir_{j}^{2}\cos!_{?}t + k_{j}^{2}d^{2}\cos!_{?}t 2k_{j}r_{j}d}{2^{2}\sin!_{?}t};$$
(22)

where the dimensionless parameter = h=m $!_2^2$.

From the result given by Eq. (21), we see that the period of (x;y;t) is $T = 2 = !_{?}$, while the period of the density distribution n (x;y;t) is $= !_{?}$. The density at x = 0, y = 0 reaches a maximum value at time $t_m = (2m \quad 1) = !_{?}$ with m a positive integer. At time t_m , j $(x = 0; y = 0; t_m)_{j}^{2}$ is given by

$$j (x = 0; y = 0; t_m) j^2 = \frac{2}{2} \quad \frac{A_n^2}{2} = \frac{A_M^2}{2} - \frac{4 k_M^2}{9} = \frac{2}{3}$$
(23)

A coording to the fact that there is only a small number of atom s in each lattice site, we assume here that the atom s in a subcondensate are in the ground state of the corresponding lattice site. Thus we have $= \int_{n=2m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e_2} d_1 d_2$ and it is easy to verify that for the parameters used here satisfy << d. This shows that in the derivation of Eq. (4) the condition << d holds. From the result given by Eqs. (21) and (22), one can obtain the density distribution of the interference pattern. D isplayed in gure 1a is the density distribution n(x;y;t) (in unit of $N_0A_n^2$) at $t = 0.3 = !_2$. Note that in all gures plotted in this paper, the coordinates x and y are measured in the unit d. The central and side peaks are clearly shown in the gure. Due to the presence of the magnetic trap, there is a harm onic motion of the side peaks. D isplayed in gure 1b, we see that the central peak is a very sharp one, analogously to the case of 1D optical lattice [8]. Shown in gure 2 is the evolution of the interference pattern at di erent times. The evolution in the width of the central and side peaks and the motion of the side peaks is obvious. D ue to the presence of the magnetic trap, there is no obvious evolution in the z-direction.

V.DENSITY DISTRIBUTION OF THE CONDENSATE AFTER SW ITCHING OFF THE COMBINED POTENTIALS

We now turn to discuss the time evolution of the interference patterns when both the magnetic trap and the optical lattice are switched o. In this case the propagator can be obtained by setting $!_2 ! 0$ in Eq. (19). Thus we get

$$K_{b}(x;y;t;x_{1};y_{1};t=0) = \begin{cases} Y \\ K_{bj}(r_{j};t;r_{j1};t=0); \\ j=x;y \end{cases}$$
(24)

where

$$K_{bj}(r_{j};t;r_{j1};t=0) = \frac{2 \text{ iht}}{m} \exp \left(\frac{\text{im}(r_{j} r_{j1})^{2}}{2\text{ht}}\right) : \qquad (25)$$

The analytical result of (x;y;t) is then

$$(x;y;t) = A_{n} \sum_{\substack{k_{x},k_{y} \\ k_{x},k_{y}}}^{X^{0}} 1 \frac{k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2}}{k_{M}^{2}} \sum_{\substack{j=x;y \\ j=x;y}}^{j=4} Y_{j;t}$$
(26)

where

where the dimensionless parameter = $ht=m^2$. Shown in gure 3a is the density distribution (in unit of N₀A_n²) at $t = 0.3 = !_2$. The density distribution at $t = 0.5 = !_2$ is given in gure 3b. We see that the peaks are wider than those in the case when only the optical lattice is switched o. D is played in gure 4 is the evolution of the interference pattern with the development of time.

As for the evolution in the z-direction, analogously to the case of the 1D optical lattice [5] one can obtain that the width R_z (t) of the central peak is described by the asympttic law R_z (t) = R_z (0) ! zt after the central peak is form ed.

VI.DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The time evolution of the interference patterns of a Bose-condensed gas con ned in a 2D optical lattice and a magnetic trap has been investigated by using a propagator method. Based on this method the analytical results of the condensed state wave function and motion of the side peaks have been provided. When the electrice chemical potential in each lattice site is much smaller than he_2 , a noninteracting model can give a quite well description for the evolution of the interference patterns. Nevertheless, the interaction between atoms can give an important correction to the central peak when only the optical lattice is switched o. A fler switching o the optical lattice, at time t_m the central peak is very sharp and hence the interaction between atoms can not be neglected. Generally speaking, starting directly from a general mean eld theory, one can give the interaction correction to the density distribution of the central peak. However, this would be a challenging work due to the fact that there is not a single phase for the central peak due to the evolution of the wave packet. In [8], for a 1D optical lattices a sim plem ethod is developed to investigate the interaction correction by using a Gaussian density distribution of the central peak and energy conservation. In the case of the 2D optical lattice, the analysis is sim ilar and the interaction correction to the maximum density of the central peak at t_m can be calculated straightforwardly. A ssum ing that denotes the ratio of the density at x = 0, y = 0 between the weakly interacting and noninteracting interference patterns, at t_m we have

$$= \frac{1}{1 + E_{int} (28)} = E_{all};$$

where ? ideal is given in Eq. (23), with

$$E_{all} = N_0 \frac{h^2}{2m^2} + \frac{1}{2}m e_2^2^2$$
; (29)

and

$$E_{int} (? ideal) = \frac{9N_0^2 g_{?}^2 ideal!_z}{60k_M d!_?}$$
(30)

For the parameters used here, a simple calculation shows that = 0.43. We see that quite dimensity from the case of 1D optical lattices (see Ref. [8]), the weak interaction between atoms results in a change in a deep way for the density of the central peak. This is not a surprising result due to the fact that in the case of the 2D optical lattice, the central peak is cigar-shaped, while the central peak is disk-shaped for 1D optical lattices. A nother possible interaction correction to the central peak at time t_m would be a four-wave mixing which deserves a detailed study in future.

In addition, the interaction between atom s plays an important role in the colliding process of the side peaks, and this would be an interesting problem deserving to be explored further. In the case of the Bose-condensed gas in a 1D optical lattice, the collisional haloes have been observed in the experiment [7]. When a 2D optical lattice is used to con ne an axial symmetric Bose-condensed gas, there would be a collision between four side peaks, rather than the collision between two side peaks as in the case of 1D optical lattice. This gives us new opportunity to investigate the collision between side peaks both theoretically and experimentally. The method developed here can also be used straightforwardly to study the cigar-shaped Bose-condensed gas in a 2D optical lattice. For the cigar-shaped Bose-condensed gas, the magnetic trap is $!_x = !_z >> !_y$. When the 2D optical lattice is imposed in x and y directions, after the optical lattice is switched on the motion of the side peaks is given by

$$r_{n_x,n_y} = \frac{2 n_x h}{m!_2 d} \sin (!_x t) e_x + \frac{2 n_y h}{m!_2 d} \sin (!_y t) e_y:$$
(31)

We see that the motion of the side peaks in x and y directions is quite di erent because $!_x >> !_y$. In this case, the side peaks in the x-direction has a collision before that in the y-direction, and this gives us an opportunity for observing the collisions one after another. It is obvious that the method developed here can also be used to investigate the interference patterns of the Bose-condensed gases in a 3D optical lattice which has been considered recently in [12] by using a di erent method.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under grant Nos. 10205011 and 10274021.

Figure 1: The interference patterns after only the 2D optical lattice is switched o . Figure 1a shows the density distribution n (x;y;t) (in the unit $N_0A_n^2$) at t = 0.3 =!₂. Figures 1b-d show the central (gure 1b) and side peaks (gure 1c for fn_x = 1;n_y = 0g and gure 1d for fn_x = 1;n_y = 1g) at t = 0.5 =!₂. In all gures, the coordinates x and y are measured in unit of d. Due to the presence of the magnetic trap, the central and side peaks are very sharp at t = 0.5 =!₂.

Figure 2: The evolution process of the central and side peaks after only the 2D optical lattice is switched o. The interference patterns are shown for di erent time-of- ight t = 0, 0:1 = 1, 0:2 = 1, 0:3 = 1, 0:3 = 1, 0:4 = 1,

Figure 3: The interference patterns after both the 2D optical lattice and the magnetic trap are switched o . Figures 3a and 3b show the interference patterns for $t = 0.3 = !_{?}$ and $0.5 = !_{?}$, respectively. The density distribution n (x;y;t) is measured by N₀A_n², while the coordinates x and y are in the unit of d.

Figure 4: The evolution process of the central and side peaks for for di erent time-of-ight t = 0,0:1 =! $_{?}$, 0:2 =! $_{?}$, 0:3 =! $_{?}$, 0:4 =! $_{?}$, and 0:5 =! $_{?}$ after both the 2D optical lattice and the magnetic trap are switched o .

- [1] Anderson M H et al 1995 Science 269 198
 Davis K B et al 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 3969
 Bradley C C et al 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 1687
- [2] Dalfovo F, Giorgini S, Pitaevskii L P and Stringari S 1999 Rev. M od. Phys. 71 463
- [3] M orsch O and A rim ondo E 2002 cond-m at/0209034
- Burger S et al 2001 cond-m at/0111235 [4] G reiner M et al 2002 N ature 415 39
- Greiner M et al 2002 Nature 419 51
- [5] Pedri P et al 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 220401
- [6] G reiner M et al 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 160405
- [7] Muller JH et al cond-mat/0211079
- [8] X iong H et al 2002 J. Phys. B 35 4863
- [9] Petrov D S, Shlyapnikov G V and W alraven J T M 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 3745 O Ishanii M 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 938
- [10] Tem pere J and D evreese J T 2000 Solid State C om m un. 113 471
- [11] Feynm an R P and Hibbs A R 1965 Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals (M cG raw Hill, Inc)
- [12] Adhikari S K and M uruganandam P 2002 cond-m at/0209429

This figure "Fig1.jpeg" is available in "jpeg" format from:

This figure "Fig2.jpeg" is available in "jpeg" format from:

This figure "Fig3.jpeg" is available in "jpeg" format from:

This figure "Fig4.jpeg" is available in "jpeg" format from: