The chiral sym plectic universality class

YoichiA sada , Keith Slevin and Tom iO htsuki¹

Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

¹D epartm ent of P hysics, Sophia U niversity, K ioi-cho 7-1, C hiyoda-ku, T okyo 102-8554, Japan

(Received M arch 22, 2024)

KEYW ORDS: Anderson localization, chiral sym metry, spin-orbit coupling

1. Chiral sym m etry

About a decade ago $G ade^{1}$ investigated the e ect of chiral symmetry in a nonlinear sigm a model (G ade model). She showed that this symmetry causes the localisation length and the density of state to diverge at the band center in 2D. This behaviour at the band center is di erent from that found in the \standard" universality classes of Anderson localisation.

If a H am iltonian H anti-com m utes with a unitary m atrix U then H has chiral symmetry. In this case energy eigenvalues occur in pairs of opposite sign with pairs of eigenstates n and U n.W hen there is no diagonal disorder the Ham iltonian m ay have chiral sym m etry. This occurs if we can divide the system into two sublattices such that nearest neighbour sites belong to di erent sublattices. If this is possible, the transform ation U which changes the sign of the wave function only on one sublattice anti-com m utes with the H am iltonian. If the total num ber of lattice sites is odd, chiral sym m etry guarantees the existence of zero energy eigenstate(s), or zero mode(s). Such states, if they exist, have special properties e.g. they are supported only on one sublattice. The precise num ber of zero m odes depends on the di erence of the number of sites in each sublattice.²⁾

O ne example of a system with chiral symmetry is the random magnetic ux model. A nom abus behaviour is observed at the band center.³⁾ The results indicate that the entire band is localised except for a critical state at the band center.

2. The SU (2) model

Here we report a num erical investigation of localisation in the SU (2) m del^{4} without diagonal disorder. The Ham iltonian of the SU (2) m odel has time reversal sym – m etry but spin rotation sym m etry is broken by a random spin-orbit coupling. Thus we expect that states will belong either to the standard sym plectic class or the chiral sym plectic class. This m odel is di erent from the random m agnetic ux m odel in that the zero m ode is surrounded by a m etallic phase, not by an insulating phase.

The Ham iltonian of the SU (2) model describes noninteracting electrons on a simple square lattice with nearest neighbour SU (2) random hopping

$$H = \bigcup_{\substack{\text{hi;ji; } i \\ \text{hi;ji; } i }} U(i;j) \circ C_{i}^{y} C_{j} \circ$$
(1)

where c_i^y (c_i) denotes the creation (annihilation) operator of an electron at site i with spin . We distribute hopping matrices random ly and independently with uniform probability on the group SU (2):

$$U(\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i} \ i\mathbf{j}} \cos \mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} & e^{\mathbf{i} \ i\mathbf{j}} \sin \mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} \\ e^{\mathbf{i} \ i\mathbf{j}} \sin \mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} & e^{\mathbf{i} \ i\mathbf{j}} \cos \mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

where and are uniform by distributed in the range [0;2), and distributed in the range [0; =2] according to the probability density, P ()d = sin (2) d.

The SU (2) m odel has a mobility edge at E_c ' 3.253.⁴⁾ In what follows we focus attention on the properties of the model near the band center at E = 0. We calculate the localisation length on a quasi-1D strip and attempt to extrapolate to the 2D lim it.

3. Behaviour of the localisation length

We consider a quasi-1D strip whose width is L and calculate the localization length at arbitrary energy E with the transfer matrix method.⁵⁾ In the transverse direction we impose either xed boundary conditions (FBC) or periodic boundary conditions (PBC). The H am iltonian has chiral symmetry except when PBC are imposed on a system whose width is odd. In this latter case chiral symmetry is broken. We analyse the dependence of the re-norm alized localization length = =L on L.

The re-normalized localization length at E = 0.0and E = 0.5 as a function of L are shown in Figures 1 and 2 respectively. The width L ranges from 7 to 128. We performed 10^6 10^8 transferm atrix multiplications to obtain data with an accuracy of 0.05% to 2%.

Figure 1 shows the results at the band center E = 0.0. (Note that for odd L and FBC the localisation length

diverges⁶⁾ so no data is presented for this case.) A striking dependence on the parity of L is observed. As a function of L, approaches a strongly parity dependent constant value for L ! 1. For even L this asymptotic value is boundary condition independent. To analyse the data in detail, we t the data to



Fig. 1. vs L at E = 0.0. The solid lines are the t.

The results are tabulated in Table I. For even L the estimates of the asymptotic value a are the same within numerical accuracy. For odd L and PBC the asymptotic value is much larger than for even L. The L independent behaviour of at large L is typical of a critical point and may indicate that band center is critical in this system.



Fig. 2. vs L at E = 0.5. The solid lines are the t.

In contrast at E = 0.5 (Figure 2) the parity dependence is negligible (except at sm all L under PBC). Though a much strong boundary condition dependence is now observed, it seems this will disappear in the lim it of large L.For PBC the data can be tted by

$$= a + b \ln L :$$
 (4)

and for FBC by

$$= a + b \ln L + c L^{y} :$$
 (5)

The results are tabulated in Table II. In the limit of su ciently large L it seems that a boundary condition independent logarithm ic increase of will be recovered. Note in particular, by reference to Table II, that the estim ated (asymptotic) slopes for both curves in Figure 2 are in agreem ent.

To compare this behaviour with that in the m etallic phase of the standard sym plectic class, we also perform ed simulations of the SU (2) m odelwith diagonal disorder by adding a term

$$H_{d} = \int_{i;}^{X} C_{i} C_{i}$$
(6)

Table I. The best t estimates of thing parameters at E=0.0 with their 95% condence intervals. Q is the goodness of t probability.

	Q	a		С	У
FBC , Even	8.0	1:39	:01	2:6 :4	1:12 :08
PBC , Even	8.0	1:381	:002	2 (6; 0:8	3) 2:2 :5
РВС , Odd	8.0	4:48	: 03	3:2 1:3	1:2 :2

Table II. The best t estimates of thing parameters at E = 0.5 with their 95% condence intervals. Q is the goodness of t probability. In thing we eliminated the data for L 8.

	Q	a		b	С	У	
PBC	0.1	4:14	:04	0:653 :013			
FBC	0.2	5:0	1:6	0:6 :3	12	6 1 : 0	:3

to the Ham iltonian (1). Here $_{i}$ distributed random ly and independently with uniform probability on the interval [1;1]. The diagonal disorder breaks chiral sym – m etry and the system belongs to the standard sym plectic class. The re-norm alized localization length is again a logarithm ic function of L and we are able to t the result by (4). We also get an estimate of the coe cient b = 0.64 .02 in the SU (2) model which is consistent with those in Table II. This indicates that the states away from the band center in the SU (2) model w ithout diagonal disorder are metallic and belong to the standard sym plectic universality class.

1) R.Gade, Nucl. Phys. B 398 499 (1993).

- 2) P.W .Brouwer, E.Racine, A.Furusaki, Y.Hatsugai, Y.Morita, and C.Mudry, Phys Rev. B 66 014204 (2002).
- 3) A.Furusaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 604 (1999).
- 4) Y .A sada, K .Slevin and T .O htsuki, Phys.R ev.Lett.89 256601 (2002).
- 5) A.M acK innon and B.K ram er, Z.Phys.B 53,1 (1983).
- 6) P.W .Brouwer, C.M udry, B.D.Sim ons, and A.A ltland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 862 (1998).