The chemical potential of the electron gas on a two dimensional lattice

V .C elebonovic

Institute of Physics, Pregrevica 118,11080 Zem un-Beograd, Yugoslavia
e-m ail: vladan@phybg.ac.yu

Abstract: The chem ical potential of the electron gas on a two-dim ensional rectangular lattice is determined An approximate expression for exp ( =T) is obtained, and its second order approximation is discussed in some detail.

## Introduction

Investigations of correlated electron systems of various dimensionality are one of the priorities of contemporary condensed matter physics (for example [1]). The driving force behind the scientic interest in such systems is the fact that they exist in high temperature superconductors and organic conductors. Although the existence of correlated electrons in these materials is regarded as an "established fact", it is far from being completely clear how does one theoretically describe them [2]. The question is if it is possible to use the Fermi liquid model, or the Luttinger liquid model has to be used For a recent introduction to the Luttinger liquid theory see for example [3].

The aim of the present paper is to calculate the chem ical potential of the electron gas on a two dimensional rectangular lattice. Theoretically, this is an interesting problem in itself, and the experimental motivation is amply discussed in the literature (for example [4]). The calculation will be performed within the standard Fermiliquid (FL) model. This presumption could be criticized on the grounds that there are recent results indicating the breakdown of the FL model in cuprate superconductors and heavy-fermion systems (such as [5]). The idea of the present calculation is to contribute to this result, in a dierent way: by calculating the chemical potential, then separately the electrical conductivity and nally drawing conclusions by comparison with experimental data.

## Calculations

The lattice axes are designated by x and y. It can be shown that the number density of a 2D Ferm i liquid is given by [6]:

$$n = \frac{\langle N \rangle}{S} = \frac{Z}{1 + \exp(((y)))} 2 \frac{d^2p}{(2 h)^2}$$
 (1)

where n denotes the number density and all the other symbols have their usual meanings and p = hk. It then follows that dp =  $h\frac{\theta k}{\theta}d$ , where denotes the energy A coordingly,

$$d^{2}p = dp_{x}dp_{y} = h^{2} \frac{\theta k_{x}}{\theta_{x}} \frac{\theta k_{y}}{\theta_{y}} d_{x}d_{y}$$
 (2)

A ssum ing that the energy bands along each of the lattice axes have the form = 2tcos (ks), where t denotes the hopping integral and s the lattice constant along the axis, it follows that

$$\frac{\theta k_{x}}{\theta_{x}} = \frac{1}{2s_{x}t_{x}} \frac{d_{x}}{\theta_{x}} (1 + (x_{x} = (2t_{x}))^{2})$$
(3)

An analogous relation is valid along the y axis. This im plies that

$$d^{2}p = \frac{h^{2}}{4s_{x}t_{x}s_{y}t_{y}} \frac{d_{x}d_{y}}{(1 + (s_{x}=(2t_{x}))^{2})^{2}} \frac{d_{x}d_{y}}{1 + (s_{y}=(2t_{y}))^{2}}$$
(4)

U sing the expressions for the particle energy along the lattice axes, the exponential factor in eq.(1) can be expressed as

$$\exp(((y)) = \exp(((y) + y)))$$
 (5)

Inserting eqs.(4) and (5) into eq.(1), after som e algebra leads to

$$n = \frac{1}{8^{2} s_{x} t_{x} s_{y} t_{y}} \frac{\sum_{z=1}^{z} \frac{d_{x} d_{y}}{1 + \exp((x + y))}}{\frac{1}{(1 + \exp(2t_{x})^{2})} \frac{1}{(1 + \exp(2t_{y})^{2})}}$$
(6)

which is the required equation linking various measurable parameters of the electron gas and the lattice with the chemical potential of the electron gas. The limits of integration are 2t along each of the lattice axes, and the problem is how to solve this integral, which can not be done in closed analytical form Looking at things in a purely mathematical way, the easiest way to solve this integral is to develop the sub-integral function into series. Reasoning in this way, the Fermi distribution function can be re-expressed as follows, under the condition that  $_{\rm x}$  +  $_{\rm v}$ :

$$\frac{1}{1 + \exp((x + y))} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{1}{X}} (1)^{i} \exp(1(x + y))$$
 (7)

Introducing this development into eq.(6), and slightly grouping the terms in it, one gets the following expression:

$$n = \frac{1}{8^{-2} s_{x} t_{x} s_{y} t_{y}} \int_{t=0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (1)^{1} \exp(1(x + y))$$

$$\frac{d d d y}{(1 (x=2t_{x})^{2})^{1} (1 (y=2t_{y})^{2})}$$
(8)

Integrating this expression, within the limits of 2t along both axes, and performing some algebra, leads to the following nalresult for the lling factor of a Fermigas on a two dimensional lattice:

$$n = \frac{1}{2s_x s_y} \int_{s_x s_y}^{x_y^1} (1)^1 \exp(1) I_0 (2t_x) I_0 (2t_y)$$
 (9)

where the symbol  $I_n$  (x) denotes the modi ed Bessel function of the rst kind of the order n and is the inverse temperature. The functions  $I_n$  (x) are de ned by:

$$I_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{kx} \frac{(x=2)^{n+2k}}{k! (n+k+1)}$$
 (10)

D iscussion

Equation (9) represents the general result of this paper, expressed in its most compact form. The obvious question is the applicability of this result to real physical systems. Limiting the summation in eq(9) to terms with 1 2 gives

$$n = \frac{1}{2s_{x}s_{y}} [1 - \exp(-T)I_{0}(\frac{2t_{x}}{T}))I_{0}\frac{2t_{y}}{T} + \exp(-2 - T)I_{0}(\frac{4t_{x}}{T})I_{0}(\frac{4t_{y}}{T})]$$
(11)

Solving this equation for exp ( =T) leads to

$$\exp\left(\begin{array}{cc} = T \end{array}\right) = \left(I_{0}\left(\frac{2t_{x}}{T}\right)I_{0}\left(\frac{2t_{y}}{T}\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{I_{0}\left(\frac{2t_{x}}{T}\right)I_{0}\left(\frac{2t_{y}}{T}\right)}{I_{0}\left(\frac{2t_{y}}{T}\right)I_{0}\left(\frac{4t_{x}}{T}\right)I_{0}\left(\frac{4t_{y}}{T}\right)} = \left(2I_{0}\left(\frac{4t_{x}}{T}\right)I_{0}\left(\frac{4t_{y}}{T}\right)\right) \quad (12)$$

Developing this equation into series up to second order terms in  $t_x$  and  $t_y$  as small parameters, gives the following expression for exp( =T):

$$\exp\left(\begin{array}{cc} = T \end{array}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{q}{8ns_{x}s_{y}} - 3\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ (t_{x}=T)^{2} + (t_{y}=T)^{2} \right]$$

$$\left(\frac{16ns_{x}s_{y}}{8ns_{x}s_{y}} - 3\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t_{x}t_{y}}{T^{2}}\right)^{2} \left(9 + \frac{16ns_{x}s_{y}}{(8ns_{x}s_{y}} - 3)^{3-2}\right) + : (13)$$

Compare this result with [7] where an expression for the chem ical potential of a 1D electron gas has been derived. It follows from eq.(13) that there exists a lower bound on the values of n for which it is applicable. The condition for validity of eq.(13) is  $8ns_x s_y = 3 = 0$  which implies  $n = \frac{3}{8s_x s_y}$ . The implication of this limitation is that eq.(13) can not be used for extremely small values of n. Inspection of this expression shows that it represents the dependence of the chemical potential of a 2D electron gas on various measurable parameters of the system As the lattice constants along both lattice axes are taken into account, it follows that this equation gives the possibility of analyzing the in uence of high external pressure on the chemical potential. This possibility could turn out as being useful in calculations of the pressure dependence of the electrical conductivity of 2D organic conductors.

An interesting problem is the value of n (the lling factor) for which the chem ical potential becomes equal to zero. It follows after some algebra that = 0 for  $n = n_0$ , where

$$n_{0} = \frac{7T^{4} T^{2} [1 + 16 (t_{x}^{2} + t_{y}^{2})] + 32 (t_{x}^{2} + t_{y}^{2})^{2}}{16s_{x}s_{y} (T^{2} 2 (t_{x}^{2} + t_{y}^{2}))^{2}} \frac{16s_{x}s_{y} (T^{2} 2 (t_{x}^{2} + t_{y}^{2}))^{2}}{T^{4} + 10T^{2} (t_{x}^{2} + t_{y}^{2}) + (t_{x}^{2} + t_{y}^{2})^{2}}$$
(14)

The outright implication of this result is that the Lieb-W u theorem [8] is not generally applicable to 2D systems. It can be applied only for certain values of various parameters of the system which enter into eq.(14). It also follows from the last equation that  $n_0$  is a function of the external pressure to which the specimen is subdued.

#### Conclusions

In this paper we have determ ined the chem ical potential of the electron gas on a 2D rectangular lattice. A general expression linking the lling factor, the chem ical potential and various measurable parameters of this system was obtained. Starting from this general expression, an approximate expression for the chemical potential has been obtained. It turns out that this expression is much more complicated than the corresponding expression for a 1D electron gas. This expression can be applied in analyzing real experimental data, and in calculations of the electrical conductivity of Q 2D organic metals, which will be done in future work.

# A dknow ledgem ent

This work was performed as a part of the project 1231 nanced by the MNTRS in Beograd. The purchase of one of the references (J.Low Temp.Phys) was made possible by a donation of the RoyalD utch Embassy in Yugoslavia.

## R eferences

- [1] M Heritier, preprint cond-m at/0111101 (2001).
- [2] P.W. Anderson, preprint cond-mat/0201429 (2002).
- [3] J.Torres, Ann Physique (Fr.), 27,3 (2002).
- [4] T. Ishiguro, K. Yamaji and G. Saito, Organic Superconductors Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, (1998).
- [5] R.W. Hill, C. Proust, L. Taillefer et al., Nature, 414,711 (2001).

  T. Gotzfried, A.W. eber, K. Heuser et. al., J.Low Temp. Phys., 127,51 (2002).
- [6] R P Feynm an Statistical Mechanics: A Set of Lectures W A Benjam in Inc. London (1976).
- [7] V Celebonovic, Phys.Low-D im Struct., 11/12,25 (1996).
- [8] E.H. Lieb and F.Y. W. u, Phys. Rev. Lett., 20, 1445 (1968). and preprint cond-mat/0207529 (2002).