

On the Renyi entropy, Boltzmann Principle, Levy and power-law distributions and Renyi parameter

A.G.Bashkirov

Institute Dynamics of Geospheres, RAS,
Leninskii prosp. 38 (bldg.1), 117334, Moscow, Russia

Abstract

The Renyi entropy with a free Renyi parameter q is the most justified form of information entropy, and the Tsallis entropy may be regarded as a linear approximation to the Renyi entropy when $q \rightarrow 1$. When $q \neq 1$, both entropies go to the Boltzmann–Shannon entropy. The application of the principle of maximum of information entropy (MEP) to the Renyi entropy gives rise to the microcanonical (homogeneous) distribution for an isolated system. Whatever the value of the Renyi parameter q is, in this case the Renyi entropy becomes the Boltzmann entropy $S_B = k_B \ln W$, that provides support for universality of the Boltzmann’s principle of statistical mechanics. For a system being in contact with a heat bath, the application of MEP to the Renyi entropy gives rise to Levy distribution (or, q -distribution) accepted as one of the main results of the so-called nonextensive statistics. The same distribution is derived here for a small physical system experiencing temperature fluctuations. The long-range "tail" of the Levy distribution is the power-law (Zipf-Pareto) distribution with the exponent s expressed via q . The exponent and free Renyi parameter q can be uniquely determined with the use of a further extension of MEP. Then typical values of s are found within the range $1.3 - 2$ and of q within the range $0.25 - 0.5$, in dependence on parameters of stochastic systems.

PACS: 05.10.Gg, 05.20.Gg, 05.40.-a

Keywords: Renyi entropy, Tsallis entropy, Escort distribution, Temperature fluctuations.

1 Introduction

In view of the rapid development of non-extensive statistics based on Tsallis’ information entropy the problem of choosing the form of information entropy becomes pressing. The most justified one appears to be one-parameter family of Renyi’s entropies (or simply Renyi entropy). When Renyi parameter q is equal to unity, Renyi entropy goes to well-known Boltzmann–Shannon entropy. The Renyi entropy is extensive but its linearization in the neighbourhood of a point $q \rightarrow 1$ results in the non-extensive Tsallis’ entropy.

When the principle of maximum of an information entropy (MEP) is applied to the Renyi entropy of an isolated system, a homogeneous (microcanonical) distribution $p_i = 1/W$ over W micro-states is derived. In this case, the Renyi entropy becomes the Boltzmann entropy $S_B = k_B \ln W$ thus affording *Boltzmann Principle* from which all thermodynamic properties of extensive and non-extensive Hamiltonian systems can be deduced.

For a system in contact with a heat bath, the Levy distribution (or q -distribution) $p_i \propto 1/i^s$ over microscopic states of a system is derived with the use of MEP applied to the Renyi entropy. Applying this principle to the Tsallis entropy one would be forced to go

E-mail address: abas@idg.chph.ras.ru

from the original distribution $f p_i g$ to an escort distribution $f p_i^q g = f p_i^q = \frac{1}{Z} p_i^q g$, and use it further for evaluations of mean values of dynamical variables. Such going contradicts to main principles of probability description.

The Levy distribution can be obtained also for small subsystems experiencing large fluctuations of temperature by way of averaging of Gibbs distribution over the temperature fluctuations. Besides, this procedure permits to associate the Renyi parameter q with physical properties of the subsystem.

A long-range "tail" (for $i \rightarrow 1$) of the Levy distribution can be approximated by a power-law distribution $p_i \sim i^{-s}$, where the exponent s is expressed in terms of q . Then at least for power-law distributions, the MEP can be expanded to determine the Renyi parameter q and the exponent s , respectively.

2 Information entropy

The information entropy, or simply entropy, is the measure of uncertainty in information in the case of statistical (incomplete) description of a system using the distribution of probabilities $p = f p_i g$; $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$; $i = 1, \dots, W$. The best known is the representation of entropy in the Boltzmann–Shannon form

$$S_B = -k_B \sum_i p_i \ln p_i \quad (1)$$

In the case when the subscripts i indicate dynamic microstates in the Gibbs phase space and the distribution p_i corresponds to the macroscopic equilibrium state of the system, the entropy S_B coincides with the thermodynamic entropy.

It is just this type of entropy was justified by Khinchin [1] and Shannon [2] in a theorem form based on a system of axioms. Their axioms were analyzed in [3,4], where it was shown that a unique determination of entropy in the Boltzmann–Shannon form is provided by a quite artificial axiom related to a form of conditional entropy (that is, the entropy of subsystem of a system being in a prescribed state). A variety of papers on this subject were analyzed by Uffink [4] who found that the most convincing appears to be the system of axioms of Shore and Johnson [5] leading to Renyi's one-parameter family of entropies [6]; for the distribution $f p_i g$ normalized to unity, this family is written in the form

$$S_R^{(q)}(p) = \frac{k_B}{1-q} \ln \sum_i p_i^q; \quad \sum_i p_i = 1; \quad (2)$$

where q is an arbitrary positive number (it cannot be less than zero, because $f p_i g$ may include zero values). Various properties of Renyi entropy are discussed, in particular, in the monographs [6-8]. Among its basic properties we may mention: positivity ($S_R^{(q)} \geq 0$), concavity for $q \leq 1$ and, in addition, $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} S_R^{(q)} = S_B$.

In the case of $\sum_i p_i^q = 1$ (which, in view of normalization of the distribution $f p_i g$, corresponds to the condition $\sum_i q p_i = 1$), one can restrict oneself to the linear term of logarithm expansion in the expression for $S_R^{(q)}(p)$ over this difference, and $S_R^{(q)}(p)$ changes to

$$S_T^{(q)}(p) = \frac{k_B}{1-q} \left(1 - \sum_i p_i^q \right); \quad (3)$$

Such a linearization of Renyi entropy was first suggested by Havdram and Charvat [9] and Daroczy [10]; at present, this expression for entropy came to be known as Tsallis' entropy [11].

The logarithm linearization results in the entropy becoming nonextensive. This property is widely used by Tsallis and by the international scientific school that has developed around him for the investigation of diverse nonextensive systems [11-16]. In so doing, the above-identified restriction $q \geq 1$ is disregarded, as a rule. In our opinion, the attempt by Abe [16] at independent validation of this form of entropy appears unconvincing, because it is based on the axiomatic introduction of such a form for conditional entropy which uniquely provides for obtaining Tsallis' entropy.

3 Extremality of entropy

According to MEP, in the case of statistical (incomplete, from the dynamic standpoint) description of the system, its distribution function must provide for correct values of those few average quantities which appear in the statistical description; otherwise, it must be as undetermined as possible. Such an approach in application to equilibrium thermodynamic systems (isolated or weakly interacting with the thermostat) has long been used to construct equilibrium statistical thermodynamics. However, it was only after studies by Jaynes [17] that it came to be firmly established as a principle validating (at least, on the physical level of rigor) the use of Gibbs ensembles in statistical description of thermodynamic systems. The information entropy is traditionally taken to mean the Boltzmann–Shannon entropy. Here, MEP will be applied to the Renyi entropy.

3.1 Microcanonical distribution. Boltzmann principle.

We are interested firstly in the distribution of probabilities $\{p_i\}$, providing for the extremality of information entropy with an additional condition of normalization of $\{p_i\}$.

Then, the distribution $\{p_i\}$ must be determined from the extremum of the functional

$$L_R^M(\{p\}) = \frac{1}{1-q} \ln \sum_i p_i^q = \sum_i p_i; \quad (4)$$

where λ is the Lagrange multiplier dependent on q .

We equate its functional derivative to zero to derive

$$\frac{\delta L_R^M(\{p\})}{\delta p_i} = \frac{q}{1-q} p_i^{q-1} - \lambda = 0; \quad (5)$$

Multiplying this equation by p_i and summing up over i , with account of normalization condition $\sum_i p_i = 1$ we get $\lambda = \frac{q}{1-q}$. Then, it follows from equation (4) that

$$p_i = \frac{1}{\sum_j p_j^q} p_j^q;$$

Using once more the condition $\sum_i p_i = 1$ we get

$$\sum_i p_i^q = \frac{1}{1-q}.$$

and, finally,

$$p_i = \frac{1}{W} : \quad (6)$$

Thus, we have obtained equally probable distribution p_i corresponding to the microcanonical Gibbs ensemble of statistical mechanics. The Renyi entropy for this case takes a form

$$S_R^M = \frac{k_B}{1-q} \ln W^{1-q} = k_B \ln W : \quad (7)$$

This expression does not depend on the Renyi parameter and at any q coincides exactly with the Boltzmann entropy definition $S_B = k_B \ln W$ called by Einstein as *Boltzmann's Principle* from which all equilibrium statistical thermodynamics both extensive and non-extensive Hamiltonian systems can be deduced (see, e.g. [18]). It was proposed [18] to take Boltzmann Principle as the axiomatic assumption. Here we obtained it as a consequence of the axiomatics of Shore and Johnson [5] led us to Renyi entropy and then to Boltzmann Principle.

Thus, the Renyi entropy gives new physical insight into the Boltzmann Principle. The Tsallis entropy exhibits this mandatory property only when $q \rightarrow 1$.

3.2 Canonical Levy distribution.

Next we will look for the distribution of probabilities $\{p_i\}$, providing for the extremality of information entropy with an additional conditions which consist in preassigning the average value $H = \sum_i H_i p_i$ of the random quantity H_i and the requirement of normalization of p_i .

Then, the distribution $\{p_i\}$ must be determined from the extremum of the functional

$$L_R(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{1-q} \ln \sum_i p_i^q - \lambda_0 \sum_i H_i p_i - \lambda_1 \sum_i p_i : \quad (8)$$

where λ_0 and λ_1 are Lagrange multipliers dependent on q . Note that, in the $q \rightarrow 1$ limit it changes to well-known functional

$$L_G(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_i p_i \ln p_i - \lambda_0 \sum_i H_i p_i - \lambda_1 \sum_i p_i : \quad (9)$$

its extremum is ensured by the Gibbs canonical distribution, in which $\lambda_0 = 1/k_B T_0$ and λ_1 is the free energy.

We equate a functional derivative of $L_R(\mathbf{p})$ to zero to derive

$$\frac{L_R(\mathbf{p})}{p_i} = \frac{q}{1-q} \frac{p_i^{q-1}}{\sum_j p_j^q} - \lambda_0 H_i - \lambda_1 = 0 : \quad (10)$$

Multiplying this equation by p_i and summing up over i , with account of normalization condition $\sum_i p_i = 1$ we get $\lambda_1 = \frac{q}{1-q} - \lambda_0 \langle H \rangle$. Then, it follows from equation (10) that

$$p_i = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_j p_j^q (1 + \lambda_0 (1-q) (H_i - \langle H \rangle))^{\frac{1}{q-1}} : \quad (11)$$

Using once more the condition $\sum_i p_i = 1$ we get

$$p_j^q = \frac{1}{P} \frac{(1 + \beta_0 (1 - q) (H_j - H))^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}{(1 + \beta_0 (1 - q) (H_i - H))^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} \quad (q \neq 1)$$

and, finally,

$$p_i = \frac{(1 + \beta_0 (1 - q) (H_i - H))^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}{\sum_i (1 + \beta_0 (1 - q) (H_i - H))^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} : \quad (11)$$

Such distribution is known now as Levy distribution or q -distribution. At $q \rightarrow 1$ the distribution $\{p_i\}$ becomes the Gibbs canonical distribution in which the constant $\beta_0 = 1/k_B T_0$ is the reciprocal of the temperature.

If the Tsallis entropy was used instead of the Renyi entropy the Levy distribution was derived [11] in the form

$$p_i^{Ts} = \frac{(1 + \beta_0 (1 - q) H_i)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}{\sum_i (1 + \beta_0 (1 - q) H_i)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} ; \quad (12)$$

but the starting functional was forced to be taken as

$$L^{Ts}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{1-q} \left(1 - \sum_i p_i^q \right) + \beta_0 (q-1) \sum_i H_i p_i + \sum_i p_i : \quad (13)$$

Here, the question arises about forms of Lagrange multipliers $\beta_0 (q-1)$ and $(+)$, but the main problem is that the functional $L^{Ts}(\mathbf{p})$ does not pass to the functional (9) when $q \rightarrow 1$ as the second term in (13) vanishes.

It seems reasonable to suppose that just this difficulty causes to use the escort distribution $P_i = p_i^q / \sum_i p_i^q$ in nonextensive thermodynamics [12,13,15]. The consistency of the transition to escort distribution is partly justified by the condition conservation of a preassigned average value of the energy $H = \sum_i H_i P_i$, however other average values are to be calculated with the use of the same escort distribution also, that contradicts to the main principles of probability description.

It should be noted also that escort distributions were introduced [7] as a tool to scan the structure of an original distributions $\{p_i\}$. Indeed, at $q > 1$, the importance of p_i with the maximal values increases, and at $q < 1$, of p_i with minimal values. In view of this, it is evident that use of escort distributions in statistical thermodynamics does not lead to true average values of dynamical variables.

4 Small subsystem with fluctuating temperature

To clear up a physical sense of the Levy distribution (11) and the Renyi parameter q we use here an approach proposed by Wilk and Wlodarchuk [19].

We will treat a subsystem which is a minor part of a large equilibrium system and experiences thermal fluctuations of both energy and temperature. This is a radical difference of the suggested approach from the Gibbs approach traditionally employed in statistical physics, in which temperature is preassigned by a constant characterizing the thermostat.

In equilibrium theory of thermodynamic fluctuations the mean square temperature fluctuation is estimated as $\overline{T^2} - T_0^2 = (k_B / C)^{1=2}$ where C is the heat capacity of the subsystem.

More detailed analysis [20], based on the Landau–Lifshits theory of hydrodynamic fluctuations, gives the next nonlinear stochastic Langevin equation for fluctuating temperature

$$\frac{dT(t)}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\tau} (T(t) - T_0) + \frac{1}{C} T(t) \xi(t); \quad (14)$$

where $\tau = C/(A\kappa)$, A is the surface area of the subsystem, κ is the heat transfer coefficient, and T_0 is the average temperature of the subsystem. A random function of time $\xi(t)$ satisfies the relation

$$\langle \xi(t) \rangle = 0; \langle \xi(t) \xi(t') \rangle = 2\kappa_B A (t - t')^{-1/2}; \quad (15)$$

Corresponding to the derived stochastic Langevin equation with δ -correlated noise is the Fokker–Planck equation for the temperature distribution function $f(T; t)$

$$\frac{\partial f(T; t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial T} W_1(T) f(T; t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T^2} W_2(T) f(T; t); \quad (16)$$

The coefficients $W_1(T)$ and $W_2(T)$ of this equation are expressed in terms of the first $\langle T(t) - T(t') \rangle$ and second $\langle (T(t) - T(t'))^2 \rangle$ conditional moments of stochastic equation Langevin. A steady-state solution [20,21] to equation (16) is

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} z^{\gamma-1} e^{-z}; \quad (17)$$

where the dimensionless constant $\gamma = C/\kappa_B$ is introduced, and $z = T_0/T$. Therefore, the thus derived distribution function of the inverse temperature of the subsystem in the dimensionless form is the gamma distribution.

If the mean energy of the singled-out volume $H = C T_0$ is introduced, this expression may be rewritten as

$$f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} e^{-z} z^{\gamma-1}; \quad (18)$$

By its form, this distribution is close to the Gibbs distribution; however, unlike the latter, it accounts for the temperature fluctuation of the subsystem with the preassigned mean energy H .

In order to describe a subsystem in contact with a large thermally equilibrium system (thermostat), the Gibbs canonical distribution is used in statistical physics (here and below, the factor G_i allowing for number of states of energy H_i is omitted for brevity):

$$p_i = Q^{-1} e^{-H_i/k_B T}; \quad (19)$$

where H_i is the energy of the subsystem (the subscript i may indicate the number of discrete energy level or totality of the values of coordinates and momenta of molecules of the subsystem), and Q is the partition function. In so doing, the inverse temperature $\beta = 1/k_B T$ is taken to be known preassigned quantity.

As was demonstrated above, the temperature may fluctuate. In view of this, the question arises as to how the Gibbs distribution is modified under the effect of temperature fluctuations. The answer to this question may be obtained by the way of averaging the Gibbs distribution (19) with the gamma-distribution for temperature T (or β).

For further treatment, p_i may be conveniently represented in an equivalent form,

$$p_i = Q^{-1} e^{-H_i/k_B T}; \quad Q = \sum_i e^{-H_i/k_B T}; \quad H_i = H_i - H \quad (20)$$

where the symbol \sum_i^P may indicate both the summation and integration over a totality of the values of coordinates and momenta.

Using the mean value theorem we represent the Gibbs distribution averaged over Q in the form

$$p_i = \frac{\int_0^{Z-1} df(Q) p_i}{Q} = \frac{1}{Q} \int_0^{Z-1} df(Q) e^{-H_i}; \quad (21)$$

where Q lies in the range of possible variation of $Q(Q)$ from $Q(0)$ to $Q(1)$. From the conditions of normalization to unity of the distributions $f(Q)$ and p_i , we have

$$\frac{1}{Q} \int_0^{Z-1} df(Q) e^{-H_i} = 1 \quad (22)$$

whence we find

$$Q = \int_0^{Z-1} df(Q) e^{-H_i}; \quad (23)$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to calculate only the average value of the exponent,

$$\int_0^{Z-1} df(Q) e^{-H_i} = \frac{(k_B T_0)}{Q} \int_0^{Z-1} d \left(1 - e^{-(k_B T_0 + H_i)} \right) = 1 + \frac{0}{Q} H_i : \quad (24)$$

Finally, the averaged Gibbs distribution takes the form

$$p_i = \frac{1 + \frac{0}{Q} (H_i - H)}{\sum_i \left(1 + \frac{0}{Q} (H_i - H) \right)} : \quad (25)$$

In the $Q \rightarrow 1$ limit corresponding to a high heat capacity of the singled-out subsystem, p_i goes to p_i .

Resulted equation for the modified Gibbs distribution is similar to equation (11) for p_i in its structure. To identify p_i with p_i it is enough to present Q as $Q = (1 - q)^{-1}$, then equation (25) takes the form

$$p_i = \frac{1 + \frac{0}{Q} (1 - q) (H_i - H)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}}{\sum_i \left(1 + \frac{0}{Q} (1 - q) (H_i - H)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \right)} : \quad (26)$$

The full identity of this expression with the probability p_i (11) ensuring the extremality of Renyi entropy enables one to take a new view of the physical meaning of the Renyi entropy and parameter

$$q = 1 - \frac{1}{C} = \frac{C - k_B}{C} : \quad (27)$$

So, the Renyi parameter differs significantly from unity only in the case where the heat capacity of the singled out system is of the same order of magnitude as the Boltzmann constant k_B . The thermodynamics of such systems must be constructed on the basis of Renyi entropy and Boltzmann Principle or the Levy distribution function $f_i g$ or $f p_i g$ for systems in contact with a heat bath. We shall emphasize once again that here this function was obtained without invoking any additional considerations as to the nonextensiveness of the systems being treated.

When i -th state of the system is determined by a value H_i (indexed in increasing order of magnitude, so that $H_{\max} = H_W$), and $x_i = H_i/H_W$ then for $q \notin 1$ and sufficiently significant fluctuations of x exceeding the minimal value

$$x_{\min} = x = \frac{1}{H_W(1-q)}; \quad (28)$$

expression (6) transforms to the power distribution

$$p_i = x_i^s; \quad s = \frac{1}{1-q}. \quad (29)$$

So, we derived the Zipf-Pareto power-law distribution ensuring the extremality of Renyi entropy at $q \notin 1$. In this aspect it can be said that the power-law distribution is inherent to the Renyi entropy as much as Boltzmann or Gibbs distributions are inherent to the Boltzmann-Shannon entropy. As the exponent s is expressed (29) in terms of the Renyi parameter q , the evident requirement $s > 0$ produces a new constraint $q < 1$ that coincides with the condition of concavity of the Renyi entropy¹. Otherwise the Renyi parameter remains arbitrary, so while it is varying from $q = 1$ to $q' = 1$ the exponent s varies from 1 to $+1$. Hence it is evident that an additional physical concept should be invoked to specify q uniquely.

5 Extension of MEP to determine Renyi parameter

As a rule, the exponent s of power-law distributions for stochastic systems of different nature lies in the narrow range of values between 1 and 2. It follows from it that a corresponding value of the Renyi parameter q connected with s by equation (29) lies too in a narrow range.

In this connection, it is reasonable to assume an existence of some variational principle providing realization of value of the Renyi parameter just in this range. Inasmuch as the Levy distribution possessing the power-law "tail" is inherent in the Renyi entropy, it seems to be reasonable to look for extremum on q of the difference

$$S = S_R^{(q)}(fp_i g) - S_B(fp_i g) \quad (30)$$

where $fp_i g$ is the Levy distribution (11).

When the subscript $j p_i j$ $p_{i+1} p_j$ p_i for all i , we can pass to a continuous picture. Then the probability p_i is replaced by $p(x_i) x_i$, where $p(x)$ is the probability density and $x_i = x_{i+1} - x_i$. For simplicity, we assume that all x_i are of the same value ($x_i = x$ for all i), then we get

$$S_R^{(q)}(fp_i g) = \frac{1}{1-q} \ln \sum_i p^q(x_i) (x)^{-q} = -\ln x + S_{RD}^{(q)}(p(x)); \quad (31)$$

$$S_B(fp_i g) = \sum_i p(x_i) (x) \ln [p(x_i) (x)] = -\ln x + S_{BD}(p(x)) \quad (32)$$

¹When one tries to derive the power-law distribution on the base of the escort distribution he obtains the exponent $s_{es} = q/(q-1)$ that produces a constraint $q > 1$. For such q the Renyi entropy is not pure concave.




Fig. 1: The difference $\Delta S = S_R^{(q)} - S_B$ for power-law distribution $p(x) = x^{1-q}$ as a function of q and x_{min} .

where

$$S_{RD}^{(q)}(p(x)) = \frac{1}{1-q} \ln \int_{x_1}^{x_2} p^q(x) dx; \quad S_{BD}(p(x)) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} p(x) \ln p(x) dx: \quad (33)$$

It is suggested that the probability density and differential x satisfy all requirements which are necessary when passing from Darboux sums to integrals in equations (31) and (32).

It is conventional that S_{BD} is referred to as the differential Boltzmann entropy, so we call $S_{RD}^{(q)}$ differential Renyi entropy. The original Boltzmann and Renyi entropies are positive defined values, but differential ones are not positive defined due to smallness of x . Nevertheless, their difference is the same as the difference of original entropies, so that

$$S = S_{RD}^{(q)}(p(x)) - S_{BD}(p(x)): \quad (34)$$

An investigation of this function of q for the presence of its extremum is only possible for a concrete system when all parameters, $\alpha; H$ of the Levy distribution are known. However, if a main contributions into the integrals of differential entropies (34) are provided by the range of x values from x_{min} to $x_{max} = 1$, we can use the power-law distribution density instead of Levy distribution density

$$p(x) = B x^{-s}; \quad B = \frac{1}{\int_{x_{min}}^{x_{max}} x^{-s} dx} = \frac{1}{1-s} (1 - x_{min}^{1-s}): \quad (35)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(q) &= S_{RD}^{(q)}(p(x)) - S_{BD}(p(x)) \\ &= \frac{B x_{min}^{1-s}}{(s-1)^2} [(1 - x_{min}^{1-s})s + x_{min}^{1-s} (s-1) \ln B \\ &\quad + (1-s) \ln (B x_{min}^s)] + \frac{1}{(1-q)} \ln \frac{B^q (1 - x_{min}^{1-qs})}{1 - qs}: \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

This function of q depends on the parameter x_{min} only. Its three-dimensional plot is illustrated in Fig. 1. Well defined maximum with respect to q is seen at it and its position q depends slightly on x_{min} . Substituting $q = q(x_{min})$ into equation (29), we get $s = 1/(1 - q(x))$, which is illustrated in Fig. 2. It is seen that values of $s(x_{min})$ are in the range from 1.1 to 2 depending on x_{min} .




Fig. 2: The exponent of the power-law distribution $s = 1/(1 - \alpha)$ as a function of $x_{m \text{ in}}$.

Distributions of this kind were found for an extremely wide class of natural and social phenomena. As examples, we can mention the distributions of magnitudes of earthquakes (Gutenberg–Richter law), vortices over their energies in turbulent flows, and also in the science of sciences [22], economics (Zipf–Pareto distribution law for citizens over their incoming or enterprises over number of collaborators, or banks over their capitals), geography (distribution law for countries or cities over their citizens) etc.

In these examples, we deal with parameters being analogs of energy. In opposite cases, when we are interested in the power-law distribution over other parameters, say l , we should recalculate the exponent. Really, if $H = \int_0^1 p(x) dx = \int_0^1 H^s dH = \int_0^1 l^{rs+r-1} dl$, that is, the modified exponent is $s_1 = rs / (r + 1)$. As an example, for the distribution of fragments over their masses in impact fragmentation of solids, we have [23] $H = m^{2/3}$ and $x_{m \text{ in}} \approx 10^{-5}$, then, from the Fig. 2, we find $s \approx 1.5$ whence $s_m \approx 1.3$, that is in agreement with the experimental results [24] and theoretical estimations [23].

Acknowledgements

I acknowledge useful discussions with A.V. Vityazev.

References

- [1] A.Ya. Khinchin, Mathematical Foundations of Information Theory. Dover Publ., N.-Y., 1957
- [2] C.E. Shannon, Bell Syst. Techn.Journ. **27** 379 (1948)
- [3] A.G. Bashkirov, A.V. Vityazev, Physica A **277**, 136 (2000).
- [4] J. Uffink, Studies in Hist. and Philos. of Mod. Phys. **26B**, 223 (1995).
- [5] J.E. Shore, R.W. Johnson, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **IT-26**, 26 (1980); **IT-27**, 472 (1981); **IT-29**, 942 (1983).
- [6] A. Renyi, Probability theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1970).
- [7] C. Beck, F. Schlögl, Thermodynamics of Chaotic Systems, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1993).
- [8] Yu.L. Klimontovich, Statistical Theory of Open Systems. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Dordrecht, 1994
- [9] J.S. Havrda, F. Charvat, Kybernetika **3**, 30 (1967)
- [10] Z. Daroczy, Information and Control **16**, 36 (1970)
- [11] C. Tsallis, J.Stat.Phys. **52**, 479 (1988)

- [12] E.M.F. Curado, C. Tsallis, J.Phys. A:Math.Gen. **24**, L69 (1991)
- [13] M. Casas, S. Martinez, F. Pennini, A. Plastino, Physica A **305**, 41 (2002)
- [14] A.R. Plastino, A. Plastino, Physica A **222**, 347 (1995)
- [15] C. Tsallis, R.S. Mendes, A.R. Plastino, Physica A **261**, 534 (1998).
- [16] S. Abe, Phys.Lett. A **271**, 74 (2000).
- [17] E.T. Jaynes, Phys.Rev. **106**, 620; **107**, 171 (1957)
- [18] D.H.E. Gross, Physica A **305**, 89 (2002)
- [19] G. Wilk, Z. Wlodarczyk, Phys.Rev.Lett. **84**, 2770 (2000).
- [20] A.G. Bashkirov, A.D. Sukhanov, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **95**, 440 (2002)
- [21] S. Akhmanov, Yu.E. D'jakov, A.S. Chirkin, Introduction to
Statistical Radiophysics and Optics. Nauka, Moscow, 1981
- [22] D. Price, Little Science, Big Science. N.-Y. Columbia Univ. 1963
- [23] A.G. Bashkirov, A.V. Vityazev, Planet. Space Sci. v.44, 909–915 (1996)
- [24] A. Fujiwara, G. Kamimoto and A. Tsukamoto, Icarus, **31**, 277 (1977)