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#### Abstract

$M$ ean-eld models of 2-spin Ising spin glasses $w$ ith interaction $m$ atrices taken from ensem bles which are invariant under $O(\mathbb{N})$ transform ations are studied. A general study shows that the nature of the spin glass transition can be deduced from the eigenvalue spectrum of the interaction $m$ atrix. A sim ple replica approach is derived to carry out the average over the $O(N)$ disorder. The analytic results are con $m$ ed by extensive $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations for large system sizes and by exact enum eration for sm all system sizes.


## I. INTRODUCTION

 to be studied thoroughly was the Sherrington K irkpatrick $\overline{\ln }] \mathrm{m}$ odel which exhibits a classical spin glass transition $w$ ith a continuous transition in the $P$ arisi overlap $m$ atrix $Q_{a b}$ at the transition tem perature $T_{c}$. $T$ he full solution to this problem requires continuous replica sym $m$ etry breaking [3] $]$, indicating an extensive num ber of pure states in the low tem perature phase. M ean- eld models $w$ ith $m$ ultior $p$-spin, interactions exhibit discontinuous jum $p s$ in the $P$ arisi overlap $m$ atrix $Q_{a b}$ at the static transition tem perature denoted by $T_{K}$ for $p>2\left[\mathbb{L t}^{4}\right]$. H ow ever these system $s$ exhibit a dynam ical transition at a tem perature $T_{D}>T_{K}$ indicating the onset of an extensive num ber ofm etastable states preceding the static transition. These $m$ odels are of particular interest as the scenario of a dynam icaltransition
 often referred to as a structural glass transition. P otts type spin glasses can also exhibit rst order phase transitions $\left.\overline{[1]}_{1}^{1}\right]$. In this paper we restrict ourselves to the study of spin glasses w ith 2-spin interactions and concentrate on the role of the interaction $m$ atrix in determ ining the nature of the phase transitions in the system. M ean- eld spin glass type $m$ odels appear in a w ide range of contexts, they are of course the starting points for studying $m$ odels of nite dim ensionalspin glasses but also arise asm odels ofneuralnetw orks, form ulations of optim ization problem sand sim ple m odels for protein folding.

We shallanalyze a class of $m$ ean eld spin glass $m$ odels $w$ ith $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}_{i j}^{X} J_{i j} S_{i} S_{j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $S_{i}$ are $N$ Ising spins. The interaction $m$ atrix $J$ is constructed via the follow ing procedure

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=O^{T} \quad O \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $O$ is a random $O(\mathbb{N}) m$ atrix chosen $w$ th the $H$ aar $m$ easure. The $m$ atrix is diagonal $w$ ith elem ents independently chosen from a distribution ( ). The support of ( ) is taken to be nite and independent of $N$, this ensures the existence of the them odynam ic lim it. The interest of this kind of $m$ odel is that one $m$ ay average over the $O(\mathbb{N})$ disorder $O$ and then exam ine the nature of the spin glass phase as a function of the eigenvalue distribution
( ). In particular we shall show that the way in which ( ) vanishes at the maxim al value of its support, $m$ ax, determ ines whether the glass transition is a classical spin glass transition or a structural glass transition. We show that a nite tem perature classical spin glass transition occurs if the sam e model but with spherically constrained spins (such that $S_{i} 2(1 ; 1)$ and $\left.{ }_{i} S_{i}^{2}=N\right)$ exhibits a nite tem perature phase transition. W here this is not the case we study the system using a one step replica sym $m$ etry breaking schem $e$ to determ ine the dynam ical transition tem perature $T_{D}$ and the $K$ auzm ann tem perature $T_{K} . N$ um erical sim ulations are carried out to con mour analytical predictions on this class ofm odels. W e carry out both $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations and exact enum eration calculations. $T$ he dynam icaltransition tem perature $T_{D}$ estim ated from sim ulations agrees wellw ith our analytic calculations. T he exact enum eration carried out on $s m$ all system sizes con $m \mathrm{~s}$ the dynam icalnature of the transition occurring at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{D}}$.

Let us brie $y$ recall som e well studied $m$ odels $w$ hich fall into the class of spin glass $m$ odels $w$ ith interaction $m$ atrix
 $J_{i j}=J_{j i}$ and $J_{i j}$ independent $G$ aussian random variables of zero $m$ ean and $w$ ith $\overline{J_{i j}^{2}}=1=\mathrm{N}$ can also can be written


$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\frac{4 \quad 2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he Squared Interaction $M$ atrix $S K$ (SIM SK) m odel studied recently in [i] has interaction $m$ atrix $J^{0}=J^{T} J$, where the interaction $m$ atrix $J$ is taken from the $G$ aussian ensemble described above. Here $J^{0}$ is also of the form given by Eq. ( $\overline{2}$ ). The density of eigenvalues here is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\frac{\left(4 \quad \frac{1}{)^{2}}\right.}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

 w as shown $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ to have di erent behavior at positive and negative tem peratures. In the positive tem perature $H$ op eld m odel $[1]$, the transition is a classical spin glass transition as in the SK m odel. H ow ever at negative tem perature the $m$ odel has a structural glass transition [id]. We also note that the $m$ inority gam $e$, which is an econom ics $m$ odel, is closely related to the negative tem perature or antiferrom agnetic H op eld m odel and the sam e structural glass transition has been rem arked [1] [1]. In both the SK and SIM SK m odels one know $S$ that the eigenvalues of $J$ (the diagonal elem ents of ) are correlated "ఫु.l, how ever we will see here that in the them odynam ic lim it this correlation seem $s$ to be unim portant. O ne $m$ ay also consider the $m$ ore general $H$ op eld $m$ odelw ith interaction $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i j}=X^{X^{p}} x_{i} x_{j} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $p=N$, for of order 1 , is the num ber of pattems. $T$ he case $w$ here $x_{i}$ are $G$ aussian random variables of zero $m$ ean $w$ ith correlation $\overline{x_{i}} x_{j}=$ ij $\quad=N$ also falls into the class ofm odelswe are considering, as an arbitrary orthogonal transform ation $x!O x$ gives an elem ent in the sam e statistical ensemble. H ere the density of eigenvalues of the $m$ atrix $J$ is [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
() & =\frac{4\left(+14^{\frac{1}{2}}\right.}{2}+(1 \quad)() \text { for }<1=0 \text { and } 2\left[(1 \mathrm{p}-)^{2} ;(1+\mathrm{p}-)^{2}\right]  \tag{6}\\
& \left.=\frac{4(+1}{2} 4^{\frac{1}{2}} \text { for } 1 ; 2[\mathrm{p}-1)^{2} ;(1+\mathrm{p}-)^{2}\right] \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence in the case $>1$ the density of eigenvalues is zero at the extrem es of the support of ( ). In the case 1, the density of eigenvalues is non zero, and in fact diverges, at the lower band edge but stays zero at the upper band edge. W e rem ark that the density of eigenvalues Eq. ( $\underline{1}_{1}$ ) when $=1$ is exactly the sam e density of eigenvalues as in the SIM SK m odel, as expected from our earlier discussion.

A nother exam ple is the $R$ andom $O$ rthogonalM odel (ROM) studied by M arinari, $P$ arisiand $R$ itort [1] $]$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=(1)+(1 \quad)(+1) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his $m$ odelwas extensively investigated in the case $=1=2$, and $w$ as show $n$ to exhibit a structural glass transition. The case $=1=2$ is of particular interest because the high tem perature series expansion in this case is equivalent to that of a frustrated $m$ ean - eld $m$ odel, the sine $m$ odel, which has no quenched disorder. The ROM at $=1=2$ show s som e rather interesting behavior, the static transition tem perature $T_{K}$ is extrem ely close to the tem perature $T_{A}$ where the annealed entropy vanishes. Below the static transition tem perature the energy is alm ost constant or equivalently the speci c heat is nearly zero. This im plies that the ROM at $=1=2$ is alm ost a R andom Energy M odel (REM) at the static level. The sim plest version of the REM [14] is given by considering a system $w$ ith $m$ icro-states having independent energies. This situation arises by construction in the REM ofD errida where there are $2^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{m}$ icro-states of energies E each chosen independently from a suitable distribution. The REM also arises when one considers the $p!1$ lim it ofp-spin interaction $m$ ean eld spin glasses 141,1 $C$ ayley trees w ith random bond or site disorder [16]. A though in the directed polym er problem there are correlations betw een paths, these correlations are weak and the resulting them odynam ics is also REM -like. In this paper we w ill show that this REM like behavior is enhanced in the ROM modelon increasing above $=1=2$.

At a m ore technical level, the problem of averaging over the $O\left(\mathbb{N}\right.$ ) disorder was solved by $M$ arinari et al [1] $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$ by transposing results of Itzykson and Zuber [1] (based on generating function techniques), from random $m$ atrix theory. For com pleteness we also give a sim ple physical (though not rigorous) re-derivation of these averaging results.

## II. AVERAGINGOVER THE D ISORDER

$W$ e consider the partition function for a $m$ odelw ith $G$ aussian spins $w$ ith a random interaction $m$ atrix $J$ with density of eigenvalues denoted by ( ). The partition function at $=1$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z={ }_{i}^{Z} \mathrm{XS}_{\mathrm{i}} \exp @ \frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{ij}} S_{\mathrm{i}} S_{j} \quad \overline{2}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2 \mathrm{~A}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The partition function $m$ ay be explicitly evaluated, as in the case of the $p=2$ spherical spin glass $m$ odel [1] $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$, by passing to the basis of eigenvalues of the $m$ atrix $J$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z={ }^{Z} Y \text { dS } \exp \frac{1}{2}^{X} \quad S^{2} \quad \overline{2}^{X} S^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The G aussian integrals are easily perform ed yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=(2)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{F}\right.} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (Z)=\frac{N}{2} \ln (2) \frac{1}{2}^{X} \ln (\quad) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A veraging over the disorder we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{\overline{\ln (Z)}}{N}=\frac{1}{2} \ln (2) \quad \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d \quad() \ln (\quad) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e will now repeat the sam e calculation of $g$ using the replica method. O ne replicates the system $n$ tim es, where we shall consider the lim it n! 0:
$w$ here $a=1 ; \quad ; n$ are replica indioes. In a $m$ odel $w$ here the interaction $m$ atrix is chosen to give an extensive free energy, we expect that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp ^{0} \frac{1}{2}_{i j}^{X}{ }_{J_{i j}}^{X^{n}} S_{i}^{a} S_{j}^{a A}=\exp \quad \frac{N}{2} \operatorname{TrG}(Q)+n: e . t: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Tr}$ indicates the $m$ atricial trace over the $P$ arisi order param eter $m$ atrix $Q_{a b}=\frac{1}{N}{ }^{P}{ }_{i} S_{i}^{a} S_{i}^{b}$, and the term $n$.e.t. denotes non extensive term s . T he idea of the calculation that follow s is to calculate g using the replica m ethod and then extract $G$ by com paring the result of th is replica calculation $w$ ith the result (13).
$O$ ne has therefore for a generic $G$

$$
\overline{Z^{n}} \quad \begin{gather*}
\mathrm{Z}  \tag{16}\\
\mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{a} \\
\mathrm{dS}_{i}^{a} \exp @ \frac{N}{2} \operatorname{TrG}(Q) \\
\sum_{i ; a}^{\mathrm{X}} \underset{S_{i}^{a 2} A}{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

We im pose the constraint $N Q_{a b}={ }^{P}{ }_{i} S_{i}^{a} S_{i}^{b} w$ ith a Fourier representation of the delta function to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& d{ }_{a b d Q}^{a b} \exp (\mathbb{N} S(Q ;))  \tag{17}\\
& a ; b
\end{align*}
$$

where the action $S(Q ;)$ over the order param eters $Q$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(Q ;)=\frac{1}{2}[\operatorname{TrG}(Q)+\operatorname{TrQ} \quad \operatorname{Tr} \ln (+I)+\mathrm{n} \ln (2)] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The saddle point equations $@ S=@ a b=0$ yield the relation $Q=(+I)^{1}$ thus giving the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\ln \overline{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}}}{\mathrm{nN}}=\frac{1}{2} \ln (2)+\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{n}} \operatorname{extr}_{Q}[\operatorname{TrQ} \quad \operatorname{TrG}(Q) \quad \operatorname{Tr} \ln (Q)] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where extro indicates that the function in the square brackets is evaluated at an extrem al or stationary point. For integer $n$ this extrem alvalue is of course the $m$ axim um, how ever in the lim it $n!0$ it is often the $m$ in $m$ alvalue that should be taken. T he nature of the stationary point chosen depends on the stability analysis of the $H$ essian $m$ atrix at that point.
$W$ e now consider what form of ansatz one should $m$ ake for $Q$ in the variationalproblem contained in Eq. (19) . The physical nature of the problem $m$ akes it clear that the ansatz should be replica sym $m$ etric, the system $m$ in im izes its energy on condensing near the $m$ axim aleigenvalues of the $m$ atrix $J$ and there is no frustration. W e $m$ ake the ansatz $Q=q_{0} I+q U$ where $U_{a b}=1$ for all $a, b$. $M$ aking use of the fact that $U^{2}=n U$, in the lim it $n!0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
g & =\lim _{n!} \frac{\ln \overline{\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)}}{\mathrm{nN}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \ln (2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{extr}_{\mathrm{q}_{0} ; q}\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
\left(q_{0}+q\right) & G(q) & \mathrm{qG}^{0}\left(q_{0}\right) & \ln (q) & \left.\frac{q}{q_{0}}\right]
\end{array}\right. \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

The stationarity condition with respect to $q$ yields $G^{0}\left(q_{0}\right) \quad+\frac{1}{q_{0}}=0$ which then gives

$$
g=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \ln (2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{extr}_{q_{0}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { q } \tag{21}
\end{array} \quad G(q) \quad \ln (q)\right]
$$

 square brackets in Eq. (1'd) clearly possesses an $O(\bar{n})$ invariance which is a consequence of the $O(N)$ invariance of the original problem before the disorder average is carried out. T he action (19) can therefore be w ritten in term s of the eigenvalues of the $m$ atrix of $Q a b$, which by com parison $w$ ith Eq. (2 $\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) must correspond to the possible values of


$$
\min _{q_{0}}\left[q_{0} \quad G(\mathbb{q}) \quad \ln (\mathbb{q})\right]=1+\quad \mathrm{d} \quad() \ln (\quad)
$$

The function
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
f()=1+\quad d \quad() \ln (\quad) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

is clearly concave for $>\mathrm{max}$, where m ax is the largest eigenvalue of the interaction m atrix J . H ence the right hand side of Eq. (22) has the form of a Legendre transform which can now be inverted to give the result

$$
G(z)=\operatorname{extr}\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
z & Z & & \\
& d & () \ln ( & ) \tag{24}
\end{array}\right] \quad \ln (z) \quad 1
$$

or explicitly
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=z \quad(z) \quad d \quad() \ln (\quad(z) \quad) \quad \ln (z) \quad 1 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $z$ ) is given by the solution to

$$
z=\frac{Z}{(z)}
$$

The concavity of $f()$ furtherm ore assures the uniqueness of $(z)$ and hence the annealed calculation (w ith $n=1$ replicas) is equivalent to the quenched calculation (with $n=0$ replicas). Hence the extrem um taken in Eq. (2 $\mathbf{2}^{4}$ ), should be a $m$ inim um. C onsequently we obtain the nal result,

Z

$$
G(z)=m \text { in }\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
z & d & () \ln ( & ) \tag{27}
\end{array}\right] \ln (z) \quad 1
$$

$T$ his result can be show $n$ to be identical to that used by $M$ arinariet al [1] $]$ w ho transposed the results of Itzykson and Zuber [1] $\left.]_{-1}^{\prime}\right]$ for integrals over unitary $m$ atrices to integrals over orthogonalm atrices. $W$ e recall brie $y$ the prescription
of $[1]=1]$ in the form adapted to the de nition of the H am ittonian used here (there is a di erence of de nition by a factor of 2). In the $m$ ethod of [13] $G(z)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{((t z) \quad 1)}{t} d t \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)={ }^{Z} d \quad\left(\frac{1}{1 \quad j(z)}\right. \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $j(z)$ given by the solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=j(z)^{Z} \quad d \quad\left(\frac{1}{1 \quad j(z)}\right. \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

 that $(z)=z=j(z)=\bar{z}(z)$. When $z \quad 1$ one has the solution $(z) \quad 1=z$, or $j(z) \quad z$ from Eq. ${ }^{\prime}(2,6)$. In both prescriptions this yields (as it should), $G(0)=0$. O ne also has that $(0)=1$, thus di erentiating Eq. (281) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}^{0}(\mathrm{z})=(\mathrm{z}) \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{z}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the sam e equation as obtained on di erentiating our result Eq . ( $\overline{2}_{2} \overline{7}_{1}$ ). The equivalence of the two averaging results is thus dem onstrated. O ne of the advantages w ith the derivation of the averaging form ula derived here is that it has a variational form.
$H$ ere we shall give som e speci c exam ples of $G(z)$ for som $e w e l l$ know $n$ models and others we will study in this paper.
$T$ he Sherrington $K$ irkpatrick $m$ odel: $T$ he rst exam ple to consider is the Sherrington $-K$ irkpatrick $m$ odel, for which the function $G$ is known by sim ply averaging over the independent $G$ aussian elem ents of $J: G(z)=$ $z^{2}=2$. W e shall show how to get this result from the form alism developed above.
From Eq. (2-6):

$$
\begin{align*}
z & =\frac{1}{2}^{Z_{2}}{ }_{2}^{2} \frac{p \overline{4} \overline{q^{2}}}{(z)}  \tag{32}\\
& =\frac{(z) \frac{(z)^{2} \quad 4}{(z)}}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=z+\frac{1}{z} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives $G(z)=z^{2}=2$ by using Eq. ( $\left.3 \bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{h}}+1 \quad(\quad+1)^{2} 4^{\frac{1}{2}^{i}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to this equation tums out to be surprisingly sim ple and is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{}{1} \mathrm{z}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{z}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain integrating Eq. ( $\mathbf{B L}_{1}^{1}$ ) gives

$$
G(z)=\quad \ln (1 \quad z)
$$

TheroM : In the ROM Eq. $\cdot_{-1}^{-1}(\underline{1}, 6)$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{1}{1}+\frac{1}{+1} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1 \quad(1+4 z(m+z))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2 z} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=2$ 1. The solution of should alw ays be such that $>m$ ax hence we take the positive root in the above equation. T he subsequent integration of Eq. ( $3 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) then gives

$$
\begin{align*}
G(z)= & \frac{1}{2}(1+4 z(m+z))^{\frac{1}{2}}+m \ln (1+4 z(m+z))^{\frac{1}{2}}+2 z+m \\
& \ln (1+4 z(m+z))^{\frac{1}{2}}+1+2 m z \quad m \ln (m+1) \quad 1 \quad \ln (2) \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $m=0$ yields the sym $m$ etric case $=1=2$ [1]-1]. For this special case, the partition function $m$ ay be com puted directly by using the $O(\mathbb{N})$ invariance [1d].
The sem i-square law : The sem i-square $m$ odel is one $w$ ith eigenvahes distributed uniform ly betw een 1 and 1 and hence ()$=1=2$ for $2[1 ; 1]$. In this case the Eq. ${ }_{2}^{[ }(2,6)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{+1}{1} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\ln \frac{\sinh (z)}{z} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

III. THEGENERALCASE
A. Representations of the Saddle Point A ction

W e repeat the precedent calculation for an Ising spin $H$ am iltonian of form given in Eq. (11). U sing the sam e technique as the previous section, after a little algebra, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\ln \overline{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\operatorname{extr}_{\ell} ; \mathrm{S} \quad Q ;\right] \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
S \quad Q ;]=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{TrG}(Q)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{TrQ}+\ln ^{4} T_{S_{S_{a}}} \exp @ \frac{1}{2}_{a ; b} \quad \begin{gather*}
2 \\
{ }_{a b} S_{a} S_{b} A 5
\end{gather*}
$$

this is the general form used in [1] $\left.{ }_{1}^{1}\right]$. H ow ever, as the form of $G$ is in general rather com plicated, one $m$ ay use the variational representation of Eq. (271), introducing an additional order param eter $m$ atrix $R$ to $w$ rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\ln \overline{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\operatorname{extr}_{Q} ; ; \mathrm{R} \quad Q ; ; R\right] \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S \text { Q; ;R] }=\frac{-1}{2} \operatorname{TrQR} \quad \frac{1}{2} \underset{2}{\mathrm{Tr}} \mathrm{~d} \quad(\mathrm{O}) \ln (\mathrm{R} \quad) \quad \underset{0}{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trln}(Q)} \frac{\mathrm{n}}{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} T r Q+\ln ^{4} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}}} \exp { }^{@} \frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad{ }_{a b} S_{a} S_{b} A 5 \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he saddle point equation $@ S=Q Q=0$ yields the relation $=Q^{1} \quad R$, this leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\ln \overline{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\operatorname{extr}_{\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{R}] \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[Q ; R]=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} d \quad() \ln (R \quad) \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \ln (Q)+\ln ^{4} T_{S_{\mathrm{a}}} \exp @ \frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}} \quad R_{a b} \quad Q \operatorname{l}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{1} \quad S_{a} S_{b} A 5 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he saddle point equations for this action $y$ ield

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{c d}=\frac{T_{S_{a}} S_{c} S_{d} \exp \frac{1}{2}^{P} \quad \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} \quad R_{a b} \quad Q_{a b}^{1} S_{a} S_{b}}{T_{S_{a}} \exp \frac{1}{2}^{P} \quad R_{a ; b} \quad Q_{a b}^{1} S_{a} S_{b}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
Q={ }^{Z} d \quad()(R \quad)^{1}
$$

$T$ he problem $m$ ay also be form ulated purely in term $s$ of the $P$ arisi overlap $m$ atrix $Q$. In this version one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\ln \overline{Z^{n}}}{N}=\operatorname{extr}_{Q} S Q\right] \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

Here we can show that if one uses the density of eigenvalues for the $S K, S \mathbb{M}$ SK or $H$ op eld model in the above form ula E q. (5든), the saddle point action for the corresponding $m$ odel is reproduced. A ny e ects due to correlations betw een eigenvalues presum ably only show up as nite size corrections.
B. The Replica Sym m etric and A nnealed C ases

W e start by com puting the annealed free energy which presum ably is the correct free energy at su ciently high tem peratures. In the annealed case, that is $n=1$, the free energy is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{ann}}=\frac{\ln (2)}{\frac{1}{2} G()} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the entropy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{ann}}=\ln (2)+\frac{1}{2} G() \quad \overline{2}^{0}() \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the replica sym $m$ etric $(\mathbb{R})$ ansatz $Q_{a b}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & q\end{array}\right) a b+q$, where $\quad$ is the $K$ ronecker sym bol, and the action reads to order $n$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\quad{ }_{1}^{1} \frac{d z}{2} e^{\frac{z^{2}}{2}} \ln 2 \cosh \quad z^{p} \overline{q G}^{\infty}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & q
\end{array}\right) \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

and the derivative of $S_{R S}[q]$ w ith respect to $q$ is

$$
\left.\left.\frac{d S_{R S}[q]}{d q}=\frac{2}{2}\left[G^{\infty}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
(1 & q
\end{array}\right)\right) \quad q G^{\infty}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & q
\end{array}\right)\right]\right]_{1}^{Z_{1}} \sum_{1}^{P_{2}} e^{\frac{z^{2}}{2}} \tanh ^{2} \quad z^{p} \overline{q G}{ }^{\infty}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & q \tag{55}
\end{array}\right)
$$

There are tw o replica sym $m$ etric saddle point equations

$$
\left.\left.G^{\infty}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & q
\end{array}\right)\right)=q G^{\infty 00}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & q \tag{56}
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
q=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d z}{2} e^{\frac{z^{2}}{2}} \tanh ^{2} \quad z^{p} \overline{q G}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & q \tag{57}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The rst solution is unphysical $[\overline{-1}]$ and thus $q$ is given by Eq. $\left[5 \bar{T}_{1}\right)$. If we look for a second order phase transition, expanding near $q=0$, we nd that a continuous non-zero solution can appear at $T_{c}=1={ }_{c}$ where $c$ is determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{c}^{2} G^{\infty}\left({ }_{c}\right)=1 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$


Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \frac{()}{(\mathrm{c})^{2}}=1 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence ${ }_{c}=m a x$ where $m$ ax is the largest eigenvalue of $J . W$ e thus nd that $T_{c}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}}=\mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{~d} \frac{()}{\max } \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforw ard to see that the possibility of having a nite tem perature phase transition in this Ising spin m odel depends on the existence of a nite tem perature phase transition in the corresponding spherical model. In this case the critical tem perature $T_{c}$ of the two transitions are the sam e. The Eq. ${ }^{\left(60_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$ ) determ ining $T_{c}$ show $s$ that if
( $)=(\mathrm{max} \quad)$ is integrable over the support of () then $T$ is nite, if it is not integrable then $T_{C}=0$. H ence if
( ) ( m ax ) near_max then $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=0$ for 0 but a nite tem perature second order phase transition is possible for $>0$. From Eq. (5d) we also see that if $>2$ then the phase transition can be continuous but of higher than second order.

The above results can be further veri ed in a $m$ ore general than replica sym $m$ etric context by carrying out a Landau expansion. $W$ riting $Q_{a b}=a b+!a b$, the low est order expansion around $!=0$ of Eq. ( 5 In ${ }_{1}^{\prime}$ ) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[Q]=\frac{n}{2} G()+\frac{2}{2} G^{\infty}\left(, \quad{ }^{2} G{ }^{\infty}() \quad 1 T r!^{2}+o\left(!^{2}\right)\right. \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nature of the phase transition depends on the coe cient of $T r!^{2}$ in the expansion above. This coe cient only vanishes at ${ }^{2} G{ }^{\infty}()=1$, which agrees $w$ ith the previous de nition of $T_{C}$. If $T_{c} 0$, then a second order phase transition occurs at $T_{c}$, and the subsequent replica sym $m$ etry breaking is determ ined by the term $s$ of higher order in ! in the expansion Eq. (6II). W e note that the breaking of the $O(n)$ sym $m$ etry in replica space should favor replica sym $m$ etry breaking [13

In addition if we look at the TAP equations [2d in an extemal eld, the linear expansion in the param agnetic phase gives the follow ing equations for the $m$ agnetizations $m_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}=h_{i}+X_{i j} J_{i j} m_{j} \quad G^{0}() m_{i} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence the staggered susceptibility in the direction of an eigenvalue is

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\overline{1}+G^{0}()  \tag{63}\\
& =1 \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

from Eq. (311]). H ence, the staggered susceptibility for the $m$ axim um eigenvalue $m$ ax diverges at the critical tem perature, as in the SK m odel $\left.\overline{R_{i}}\right]$.

W em ay now classify the phase transitions in the various m odels discussed here sim ply by exam ining the behavior of the density of eigenvalues ( ) at its upper band edge.

SK model (Eq.', (3)): = $=2$ \{ second order.
Hop eld $>0$ (Eq. $\cdot 1$ (6) and Eq..$\left._{1}^{1}(7)\right)$ ): $=1=2$ \{ second order
Hop eld $<0,>1$ (Eq. $\left.{ }_{[ }^{-1}(7)\right)$ : $=1=2$ \{ second order.
Hop eld $<0,<1$ (Eq.íl6)): delta function at $\mathrm{max}_{\mathrm{m}}$ \{ rst order
SIM SK (H op eld at $=1$ ) $<0$ (Eq. $\left.\underline{1}_{1}^{-1}(4)\right):=1=2\{$ rst order.
ROM (Eq.íl(8)): delta function at $\mathrm{max}^{\text {\{ }}$ r rst order.
Sem i-square m odel: $=0$ \{ rst order.

$$
\text { C. O ne Step R eplica Sym } m \text { etry B reak ing }
$$

In a variety ofm odels such as the SK m odel, the H op eld m odel, or the ROM, either the R S entropy or the annealed one is negative at low tem perature. In this case, replica sym $m$ etry has to be broken. Indeed, the glass transition $m$ ay be attributed to the existence of an extensive num ber ofpure states. The com plexity of these pure states can be com puted w thin the follow ing $1 R S B$ ansatz and $m$ 1. Inside the blocks $Q_{a b}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & q\end{array}\right) a b+q$. T hen the action reduces to:
where:

$$
\left.=\bar{m}\left(G^{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & q+m q)
\end{array}\right) \quad G\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & q \tag{66}
\end{array}\right)\right)\right)
$$

Expanding $S[q ; m$ ] around $m=1$ gives:

$$
S[q ; m]=\quad f_{n n}+(m \quad 1) V(q)+o\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & m \tag{67}
\end{array}\right)^{2}
$$

The extrem um of the e ective potentialV (q) at $q=0$ contributes to the param agnetic value $f_{a n n}$, whereasa local $m$ inim um at non zero $q$ corresponds to the entropy of pure states. The potential is easily com puted:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(\mathrm{q})=\frac{@ S[\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{m}]}{@ \mathrm{~m}}_{\mathrm{m}=1}  \tag{68}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}(G((1 \quad q)) \quad G()+q G())+\frac{1+q}{2}  \tag{69}\\
& e^{\overline{2}}{ }_{1}^{Z} \frac{d z}{2} e^{\frac{z^{2}}{2}} \cosh \left({ }^{p-} z\right) \ln \cosh \left({ }^{p-} z\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where:

$$
=\left(G^{0}() \quad G^{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & q \tag{70}
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

$T$ his is exactly the expression for the annealed complexity of the solutions of the TAP equations found in "d].
$T$ he dynam ical transition occurs when the num ber of pure states becom es extensive. T he dynam ical transition tem perature $T_{D}$ and the dynam ical overlap $q$ are determ ined from the equations: $\left.V^{\infty}\left(q_{1}\right)=V^{0}\left(q_{D}\right)=0\left(q_{D}\right) 0\right)$. $T$ he static transition occurs when the num ber of pure states is no longer extensive, so the static transition tem perature $T_{K}$ and the static overlap $q_{s}$ are determ ined from the equations: $V\left(q_{S}\right)=V^{0}\left(q_{S}\right)=0\left(q_{S} \in 0\right)$.
$T$ he rst derivative of the potential is:

$$
\left.V^{0}(q)=\frac{2}{2} G^{\infty}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & q \tag{71}
\end{array}\right)\right)(q \quad(q))
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=e^{\bar{z}^{2}}{ }_{1}^{P} \frac{d z}{2} e^{\frac{z^{2}}{2}} \tanh ^{2}\left({ }^{p}-z\right) \cosh \left({ }^{p}-z\right) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 1: Various tem peratures arising in the $R$ andom $O$ rthogonalM odel as a function of .
and the second derivative is:

$$
\mathrm{V}^{\infty}(\mathrm{q})=\frac{2}{2} \mathrm{G}^{\infty}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
(1 & \mathrm{q}))(1 & \left.\left.{ }^{0}(\mathrm{q})\right) \quad \frac{3}{2} G^{\infty}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & \mathrm{q}
\end{array}\right)\right)(\mathrm{q} \quad(\mathrm{q})) \tag{73}
\end{array}\right.
$$

A fter som e algebra, one nds for $q$ such that $V^{0}(q)=0$ :

$$
\left.\left.\left.V^{\infty}(q)=\frac{{ }^{2}}{2} G^{\infty}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
(1 & q
\end{array}\right)\right) \quad 1 \quad{ }^{2} G^{\infty}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & q \tag{74}
\end{array}\right)\right) e^{\bar{Z}_{1}}{ }_{1} P^{d z} e^{\frac{z^{2}}{2}} \frac{1}{\cosh ^{3}\left(Z^{2}\right.}\right)
$$

The param agnetic solution $q=0$ is alw ays a stationary point of $V(q)$ and the second derivative of $V$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\infty}(0)=\frac{2}{2} G^{\infty}\left(\text { ) } 1 \quad{ }^{2} G{ }^{\infty}()\right. \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, this quantity $m$ ay have severalpossible behaviors, depending on $c$
(i) ${ }_{c}=1$. O ne sees from Eq. (2G) that for all tem peratures ${ }^{2} G{ }^{\infty}()<1$, and then $V^{\infty}(0)>0$. H ence either the only localm inim um of the e ective potential is at $q=0$, or there is another solution appearing at $T_{D}>0$ where the system undergoes a dynam ical transition, w th a non zero dynam ical overlap 9 .
(ii) $c_{c}=1=T_{c}<1$. From Eq. [2-1 $),{ }^{2} G{ }^{\infty}()<1$ if $<c$ and ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}{ }^{\infty}$ ( ) 1 if $c$. Here, the stationary point $q=0$ becom es unstable at $T=T_{c}$ so there is no dynam icaltransition below. $T_{c}$ (one can not exchude a dynam icaltransition at $T_{D} \in 0$, but as expected $\left.T_{C} T_{D}\right)$. W e rem ark from Eq. (741) that if ${ }^{0}$ is convex, then there is no discontinuous dynam ical transition and the system undergoes a classical spin glass transition at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$.

This 1R SB calculation can be done for the $R$ andom $O$ rthogonalM odel, where a discontinuous dynam icaltransition is expected. The dynam ical and static tem peratures $T_{D}$ and $T_{K}$ arising in the $R$ andom $O$ rthogonal $M$ odel as a function of are show $n$ in $F$ ig. (11). A lso show $n$ is the tem perature $T_{A}$ at which the annealed entropy disappears. It was noted by $M$ arinariet al that for the ROM at $=1=2 T_{A}$ is very close to $T_{K}$. Indeed we see that for all $1=2$ this is the case. This $m$ eans that the statics of these $m$ odels for $>1=2$ is very close to that of the $R$ andom Energy $M$ odel (REM). This analogy is further supported by the values of $q$ in the one step solution which are already very close to 1 at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}$.

|  | sem i-square |  | ROM $=0: 6$ |  | ROM $=0: 8$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{~N}=30$ | $\mathrm{~N}=200$ | $\mathrm{~N}=30$ | $\mathrm{~N}=200$ | $\mathrm{~N}=30$ | $\mathrm{~N}=200$ |  |
| num ber of sam ples | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |  |
| num ber of runs | 200 | 20 | 200 | 20 | 200 | 20 |  |
| cooling rate (MCS) | 5 | $10^{5}$ | 5 | $10^{5}$ | $10^{6}$ | $10^{6}$ | 2 |

TABLE I: P aram eters used in the di erent M onte C arlo sim ulations.

## IV . NUMERICALSIMULATIONS

In this section we describe the num ericalsim ulations carried out to test our theoretical results. W e shall concentrate on the case of the $R$ andom O rthogonalM odel at di erent values of and the sem i-square $m$ odel, which are system $s$ exhibiting the structuralglass transition.
$T$ he num erical generation of the interaction $m$ atrices $J_{i j}$ is carried out as follow $s$. $W$ e take a random orthonorm al basis of $x^{(k)} 1 \quad k \quad N$ of $R^{j}$ and construct $J_{i j}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i j}=X_{k} X_{i}^{(k)} X_{j}^{(k)} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, in the case of a continuous density ofeigenvalues ( ) each $k$ is draw $n$ independently from the distribution w ith probabillty density ( ). In the case of the ROM, in order to reduce sam ple to sample uctuations, $N$ eigenvalues +1 and $N(1 \quad)$ eigenvalues 1 are random ly assigned to each eigenvector. A sm entioned above, this has the form of a H op eld m odel $\left[\underline{p}_{1}^{1}\right]$ but where the pattems $\mathrm{x}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ are strictly orthogonal, and not sim ply statistically orthogonal, and where each pattem $x^{(k)}$ is weighted by $k$. The construction of a statistically $O(\mathbb{N})$ invariant basis $x^{(k)}$ is carried out by choosing for the $x^{x^{(k)}}$ the (norm alized) eigenvectors of the statistically $O(\mathbb{N})$ invariant sym $m$ etric $G$ aussian $m$ atrix $K$ with $K_{i j}={ }_{i j}=\bar{N}$, each $i_{i j}$ being $G$ aussian ofm ean 0 and variance 1 .
$W$ e have carried out two types of num erical sim ulations. M onte $C$ arlo sim ulations on system s of size $\mathrm{N}=200$ were perform ed, in order to validate the high tem perature predictions of the theory. Below the dynam ical transition tem perature $T_{D}$ it is im practicable to equilibrate the system for these large system sizes, how ever one $m$ ay estim ate the value of $T_{D}$ by exam ining at which tem perature the $m$ easured results di er from the annealed calculation. T he results of our calcu lations are com patible w ith these estim ations. In each of the $F$ igs. ( $m$ easured energy per spin for a system of size 200. T he corresponding cooling rate, num ber of sam ples and num ber of runs are shown table ( $(\underset{\sim}{7})$. The equilibration time constituted 90 peroent of the time spent at each tem perature and the $m$ easurem ents were $m$ ade during the last 10 percent. A lso show $n$ on $F$ igs. (2 $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{D}}$ (vertical dotted line) and the value of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}$ (vertical dashed line). W e see that for the system sizes studied here, the departure from the annealed energy and the onset of the characteristic, alm ost at energy plateau, is in good agreem ent $w$ th the calculated value of $T_{D}$.

For system sizes of $\mathrm{N}=30$ spins, the energy can be calculated by exact enum eration over all the m icro-states. The results for the energy can be com pared w th those of dynam ical sim ulations and the theoretical predictions. For the dynam ical sim ulations on system s of size $N=30$ the cooling rate, the num ber of sam ples and the num ber of runs are also indicated in table ( $\underset{\sim}{+}$ ). The exact enum eration averages where taken over at least 20 sam ples. A lso shown in $F$ igs. $\left[\underline{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}-1 l^{\prime}\right)\right.$ are the results of these sim ulations. $W$ e see that the results of the exact enum eration, even for the sm all system sizes used here, are in excellent agreem ent w ith the theoretical predictions. For the system with $=0: 6$ ( F ig. $\left.{ }_{(21)}^{\prime}\right)$ ) we see that the plateau in the static energy is com patible $w$ ith the calculated value of $T_{K}$ but the $M$ onte $C$ arlo
 prediction is that $T_{K} \quad T_{D} . W$ e see that the exact enum eration result is in perfect agreem ent w ith the annealed energy dow $n$ to energies around $T_{K}$ (show $n$ enlarged in the gure inset). $T$ he $M$ onte $C$ arlo results for system $s$ of size $N=30$ are how ever still clearly out of equilibrium. In $F$ ig. ( $\underline{(1 I}^{\prime}$ ) are show $n$ the results for the sem i square $m$ odel. W e see that the dynam ic and K auzm ann tem peratures are very close, how ever here the results of the M onte C arlo sim ulations and exact enum eration for the system sof size $N=30$ are $m$ uch closer, the dynam ically m easured energies are how ever still slightly low er that the static ones m easured by exact enum eration.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have exam ined the statics of a class of fully connected generalized random orthogonalm odels. $W$ e have show $n$ how the average over the $O(\mathbb{N})$ disorder can be carried out using a sim ple replica $m$ ethod recovering


FIG. 2: Energies per spin for the ROM with $=0: 6: M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations for system $s$ of size $N=200$ (squares) and $\mathrm{N}=30$ (circles), exact enum eration for $\mathrm{N}=30$ (long dashed line), annealed (solid line). A lso show n are the calculated values of $T_{D}$ (vertical dotted line) and $T_{K}$ (vertical dashed line).


FIG. 3: Energies per spin for the ROM with $=0: 8$ : M onte $C$ arlo sim ulations for system $s$ of size $N=200$ (squares) and $\mathrm{N}=30$ (circles), exact enum eration for $\mathrm{N}=30$ (long dashed line), annealed (solid line). A lso show n are the calculated values of $T_{D}$ (verticaldotted line) and $T_{K}$ (verticaldashed line). In the inset is show $n$ the low energy behavior of the annealed energy and the energy calculated by exact enum eration.
the results of random $m$ atrix theory. This $m$ ethod has the usefulproperty of giving a variational form for the result. D epending on the behavior of the density of eigenvalues at the band edges, we have seen that one either obtains a classical spin glass transition or a structural glass transition. O ur results suggest that in the therm odynam ic lim it only the density of eigenvalues is im portant for the statics of these m odels. This classi cation should be useful in a w ide range of m odels. It was noted that the ROM w ith a bim odal distribution of eigenvalues behaves like a random energy $m$ odel for large values of , in agreem ent $w$ ith previous studies $w$ here it $w$ as show $n$ already to have very close to REM -like behavior at $=1=2$.

W e have carried out num erical sim ulations on the generalized form of the original $\operatorname{OM} \mathrm{m}$ odelwhich support our


F IG . 4: E nergies per spin for the sem i-square $m$ odel : M onte C arlo sim ulations for system s size $\mathrm{N}=200$ (squares) and $\mathrm{N}=30$ (circles), exact enum eration for $N=30$ (long dashed line), annealed (solid line). A lso shown are the calculated values of $T_{D}$ (vertical dotted line) and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}$ (verticaldashed line).
analytical calculations. Sim ulating $s m$ all system sizes via $M$ onte $C$ arlo dynam ics and by exact enum eration con m s the dynam icalnature of the transition occurring at $T_{D}$. Further questions arising form th is study w ill.be interesting to address. O ne can look at the num ber ofm etastable states in such system $s$ to better understand the geom etric reasons leading to the glassy behavior [2].]. A lso the fact that even $s m$ all system sizes stay out of equilibrium on num erically accessible tim e scales and the fact that they can be studied by exact enum eration $m$ eans that one $m$ ay study nite size e ects and hence activated processes on the dynam ical transition as proposed in [2].2. The form ulation of the saddle point action in Eq. [47") also allow s one to study the decom position of the Parisi overlap $m$ atrix $Q$ on the basis of eigenvectors of the problem, this $m$ ay give a m ore geom etric picture of the nature of the glassy phase of these m odels.
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