Diptim an Sen¹

Centre for Theoretical Studies, Indian Institute of Science, Bangabre 560012, India

A bstract

We use rst-order perturbation theory near the ferm ionic limit of the -function Bose gas in one dimension (i.e., a system of weakly interacting ferm ions) to study three situations of physical interest. The calculation is done using a pseudopotential which takes the form of a two-body ⁰⁰-function interaction. The three cases considered are the behavior of the system with a hard wall, with a point where the strength of the pseudopotential changes discontinuously, and with a region of nite length where the pseudopotential strength is non-zero (this is sometimes used as a model for a quantum wire). In all cases, we obtain exact expressions for the density to rst order in the pseudopotential strength. The asymptotic behaviors of the densities are in agreement with the results obtained from bosonization for a Tom onaga-Luttinger liquid, namely, an interaction dependent power-law decay of the density far from the hard wall, a relation from the point of discontinuity, and transmission resonances for the interacting region of nite length. Our results provide a non-trivial veri cation of the Tom onaga-Luttinger liquid description of the -function Bose gas near the ferm ionic limit.

PACS number: 71.10 Pm

¹E-m ail address: diptim an@ cts.iisc.ernet.in

1. Introduction

The -function Bose gas in one dimension has been studied extensively ever since Lieb and Liniger solved it using the Bethe ansatz [1]. However, the wave functions which one obtains from the Bethe ansatz are usually quite di cult to work with. It is therefore useful to exam ine other ways of studying the -function Bose gas. With this in mind, we recently developed a way of doing perturbation theory near the ferm ionic limit of the model [2], which is equivalent to a Ferm i gas with weakly attractive interactions. This involves the use of a two-body pseudopotential which takes the form of a ⁽⁰⁾-function ; let us denote the strength of the pseudopotential by a parameter g which will be de ned below. In Ref. 2, we showed that this perturbative approach correctly reproduces the ground state energy up to order g^2 . In this paper, we will apply the pseudopotential approach to three situations which cannot be solved using the Bethe ansatz; this will illustrate the power of this approach.

The -function B ose gas is an example of a Tom onaga-Luttinger liquid (TLL) [3,4]. A TLL is characterized by two quantities, the velocity of the low-energy excitations v (the dispersion relation of these excitations is given by $! = v_j t_j$), and the interaction parameter K . Once these two parameters are known, the low-energy and long wavelength properties of a TLL can be found by the technique of bosonization [3,4]. In particular, K determ ines the exponents governing the long distance behavior of various correlation functions. We will show that the results obtained using the pseudopotential approach are in complete agreement with those expected from bosonization. Our study will therefore provide a non-trivial check of the pseudopotential approach and will also con im the expression for the parameter K.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we will introduce the -function Bose gas and the pseudopotential approach for doing perturbation theory near the ferm ionic limit. In section 3, we will consider the -function Bose gas with a hard wall. We will obtain an expression for the density which is exact to rst order in q. W e will then nd the asymptotic behavior of the density far from the hard wall. The expression of the density will involve logarithm ic factors, which, in section 6, will be recognized as being due to an interaction dependent power-law decay of the density which is characteristic of a TLL. In section 4, we will consider a model in which the pseudopotential parameter q changes discontinuously at one point. We will again compute the density exactly to rst order in g and show that there are oscillations which can be interpreted as being due to a re ection of the particles from that point. The amplitudes of re ection from the two sides are found to be equal to g. In section 5, we will extend the model of section 4 to the case of a region of nite length over which the pseudopotential has a non-zero strength. Finally, in section 6, we will discuss the TLL approach to the -function Bose gas, and will compute the Luttinger parameters K and v to rst order in g. We will then use bosonization to compute the asymptotic behaviors of the density far from a hard walland from a point of discontinuity in the Luttinger parameters. We will then see that these results agree precisely with those obtained in the previous sections. We will conclude in section 7 by pointing out som e possible directions for future research.

2. The -function Bose gas

The -function Bose gas is de ned by the Ham iltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \frac{X}{1 + N} \frac{Q^2}{Qx_1^2} + \frac{2c}{m} \frac{X}{1 + i + j} (x_1 - x_j); \quad (1)$$

governing N identical bosons moving in one dimension. (We have set h = 1). The interaction parameter c has the dimensions of (length)¹. We will assume that c is non-negative, otherwise the thermodynamic limit (N ! 1) is not well de ned due to the presence of bound states with arbitrarily large negative energies.

We will consider two dierent boundary conditions for the calculations presented in this paper. In one case, the particles will be considered to be on a circle of circum ference L with the wave functions satisfying periodic boundary conditions. In the other case, the particles will be considered to be in a box of length L with the wave functions vanishing if any of the particles coordinates is equal to 0 or L (this is called the hard wall condition). Since the particles are identical bosons, the wave functions must be completely symmetric. There are N ! possible orderings of the particle coordinates, given by 0 x_{P_1} x_{P_2}

 $_{P_N}x$ L, where $(P_1;P_2; _N;P$ is some permutation of the numbers (1;2; ;N). If the wave functions are known for any one ordering, say 0 $x_1 x_2 _N x$ L, they are known for all other orderings by symmetry.

It is clear that the model describes noninteracting bosons for c = 0. For c = 1, the wave functions vanish whenever any two particle coordinates coincide. We can then carry out the unitary transformation $_P ! (1)^P _P$, where $_P$ denotes the wave function for the ordering P, and $(1)^P$ denotes the sign of the permutation P. Under this transform ation, the wave function becomes completely antisymmetric, i.e., fermionic. Thus c = 1 denotes a system of noninteracting fermions. (Note that this unitary transformation of the wave functions is only allowed if c = 1. At any other value of c, the symmetric wave functions do not vanish for $x_i = x_j$, and the transformation would produce antisymmetric wave functions which are discontinuous at those coincident points). Henceforth, we will refer to the model with c = 1 as being noninteracting, and the model with c large as being weakly interacting in the fermionic sense.

In Ref. 2, we developed a way of doing perturbation theory near the ferm ionic limit in powers of 1=c. We showed that the perturbation around c = 1 is described by a two-particle interaction of the form

$$V = \frac{1}{mc} \sum_{\substack{1 \ i < j \ N}}^{X} (x_i \ x_j) :$$
 (2)

This pseudopotential can be used straightforwardly to rst order in 1=c. At second and higher orders, som e divergences appear which can be cured by a point-splitting prescription [2]. In this paper, we will work only to rst order in 1=c and will therefore not encounter any divergences.

Wewill be interested in the therm odynam ic lim it in which N; L! 1 with the density

$$_{0} = \frac{N}{L}$$
(3)

held xed. In the therm odynam ic lim it, we nd, either from the exact solution [1] or from the pseudopotential approach [2], that the ground state energy per particle is given by

$$\frac{E_0}{N} = \frac{2}{6m} \frac{2}{3mc} \frac{2}{3mc} \frac{3}{3mc}$$
(4)

to rst order in 1=c. The chem ical potential = $(@E_0=@N)_L$ is given by

$$= \frac{2}{2m} \frac{2}{3mc} \frac{4}{3mc} \frac{2}{3mc} \frac{3}{3mc} (5)$$

For convenience, we de ne the Ferm in on enture k_F and Ferm ivelocity v_F as

$$k_F = _0$$
;
and $v_F = \frac{k_F}{m}$: (6)

W e also de ne a dim ensionless param eter

$$g = \frac{0}{C} : \tag{7}$$

Equations (4-5) in ply that for large values of c, we have a system of ferm ions with a weak attractive interaction of strength g.

The energy eigenstates of the system of noninteracting ferm ions (g = 0) have norm alized wave functions which are given by $1=\frac{N}{N}$! times the Slater determ inant of a matrix M. The entries of M are given by

$$M_{np} = _{n} (x_{p}) ;$$
 (8)

where $_n(x)$ denotes the norm alized one-particle wave functions. For the model on a circle, $_n$ is given by

$$_{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}} e^{i2 \ n\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{L}}$$
(9)

where n = 0; 1; 2; (if N is odd), and the corresponding one-particle energies are $E_n = (2 n)^2 = (2m L^2)$. For the system in a box with hard walls, the one-particle wave functions are given by

$$\int_{n} (\mathbf{x}) = \frac{2}{L} \sin\left(\frac{n\mathbf{x}}{L}\right)$$
(10)

where n = 1;2;3;, and the corresponding energies are Ξ (n)²=(2m L²).

Using the pseudopotential given in (2), we can do perturbation theory to rst order in 1=c as follows. For the noninteracting and weakly interacting systems, let us denote the N -particle wave functions of the energy eigenstates by $\binom{0}{n}$ (which are normalized to unity) and $\underset{n}{}$ respectively, and the corresponding energies by $E_n^{(0)}$ and E_n . To rst order in 1=c, we have

$$_{n} = {}_{n}^{(0)} + {}_{1}^{X} {}_{n}^{(0)} \frac{h_{1}^{(0)} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}_{n}^{(0)} \mathbf{i}}{E_{n}^{(0)} E_{1}^{(0)}} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}_{n}^{(0)} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}_{n}^{(0)} \mathbf{j}_{n}$$

provided that there is no degeneracy at the energy $E_n^{(0)}$. (This will be true in our calculations since we will only apply (11) to the ground state which is unique both on the circle and in the box). Note that the norm of _n in (11) di ers from unity only by terms of order $1=c^2$ which we are going to ignore.

The one-particle density (x) is obtained from the many-particle wave function (x $_{i}$) as z

$$(x) = N dx_2 dx_3 n dx^{?};$$
 (12)

where the factor of N has been introduced on the right hand side to ensure that we obtain ${}^{R_{L}}_{0} dx$ (x) = N. For the system on a circle, we nd that the density in the ground state of N noninteracting ferm ions is simply equal to a constant, (x) = 0. For the system in a box, the density in the ground state of N noninteracting ferm ions is given by

$$(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{2}{L} \sin^{2}(\frac{nx}{L}) :$$
(13)

In the therm odynam ic lim it, we then nd that

$$(x) = _{0} \frac{\sin (2k_{F} x)}{2 x} :$$
 (14)

The hole in the density integrates to 1=4, namely,

$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx [(x) _{0}(x)] = \frac{1}{4} :$$
 (15)

The oscillations in the density in (14) are caused by rejection from the hard wallat x = 0. We will study below how these oscillations are modiled by the interactions between the particles.

3. The -function Bose gas with a hard wall

We will now study the behavior of the -function Bose gas with a hard wall. We will rst consider the system placed in a box extending from x = 0 to x = L with hard walls at both ends. We will then take the limit L ! 1. Far away from the point x = 0, we will see that the leading order oscillatory term in the density has a logarithm ic prefactor the interpretation of this will be provided in section 6.

In the ground state $_{0}^{(0)}$ of the noninteracting system, the N particles occupy the lowest energy states described by (10) with n = 1;2; ;N. The perturbation in (2) will connect this state to two types of states:

(A) a state of the type $^{(0)}$ (n⁰;n) which di ers from the ground state $^{(0)}_{0}$ in that only one particle is excited from the level n to the level n⁰, where 1 n N and n⁰ > N. (B) a state of the type $^{(0)}$ (n⁰₁;n⁰₂;n₁;n₂) which di ers from the ground state $^{(0)}_{0}$ in that only two particles are excited from the levels n₁ and n₂ to the levels n⁰₁ and n⁰₂, where 1 n₂ < n₁ N and n⁰₁ > n⁰₂ > N.

The matrix elements of (2) between $\int_{0}^{(0)}$ and states of type A are given by

$$V_{n^{0},n} \qquad h^{(0)}(n^{0};n)\mathbf{j}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{j}_{0}^{(0)}\mathbf{i} = \frac{2}{8m cL^{3}} A_{n^{0},n};$$
where $A_{n^{0},n} = 3(n^{2} + n^{0}) + 10nn^{0}$ if $n^{0} + n$ is even and $1 \quad \frac{n^{0} + n}{2} \quad N;$

$$= \quad 3(n^{2} + n^{0}) + 10nn^{0}$$
 if $n^{0} + n$ is even and $1 \quad \frac{n^{0} - n}{2} \quad N:$

$$= \quad 0 \quad \text{otherw ise}: \qquad (16)$$

The matrix elements of (2) between $\binom{0}{0}$ and states of type B will be denoted by $V_{n_1^0,m_2^0,m_1,m_2}$; however, we do not need the expressions for these for reasons which will soon become clear.

Following (11), we see that the ground state wave function up to order 1=c is given by

$${}_{0} = {}_{0}^{(0)} + {}_{n^{0} > N \ 1 \ n \ N}^{(0)} (n^{0}; n) \frac{V_{n^{0}; n}}{(n^{2} \ n^{0})^{2} = (2m \ L^{2})} + {}_{n^{0} > N \ 1 \ n_{2} < n_{1} \ N}^{(0)} (n^{0}_{1}; n^{0}_{2}; n_{1}; n_{2}) \frac{V_{n^{0}; n^{0}; n_{1}; n_{2}}}{(n^{2}_{1} + n^{2}_{2} \ n^{0}_{1} \ n^{0}_{2})^{2} = (2m \ L^{2})} ;$$

$$(17)$$

We can now compute the density using (12). To order 1=c, we see that we get a contribution from h $_{0}^{(0)}$ j $_{0}^{(0)}$ i which is given in (14), and a contribution from the cross-terms h $_{0}^{(0)}$ j $_{0}^{(0)}$ (n⁰;n) i arising from the type A states in (17). There is no contribution from the cross-term s arising from the type B states since the integration over dx₂ Ndrensures that h $_{0}^{(0)}$ j $_{1}^{(0)}$ (n $_{1}^{0}$;n $_{2}^{0}$;n₁;n₂) i does not contribute to the density. The vanishing of the contribution of type B states leads to a major simplication in the calculations.

We now nd that

(x)
$$_{0} + \frac{\sin(2k_{\rm F}x)}{2x} = \frac{1}{cL^{2}} \frac{x}{n^{0}m} \sin(\frac{n^{0}x}{L}) \sin(\frac{nx}{L}) \frac{A_{n^{0}m}}{n^{2}n^{0}}$$
: (18)

where $A_{n^0\pi}$ is given in (16). We now go to the therm odynamic limit. Let us introduce the variables k = n=L and $k^0 = n^0=L$, so that $0 \ k \ k_F$ and $k^0 \ k_F$. We replace the sum s over n and n^0 by (L=) dk and (L=) dk^0 respectively. The condition for non-vanishing matrix elements in (16), namely, that $n^0 + n$ must be an even integer, implies that we should put a factor of 1=2 in front of the integrals over k and k^0 . Further, the conditions that $(n^0 \ n)=2$ must be an integer lying in the range 1 to N turn into the conditions 0 $k^0 \ k \ 2k_F$. After some manipulations, we nd that (18) can be written in the form

$$(x) _{0} + \frac{\sin (2k_{\rm F} x)}{2 x} \\ = \frac{1}{2^{-2}c} \int_{k_{\rm F}}^{z_{\rm k_{\rm F}}} dk _{0} dk^{0} \frac{\sin (kx) \sin (k^{0}x)}{k^{0} k^{2} k^{2}} [3(k^{0} + k^{2}) + 10k^{0}k]:$$
(19)

We now de ne two new variables $u = (k^0 + k) = (2k_F)$ and $v = (k^0 - k) = (2k_F)$. In terms of these variables, the limits of the integrals over k and k^0 in (19) are equivalent either to ${}_0^{R_1} du {}_1^{R_1+u} dv$ or to ${}_0^{R_2} dv {}_{jv-1j}^{R_1} du$. Finally, we can write sin (kx) sin (k⁰x) = (1=2) [cos(2k_F xv) - cos(2k_F xu)]. We can now do one of the integrals, either over u or over v, to obtain

$$(x) _{0} + \frac{\sin (2k_{\rm F} x)}{2 x}$$

$$= _{0}g \left[\mathop{dv}_{0} \cos (2k_{\rm F} xv) f2 \quad v + \frac{v}{2} \ln jv \quad 1 jg \right.$$

$$+ _{0}^{Z_{1}} du \cos (2k_{\rm F} xu) f1 \quad 2u \ln (\frac{1+u}{1-u})g \left] :$$

$$(20)$$

As shown in the appendix, the total hole in the density is found to be

$$\begin{bmatrix} z \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3g}{4} :$$
 (21)

From (20), we can obtain the asymptotic form of the density at large values of x (i.e., for $j_{k_F} x_j >> 1$) as shown in the appendix. The density turns out to have an expansion in powers of 1=x multiplied by sines, cosines, and logarithms. The leading order terms are given by

$$(x) = _{0} \qquad \frac{\sin (2k_{F} x)}{2 x} [1 \quad 2g \ln (4 e^{C 1=2} x)] + 3g \frac{\cos (2k_{F} x)}{4x}; \qquad (22)$$

where C = 0.5772::: is Euler's constant. We will see in section 6 that the logarithm is a sign that the power of x in the denom inator of sin $(2k_F x)$ should really be 1 + 2g (plus term s of higher order in g), rather than 1. Namely,

$$\frac{1}{(_0 x)^{1+2g}} = \frac{1}{_0 x} [1 \qquad 2g \ln (_0 x)]$$
(23)

plus term s of higher order in g.

4. The -function Bose gas with a discontinuity in the interaction strength

In this section, we will consider another application of the pseudopotential approach. We will consider a -function Bose gas on a circle, with the interaction parameter in (1) being equal to a large value c for 0 < x < L=2 and 1 for L=2 < x < L. It is clear from (5) that the chem ical potential will then be di erent in the two halves of the system; this would imply that a state which has the sam e density everywhere can no longer be close to the ground state. We will compensate for this imbalance by adding a one-body potential in the region 0 < x < L=2 which is equal to

$$V = \frac{4^{2} \frac{3}{0}}{3mc} :$$
 (24)

This ensures that the chem ical potential and the density are the same in the two halves of the system; therefore the ground states of the noninteracting (c = 1) and interacting

(large c) systems are smoothly connected to each other. We will therefore work with the following perturbation to the noninteracting system,

$$V = \frac{1}{mc} \sum_{\substack{i \le j \ N}}^{X} (x_{i} - x_{j}) f(x_{i}) + \frac{4^{2} \sum_{0}^{3} X}{3mc} \int_{1 \le N}^{X} f(x_{i}) ;$$

where f(x) = 1 for 0 < x < L=2;
= 0 for L=2 < x < L : (25)

We will then nd that there is a relection from the point of discontinuity at x = 0.

We will now compute the density of the system to rst order in 1=c. In the ground state $\int_{0}^{(0)}$ of the noninteracting system, the particles occupy the levels given in (9) with n = 0; 1; 2; ; (N 1)=2 (assuming that N is odd). As in section 3, the perturbation in (25) connects this state $\binom{0}{0}$ to states of types A and B.Type A states di er from in that only one particle is excited from a level n lying in the range [(N 1)=2; (N 1)=2] $_{0}^{(0)}$ in that only two to a level n⁰ lying outside that range, while type B states dier from particle are excited from levels n_1 and n_2 lying in the range [(N 1)=2;(N 1)=21 to levels n_1^0 and n_2^0 lying outside that range. A fter using (11) to write the perturbed ground state wave function to order 1=c, we again nd that the type B states do not contribute to the density.

The matrix element of (25) between $\binom{0}{0}$ and a type A state $\binom{0}{n}$; n) is given by

$$V_{n^{0};n} \qquad h^{(0)}(n^{0};n) \mathbf{j}' \mathbf{j}_{0}^{(0)} \mathbf{i} = \frac{\mathbf{i}4\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{F}}}{\mathrm{m}\,\mathrm{cL}^{2}(n^{0}-n)} \left[n^{0}n + \frac{\mathrm{N}^{2}-1}{12} - \frac{\frac{2}{0}\mathrm{L}^{2}}{3}\right] \mathbf{i}\mathbf{f} n^{0} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{i}\mathbf{s} \,\mathrm{odd}; = 0 \,\mathrm{otherw}\,\mathbf{i}\mathbf{s}\mathbf{e}:$$
(26)

We now go to the therm odynam ic limit, and introduce the variables $k^0 = 2 n^0 = L$ and k = 2 n = L. Thus k lies in the range [$k_F k_F$] while k^0 lies outside it. We replace the sum s over n^0 and n by the integrals (L=2) dk^0 and (L=2) dk respectively, and put a factor of 1=2 in front of the integrals to take care of the restriction in (26) that n^0 n must be an odd integer. We then nd that the density is given by

$$(\mathbf{x}) = {}_{0} + \frac{g}{2} {}_{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{F}}}^{\mathrm{Z}} d\mathbf{k} {}_{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{F}}}^{\mathrm{Z}} d\mathbf{k}^{0} \frac{\sin f (\mathbf{k}^{0} \ \mathbf{k}) \mathbf{x} g}{(\mathbf{k}^{0} \ \mathbf{k}^{2}) (\mathbf{k}^{0} \ \mathbf{k})} [\mathbf{k}^{0} \mathbf{k} \ \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}]:$$
(27)

From this expression, it is clear that the choice of the one-body potential in (24) m akes the matrix element for forward scattering ($k^0 = k = k_F$ or $k^0 = k = k_F$) vanish. This will lead to the result below that (x) 0 vanishes for x ! 1; this is the reason for choosing the value of V as given in (24).

We now introduce the variables $u = (k^0 + k) = (2k_F)$ and $v = (k^0 - k) = (2k_F)$. For v = 0, u lies in the range jv = 1j to v + 1, while for v = 0, u lies in the range v = 1 to jv + 1j. A fler integrating over u, we obtain

$$(x) = _{0} + \frac{g}{2} \int_{0}^{2} dv \frac{\sin (2k_{F} xv)}{v^{2}} [2v (v^{2} + 1) \ln (\frac{v + 1}{jv 1j})]: (28)$$

From (28), we can obtain the asymptotic behavior of the density as x ! 1 using the methods given in the appendix. We not that

$$(x) = {}_{0} g \frac{\sin(2k_{F}x)}{2x} \text{ for } x ! 1 ; = {}_{0} + g \frac{\sin(2k_{F}x)}{2x} \text{ for } x ! 1 :$$
 (29)

We will now see that the oscillatory terms in (29) can be interpreted as being due to relection of the particles from the point x = 0. Consider a system in which fermions coming in from x = 1 get relected back from x = 0 with an amplitude r_{+} . The density at large positive values of x can be shown to be of the form [5, 6]

$$(x) = _{0} + \frac{i}{4 x} [r_{+}^{2} e^{i2k_{F}x} r_{+} e^{i2k_{F}x}]: \qquad (30)$$

On comparing this with (29), we see that

$$r_{+} = g:$$
 (31)

Similarly, if fermions coming in from x = 1 get rejected back at x = 0 with an amplitude r, the density at large negative values of x can be shown to be

$$(x) = _{0} + \frac{i}{4 x} [r e^{i2k_{F}x} r^{?} e^{i2k_{F}x}]: \qquad (32)$$

On comparing this with (29), we see that

$$r = g:$$
 (33)

In section 6, we will see that the values of r_{+} and r_{-} obtained here agree exactly with those obtained from bosonization.

5. The -function Bose gas with a non-zero pseudopotential in a nite region

W e will now extend the analysis of the previous section to the case where the interaction parameter c is non-zero over a nite length l, where l will be held xed as we go to the therm odynamic limit L ! 1 . Now there is no particular reason to choose the strength of the one-body potential V in the nite region 0 < x < 1 to be the same as in (24); as long as V is small, the ground state of this system is smoothly connected to that of the noninteracting system in which 1=c and V are zero everywhere. At the end of our analysis, we will discover that the rejection from the nite region is zero, i.e., there is a resonance in the transmission, if either 1 has certain special values (given by $n=k_F$), or if V has a particular value.

We will work with the following perturbation to the noninteracting (c = 1) system,

$$V = \frac{1}{mc} \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \ N}}^{X} (x_{i} - x_{j}) f(x_{i}) + V \int_{\substack{1 \le N}}^{X} f(x_{i});$$

where $f(x) = 1$ for $0 < x < c;$
 $= 0$ for $c < x < L$: (34)

As in section 4, we will compute the density of the system to rst order in 1=c. Once again, we nd that the perturbation in (34) connects the ground state $_{0}^{(0)}$ of the noninteracting system to states of types A and B, and the type B states do not contribute to the density to order 1=c.

The matrix element of (34) between $_{0}^{(0)}$ and a type A state $_{0}^{(0)}$ (n⁰; n) is given by

$$h^{(0)}(n^{0};n) \mathcal{V} \neq \int_{0}^{(0)} i = \frac{i4k_{F}}{L^{2}(n^{0}-n)} \left[\frac{1}{mc}(n^{0}n + \frac{N^{2}-1}{12}) - \frac{L^{2}-V}{4^{2}-0}\right] \left[\exp(i2(n-n^{0})\frac{1}{L}g - 1)\right];$$
(35)

We introduce the variables k = 2 n=L lying in the range [k_F ; k_F] and and $k^0 = 2$ n⁰=L lying outside that range. A fler going to the therm odynam ic lim it, we not that the density is given by

$$(\mathbf{x}) = _{0} \qquad \frac{g}{2} \sum_{k_{F}}^{Z} dk \sum_{k_{F}}^{Z} dk^{0} \qquad [\frac{\sin f (k^{0} \ k) (\mathbf{x} \ l)g \ \sin f (k^{0} \ k) \mathbf{x}g}{(k^{0} \ k^{2}) (k^{0} \ k)}]$$

$$[k^{0}k + \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{0} \frac{m c \ V}{0}]: \qquad (36)$$

A fter introducing the variables $u=(k^0\!+k)\!=\!(\!2k_F\!)$ and $v=(\!k^0\!-k)\!=\!(\!2k_F\!)$, and integrating over u , we obtain

$$(x) = _{0} \quad \frac{g}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dv \quad \left[\frac{\sin f (2k_{F} (x \ 1)vg \ \sin f 2k_{F} xvg}{v^{2}}\right] \\ \left[2v \ (v^{2} \ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{m c \ V}{2 \ \frac{3}{0}}) \ln (\frac{v+1}{jv \ 1j})\right]: \quad (37)$$

U sing the methods given in the appendix, we nd that the asymptotic behavior of the density as $x \mid 1$ is given by

$$(x) = _{0} + (\frac{2}{3c} + \frac{m}{2} \frac{V}{2}) \frac{\sin f2k_{F} (x \ l)g \ \sin f2k_{F} xg}{4 \ x} \text{ for } x \ l \ i \ ;$$

$$= _{0} (\frac{2}{3c} + \frac{m}{2} \frac{V}{2}) \frac{\sin f2k_{F} (x \ l)g \ \sin f2k_{F} xg}{4 \ x} \text{ for } x \ l \ l \ : (38)$$

As in section 4, the oscillatory terms in (38) can be interpreted as being due to reaction of the particles from the region 0 < x < 1. On comparing (38) with the expressions in (30) and (32), we not that

$$\mathbf{r}_{+} = \mathbf{i} \left(\frac{2}{3c} + \frac{m}{2} \frac{V}{2} \right) \sin(\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{l}) e^{-\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{l}} ; \qquad (39)$$

and

$$r = i \left(\frac{2_0}{3c} + \frac{m V}{2_0^2} \right) \sin(k_F l) e^{ik_F l} :$$
 (40)

We observe that the rejection amplitudes are zero (and the transmission probabilities are therefore equal to 1) if either

$$l = \frac{n}{k_{\rm F}}; \qquad (41)$$

where n is an integer, or

$$V = \frac{2^{2} \frac{3}{0}}{3mc} :$$
 (42)

We see that up to order 1=c, the resonance condition in (41) is the same as for noninteracting ferm ions (c = 1); equation (41) is fam iliar from scattering theory in one-particle quantum mechanics. The condition in (42) appears to be new; note that if (42) is satisfied, then r is zero for all values of the length 1. From (36), we see that the resonance conditions in (41-42) in ply that the matrix element for backward scattering ($k^0 = k = k_F$ or $k^0 = k = k_F$) is zero.

6. The Tom onaga-Luttinger liquid approach to the -function Bose gas

W e will now discuss how the results obtained in sections 3 and 4 m ay be understood using the TLL description of the long wavelength properties of a -function B ose gas. As mentioned in section 1, a TLL is described by two parameters v and K. These parameters can be deduced if one knows the energy of the low-lying states [4]. Let us assume that the model is de ned on a circle of length L with periodic boundary conditions. Let the energy of the ground state be E_0 (N;L). Then one has the relation

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}^2 \mathbf{E}_0}{\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{N}^2} = \frac{\mathbf{V}}{\mathbf{L} \mathbf{K}} : \tag{43}$$

Next, for a model which is invariant under Galilean transformations (as is true for the -function Bose gas), it turns out that

$$vK = v_F : (44)$$

Since the ground state energy of the -function B ose gas is exactly known [1], one can use (43-44) to nd the values of v and K for any value of the interaction parameter c. From (4), we nd that

$$K = 1 + 2g;$$

$$v = v_F (1 \quad 2g);$$
(45)

up to order g.

The low-energy and long wavelength properties of a one-dimensional fermionic system are determined by the modes near the two Fermipoints with momenta $k_{\rm F}$. The second-quantized fermion elds can be written in terms of the the elds near the two Fermi points as

$$(x) = {}_{R}(x) e^{ik_{F}x} + {}_{L}(x) e^{ik_{F}x}; \qquad (46)$$

where the subscripts R and L denote right-moving and left-moving respectively. Let us de ne the density operators for these two elds as $^{n}_{R} = \frac{y}{R} R$ and $^{n}_{L} = \frac{y}{L} L$. Consider

a contact interaction of the form [3, 4]

$$V_{int} = dx [g_2^{n}(x)_{L}(x) + \frac{g_4}{2} f_{R}^{2}(x) + \frac{f_{L}^{2}(x)g]: \qquad (47)$$

Then one can show that

$$K = [(v_F + \frac{g_4}{2} - \frac{g_2}{2}) = (v_F + \frac{g_4}{2} + \frac{g_2}{2})]^{1-2};$$

$$v = [(v_F + \frac{g_4}{2} - \frac{g_2}{2})(v_F + \frac{g_4}{2} + \frac{g_2}{2})]^{1-2}: (48)$$

C on paring these expressions with (45), we see that for the -function B ose gas near the ferm ionic limit,

$$\frac{g_2}{2 v_F} = \frac{g_4}{2 v_F} = 2g :$$
(49)

We can directly verify the expression for g_2 given in (49). Consider a density-density interaction of the form

$$V_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{Z} dx dy (x) U (x y) (y); \qquad (50)$$

where the density operator follows from (46),

If the two-body potential U (x) has a short range, a comparison of (47) and (50) shows that the parameter g_2 is related to the Fourier transform of U (x) as

$$g_2 = \tilde{U}(0) \quad \tilde{U}(2k_F)$$
: (52)

Comparing (50) and (2), we see that

$$U(x) = \frac{1}{mc} (x) :$$
 (53)

Then (52) in plies that

$$g_2 = \frac{4k_F^2}{mc}$$
(54)

which agrees with (49).

Now we consider the bosonized form of the TLL theory [3, 4]. The bosonic eld (x;t) is governed by the Lagrangian density

$$L = \frac{1}{2vK} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta t} \quad \frac{2}{2K} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta x} \quad \frac{2}{2} :$$
 (55)

The equations of motion are given by $e^2 = e^2 = v^2 e^2 = e^2 x^2$. The excitations of the system therefore have the dispersion $! = v_1 k_2$.

The technique of bosonization relates the ferm i elds $_{\rm R}$ and $_{\rm L}$ to exponentials of the second-quantized boson eld . The exact relationship between the two elds depends on the geom etrical situation. Let us consider the model of section 3, where the system is de ned on the half-line x 0. We denote the incoming (left-moving) ferm i eld as $_{\rm L}$ and the outgoing (right-moving) ferm i eld as $_{\rm R}$. These are not independent elds since one is related to the other by rejection at x = 0. One can now "unfold" the half-line to the full line and de ne all the elds to be purely left-moving [3]. The ferm i elds $\sim_{\rm L}$ on the full line are related to those on the half-line as

$$_{L}^{(x)} = _{L}^{(x)};$$

 $_{L}^{(x)} = _{R}^{(x)};$ (56)

where x > 0. The bosonized form of this ferm ionic theory also contains only a left-m oving boson eld $_L$ de ned on the full line; the two elds are related as

$$\sim_{L} (x) \exp [i \frac{1}{K} f_{L}(x) + L(x)g + i \frac{p}{K} f_{L}(x) - L(x)g]:$$
 (57)

Now we can compute the ferm ion density which is equal to an expectation value in the ground state, $(x) = h^{y}(x)$ (x)i, where x > 0. Following (51) and (56), this is given by

$$(\mathbf{x}) = h_{L}^{Y}(\mathbf{x})_{L}^{*}(\mathbf{x})i + h_{L}^{Y}(\mathbf{x})_{L}^{*}(\mathbf{x})i + h_{L}^{Y}(\mathbf{x})_{L}^{*}(\mathbf{x})ie^{i2k_{F}x} + h_{L}^{*}(\mathbf{x})_{L}^{*}(\mathbf{x})ie^{i2k_{F}x} :$$
(58)

On using the bosonization expression (57), we not that the rst two terms on the right hand side of (58) are independent of x; they give rise to a constant which is $_0$. The last two terms in (58) give

hexp [
$$i2 K f_{L}(x) L(x)g]i \frac{1}{x^{K}}$$
: (59)

Including the factors of e $^{i2k_{\rm F}\,x}$ in (58), we nd that

(x)
$$_{0} \frac{\sin(2k_{F}x)}{x^{K}}$$
: (60)

We thus see that in the presence of a hard wall, the density of a TLL far from the wall has an oscillatory piece whose amplitude decays as $1=x^{K}$. If K is close to 1 as in (45), we see that the amplitude has an expansion in powers of g which is given by $(1 \quad 2g \ln x)=x$. This is exactly what we found in section 3.

W e now turn to the model of section 4, where the Luttinger parameters are given by (v;K) for x > 0 and by $(v_F;1)$ for x < 0. We can use the Lagrangian density in (55) with these parameters to nd the equations of motion for the boson elds. The matching conditions at x = 0 turn out to be [7]

$$(x = 0 ; t) = (x = 0 + ; t);$$

$$v_{F} = \frac{0}{0} (x; t) = \frac{v}{K} = \frac{v}{K} = \frac{0}{0} (x; t) = 0 + (x; t) = 0$$

We can now consider what happens when a wave is incident from x = 1. The equations of m otion give

$$\begin{aligned} &(x;t) &= \exp \left[ik(x \ v_F t)\right] + r \ \exp \left[ik(x + v_F t)\right] \ \text{for } x < 0; \\ &= t \ \exp \left[i\frac{kv_F}{v} \ (x \ vt)\right] \ \text{for } x > 0: \end{aligned}$$

The matching conditions in (61) now lead to the following expressions for the rejection and transmission amplitudes

$$r = \frac{K \ 1}{K + 1};$$

$$t = \frac{2K}{K + 1}:$$
(63)

Note that current conservation is satisfied since v_F (1 r^2) = vt^2 and v_F = vK. Similarly, for a wave incident from x = 1, we not that

$$r_{+} = \frac{1}{K} \frac{K}{K+1};$$

$$t_{+} = \frac{2}{K+1};$$
(64)

which satis as current conservation since $v(1 r_+^2) = v_F t_+^2$. Upon using (45), we see that the reaction amplitudes r obtained here agree with those obtained in section 4.

We therefore have the interesting result that a discontinuity in the interaction parameters is su cient to cause scattering, even if there is no other scattering mechanism (like an impurity) present in the system. The calculations presented in section 4 can be viewed as a microscopic derivation of this interesting phenom enon which had earlier been obtained only from bosonization [7].

The results in section 5 have implications for the subject of transport through a quantum wire which is sometimes modeled as a TLL of nite length which is bounded on the two sides by Ferm i liquid leads [7, 8, 9]. In these models, therefore, the Luttinger parameters change discontinuously at the contacts between the quantum wire and its leads. For the case of two identical in purities in a TLL, it is known that the transmission resonances are in nitely sharp at zero temperature [9]. A lthough the model we have studied in section 5 has two points of discontinuity in the interaction parameter, rather than two impurities, it is possible that the structure of the transmission resonance will be found to change signi cantly if we go up to higher orders in the interaction parameter 1=c.

6. D iscussion

We have used the pseudopotential approach to study the behavior of a system of ferm ions with weak attractive interactions. The various situations we have considered are not solvable by the Bethe ansatz [1]. This is because the Bethe ansatz only works in models which are both invariant under translations and have N commuting operators including the Ham iltonian. In such systems, the momenta of the N particles $k_1;k_2;$ N;k

are good quantum numbers, and the wave function can be found exactly as a superposition of N !plane waves. In the abænce of translation invariance, there are re ections (at a hard wall or at a point of discontinuity in the interaction strength) due to which the particle momenta are no longer good quantum numbers. The wave functions are then no longer a superposition of a nite number of plane waves, and they cannot be found exactly.

W hen the Bethe ansatz fails, the pseudopotential approach seems to be the only way to obtain exact results near the ferm ionic limit, although calculational di culties may restrict its use to low orders in perturbation theory. We have shown how exact expressions for the density can be obtained in certain situations. While the agreement between our results and those obtained from bosonization for the asymptotic behavior of the density is satisfying, we should also emphasize that there are relatively few models with interactions in which something can be computed at all distances.

Our methods can be applied to other problems involving weakly interacting fermions in one dimension. For instance, one can study the K ane-F isherm odel of a single in purity placed in a TLL [9], and the e ect of a junction of three or more sem i-in nite wires [6]. W hile these problems have been studied earlier using bosonization (and other methods which are only valid at long wavelengths [5]), it may be interesting to apply the pseudopotential method to these situations since we may be able to obtain expressions for certain quantities which are valid at all distances. Finally, one can use the pseudopotential method to study dynamical quantities like the conductance of a nite length TLL at nite frequencies.

A fler this work was completed, we found a paper which discusses som e properties of the one-dimensional Bose-Hubbard model at low densities [10]; the continuum Hamiltonian which governs that system is essentially the same as the one studied by us.

A cknow ledgm ents

I thank Siddhartha Lal and Sum athi R ao for num erous discussions about bosonization and quantum wires. I acknowledge nancial support from a Homi Bhabha Fellow ship and the Council of Scienti c and Industrial Research, India through grant no. 03(0911)/00/EM R-II.

Appendix

In this appendix, we will discuss som e m ethods for com puting the various expressions for the density presented above.

Let us start with the expression for the hole in (21). Suppose that we have a function f(x) de ned by the integral

$$f(x) = \int_{0}^{Z_{u_0}} du \cos(axu) h(u);$$
 (65)

where h(u) is nite and continuous at u = 0. Then we nd

$$\sum_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx f(x) = \lim_{0 \to 0} \sum_{0}^{Z_{u_{0}}} du h(u) \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx \cos(axu) e^{-x^{2}};$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1}{2} du h(u) e^{-a^{2}u^{2} = (4)};$$

$$= \frac{1}{2a} h(0) :$$
(66)

On applying this to (20), we obtain (21).

Next, we discuss how to obtain asymptotic expressions (for ax >> 1) for functions of the type

$$f(x) = \int_{Z_{u_0}}^{Z_{u_0}} du \cos(axu) h(u) ;$$

$$g(x) = \int_{U_0}^{U_0} du \sin(axu) h(u) :$$
 (67)

If h(u) is nite and continuous for all values of u in the range $[0;u_0]$, then the leading order expressions for (67) are of order 1=x, and they are obtained by integrating the functions $\cos(axu)$ and $\sin(axu)$. Namely,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{ax} [h(u_0) \sin(axu_0)];$$

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{ax} [h(0) h(u_0) \cos(axu_0)];$$
(68)

plus term s of order $1=x^2$ and higher. These form ulae are valid even if $u_0 = 1$, provided that h(1) = 0.

Now suppose that the function h (u) has a logarithm ic divergence at one point. Som e examples of this are the integrals [11]

$$\begin{array}{l} z \\ & du \sin(axu) \ln u = \frac{1}{ax} [\ln(ax) + C]; \\ & z \\ & du \cos(axu) \ln u = \frac{1}{2ax}; \end{array}$$
(69)

plus terms of order $1=x^2$ and higher. (Here C = 0.5722 ::: is Euler's constant). From (69), one can show that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} z & & \\ & & \\ z & & \\ z & & \\ z & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

plus term s of order $1=x^2$ and higher.

Now consider a function h(u) in (67) which contains a logarithm ic divergence at u = 0 of the form b hu, where b is some constant. Then we de ne a new function $\tilde{h}(u) = h(u)$ b hu which is nite for all values of u in the range of integration. We can then integrate over $\tilde{h}(u)$ using (67-68), and over b hu using (69). C om bining the two gives the result of integrating over h(u). Sim ilarly, we can compute the integrals in (67) if h(u) has a logarithm ic divergence at $u = u_0$. Finally, we can also compute integrals over functions

h (u) in (67) which have a logarithm ic divergence at a point u_1 which lies inside the range $[0;u_0]$. We simply divide the integrals in (67) into two parts, one over the range $[0;u_1]$ and the other over the range $[u_1;u_0]$. Then each of these integrals can be computed as explained above. U sing all these methods, one can derive the expressions in (22) and (29).

References

- [1] E.H.Lieb and W.Liniger, Phys. Rev. 130 (1963) 1605; E.H.Lieb, ibid 130 (1963) 1616.
- [2] D.Sen, Int. J. M od. Phys. A 14 (1999) 1789.
- [3] A.O.G ogolin, A.A.N ersesyan and A.M.T svelik, Bosonization and Strongly Correlated Systems (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
- [4] F.D.M. Haldane, J.Phys.C 14 (1981) 2585; H.J.Schulz, G.Cuniberti and P.Pieri, in Field Theories for Low-D im ensional Condensed Matter Systems, edited by G. Morandi, A. Tagliacozzo and P.Sodano (Springer, Berlin, 2000), cond-mat/9807366; S.Rao and D.Sen, in Field Theories in Condensed Matter Systems, edited by S.Rao (Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2001), cond-mat/0005492.
- [5] D.Yue, L.I.G lazm an, and K.A.M atveev, Phys. Rev. B 49, 1966 (1994).
- [6] S.Lal, S.Rao and D.Sen, Phys. Rev. B 66, 165327 (2002).
- [7] I.Sa and H.J.Schulz, Phys. Rev. B 52, 17040 (1995); I.Sa and H.J.Schulz, ibid 59, 3040 (1999).
- [8] D.L.M aslov and M. Stone, Phys. Rev. B 52, 5539 (1995); V.V. Ponom arenko, ibid 52, 8666 (1995); A. Furusaki and N. Nagaosa, ibid 54, 5239 (1996); S. Lal, S. Rao and D. Sen, ibid 65, 195304 (2002), and cond-m at/0104402.
- [9] C.L.Kane and M.P.A.Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 46, 15233 (1992).
- [10] M.A.Cazalilla, cond-m at/0301167.
- [11] I.S.G radshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products (A cadem ic Press, New York, 1994), pp. 612.