Comment on \System atics of the Induced M agnetic M om ents in 5d Layers and the V iolation of the Third H und's Rule"

Recently, W ilhelm et al. [1] reported x-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) measurements at the W and Ir L_{2:3} edges of W /Fe and Ir/Fe multilayers. The 5d spin and orbital magnetic moments, $_{\rm S}$ and $_{\rm L}$, of W and Ir were deduced by applying the sum rules. These mom ents are induced in the 5d band, through hybridization, by the spin polarization of the Fe, which is re ected in their small values. For Ir, $_{\rm S}$ and $_{\rm L}$ align both parallel to the Fe spin m om ent, while for W they align both anti-parallel to Fe. As a result, it was suggested that in the case of W, with its less than half led 5d band, this implies a violation of H und's third rule. It seems a m isnom er to call this a violation of H und's rule, since H und's rules do not generally apply to induced m om ents, being only strictly valid for isolated atom s. However, on the basis of their results for only two systems, the authors further concluded: \This rem arkable nding shows that the induced magnetic behavior of 5d layers may be radically di erent than that of im purities and alloys." Here we show, on the basis of a system atic set of calculations, that the behavior of $_{\rm S}$ and $_{\rm L}$ of 5d m agnetic im purities in an Fe host is not radically di erent than that of 5d interface layers in Fe multilayers, proving that their conclusion, inferred on the basis of two examples, is not correct.

Calculations were performed for the electronic and m agnetic properties of periodic multilayers Fe/Z consisting of 5 m onolayers (M L) of Fe (100) and 3 M L of the 5d transition m etal, Z, using the relativistic spin-polarized linearmu n-tin orbitals (LMTO) method. [2] Figure 1 includes, besides the present results for the 5d interface layer, two di erent in purity calculations. These refer to a non-self-consistent fully-relativistic calculation using a self-consistent scalar-relativistic potential [3] and a selfconsistent fully-relativistic calculation. [4] The s of 5d in purities in Fe [4, 5] is aligned anti-parallel for the st part of the 5d transition m etal series, i.e. for less than half led band. From Osonwards s of the 5d in purity and Fe are parallel aligned. For 5d in purities to obey Hund's third rule, L should be positive throughout the 5d series: this is not the case for Re, Os, and Ir.

The curves of the calculated $_{\rm S}$ and $_{\rm L}$ for the multilayers show a similar behavior as for the impurities. $_{\rm L}$, being more sensitive to the structure and chem ical environm ent, switches between parallel and anti-parallel at nearly the same location, resulting in a negative value for W , Re, and Os. Therefore, the relative alignment of $_{\rm S}$ and $_{\rm L}$ in the 5d m etals is the same as in the single in purity case, with the exception of W and Ir.

The present calculations agree well with the experimental data which are for $_{\rm S}$ and $_{\rm L}$ (0:18; 0:016) and (0:20;0:019) in W and Ir respectively, while the theory gives (0:11; 0:031) and (0:26;0:003). It just so happens that in the vicinity of W and Ir a sign change of $_{\rm L}$ occurs (Fig.1). Thus it seems obvious why a system – atic study should not be based on these two data points alone. Our results indicate that mostly band lling, and to a lesser extent geom etricale ects, determ ine the trend of $_{\rm S}$ and $_{\rm L}$ over the 5d series. This is noting is corroborated by the fact that a similar trend also occurs for the 4d series. [5]



FIG.1: Calculated spin m agnetic m om ents ($_{\rm S}$, top panel) and orbital m agnetic m om ents ($_{\rm L}$, low er panel) in $_{\rm B}$ /atom for 5d interface layers in 5Fe(100)/3Z multilayers, including interface relaxations, (Ref. [5]:) com pared to 5d in purities in Fe (Ref. [3]: 2 and Ref. [4]: 4)

R.Tyer, G.van der Laan, W. M. Temmerman, Z. Szotek Daresbury Laboratory

Daresbury, Warrington WA44AD, UK

Received 20 M arch 2002 PACS num bers: 75.70.Cn, 75.70.-i, 78.70 D m

- [1] F.W ilhelm et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 207202 (2001).
- [2] H.Ebert, Phys.Rev.B 38, 9390 (1988).
- [3] H. Ebert et al, J. Appl. Phys. 67, 4576 (1990); G. Schutz et al, Phys. Scr. T 49, 302 (1993).
- [4] C.Komherr, Masters Thesis, University of Munich (1997).
- [5] R. Tyer et al, subm itted to Phys. Rev. B.