W rapping of a spherical colloid by a uid membrane

Markus Deserno¹ and Thomas Bickel^{1;2}

¹D epartm ent of Chem istry and Biochem istry, UCLA, Box 951569, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA and

²Department of Physics and Astronom y, UCLA, Box 951547, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

(D ated: D ecem ber 16, 2002)

We theoretically study the elastic deform ation of a uid mem brane induced by an adhering spherical colloidal particle within the fram ework of a Helfrich energy. Based on a full optimization of the mem brane shape we ind a continuous binding and a discontinuous envelopment transition, the latter displaying a potentially substantial energy barrier. A small gradient approximation permits mem brane shape and complex energy to be calculated analytically. While this only leads to a good representation of the complex geometry for very small degrees of wrapping, it still gives the correct phase boundaries in the regime of low tension.

I. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Fluid lipid bilayers are one of the key structural elements of all living cells. On the one hand they partition and thereby organize the com plex hierarchy of intracellular biochem ical environm ents. On the other hand they provide controlled transport between neighboring com partm ents as well as the extracellular space. These transport mechanisms span quite a large range of particle sizes, all the way from sub-nanom eter ions (which cross the membrane via protein channels) up to micron sized objects (like bacteria), which are engulfed in largescale m em brane deform ations occurring during phagocytosis [1]. Generally, such events require m etabolic energy and are meticulously controlled by the cell. However, there are also cases where they happen passively as a consequence of generic physical interactions, for instance a su ciently strong adhesion between the particle about to be transported and the membrane. An important and well studied example is provided by the route along which many animal viruses leave their host cells, namely, via the wrapping and subsequent pinch-o ofa pre-assembled viral nucleoprotein capsid at the plasma m em brane [2]. O ther exam ples, in which m em brane deform ations following binding to a small object are crucial, include certain gene transfection systems, in which DNA is complexed by positively charged polymers and the resulting condensed globule becom es internalized by the cell in an adhesion-driven invagination process [3]; or a host of m odern biophysical experim ental techniques, in which for instance microbeads [4] or AFM tips [5] are in contact with a membrane and become partially or fully w rapped.

These biological examples are complemented by more physically oriented experiments on the adsorption of micrometer sized beads onto model lipid bilayers. Studies have for instance focused on the wrapping of a latex bead by a vesicle [6], or on the interaction between several beads via membrane mediated forces [7]. For these non- at substrates, it is unfortunately dicult to extract detailed information about the membrane shape close to contact, especially for small colloids for which the bending contribution in the wrapping balance becomes more

important [8]. It is therefore desirable to have a better theoretical understanding of how physical parameters like bending sti ness, lateral tension, or adhesion strength control the shape of the com plex and under which circum stances com plete w rapping ensues. This question has been partially addressed in a recent work that considers the adhesion of a cylindrical rod to a m em brane and estim ates the force required for unbinding [9]. How ever, the description is limited to the regime of small wrapping, since it relies on a sm all gradient expansion of the elastic energy. In the present paper we determ ine the com plete structuralw rapping behavior of a spherical colloid by num erically solving the full nonlinear di erential equations which describe the shape of an elastic uid membrane under a prescribed lateral tension adhering to a sphericalparticle with som e given strength. These exact results are then compared to analytical calculations employing a sm all gradient approxim ation. We nd that in the latter case the shape of the complex is only predicted correctly for very sm all degrees of w rapping. How ever, the phase boundary toward envelopm ent is still accurately represented over a som ew hat larger range of penetrations, essentially in the regime of low tension.

II. GENERAL ENERGY CONSIDERATIONS

W e consider a spherical colloid of radius a adsorbed on a deform able surface, as depicted in Fig. 1. Follow – ing Helfrich [10], the elastic energy associated with som e m em brane deform ation is, per unit area,

$$e_{H} = + \frac{1}{2} (c_{1} + c_{2})^{2}$$
; (1)

with c_1 and c_2 the local principal curvatures, the bending modulus, and the lateral tension. From the two elastic constants we can construct a length, , according to

$$r - :$$
 (2)

For instance, with a tension of '0.02 dyn/cm (a value commonly found for cell membranes [11]) and a typical



FIG.1: Geometry of the wrapping complex and membrane parameterization. A membrane adheres partially and cylindrically symmetrically to a spherical colloid of radius a with a degree of wrapping given by $z = 1 \quad \cos \, .$ Due to the possibility of \overhangs" it is advantageous to parameterize the membrane by specifying the angle as a function of arc length s. The more direct choice of measuring the height h as a function of radial distance r remains how ever useful for the sm all gradient approximation.

bending modulus of ' $20 k_B T$ (where $k_B T$ is the thermal energy) we obtain ' 64 nm (which, incidentally, is about the same size as many viral nucleocapsids [12]). Mem brane deformations on a length scale smaller than

are mainly controlled by bending energy, while tension is predom inant on larger scales. In this study, we will restrict ourselves to the bending-dom inated low tension regime, a . . We will see that even then a comparatively low tension can play an important role.

A naturalparam eter specifying the coverage of the colloid by the membrane is the degree of wrapping $z = 1 \quad \cos$. It ranges from z = 0, when the colloid just touches the surface, up to z = 2, in the fully enveloped state. The equilibrium value of z results from the adhesion of the colloid, driven by a contact energy per unit area, w, being balanced by the requirement to bend the membrane as wellas the work of pulling excessmem brane toward the wrapping site against the prescribed lateral tension .

Energies pertaining to the adhering part can be calculated easily: The adhesion energy equals $2 a^2 zw$, while bending and tension contributions are found to be 4 z and $a^2 z^2$, respectively. The bending and tension energies of the free part of the mem brane are more di cult to evaluate, since they require the determ ination of the equilibrium membranepro le, a task that will be pursued below. Before solving the full variational problem, it is worth noting that the situation is greatly simpli ed for a membrane with no lateral tension. In this special case the equilibrium pro le is quickly seen to be a catenoid, a minim al surface with zero mean curvature. Hence, the only energy contributions stem from the wrapped part of the mem brane. We then nd that, for = 0, colloids do not adhere at low adhesion energy $w < w_c = 2 = a^2$, whereas full wrapping occurs above w_c, with no energy

barrier to be overcom e.

III. NONLINEAR SHAPE EQUATIONS

As a rst approach, it would be tem pting to approxim ate the energy of the free section of the mem brane by a phenom enological line energy. How ever, neither the relation between the line tension constant and the mem brane properties and would be known, nor is the implied dependency on the degree of wrapping correct. In order to draw indubitable conclusions, it is thus advisable to determ ine the exact mem brane pro le.

The energy $E_{\rm free}$ of the free m em brane is the surface integral over the local bending and tension contributions and is thus a functional of the shape. By solving the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations one obtains the \ground state" pro le and thereby its energy. M uch work along these lines has for instance led to a detailed understanding of vesicle conform ations [13]. In the present context, and using the param eterization indicated in Fig. 1, the shape equations can be written as

$$=$$
 $\frac{p}{2r}$ $\frac{\sin}{r}$; (3a)

$$\underline{r} = \cos ; \qquad (3b)$$

$$\underline{h} = \sin ; \qquad (3c)$$

$$\underline{p} = \frac{p}{r} \quad \underline{p}_{h} \cos + \frac{2r}{2} + p_{r} \sin ; \quad (3d)$$

$$p_r = \frac{p}{r} \frac{p}{4r} \frac{sin}{r} + \frac{2}{2}(1 \cos s);$$
 (3e)

$$p_{\rm h} = 0$$
: (3f)

The dot indicates the derivative with respect to the arc length s along the membrane prole, and the p's are the \momenta" canonically conjugate to the coordinates , r, and h. These equations are integrated numerically for an asymptotically at membrane that touches the colloid tangentially at a speci c point of detachment. The determination of the appropriate boundary conditions far from the colloid raises a few subtle technical questions, and we refer the reader to Refs. [14] and [15] for further details. From the numerical solution we nally obtain E free { and thus the total energy { as a function of the degree of wrapping z.

The structural phase diagram depicted in Fig.2 follows directly. We nd that the transition from the free to the partially wrapped state is continuous and occurs at the same value $w_c = 2 = a^2$ for any value of the tension. In contrast to that, an energy barrier separates the partially wrapped from the the fully enveloped state, rendering this transition discontinuous. The transition lines merge at a triple point (w_c ;0), as expected. Our approach also allows us to determ ine the height of the energy barrier (see Fig.3), which surprisingly originates predom inantly from tension. For = 0.02 dyn/cm (typical cellular tension [11]), a = 30 nm (capsid radius of Sem liki Forest V irus, an often studied example) and $= 20 \text{ k}_{\text{B}} \text{ T}$, the



FIG. 2: Structural wrapping phase diagram in the plane of adhesion constant w and lateral tension . The bold solid line indicates the discontinuous transition between partially and fully wrapped, and the short dashed lines are the spinodals belonging to it. The ne dotted line = w w_c indicates where the fully enveloped state has zero energy.



FIG. 3: Height of the energy barrier $E_{\rm barrier}$ as a function of reduced tension. The inset illustrates the shape of the function E (z) at the value of w where the transition from partial to full wrapping occurs and illustrates the de nition of the concom itant energy barrier.

barrier has the substantial value of $E_{barrier}$ 22 kg T, showing that it cannot be overcome by them al uctuations. This point is also illustrated in Fig. 2 by showing the two spinodal lines at which the barrier vanishes. Incidentally, starting with a fully enveloped state and reducing the adhesion w, the unw rapping-spinodal is crossed only once one is already in the unbound regime, i.e., the hysteresis would be so pronounced that one \skips" the partially wrapped region upon unbinding.

The energy of the free section of the membrane vanishes in the limit of full wrapping, z ! 2, which is reminiscent of the case of an ideal neck connecting two vesicles [16]. The reason is essentially that as the neck contracts, the necessary membrane back-bending must occur on a length scale much smaller than and is thus entirely dominated by the bending energy. But then the membrane will just assume the shape of a catenoid which, even though highly deformed, has zero mean curvature and thus does not cost any bending energy.

IV. SM ALL GRAD ENT EXPANSION, RIGOROUS RESULTS

The exact shape equations (3) are nonlinear and can only be solved numerically. In order to get analytical information about the triple point at $(w_c; 0)$, we use a single-valued (M onge-) parameterization of the surface pro le h(r), where r = (x; y) spans the at reference plane at h = 0. This representation does not allow for overhangs and therefore can only describe the rst stages of the wrapping process. A ssum ing moreover that m em brane de ections out of the horizontal remain small, i.e., jc hj 1, the energy of the free part of the mem brane is

$$E_{\text{free}} = \frac{d^2 r}{d^2 r} \frac{n}{2} r^2 h^2 + \frac{1}{2} (r h)^2 : \quad (4)$$

This quadratic expansion is valid only for small deform ations but it has the advantage to render analytical calculations tractable. The equilibrium prole arises from the stationarity condition $E_{\rm free} = h = 0$ and satis es the linear Euler-Lagrange equation

$$r^{2} r^{2} = h = 0$$
: (5)

The general solution of eq. (5) is $h(r) = h_1 + h_2 \ln (r=) + h_3 K_0 (r=) + h_4 I_0 (r=)$, with K_0 and I_0 the modi ed Bessel functions [17]. Far from the colloid, the energy density has to remain nite and integrable, so that $h_2 = h_4 = 0$. The integration constants h_1 and h_3 easily follow from the boundary conditions requiring the prole and its slope to be continuous at the point of detachment. The minimum energy of the functional in eq. (4) is then found to be

$$E_{\text{free}} = \frac{a}{1 k^2} \frac{k^3}{1 k^2} \frac{K_0 (ka=)}{K_1 (ka=)}$$
; (6)

where we introduced the abbreviation $k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{z(2 - z)}$.

The sm all gradient expansion and the exact m em brane proles are shown in Fig. 4 for dierent values of the detachment angle. As expected, the quality of the approximation declines as the degree of penetration increases (note that the parameterization itself fails much later, namely for z > 1). To further illustrate the discrepancy between the exact and the approximate solution, we plot in Fig. 5 the energy of the free part of the membrane.



FIG. 4: Exact membrane pro les (solid curves) and small gradient approximation (dashed curves) for two prescribed detachment angles = 30 and = 60 for the tension $a^2 = 0.1$.

The inset shows the energy dimension even the small gradient expansion and the nonlinear result. We see that the approximation is fairly reasonable for degrees of penetration up to z = 0.1. Beyond this value, one has to solve the full shape equation in order to give an accurate description of the deformation energy.

Nevertheless, the small-gradient expansion improves our understanding of the system, since it allows us to work out the asymptotic phase boundaries. We consider

rst the transition between the free and the partially w rapped state. For sm all degrees of penetration the total energy of the system can be expanded up to quadratic order in z, giving

$$E = a^{2} \quad 2z w \quad w_{c} +$$
(7)
$$z^{2} \quad 1 \quad 4 \quad 2 \ln \frac{z}{w_{c}} + O(z^{2}) ;$$

where = 0.5772::: is the Euler-M ascheroni constant [17]. For su ciently small z the quadratic term is negligible and w rapping sets in as soon as the prefactor of the linear term becomes negative. This con rm s the num erical nding that the initial w rapping transition is continuous and always takes place at w = w_c, no m atter w hat the value of the tension is.

Even though the nalstate of the second transition toward fullenvelopm ent cannot be described within a sm all gradient expansion, its energy is exactly known, since the free m em brane does not contribute to it. The location of this second transition can therefore also be predicted by equating the energy of the partially wrapped state, eq. (7), with the energy of the fully enveloped state, $E = 4 a^2$ (w) + 8 . Since this yields a transcendental equation, the relation between and w on the phase boundary cannot be expressed in terms of simple functions. How ever, the asymptotic behavior at zero tension, which remains quite accurate over the whole regime of low tension, is surprisingly simple: We nd that, up to a complicated but small logarithm ic correction, the transition is given by $= w w_c$ (see Fig. 2).



FIG.5: Energy E free of the free part of the mem brane for the tension $a^2 = 0.1$ as a function of the degree of wrapping, z. Solid, dashed, and dotted curves correspond to the full nonlinear solution, the sm all gradient approximation, and its quadratic expansion, respectively. The inset shows the relative error of the two sm all gradient expressions.

Unfortunately, the concomitant energy barrier cannot be obtained in small gradient expansion. Even if the transition toward envelopment takes place at small z, where the approximation stillworks, the barrier occurs at large penetrations, z > 1 (see e.g. inset of Fig. 3). This is even beyond the range of applicability of the M onge parameterization h = h(x;y).

V. DISCUSSION

The combination of both num erical an analytical approaches presented in this work has provided a complete description of the equilibrium wrapping behavior. The structure of the wrapping com plex, the transition lines in the wrapping diagram, and the barriers of the discontinuous envelopm ent boundary can be predicted, and the low tension regime can even be treated analytically. One particular consequence of the wrapping scenario is that colloid engulfm ent is extremely sensitive to the particle size. As long as the tension is low enough (< w=2will do), a large sphere is wrapped much more easily than a small one. This could be checked experimentally for instance by spreading a bilayer across a hole separating two com partm ents and adding a polydisperse colloidal solution to one side. If there exists som e generic attraction between the colloids and the bilayer, a population of bilayer-coated colloids should emerge in the other com partm ent with a di erent polydispersity distribution: The frequency of large colloids is signi cantly enhanced, and too sm all ones do not occur at all.

An important issue that we have not addressed here is the e ect of therm ally excited membrane uctuations. At very low tension, the undulations might prevent the beads from adhering to the membrane. An initial study of nite-size e ects on the Helfrich repulsion shows that the (entropic) barrier to overcome in order to reach the surface increases with particle size [18]. This would provide an interesting counterbalance to the tendency to engulf preferentially large spheres.

The level of description in this work is that of generic physicalm echanisms, but several examples mentioned in the introduction are taken from biology, where a host of di erent e ects offen occur at the same time. Notwithstanding this di culty, we believe it to be worthwhile to analyze these examples { in particular, viral budding { in terms of the mechanisms discussed here. For instance, the adhesion strength of viral capsids to mem branes can be modiled by changing the biochem istry or simply the chem ical potential of the binding proteins which in most cases are responsible for the attachment. Moreover, the mem brane tension of cells increases or decreases during times of heightened endo- or exocytosis activity, respectively, and is generally under active control of the cell [11]. Thus, processes like viral budding and its later counterpart of unwrapping (after infection of a di erent host cell and fusion with its membrane) invariably involve a movement in the structural phase diagram of Fig.2 along both axes. The concom itant \phase diagram predicted that way thus o er an understanding of the observed (un)wrapping processes in terms of the physical forces driving them.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e would like to thank B ill G elbart, Shelly T zlil, and A vinoam Ben-Shaulform any stim ulating discussions on the subject. M D also gratefully acknowledges nancial support of the G erm an Science Foundation under grant D e775/1-1.

- Lodish H., Berk A., Zipursky S.L., M atsudaira P., Baltimore D., and Damell J., M olecular CellBiology, Freem an & Company, New York (2000).
- [2] Garo H., Hewson R., and Opstelten D.-J.E., Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 62, 1171 (1998).
- [3] Boussif O., Lezoualc'h F., Zanta M. A., Mergny M. D., Scherm an D., Demeneix B., and Behr J.-P., Proc. Nat. A cad. Sci. 92, 7297 (1995).
- [4] CaspiA., Yeger O., Grosheva I., Bershadsky A.D., and Elbaum M., Biophys. J. 81, 1990 (2001).
- [5] Henderson R.M. and Oberleithner H., Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol. 278, F689 (2000).
- [6] Dietrich C., Angelova M. and Pouligny B., J. Phys. II (France) 7, 1651 (1997).
- [7] Koltover I., Radler J.O., and Sa nya C.R., Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1991 (1999).
- [8] Deserno M. and Gelbart W. M., J. Phys. Chem. B 106,

5543 (2002).

- [9] Boulbitch A., Europhys. Lett. 59, 910 (2002).
- [10] Helfrich W ., Z.Naturforsch. 28c, 693 (1973).
- [11] M orris C. E. and H om ann U., J. M em brane B iol. 179, 79 (2001).
- [12] Baker T. S., O Ison N. H., and Fuller S. D., M icrobiol. M ol. Biol. Rev. 63, 862 (1999).
- [13] Seifert U., Adv. Phys. 46, 13 (1997).
- [14] Julicher F. and Seifert U., Phys. Rev. E, 49, 4728 (1994).
- [15] Deserno M., manuscript in preparation.
- [16] Fourcade B., M iao L., Rao M., W ortis M., and Zia R.K. P., Phys. Rev. E 49, 5276 (1994).
- [17] A bram ow itz M. and Stegun I. A. (eds.), Handbook of m athem atical functions, D over, N ew York (1970).
- [18] Bickel T., submitted.