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#### Abstract

The correlated $K$ ondo-lattice $m$ odel is used to describe the interaction of electrons in a single conduction band with localized $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents as $w e l l$ as their $m$ utual repulsion. It is our intension to provide an analytical exact result for this model by considering a two-site cluster. An equation-offm otion approach as well as the spectral theorem is chosen to obtain the com plete expression for the one-particle $G$ reen's function. In a forgoing article the problem has been solved for an insulator, the present paper is devoted to the technicalm ore dem anding task of a m etal.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

Them agnetism of a large variety of so-called localm om ent system s , like m anganites, gadolinium or europium chaloogenides, is govemed by an interplay of the properties ofm agnetic $m$ om ents localized at certain atom $s$ and itinerant conduction electrons propagating through the lattice. If the system of localized spins $S_{i}$ w ere treated independently, a certain $m$ agnetic order could be explained w th the help of a H eisenberg-like exchange coupling

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{f f}={ }_{i ; j}^{\wedge} J_{i j} S_{i} \quad S: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In contrast to that the $K$ ondo-lattioe $m$ odel tries to ex$p l a i n m$ agnetic order via an indirect coupling of $S_{i}$ and $S_{j} m$ ediated by the spin of the itinerant conduction electrons. T he im portance of the on-site interaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{s f}=\frac{J}{h}_{i}^{X} \quad i \quad S \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

was rst em phasized by Zenerin'
As far as the conduction electrons are concemed they $m$ ight be described by their kinetic energy

$$
H_{s}=\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{~T}_{i j} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{j}
\end{array} \text { : }
$$

i; ${ }^{j}$
only. Here, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is a ferm ionic annihilation operator in second quantization. H ow ever, several authorst pointed out that at least form anganites $w$ ith its relatively narrow $e_{g}$-bands an ansatz that neglects any electron-electron interaction is an insu cient treatm ent. This is because $U$ is probably the largest energy scale in the problem.

A nother indication of this insu ciency is the exact solution of the zero-bandw idth lim it ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{T}_{0} \mathrm{ij}^{\text {, }}$, also called atom ic lim it) of the $K$ ondo-latticem odel $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{sf}}$. In this lim it the one-particle $G$ reen's fiznction has an excitation spectrum of four energy poles ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
"_{1}=T_{0} \frac{h}{2} J S ; & "_{2}=T_{0}+\frac{h}{2} J(S+1) ;  \tag{4}\\
"_{3}=T_{0} \frac{h}{2} J(S+1) ; & "_{4}=T_{0}+\frac{h}{2} J S ;
\end{array}
$$

where $S$ is de ned by $S_{i}^{2}=h^{2} S(S+1)$. If the \test" electron enters an em pty lattice site the energies " 1 or $"_{2}$ are necessary. The other two energies occur if the entered lattice site is already occupied. A pparently "3 has the low est energy for $J>0$. Because of the involved C oulom b repulsion this is not understandable.

The sim plest way to include electron-electron interaction in the $H$ am iltonian is an on-site $H$ ubbard interaction

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ss}}=\frac{U}{2}^{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~A}}_{\mathrm{i}} \hat{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad=\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{X}} \hat{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{i} \prime} \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{i} \#}:
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
i & i
\end{array}
$$



It has been show $n^{n}$ that this has an signi cant e ect on the spectral function of the $K$ ondo-lattice $m$ odel. For the atom ic lim it calculation it im plies that the energies $"_{3}$ and $"_{4}$ are shifted by $U$ and $"_{1}$ becom es low est.
The combination of all these possible e ects in one H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{s}+H_{s s}+H_{s f}+H_{f f} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in this paper called correlated $K$ ondo lattice $m$ odel (C K LM ). D ue to the fact that there is no analyticalsolution of the CK LM available, it is essential to obtain exact statem ents from lim iting, cases.
In a foregoing article ${ }^{41}$ we explained, why especially the generalization of the atom ic lim it result to a tw o-site cluster is im portant for a better understanding of the CK LM .T here, we investigated the H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{H}$ sf w ith an assum ed hopping integral of the form

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\begin{array}{ll}
8 \\
\langle\mathrm{~T} & i \not j \text { and } i ; j \text { in sam e cluster, } \\
\mathrm{T}_{0} & i=j ; \\
0 & i ; j \text { in di erent clusters. }
\end{array}
$$

The part $H_{\text {ff }}$ was om itted to gain the chance for a connection of the cluster to the lattioe. This is possible if expectation values of spin operators, like $S_{1}^{z}$ are not uniquely determ ined by the properties of the cluster. Furthem ore, a Ham iltonian w thout $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ff}}$ is much easier to handle. The part $\mathrm{H}_{\text {ss }}$, on the other hand, was redundant since the lim it of an em pty conduction band was considered. For this situation, which is related to an insulator, we were able to give the com plete analytical expression for the one-particle $G$ reen's function as obtained by a solution of the equations ofm otion.

W ith the present paper we try to obtain sim ilar results for $m$ etals. Since the occupation of the conduction band is now arbitrary, the $m$ athem atical system $s$ that need to be solved are about one order of $m$ agnitude larger than in the case of an insulator. A dditionally, it is necessary to take the $H$ ubbard interaction into account. T herefore, we consider the H am iltonian
 sim plicity we restrict ourself to $S=1=2$.

D espite of the largere ort, we use the sam e techniques already proposed for the insulator. W hat has been explained on the $m$ ore concise problem there, can now be applied directly. It will not be possible to provide every detail of the calculations here. A thorough docu$m$ entation, of all necessary transform ations can be found elsew here ${ }^{51}$.

## II. SOLUTION FOR THE EQUATIONSOF M OTION

To describe the properties of the electronic partialsystem of the cluster we are especially interested in the oneelectron retarded $G$ reen's function $C_{i} ; \mathrm{C}_{j}^{y}{ }_{E}$. This function is closely related to the spectral density and contains all in form ation about possible single-particle excitations.

The $m$ ethod of choige is an equation-of-m otion technique. Compared to a direct determ ination of the Lehm ann representation it has two advantages. First, an equation ofm otion
contains as an inhom ogeneity a correlation function $[\hat{A} ; \hat{B}]_{+}$. This will directly appear in the num erator of the $G$ reen's function, w thout being forced to actually calculate the expectation value. Therefore, we are able to discuss the dependence of the spectral weights on param eters as the averaged band occupation or the averaged $z$-com ponent of the localized spins. Secondly, each equation of $m$ otion contains higher $G$ reen's functions $[\hat{A} ; H] ; \hat{B}{ }_{E} \cdot$ Hence, by using intelligent techniques we do not only get $\hat{A} ; \hat{B} \quad$ but a com plete set of $G$ reen's function with $m$ uch $m$ ore inform ation. W e will $m$ ake use of this fact in the next section.

Since we are dealing w ith a nite size system, the hierarchy ofequations ofm otion necessarily has to term inate. $T$ he com plete set of 1040 equations can be form ulated as a single $m$ atrix equation. By unitary transform ations
th is $m$ atrix needs to be reduced to blocks of a size which can be solved directly. A rst step in this direction is the im plem entation of the site sym $m$ etry. Therefore, we de ne com bined $G$ reen's functions

$$
\begin{align*}
{\hat{C_{S}} \hat{D}_{S} C_{S}}^{(1)} & =\hat{C}_{S} \hat{D}_{S} C_{S} ; C_{S}^{Y} \\
& +\hat{C}_{S} \hat{D}_{S} C_{S} ; C_{S}^{Y} \quad{ }_{E} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where the site index $s$ represents the opposite of site $s$ ( $\mathrm{s}=1$ ) $\mathrm{s}=2 ; \mathrm{s}=2$ ) $\mathrm{s}=1$ ),$\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are arbitrary products of spin-and Ferm i-operators. T he two partial system sfor $=+1$ and $=1$ can be solved separately and sim ultaneously (they only di er in the sign of $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ ).
Even if additionally the $m$ irror sym $m$ etry $w$ th respect to the spin quantization axis is used, one is still left with the large num ber of $G$ reen's function listed below :


H ere, $\hat{S}$ stands for allpossible products of spin operators. If, as assum ed, $S=1=2$ there are 10 such possibilities. $T$ he right colum $n$ gives for each $G$ reen's function the corresponding correlation function em erging in its equation ofm otion. It is useful to group these functions into four density classes, determ ined by the num ber of ferm ionic creation operators in its active part:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{I}} & : \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{A}} ; \\
\mathrm{G}_{\text {II }} & : \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{B}} ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{C}} ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{D}} ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}} ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{R}} ; \\
\mathrm{G}_{\text {III }} & : \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{G}} ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{H}} ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{J}} ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{K}} ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}} ; \\
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{I}} & : \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

The G reen's functions ofdensity class $\mathbb{N}$ vanish whenever the num ber of participating electrons is sm aller than 3 . $T$ he ones in density class III vanish if we have less than 2 electrons etc.. $U$ sing this notation the $m$ atrix equation
of all G reen's functions has the block structure
where the right hand side represents the colum $n$ of all inhom ogeneities appearing in every equation ofm otion.

Since the $m$ atrix has a triangular shape, the rem aining task is to nd the inverse of the blocks $\mathrm{M}_{\text {I }} ; \mathrm{M}_{\text {II }} ; \mathrm{M}_{\text {III }}$ and $M_{N}$. It is therefore possible to stay in certain density classes, which justi es the grouping. A dditionally, we can bene $t$ from particle-hole symm etry. It im plies that the density classes I and $\mathbb{N}$ as well as the classes II and III can be treated in a sim ilar w ay, respectively.

The density class I consists of the 10 G reen's functions w ith only one ferm ionic operator but with several spin operators. If one could assum e that all $G$ reen's functions of the other density classes vanish, one just had to invert the $m$ atrix $M_{I}$ to get a solution. The assum ption is ful lled if and only if one describes an insulator w ith a single excess electron in a otherw ise em pty conduction band. The foregoing articlel ${ }^{14}$ w as devoted to these $m$ aterials. In the case of arbitrary band occupations we have to solve the equation $M_{I} G=K_{I} \quad M_{\text {I;II }} G_{I I}$. Since only the right hand side is m odi ed we can use the sam e rules for the com bination ofspin operators in the G reen's functions as in the case of an insulator to sim plify $M_{I}$.
$M$ atrix $M$ II is also sim pli ed by an intelligent com bination ofG reen's functions. A s far as the spin operators are concemed we use again the rules proposed in the treat$m$ ent of an insulator. To get an idea about the behavior of the ferm ionic operators we investigated another lim iting case: If in $H$ the param eter $J$ is set to 0 we have a system w thout any spin operator, nam ely a H ubbard cluster. Its solution is sketched in appendix'A.'. T he rules for a form ation of com bined $G$ reen's functions used there can then be applied to equation (1-1 ).
$T$ his technique to com bine $G$ reen's functions by a successive treatm ent of the spin operators and the ferm ionic operators respectively works, but is rather lengthy and fault-prone. W hen doing this job we leamed that a simple text editor can be aw esom ely helpful. Already when generating the 1040 equations of $m$ otion a cut-and-paste m echanism can be used e ectively, since the same commutators $\left[\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{s}} ; \mathrm{H}\right]$; $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{z}} ; \mathrm{H}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}} ; \mathrm{H}\right]$ have to be im plem ented several tim es. For com binations the search-and-replace function is quite helpful.

A ppendix Bi' gives detailed instructions which G reen's functions need to be sum $m$ ed and subtracted to achieve a sim pler structure of $M$ II. If im plem ented one obtains m atrix blocks of size 3 3. There are four types of these $m$ atrioes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{3}{ }^{\left({ }^{6}\right)}(\hat{E})=\begin{array}{cccc}
0 \\
\hat{B} & \hat{E}+{ }_{6} U & 2 T & 0 \\
2 T & \hat{E} & 6{ }_{6} \mathrm{a} & \begin{array}{c}
C \\
A
\end{array} \\
0 & 2{ }_{6} \mathrm{a} \hat{E}+4{ }_{6} \mathrm{a}
\end{array} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we used the abbreviation $\mathrm{a}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~J}}{2}$ and 1 ;:::; 6 are sign param eters. M 0, which already played a role in the insulator problem' ${ }^{\prime 4}$, has the eigenvalues

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{E}_{01}^{\left({ }^{3}\right.}{ }^{4)}=3_{q}+2_{4} \mathrm{a} ; \\
& \hat{E}_{02}^{\left({ }^{3}\right.}{ }^{4)}=q^{4 a^{2}} 2_{3}{ }_{4} a T+T^{2} ; \\
& \hat{E}_{03}^{\left({ }^{3}\right.}{ }^{4)}=+\hat{4 a^{2}} 2_{3}{ }_{4} a T+T^{2}:
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he other $m$ atrioes are connected to non-vanishing electron-densities as can be seen at the appearance of U. T he structure of $M_{1}$ is sim ple, and its eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\hat{E}_{11}^{( }{ }^{5}\right)={ }_{5} \mathrm{U} ; \quad \hat{\mathrm{E}_{12}}=2 \mathrm{a} ; \quad \hat{\mathrm{E}_{13}}=2 \mathrm{a}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In contrast to that the determ inantalpolynom ial of $M_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \stackrel{!}{=} \hat{E}^{3}+{ }_{5} \hat{E}^{2} U \quad \hat{E} \quad a^{2}+T^{2} \quad 4 a^{2}{ }_{5} U \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

can only be factorized w ith C ardan's form ulas. The three eigenvalues of this cubic equation are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{E}_{21}^{(5)}=5 \frac{U}{3} \quad \frac{2}{3}<\mathrm{eC}_{2} ; \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { where } C_{2}={ }^{3}{ }_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{2}+i \overline{\mathrm{~B}_{2}^{3} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2}}  \tag{19}\\
& \text { and } A_{2}=36 a^{2} U \quad 18 T^{2} U \quad U^{3} \\
& B_{2}=12 \mathrm{a}^{2}+12 \mathrm{~T}^{2}+\mathrm{U}^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly, we have for $M_{3}$ the cubic equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \stackrel{!}{=} \hat{\mathrm{E}}^{3}+{ }_{6} \hat{\mathrm{E}}^{2}(4 \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{U}) \quad \hat{\mathrm{E}} 3 \mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{T}^{2} \quad \text { aU } \\
{ }_{6} 4 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{~T}^{2}+3 \mathrm{aU} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

w ith the three solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{E}_{31}{ }^{(6)}=\frac{6}{3}(U+4 a) \quad \frac{2}{3}<\mathrm{eC}_{3} ; \quad \text { (21) } \\
& \hat{E}_{32}{ }^{(6)}=\frac{6}{3}(U+4 a)+\frac{1}{3}{ }^{h}<e C_{3}+{ }^{p} \overline{3}=\mathrm{mC}_{3}{ }^{i}(22) \\
& \text { and } \hat{E}_{33}{ }^{(6)}=\frac{6}{3}(\mathrm{U}+4 \mathrm{a})+\frac{1}{3}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}<\mathrm{eC}_{3} \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{3}=\mathrm{m} \mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \text { (23) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{3}={ }_{3}^{\mathrm{q}} \overline{{ }_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{B}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2}}}$;
$A_{3}=\quad 280 a^{3}+132 a^{2} U \quad 18 \Gamma^{2} U \quad U^{3}+6 a\left(24 T^{2}+U^{2}\right)$ $B_{3}=52 a^{2}+12 T^{2} \quad 4 a U+U^{2}:$

If the eigenvalue problem of the cluster is studied and especially the Hilbert subspace of two electrons in the conduction band is considered, one is confronted w ith exactly the sam e two cubic equations (1-6) and (2-d). This underlines the close relationship of the eigenvalue problem and the equation-of-m otion technique.

To determ ine the inverse of $M_{x} ; x=0 ;::: ; 3$ it is sufcient to know its eigenvectors. A s explained m ore detailed in the treatm ent of the insulator ${ }^{141}$, basic algebra then im $m$ ediately allow sto give an expression for $M_{x}{ }^{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{x}^{1}[i j]=X_{k=1}^{X^{3}} \frac{m_{x k}(i ; j)}{\hat{E^{+}+\hat{E_{x k}}}} ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with well de ned coe cients $m \times k(i ; j)$. This structure is particularly suitable because we intend to get the analytic expression for the one-particle $G$ reen's function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(E)=\hat{A^{\prime}} ; \hat{B^{\prime}}{ }_{E+i 0^{+}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{p}} \frac{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{E} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{i} 0^{+}} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $p$ linear energy poles. Since we succeeded to nd com bined $G$ reen's functions which are connected in 3 system $s$, an inversion of the $m$ atrices like in (243) w illensure that every com bined $G$ reen's function has the form (2군). To retum to the originalg reen's functions one just has to undo the com binations. That happens by sum $m$ ations and subtractions of the com bined expressions and $w$ ill therefore $m$ aintain the form (25).

W em entioned above that the calculations can be done w thin certain density classes. To be m ore precise, to solve equation (101) the follow ing four $m$ atrix equations have to be considered:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{N}} \\
& \text { III : } \quad M_{\text {III }} \quad \text { GII }=K_{\text {III }} \quad M_{\text {III; }} G_{\text {I }}  \tag{26}\\
& \text { II : } \quad M_{\text {II }} \quad \text { III }=K_{\text {II }} \quad M_{\text {II; III }} G_{\text {III }} \\
& \text { I : } \quad M_{I} \quad G=K_{I} \quad M_{I ; \mathbb{I}} G_{\text {II }}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne has to obey this order, because apart from system N we alw ays have an input of a class w ith higher electron densities. On the one hand, the $m$ atrix $m$ ultiplication on the right side im plies an additionalsum $m$ ation of already calculated $G$ reen's functions. On the other hand, a partial fraction expansion is necessary to retum to the form (25).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cc}
K_{\text {III }} & \frac{K_{\text {I }}}{E_{\text {E }}} E_{\text {I }} \\
E & E_{\text {III }}
\end{array}=\frac{K_{\text {III }}}{E \quad E_{\text {III }}} \quad \begin{array}{llll}
(E & \left.E_{\text {III }}\right)(E & \left.E_{\text {I }}\right)
\end{array} \\
& =\frac{K_{\text {III }}}{E \quad E_{\text {III }}}+\frac{K_{N}}{E_{\text {I }} \quad E_{\text {III }}} \frac{1}{E \quad E_{\text {III }}} \quad \frac{1}{E \quad E_{\text {I }}}
\end{aligned}
$$

O ccasionally, it happens that $\mathrm{E}_{\text {III }}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{y}}$, leading to quadratic energy poles. H ow ever, after perform ing all necessary sum $m$ ations the spectral weight of these poles w ill alw ays vanish.

Taking all this into account, one obtains after a straightforw ard calculation expressions for the G reen's functions of density class I. If furtherm ore all combinations are undone, the desired analytical exact result for the one-electron $G$ reen's function $C_{S} ; C_{S}^{Y} \quad$ ean be given. It consists of 102 energy poles.

Six of them, nam ely the energies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{T}_{0}+\mathrm{a} \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{0 \mathrm{k}}^{(++)} \text {and }  \tag{28}\\
& \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{T}_{0}+\mathrm{a} \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{0 \mathrm{k}}^{(+)} \text {for } \mathrm{k}=1 ; 2 ; 3 \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

are a result of the inversion of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}}$. T hey are just a subset of the energies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{T}_{0} \quad \text { a } \hat{\mathrm{E}_{11}^{( }}{ }^{\prime} \quad{\hat{\mathrm{E}_{0 \mathrm{k}}^{\prime}}}^{\prime} \text {; }  \tag{30}\\
& E=T_{0} \text { a } \hat{\left.E_{21}^{( }\right)} \hat{E_{0 k}^{\prime}} \text { ) and }  \tag{31}\\
& \left.E=T_{0} \text { a } \hat{E}_{31}^{( }\right) \hat{E}_{0 k}^{( } \text {) fork;l=1;2;3 } \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

which occur, ifM II is inverted. T he structure of these energy poles is quite clear. T he G reen's functions ofdensity class II include excitation processes from a one-electron state to a tw o-electron state. T he energies of the rst are $m$ ediated by the eigenvalues of $M_{0}$, the energies of the latter are connected to the $m$ atrices $M_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{~T}_{0}$ is just a trivialenergy shift. a actually belongs into the de nition of M 0 . The super-index $\backslash "$ in $\hat{E}_{0 k}$ illustrates that alw ays both possible signs of $T$ need to be considered, as m entioned subsequently to $(\underline{\underline{9}})$. H ence, $M$ II leads to $3 \quad 3 \quad 32=54$ energy poles.

It has already been pointed out, that $M$ III can be treated sim ilarly to $M_{\text {II }}$ according to the particle-hole sym $m$ etry of the system. This sym $m$ etry can be investigated $m$ ore thoroughly when the H am iltonian is reform ulated in term s of hole-creation and -annihilation operators, $b_{i}^{y}=z \quad c_{i}$. It leads to the result that $w$ ith an energy pole $E_{k}=T_{0}+f(T ; J ; U)$ of the one-particle G reen's function, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}=\underline{\mathrm{U}}+\mathrm{T}_{0} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{J} ; \mathrm{U})$ is an energy pole, too. A pplied to ( $(\overline{2} \underline{d})$ ) ( $(3 \overline{2} \bar{Z})$ ) one gets all the energies related to the $m$ atrioes $M_{\text {III }}$ and $M_{N}$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{T}_{0} \quad \mathrm{a} \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{11}^{( }\right) \quad \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{0 \mathrm{k}}^{( }\right)=\mathrm{T}_{0}+\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{a}+\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{11}^{( }\right)+\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{0 \mathrm{k}^{0}}^{( }\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have six degenerate energies, leading to $\mathrm{p}=2 \quad 54$ $6=102$ energy poles of the one-particle $G$ reen's function $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{E}$ and all higher G reen's functions.
A ll these excitation energies are functions of the $m$ odel param eter $T$; $U$ and $J$. Since the cluster is a generalization of the atom ic $\underline{l} \mathrm{~m}$ it, the $T$-dependence is of special interest (see gure ${ }_{1}^{11}$ '1). Because of the large num ber of energy lines, the form ation of tw o H ubbard bands can clearly be seen. A though they are well separated at sm all $T$, there seem s to be an overlap of the bands above $0: 5 \mathrm{eV} . \mathrm{W}$ hen going to higher values of $T$ a separation of


F IG . 1: D ependence of every energy poles of the one-particle $G$ reen's function on the hopping integral $T$. The xed model param eters are: $T_{0}=0 \mathrm{eV} ; \mathrm{U}=2 \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{J}=0.2 \mathrm{eV}$.


FIG. 2: D ependence of the energy poles on the hopping integral T. At the left the behaviour of the CKLM is shown, for com parison the H ubbard chister $(J=0)$ is investigated at the right for the sam e param eter range.
the bands and a form ation of bundles occurs. As can be seen when the two parts of gure $\overline{1}$ are com pared each of these bundles results from the $J$-splitting of an energy pole obtained for the tw o-site H ubbard chuster (appendix (A'). T his splyting can best be shown, if, as in gure the $J$-dependence of the energy poles is studied. The picture suggests a sym $m$ etry $w$ ith respect to the sign of $J$, but this is not com pletely given.

Com ing back to gure $\overline{11} 1$ another striking fact needs to be $m$ entioned. It concems the lim it $T$ ! 0 , which is identically to the situation of the atom ic lim it. A s noted in (4, $\mathbf{4}^{\prime}$ ) we expect in this lim it a reduction to four energy poles. In contrast to that we obtain tw ice asm any energy lines for $T=0$ in gure ${ }_{1}^{11} .1$ O bviously, the energies alone are insu cient to describe excitation processes. Rem arks on the corresponding spectralw eights are necessary.
$T$ he routine we suggested for the solution of the equations ofm otion inevitably gives for each $G$ reen's function these spectral weights, too. T he fact that we don't give the com plete analytical solution here, has tw o $m$ ain reasons. First of all, the routine consists of quite a lot of


FIG. 3: D ependence of the energy poles on the sf-coupling constant $J$. The xed modelparam eters are: $\mathrm{T}_{0}=0 \mathrm{eV}$; $\mathrm{U}=$ 2 eV and $T=0: 4 \mathrm{eV}$. The vertical line $m$ arks the $J$ value of gure ${ }_{\text {n! }}^{1}$.
com plicated sum $m$ ations: The combined $G$ reen's function for instance are just sum s. At least one sum $m$ ation is necessary to get the inverse of a single $m$ atrix as given by equation (24). $T$ heir $m$ ultiplication $w$ th a colum $n$ of correlation functions asw ellas the $m$ atrix $m$ ultiplications in each ofthe lines of (2G) im ply further sum $s$. A the end partial fraction expansions have to be perform ed and the com binations of $G$ reen's functions needs to be undone. Even so, all this is sim ple arithm etics it leads to really lengthy expressions for the spectral weights. Secondly, the spectral weights of each $G$ reen's function depend in principle on all correlation functions that appear in inhom ogeneities of the sam e or higher density classes. For $\mathrm{C}_{1 "} ; \mathrm{C}_{1 "}^{y}$ e thism eans a dependence on 1040 correlation functions ( $1 / 3$ is zero by de nition). A llof them need to be speci ed. Only afterwards the result for the spectral weights is really m eaningful.

## III. TREATMENTOFTHECORRELATION

 FUNCTIONSA lready when dealing w th the lim iting case of an em pty conduction band ${ }^{4}$, one has to develop concepts for the determ ination of the em erging spin correlation functions. On the one hand, a sim pler H am iltonian, that describes the spin system and includes lattioe e ects like anisotropy or molecular elds, can be investigated. A 1tematively, the spectral theorem provides inform ation on these correlation functions. The latter concept im plies that all calculations are based on the cluster H am iltonian only and no connection to the lattioe is $m$ ade. In this paper we are going to use a com bination of these two concepts.

A s m entioned before, it is a crucial advantage of our $m$ ethods that besides $C_{i} ; c_{i}^{y} \quad$ a complete set of all G reen's functions participating in the equations of mo
tion is obtained. For an anbitrary $G$ reen's function, by construction given in the form (25), the spectral theorem allow s the calculation of a corresponding correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\hat{B A} \hat{A}=X_{k=1}^{X^{p}} \quad f_{k=1}^{X^{p}} \quad E_{k}\right) \quad{ }^{k} E_{k}\right)+1 ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\left(k_{B} T\right)^{1}$. Therefore, we obtain expressions for 1040 correlation functions (again $1 / 3$ is zero by defintion). Their principle structure is equal for $G$ reen's functions of the same density class. Table $\ddagger$ allow s a com parison w the the structure of the corresponding inhom ogeneities.

| density class | inhom ogeneity | spectral theorem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IV | $\hat{S} \mathrm{n}^{3}$ | $\hat{S} \hat{A}^{4}$ |
| III | $\hat{S} \hat{n}^{2}$ | $\hat{S} \mathrm{n}^{3}$ |
| II | $\hat{S} \mathrm{fr}^{1}$ | $\hat{S} \hat{n}^{2}$ |
| I | $\hat{S} \hat{N}^{0}=\hat{S}$ | $\hat{S} \hat{r}^{1}$ |

TABLE I: For Green's functions of every density class the principle structure of the correlation functions em erging in the equation ofm otion (ig) and obtained by the spectral theorem ( 3 (4) ${ }^{\prime}$ ) is given.

The application of the spectral theorem to $G$ reen's functions of density class $\mathbb{N}$ results in correlation functions that do not appear in the spectral w eights we are looking for. O nly the application to the 960 G reen's functions of the rem aining density classes is helpful. For each of them the spectraltheorem provides an equation that is linear in the correlation functions, because this linearity is given for the spectral weights $k$ in (34). In principle we can therefore write a hom ogeneous m atrix equation for the colum $n$ of correlation functions $K_{i}$ :
$W$ ritten in this form, equation [ 3 [3) is underdeterm ined. This seem s to o er the possibility to use additionally the other conœpt for the correlation functions, that is to $m$ ake assum ptions for certain expectation values or to specify them on the basis of a $H$ am iltonian that includes lattice e ects. To achieve a non-vanishing $m$ agnetic order of the system of localized spins it is necessary to specify the spin correlation functions, $\hat{S}$. Lattice e ects can be included if these expectation values are based on the H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ff}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{W}$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{f}=\mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}^{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{S}_{2}^{\mathrm{z}}\right) ; \quad=1 ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

as discussed in the forgoing articleli".

W henever a certain_correlation function is speci ed, the $m$ atrix equation (35) becom es inhom ogeneous:

$W$ ith the inhom ogeneity in the equation of $m$ otion of $C_{i} ; C_{i}^{y} \quad{ }_{E}$, which is 1 by de nition, at least one specication is inevitable, enforcing a nontrivial result for the set $\mathrm{fK}{ }_{i} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

H ow ever, the freedom for the speci cation of certain correlation functions is drastically reduced because of additional inform ation available. W e have already $m$ entioned that $1 / 3$ of the $K_{i}$ 's is zero by de nition, which reduces the num ber of unknown variables in (351). E ven so, in $1 / 3$ of the cases the application of the spectral theorem (331) does also give zero at the left hand side, this does not lead to a reduction of the num ber of equations since the right hand side is still a linear relation of the variables. Furtherm ore, we know that certain pairs of correlation functions have to be identical due to sym $m$ etrical reasons.

Hence, we actually do not have an under-determ ined problem, but rather m ore equations than variables. T he indicator for a proper choice of equations is a nonzero result for the determ inant of the $m$ atrix in (31). Rules can again best been studied, if the lim iting case $J=0$, the H ubbard chuster, is considered.

For an overdeterm ined problem the introduction of additional speci cations for correlation functions is of course problem atic. They are likely to contradict som e other in form ation w e have about the system. For the sake of connection betw een cluster and lattioe wew ant to acœept that. The consequences shall.be dem onstrated w th an exam ple: If the atom ic lim it ( $T^{\sim}=0$ ) is considered, 7 nontrivial correlation functions appear in the spectral weights of the one-particle $G$ reen's function. Since the spectral theorem provides only 6 equations, $S_{1}^{2}$ can be speci ed on the basis of a B rillouin function for the lattige. H ow ever, if a non-vanishing value for $S_{1}^{z}$ is chosen one has to be aw are of the fact that the equality $S_{1}^{+} C_{1 \#}^{y} C_{1 "}=S_{1} C_{1 "}^{y} C_{1 \#} w$ ill be broken. The equality itself follow s from the assum ption that expectation values are real, but can also be derived from particle-hole sym $m$ etry.

If an equation like $\left[\overline{3} \overline{7} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ needs to be solved exactly for the correlation functions $f \mathrm{fK}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ standard algebraic techniques like $G$ auss' elim ination $m$ ethod can be used. In ourw ork we im plem ented all these tediousm anipulations in a com puter program. Its input values are the $m$ odel param eters and assum ed speci cations for the correlation functions. By setting successively one and only one
correlation function to $1: 0$, calculating the set of $G$ reen's functions (here the analyticalexact expressions are used) and applying the spectral theorem, the $m$ atrix $\left(3 \bar{J}_{1}\right)$ is determ ined. A fterw ards the $m$ atrix equation $\left(3 Z_{1}\right)$ is solved num erically exact.

The last step contains a speci c num erical problem. Even if a proper set of equations is selected, for large ranges for the chem icalpotential the determ inant of the m atrix in $\left(3 \bar{F}_{1}\right) \mathrm{m}$ ight be rather sm all. Th is is connected to the fact that the Ferm idistribution function in (344) is alm ost a step function for low tem peratures. The e ect can already be studied when looking at the atom ic lim it for the $H$ ubbard $m$ odel

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{T}_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \hat{\mathrm{n}}+\frac{\mathrm{U}}{2}^{\mathrm{X}} \hat{\mathrm{n}} \hat{\mathrm{n}} \quad: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter the application of (324) the tw o equations ( = "; \#)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{n}}=1 \quad \hat{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{0}\right)+\hat{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{0}+\mathrm{U}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

de ne the correlation functions. W henever is located well betw een $T_{0}$ and $T_{0}+U$ and additionally $k_{B} T \quad U$, it is $f\left(T_{0}\right) \quad f\left(T_{0}+U\right) \quad 1$. Then $(\overline{3}+\mathbf{D})$ becom es

| 1 | 1 | $\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{"}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | $\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\#}$ | f | $\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}\right)$ | 1 <br> 1 |$;$

$w$ th a vanishing determ inant of the $m$ atrix. A nalytically, one can strictly show that $\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{n}}=\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\#}=$ $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}\right)\left[1+\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}\right) \quad \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}+\mathrm{U}\right)\right]^{1}$. The num erical problem can be avoided if the chem ical potential is close to an energy pole or if the tem perature is high enough.

For the two-site Hubbard cluster $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ss}}$ the $m$ athem atical system $s$ are $m u c h ~ s m a l l e r . ~ H e n c e, ~ a l-~$ ready temperatures around 100 K are su cient to get m eaningful results (see gure $\overline{A_{1}^{\prime}}$ ) over the whole range of the chem ical potential (c.p.). In regions for
which are not close to the energy poles all spectral weights rem ain constant. Figure $\overline{4}$ also nicely illustrates a further consequence of the particle-hole sym $m$ etry. As already $m$ entioned above, the energy poles of the one-particle $G$ reen's function occur in pairs

$$
E_{k}=T_{0}+f_{k}(T ; J ; U) ; E_{k}=T_{0}+U \quad f_{k}(T ; J ; U) .
$$

$W$ e can now see that for the corresponding spectral w eights the im plication

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=k(; \quad ; T)=) \quad k=k(U \quad ; \quad ; T) \text {; } \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

that can be proven analytically, is ful led. T he signs of $T$ and are not essential because of site and $m$ irror sym $m$ etry, respectively. Instead, the -dependence is the m ost im portant feature.

C om ing back to the com plete C K LM we are again especially interested in the dependence of the cluster properties on $T$. For $T_{r}=0$ we are able to reproduce the atom ic lim it resultsin ${ }^{13}$ completely. This includes state$m$ ents on the spectral weights and the fact that it vanishes for the four additionally em erged energy poles in $g-$ ure '11'. The distribution of the spectral w eight for higher


FIG. 4: For the two-site H ubbard-cluster the dependence of the spectralw eights of $C_{i} ; C_{i}^{y} \quad$ on the chem icalpotential (c.p.) is given. The energy poles are situated at energies E given in appendix $\underset{L}{A}$. The m odel param eters are: $\mathrm{T}_{0}=\mathrm{J}=$ $0 \mathrm{eV} ; T=0: 4 \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{U}=2 \mathrm{eV}$. A tem perature of $\mathrm{T}=100 \mathrm{~K}$ is used.


F IG . 5: top left: as gure ${ }^{2} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{1}$. others: D istribution of the spectralweight of $c_{i} ; c_{i}^{y} \quad$ fordi erent values of the hopping integral $T$ (as given). T he chem ical potential (doted line) is alw ays chosen such, that the determ inant in (371) is not too sm all. All spin-correlation-functions $\hat{S}$ are assum ed to be zero. The other m odel param eters are: $\mathrm{T}_{0}=0: 0 \mathrm{eV} ; \mathrm{J}=$ $0: 2 \mathrm{eV} ; \mathrm{U}=2: 0 \mathrm{eV}$. Tem perature: $\mathrm{T}=500 \mathrm{~K}$.
values of $T$ is show in gure ${ }^{5} 1$. Especially for $T$ values higher than $0: 5 \mathrm{eV}$ the dom inance of the energy poles of the $H$ ubbard cluster can clearly be seen.

The splitting of theses poles and a greater width of the bundles in gure $\overline{1}$ is connected to an increase of $J$. $N$ evertheless, a redistribution of spectral w eight happens just gradually, as can be seen in gure ${ }_{1}^{\prime} \overline{1} \cdot \mathbf{1}$. It is rem arkable that up to $j 丁 j=0: 25 \mathrm{eV}$ the spectralweight is restricted to a few energy poles. O ne can also see that som e of the energy poles get sm all negative spectral w eights. $T$ his is caused by the tw o problem sm entioned above. O $n$ the one


F IG . 6: top left: as gure $\sqrt{31}$. others: D istribution of the spectral weight of $C_{i "} ; \mathrm{C}_{i \prime}^{y}{ }_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{y}}$ for di erent values of the ff coupling constant $J$ (as given) w ith opposite signs. T he chem icalpotential is kept xed at $=0: 0 \mathrm{eV}$. For the spin expectation values the speci cation $S_{1}^{z}=S_{2}^{z}=0: 1 ; S_{1}^{z} S_{2}^{z}=$ $S_{1}^{+} S_{2}=S_{1} S_{2}^{+}=0: 0$ has been assum ed. $T$ he other m odel param eters are: $\mathrm{T}_{0}=0: 0 \mathrm{eV} ; T=0: 25 \mathrm{eV} ; \mathrm{U}=2: 0 \mathrm{eV}$. Tem perature: $\mathrm{T}=500 \mathrm{~K}$.
hand we have speci ed the values of the spin-correlation functions in gure' $\overline{1}$. T The choige does certainly not $m$ atch w ith calculations based on the tw o-site H am iltonian $\left[\overline{7}_{1}\right)$. W e have stressed above that this m ight lead to a breakdow $n$ of certain sym $m$ etries of the cluster. The fact that every spectral weight $m$ ust be positive, as can be show $n$ w th the help of Lehm ann's representation, is a property that follows from such sym $m$ etries. On the other hand we also m entioned that an unfavourable choice for the chem ical potential im plies large num erical errors, due to very sm all determ inants of $m$ atrioes that need to be inverted. This can also cause negative values for spectral w eights.

O ur program allow s the presentation of the excitation spectrum for various situations. The $m$ ain intension for the im provem ent of the atom ic lim it calculations $w$ as the ability to treat also an antiferrom agnet order of the system of localized_spins. An exam ple for typical results is given in gure $\bar{L}_{1}$. A $s$ in $m$ ost of the other gures one can see, that even though the G reen's function possesses $m$ ore then 100 energy poles, only a few of them have a non-vanishing spectralw eight. T he expectation ofquasicontinuous energy bands, provoked by pictures for the energy poles as gure $\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$, is not $m$ et by the result obtained from the calculation of the spectralw eights. Like in the atom ic lim it we end up with a lim ited num ber of


FIG. 7: D istribution of the spectral weight over the energy poles of $C_{1 "} ; C_{1 "}^{y} \quad$. By the speci cation of the spincorrelation functions $S_{1}^{z}=0: 1 ; S_{2}^{z}=0: 1 ; S_{1}^{z} S_{2}^{z}=$ $\mathrm{S}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{+}=0: 0$ an antiferrom agnetic order is assum ed. The model param eters are: $\mathrm{T}_{0}=0: 0 \mathrm{eV} ; \mathrm{T}=$ $1: 0 \mathrm{eV} ; \mathrm{J}=0: 2 \mathrm{eV} ; \mathrm{U}=4: 0 \mathrm{eV}$. Tem perature: $\mathrm{T}=500 \mathrm{~K}$.
delta peaks in the spectrum .

## IV . SUM M ARY

W e considered the analytical solution for a two-site cluster described by the C K LM . In contrast to a foregoing publication ${ }^{\frac{14}{1}}$ we did not restrict the occupation of the single conduction band. M oreover, a correlation e ect in the form of a $H$ ubbard interaction in this band is taken into account. The only rem aining constraint is $S=1=2$ for the localized spins.

Them athem aticale ort to achieve the analyticalexact result for the $G$ reen's function $C_{i} ; C_{i}^{y} \quad$ is immense. It was the goal of the paper to show that it is still m anageable and to explain the necessary techniques. M a jor points w ere the separation of the 1040 G reen's functions in four density classes, the use of intrinsical sym $m$ etries and the study of lim iting cases as the em pty conduction band and the Hubbard cluster. A s a consequence we found the \correct" combinations of G reen's functions (appendix $\overline{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{B}_{1}$ ) to obtain system sizes of order 30.

The suggested routine for an analytical calculation consists of tw m ain parts. $F$ irst of all the set of equations of $m$ otion needs to be solved by: C om bining their inhom ogeneities correctly, including the results for higher density classes as in equation $\left(\overline{2} \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right)$, inverting the $3 \quad 3 \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes, perform ing partial fraction expansions and undoing the com binations of the G reen's functions. Secondly, the correlation functions in the spectral w eights need to be determ ined. This happens by: Specifying a desired subset of the correlation functions, applying the spectral theorem to every determ ined G reen's function, selecting
a representative set of these linear equations, using an LU -decom position to solve the linear system ofequations for the unspeci ed correlation functions.

The rst part has been done by hand and the analytical expressions for the obtained 102 energy poles as well as a graphical presentation of the excitation spectrum hasbeen given in this paper. T he correlation e ect, which leads to a form ation of two H ubbard bands, and the characteristic dependence on the important $m$ odel param eters can clearly be seen in gure $\underline{1}_{1}^{1}$ the second part a com puter program has been used, since there exist reliable routines for the num erically exact decom position of large system s . T he resulting vector for the correlation functions w as used to discuss the distribution of the spectral weight am ong the excitation energies. A m ajor conclusion from the provided gures is the concentration of the spectral on a few energy poles. T he notion of alm ost \continuous" bands form ed by 102 energies is not con m ed if the num erators of the G reen's functions are considered.

W e propose to use a subset of the correlation functions in the num erators of the $G$ reen's functions to construct a connection of the cluster to the lattice. This freedom in
the speci cation ofexpectation values strongly in uences the results for the spectralw eights. W e have pointed out, that as a possible side e ect of th is procedure sym $m$ etries of the chuster $m$ ight be destroyed, leading to certain unphysical features.

We intend to use the results of this work as a lim iting case to test various approxim ations for the com plete C K LM .Furthem ore, the cluster result itself can serve as a starting point for an approxim ation of the lattice. W e are convinced that techniques sim ilar to what has been proposed here can be used to treat slightly m ore com plex system $s$, like a cluster w th a higher value for the localized spin $S$ or another num ber of lattice sites.
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## APPENDIX A:THE HUBBARD CLUSTER

An analytical solution of a tw o-site H ubbard cluster has already been given by several authorss here a direct solution, that is com patible to the language of equations of $m$ otion used in the rest of the paper.

W e study the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=X^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{1}+\frac{U}{2}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{2}} \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{k}} \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad: \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing abbreviation $(\underline{9})$ we need to consider a set of G reen's functions which is alm ost identical to the list given in section $\overline{I I I}$. H ow ever, in contrast to the CKLM chuster we have $\hat{S} \quad 1$ what decreases the num ber of functions drastically. W e are left w the follow ing set of equations of $m$ otion, $w$ ritten again in a $m$ atrix representation:


It is now essential to introduce the com binations

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{b}^{()}=X_{B}^{(1)}+X_{F}^{()} ; \quad X_{f}^{()}=X_{B}^{()} \quad X_{F}^{()} \text {; } \\
& X_{C}^{()}=X_{C}^{()}+X_{D}^{()} ; \quad X_{d}^{()}=X_{C}^{()} X_{D}^{(1)} \text {; }  \tag{A3}\\
& X_{k}^{(1)}=X_{K}^{()}+X_{H}^{()} ; \quad X_{h}^{()}=X_{K}^{()} \quad X_{H}^{(1)} \text {; } \\
& X_{I}^{(1)}=X_{L}^{()}+X_{J}^{()} ; \quad X_{j}^{()}=X_{L}^{()} \quad X_{J}^{(1)} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

where $\backslash X$ " stands for $\backslash G$ " or $\backslash K$ ". A s one can see the $m$ atrix representation of the original equations of $m$ otion contains blocks of the size 4 4. A fter the proposed com bination the rem aining $m$ axim um block size is 2 2, which can easily be inverted:
$T$ he form of the energy constant $b=\frac{q}{\frac{U}{2}^{2}+4 T^{2}}$ dem onstrates, that quadratic system sizes are inevitable. W e get the follow ing $m$ atrix for the equations of $m$ otion:

$W$ hat rem ains is a straightforw ard solution of $\left(\bar{A}_{-1}^{-5}\right)$. The expression of $G_{M}^{()}$can im miately be w ritten down. To get $G_{1}^{()}$and $G_{k}^{(1)}$ in a form w ith linear energy poles, a single partial fraction expansion is necessary. For $G_{h}^{()}$and $G_{j}^{()}$one has to apply ( $\left(\bar{A}_{-}^{-7}\right)$. A nd $w$ ith a few $m$ ore steps one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{A}^{()}=\frac{K_{A}}{E ~_{8}^{T}} \frac{K_{b}^{()}+K_{c}^{()}+K_{f}^{()}}{2\left(E \quad T^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{K_{S}^{()}}{2\left(E \quad T^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{K_{f}^{()}+K_{S}^{()}}{2(E T T U)} \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

W e have de ned the sum of correlation functions

A s a last step on the way to the desired single-particle $G$ reen's function one has to undo the com bination $(\underline{9})$ by considering the sum $\frac{1}{2} G_{A}^{(+)}+G_{A}^{()}$. Then we obtain the result

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{S} ; C_{S}^{Y} & =\frac{2 K_{b}^{(+)} K_{\mathrm{C}}^{(+)} K_{f}^{(+)}+K_{S}^{(+)}}{4\left(E\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right.}+\frac{K_{f}^{(+)}+K_{S}^{(+)}}{4(E T T U)} \\
& +\frac{2 K_{b}^{(1)} K_{C}^{(1)} K_{f}^{()}+K_{S}^{()}}{4\left(E+T^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{\left.K_{f}^{(1)}+K_{S}^{( }\right)}{4\left(E+T^{\prime} U\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

APPENDIX B: COMBINATIONSOFGREEN'SFUNCTIONS
$F$ irst of all the notation for the $G$ reen's functions needs to be clari ed. E ach of them gets to subindex that consists of a num ber and a letter. The latter part has already been introduced above when the list of $G$ reen's functions $w$ th di erent possibilities for the ferm ionic operators is given. The form er part enum erates the possibilities for

 $S_{s} ; S_{s} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ appear in the necessary equations of m otion. Each G reen's function taken 8 tim es for the di erent possibilities for s; and gives the number 1040.
$T$ he rst step of com binations is determ ined by the spin operators. $W$ e use the rules that have been applied to the insulatoris.

The next step produces the correct com binations of ferm ionic operators of di erent $G$ reen's functions. W e use the rules ( $\binom{-1}{-1}$ applied to the $H$ ubbard chuster.

D ensity class III

$$
\left.\left.H_{51}^{( }\right)=H_{5 L}^{()}+H_{4 J}^{( }\right)
$$

$$
\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{21}^{( }\right)=\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}^{( }\right)+\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~J}}^{( }\right)
$$

$$
\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{k}}^{( }\right)=\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~K}}^{()}+\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{H}}^{( }\right)
$$

$$
\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{k}}^{( }\right)=\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~K}}^{( }\right)+\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{H}}^{( }\right)
$$

$$
\left.\left.H_{4 h}^{( }\right)=H_{5 K}^{()} \quad H_{4 H}^{( }\right)
$$

$$
\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}^{( }\right)=\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~K}}^{( }\right) \quad \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{H}}^{( }\right)
$$

$$
\left.H_{4 j}^{()}=H_{5 L}^{()} \quad H_{4 J}^{( }\right)
$$

$$
\left.H_{2 j}^{(1)}=H_{2 L}^{( }\right) \quad H_{2 J}^{(1)}
$$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~g}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{G}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{G}}^{(+)}
$$

$$
\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p} 1}^{()}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{P} 1}^{( }\right)+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{P} 2}^{( }\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~g}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{G}}^{(+)} \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{G}}^{(+)} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~g}}^{(1)}=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{G}}^{(1)}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{P} 2}^{(+)} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~g}}^{(1)}=\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{G}}^{()}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{P} 1}^{(+)} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{G}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P} 5}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p} 2}^{()}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{P} 1}^{(1)} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{P} 2}^{(1)}
$$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p} 2}^{(1)}=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{G}}^{()} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{P} 2}^{(+)}
$$

$$
\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p} 1}^{(1)}=\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{G}}^{( }\right) \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{P} 1}^{(+)}
$$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p} 5}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{G}}^{(+)} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P} 5}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{41}{ }^{( }\right)=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~L}}{ }^{( }\right)+\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~J}}{ }^{( }\right) \\
& \left.\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{k}}^{(\mathrm{)}}=\mathrm{H}\left({ }_{4 \mathrm{~K}}{ }^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{H}}^{( }\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~h}}^{( }\right)=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~K}}^{( }\right) \quad \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{H}}^{( }\right) \\
& H_{5 j}^{(1)}=H_{4 L}^{()} \quad H_{5 J}^{(1)} \\
& H_{3 g}^{()}=H_{3 G}^{()}+G_{10 G} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~g}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{G}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{G}_{9 \mathrm{G}} \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~g}}^{(\mathrm{r}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{G}}{ }^{( }\right) \quad \mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{G}} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~g}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{G}}^{(+)} \quad \mathrm{G}_{9 \mathrm{G}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.H_{2:::}^{( }\right)=\frac{h^{2}}{4} G_{1:::} \quad \frac{h}{2} G_{2:::} \quad \frac{h}{2} G_{3:::}+G_{6:::} \\
& \left.H_{3:::}^{( }\right)=\frac{h^{2}}{4} G_{1:::} \quad \frac{h}{2} G_{2:::} \quad \frac{h}{2} G_{3:::} \quad G_{6::} \\
& H_{4:::}^{()}=\frac{h}{2} G_{4:::} \quad G_{7::} \\
& \left.H_{5:::}^{( }\right)=\frac{h}{2} G_{5:::} \quad G_{8:::} \\
& \left.\left.H_{N 1}^{()}{ }^{( }\right)=\frac{h}{2} G_{N 1} \quad G_{N} \quad H_{P 1}^{( }\right)=\frac{h}{2} G_{P 1} \quad G_{P} \\
& \left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N} 2}{ }^{( }{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{2} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} 2} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} 4} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{P} 2}^{( }{ }^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{2} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P} 2} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P} 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1+\frac{2 T}{\mathrm{~b}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{(+)}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}^{(+)} 2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{S}}^{(+)}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{U}}{2 \mathrm{~b}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}^{(+)} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{C}}^{(+)}\right)}{8\left(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{T} \frac{\mathrm{U}}{2} \mathrm{~b}\right)} \\
& +\frac{1 \frac{2 T}{b}\left(K_{b}^{(+)}+K_{c}^{(+)} 2 K_{S}^{(+)}\right) \quad \frac{U}{2 b}\left(K_{b}^{(+)} \quad K_{c}^{(+)}\right)}{8\left(E+T \quad \frac{U}{2}+\mathrm{b}\right)} \\
& +\frac{\left.\left.\left.1 \frac{2 T}{b}\left(K_{b}^{( }{ }^{()}+K_{c}^{( }\right) 2 K_{S}^{( }\right)\right)+\frac{U}{2 b}\left(K_{b}^{( }\right) \quad K_{c}^{( }{ }^{( }\right)}{8\left(E \quad T \quad \frac{U}{2} \quad\right. \text { b) }} \\
& +\frac{\left.\left.\left.\left.1+\frac{2 T}{b}\left(K_{b}^{( }\right)+K_{c}^{( }\right) \quad 2 K_{S}^{( }\right)\right) \quad \frac{U}{2 b}\left(K_{b}^{( }\right) \quad K_{c}^{( }\right)}{8\left(E \quad T \quad \frac{U}{2}+b\right)}:
\end{aligned}
$$



D ensity class II

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~B}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~F}}^{(+)} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~B}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~F}}^{(+)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{C}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{C}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{D}}^{(+)} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{C}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{C}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{D}}^{(+)} \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{C}}^{( }\right)=\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{C}}^{(\mathrm{l}}\right)+\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{D}}^{(\mathrm{)}} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~d}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{C}}^{(+)} \quad \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{D}}^{(+)} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~d}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{C}}^{(+)} \quad \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{D}}^{(+)} \\
& H_{2 d}^{()}=H_{2 C}^{()} \quad H_{2 D}^{(1)} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{5 f}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~B}}^{(+)} \quad \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~F}}^{(+)} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{f}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~B}}^{(+)} \quad \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~F}}^{(+)} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{f}}^{()^{\prime}}=\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}^{\left({ }^{( }\right)} \quad \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~F}}^{\left({ }^{( }\right)} \\
& \left.\left.H_{4 r}^{( }\right)=H_{4 R}^{()}+H_{5 R}^{( }\right) \\
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n} 1}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N} 1}^{(+)}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N} 2}^{(+)} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{r}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{R}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{R}} \\
& H_{3 r}^{()}=H_{3 R}^{(1)}+G{ }_{9 R} \\
& \left.\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{r}}^{(\mathrm{r}}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{R}}{ }^{( }\right) \quad \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{R}}{ }^{( }\right) \\
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n} 2}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N} 1}^{(+)} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N} 2}^{(+)} \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{r}}{ }^{( }\right)=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{R}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{( }{ }^{( }\right) \\
& \left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n} 2}{ }^{( }\right)=\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{R}}^{(+)} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N} 2}{ }^{( }{ }^{\prime} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{r}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{R}}^{(+)} \quad \mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{R}} \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{r}}^{( }\right)=\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{R}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N} 1}^{( }{ }^{( }\right) \\
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n} 1}^{\left({ }^{\prime}\right)}=\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{R}}^{(+)} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N} 1}^{( }{ }^{\prime} \\
& \left.H_{6 r}^{( }\right)=H_{3 R}^{(1)} \quad G_{9 R} \\
& \left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n} 5}^{( }\right)=\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{R}}^{()^{\prime}} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}} 5
\end{aligned}
$$

| H $\left.{ }_{4 b+5}^{( }\right)$ | $\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~B}}()^{\prime}+\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~F}}()^{\prime}$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5 B}^{()}+\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~F}}{ }^{( }\right)$ | $\mathrm{H} \stackrel{(\mathrm{Cd}+5 \mathrm{~d}}{ }{ }_{\text {d }}=$ | $\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{C}}()^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{D}}\left({ }^{\text {( }}\right.$ | $+$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{C}}^{( }\right)$ | $H_{5 D}{ }^{(1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{c}+5 \mathrm{c}}^{( }$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{C}}{ }^{( }\right)+\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{D}}{ }^{(1)}$ | + $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{C}}{ }^{( }\right)+\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{D}}^{(1)}$ |  | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{C}}^{( }\right)$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{D}}{ }^{( }\right)$ |  | $\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{C}}()^{\prime}$ | $\left.H_{5 D}{ }^{( }\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{c}}^{(1)} 50$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{C}}()^{\prime}+\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{D}}{ }^{( }\right)$ | $\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{C}}{ }^{( }\right)+\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{D}}{ }^{( }\right)$ | $\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{f}}^{( }{ }_{5 \mathrm{f}}^{\text {) }}=$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~B}}^{( }\right)^{( }$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~F}}{ }^{( }\right)$ |  | $\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~B}}()^{\prime}$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~F}}{ }^{( }\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}+3 \mathrm{f}}^{(+)}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{(+)}+\mathrm{G}_{9 \mathrm{~F}}$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~F}}{ }^{( }\right)+\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{~B}}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}+6 \mathrm{f}}^{(+)}=$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~F}}^{(+)}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{9 \mathrm{~B}}$ | + | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{(1)}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{~F}}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{c}}^{(+3)}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{C}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{G} 9 \mathrm{D}$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{D}}{ }^{( }\right)+\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{C}}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{c}+\mathrm{f}}^{(+)}{ }_{\text {d }}=$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{D}}^{(+)}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{9 \mathrm{C}}$ | + | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{C}}{ }^{( }\right)$ | $\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{D}}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{C}}{ }^{(+)}+\mathrm{G} 9 \mathrm{~g}$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{D}}{ }^{( }\right)+\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{C}}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{c}}{ }^{+} \quad \mathrm{6d}_{\text {d }}=$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{D}}^{(+)}$ | G9C |  | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{C}}{ }^{(1)}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{D}}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{c}+3 \mathrm{C}}^{(+)}$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{C}}{ }^{( }\right)+\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{D}}$ | $+\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{D}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{G} 9 \mathrm{C}$ |  | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{D}}{ }^{( }\right)$ | $\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{C}}$ |  | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{C}}^{(+)}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{9 \mathrm{D}}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{c}}^{(+)}{ }^{(+)}$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{C}}{ }^{( }\right)^{\prime}+\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{D}}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{D}}{ }^{(+)}+\mathrm{G} 9 \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{c}}^{(+)}{ }_{6 \mathrm{~d}}{ }^{\text {d }}=$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{D}}{ }^{( }\right)$ | $\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{C}}$ |  | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{C}}{ }^{(+)}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{9 \mathrm{D}}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{l}+\mathrm{taf}}^{(+)}$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{( }\right)^{\prime}+\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{~F}}$ | $+\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~F}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{G}_{98}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}+6 \mathrm{f}}^{(+)}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~F}}^{(1)}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{OB}}$ | + | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{(+)}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{9 \mathrm{~F}}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}^{( } \quad 5 \mathrm{5b}$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{(1)}+\mathrm{H}_{4 F}^{( }\right)$ | $\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{5 B}^{( }\right)+\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~F}}{ }^{( }\right)$ | $\mathrm{H}{ }_{4 \mathrm{f}+5 \mathrm{f}}{ }_{\text {f }}=$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{( }\right)$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~F}}{ }^{( }\right)$ | + | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{( }\right)$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~F}}{ }^{( }\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}^{(+)}{ }_{3 f}$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{( }\right)+\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{~F}}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~F}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{G} 9 \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}^{(+)} \mathrm{Of}^{(+)}=$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~F}}{ }^{(+)}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{9 \mathrm{~B}}$ |  | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{(1)}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{~F}}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}^{(+)} 3 \mathrm{ff}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{(+)}+\mathrm{G}{ }_{9 \mathrm{~F}}$ | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~F}}{ }^{( }\right)+\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{~B}}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}^{(+)}{ }_{6 \mathrm{f}}=$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~F}}{ }^{\text {) }}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{10 \mathrm{~B}}$ |  | $\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{(+)}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{9 \mathrm{~F}}$ |
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