Theory of the NMR relaxation rates in cuprate superconductors with eld induced antiferrom agnetic order

Yan Chen,¹ Jian-X in Zhu,² and C.S.Ting¹

¹Texas Center for Superconductivity and Department of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204

² Theoretical Division, MS B 262, Los A lam os N ational Laboratory, Los A lam os, NM 87545

(D ated: January 6, 2022)

B ased on a model H am iltonian with a d-wave pairing interaction and a competing antiferrom agnetic interaction, we numerically study the site dependence of the nuclear spin resonance (NMR) relaxation rate T_1^{-1} as a function of temperature for a d-wave superconductor (DSC) with magnetic eld induced spin density wave (SDW) order. In the presence of the induced SDW, we not that there exists no simple direct relationship between NMR signal rate T_1^{-1} and low energy local density of states while these two quantities are linearly proportional to each other in a pure DSC. In the vortex core region, T_1^{-1} on ¹⁷O site may exhibit a double-peak behavior, one sharp and one broad, as the tem perature is increased to the superconductivity transition tem perature T_c , in contrast to a single broad peak for a pure DSC. The existence of the sharp peak corresponds to the disappearance of the induced SDW above a certain tem perature T_{AF} which is assumed to be considerably lower than T_c . We also show the di erences between T_1^{-1} on ¹⁷O and that on ⁶³Cu as a function of lattice site at di erent tem peratures and magnetic elds. Our results obtained from the scenario of the vortex with induced SDW is consistent with recent NMR and scanning tunneling microscopy experiments.

PACS num bers: 76.60.-k, 74.60 Ec, 74.25 H a

I. IN TRODUCTION

Intensive e orts have been focused recently on the nature of low -lying excitation spectra around a vortex core in high tem perature superconductors (HTS). The excitations around the core play a fundam ental role in determ ining physical property of a superconductor. It has now been established both experim entally^{1,2,3,4,5,6} and theoretically^{7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16} that a spin density wave (SDW) order could be induced and pinned at the vortex lattice by a strong magnetic eld for both underand optim ally-doped HTS. In neutron scattering experin ents by Lake et al.¹, a rem arkable antiferrom agnetic (AF)-like SDW was observed in optimally-and underdoped $La_2 \times Sr_x CuO_4$ under a strong magnetic eld. The m uon spin rotation m easurem ent by M iller et al.² studied the internal magnetic eld distribution in the vortex core of underdoped $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+x}$, and it was revealed a feature in the high-eld tail which ts well to a model with static alternating magnetization. Recent nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements by Mitrovic et al.³ studied the spatially resolved NMR signal in the m ixed state of optim ally doped YB a_2 C u_3 O $_7$, and they found strong AF uctuations outside the cores and rather di erent electronic states inside the vortex cores. Another NMR experiment by Kakuyanagi et al.⁶ investigated the magnetism in and around the vortex core of nearly optim ally-doped $T \lg B a_2 C u O_{6+}$, the NMR signal rate T_1^{1} at T1 site provides a direct evidence that the AF spin correlation is signi cantly enhanced in the vortex core region. From theoretical point of view, various approaches^{7,8,9,10,11} suggest the existence of an induced AF order inside the core. Som e recent studies^{12,13,14,15,16} also reveal that the AF order could propagate outside the

vortex cores and form a $\operatorname{SD} W$.

As proposed in several articles^{17,18,19}, that the spatially imaging NMR experiment can be a powerful tool to investigate the exotic electronic structure around the vortex cores. The frequency dependence of NMR signal rate re ects the internal magnetic eld distribution and the electronic and magnetic excitations in the mixed state can be probed through the tem perature and site -dependence of ${\tt T_1}^1$. The spatial variation of the vortex lattice in the NMR experiments can be resolved by the distribution of internal magnetic eld. In the present paper, we study the NMR theory for HTS with the e ect of the magnetic eld induced SDW being taken into account. Our approach is based upon an e ective model Ham iltonian with a d-wave pairing interaction $V_{D SC}$ between nearest neighboring sites and a competing onsite Coulomb repulsion U which may generate a competing AF order. The parameters are chosen in such a way that only d-wave superconductivity (DSC) prevails in the optimally doped HTS samples when the magnetic eld B = 0. U sing the Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) equations, The dynam ic spin-spin correlation function between site i and site j can be num erically obtained. From this correlation function and the established methods²⁰, we are able to derive the NMR relaxation rates T_1^{1} on ^{17}O and on ⁶³Cu nuclei, and from which the NMR signals as a function of temperature T and site location²² can be determined. Our calculation is performed for an optim ally doped HTS sample with the chosen U so that the B induced SDW would appear only below a critical tem perature T_{AF} which is considerably smaller than the superconductivity transition temperature Tc. We nd that there exists no simple direct relationship between the NMR signal rate T_1^{1} and the low energy local density

of states (LDOS) while these two quantities are linearly proportional to each other in a pure d-wave superconductor (U = 0). In the core region, we d that T_1^1 exhibits a double-peak behavior, one sharp and one broad, as the tem perature is increased to T_c, in contrast to a single broad peak for a pure d-wave superconductor. It is found that the low tem perature peak becom es sharper and moves to lower T when T_{AF} becomes lower. This result is consistent with the NMR experim ents^{3,4,5,6}. We also show the di erences between T_1^{1} on ^{17}O and that on ⁶³C u as a function of lattice site at di erent tem peratures and magnetic elds. In general the NMR signal at the ⁶³C u site is larger than that at ¹⁷O site, and its m agnitude can be quite enhanced at higherm agnetic eld. In section II we will outline our method and the numerical scheme for calculating the NMR relaxation rate. In section III, we will present our num erical results and their com parison with the experim entalm easurem ents. In addition we are also going to com pare our work with other sim ilar theoretically studies 17,30,31, there the articles 17,30 only consider the case for a pure d-wave superconductor. In all these works^{17,30,31}, the NMR signals are calculated for the square lattice sites which may not rigorously related to the ¹⁷O and ⁶³C u sites as discussed in the present paper. In the last section, we will give a sum mary and discussion of our results.

II. FORMALISM

Let us begin with a phenom enologicalm odel in which interactions describing both D SC and SDW orderparam – eters in a two-dimensional lattice are considered. The e ective H am iltonian can be written as:

$$H = \begin{array}{c} X & X \\ t_{ij}c_{i}^{v}c_{j} + (U n_{i})c_{i}^{v}c_{i} \\ X \\ + (_{ij}c_{i''}^{v}c_{j\#}^{v} + h c:); \end{array} (2.1)$$

where c_1^{γ} is the electron creation operator, is the chemical potential, and the sum mation is over the nearest neighboring sites. In the presence of magnetic eld B norm al to the two dimensional plane, the hopping integral can be expressed as $t_{ij} = t_0 \exp[i - \frac{r_i}{\sigma_j} A(r) dr]$ for the nearest neighboring sites (i; j), with $\sigma = h=2e$ as the superconducting ux quantum. We assume that the strength of B is large enough such that it can be regarded as uniform. Here we choose the Landau gauge for the vector potential $A = (B_{\gamma}; 0; 0)$. Since the internal magnetic eld induced by the supercurrent around the vortex core, as it will be num erically shown later, is so sm all as com pared with B, the above assumption should be justi-

ed. The induced SDW and the DSC orders in our system are respectively de ned as ${}_{i}^{SDW} = U h c_{i}^{y} c_{i}$, $c_{i \#}^{y} c_{i \#} i$ and ${}_{ij} = V_{DSC} h c_{i}$, $c_{j \#} c_{i}$, $c_{I \#} c_{j}$, where U and V_{DSC} represent the interaction strengths for SDW and DSC.

The mean-eld Ham iltonian (1) can be diagonalized by solving the resulting BdG equations self-consistently

where the single particle H am intonian H $_{ij}$ = t_{ij} + $v_{i\#}u_{j^{*}}^{n}) \, \text{tanh} \ \frac{E_{n}}{2k_{\text{B}}\,T}$, with f (E) as the Ferm i distribution function and the electron density $n_i = n_{i''} + n_{i\#}$. The DSC order parameter at the ith site is D = $(\overset{D}{i+} \overset{D}{e_x}, i + \overset{D}{p_{i+}} \overset{D}{e_x}, i \overset{D}{i+} \overset{D}{e_y}, i + \overset{D}{p_{i+}} \overset{D}{e_y}) = 4 \text{ where } \overset{D}{i+} \overset{D}{i+} \underset{j}{p_{i+}} = \underset{i_j}{p_{i+}} \exp [i - \overset{D}{e_y}, \frac{1}{r_i}, \frac{1$ vector along (x;y) direction. The main procedure of our self-consistent calculation is sum marized as follows. For a random set of initial parameters n_i and i_j , the H am iltonian is num erically diagonalized and the obtained quasiparticle wave functions u_{i}^{n} , and v_{i}^{n} , are used to calculate the new parameters for the next iteration step. The iteration continues until the relative di erence of order parameter between two consecutive iteration steps is less than 10 4 .

In the following section, we need to calculate the local density of states (LDOS) according to the form ula:

$${}_{i}(\mathbf{E}) = [j u_{i;}^{n} j^{2} f^{0}(\mathbf{E}_{n} \mathbf{E}) + j v_{i;\#}^{n} j^{2} f^{0}(\mathbf{E}_{n} + \mathbf{E})];$$

$${}_{n}$$

$$(2.3)$$

where $f^{0}(E)$ df (E)=dE. The LDOS is proportional to the local di erential tunneling conductance at low tem – perature which can be measured by STM experiments. In addition, we also need to calculate the internal magnetic eld distribution of the vortex state. The internal magnetic eld is computed through the Maxwell equation, r H_{int}(r) = $\frac{4}{c}$ j(r), where the current j(r) is calculated as:

$$j_{e_{x,y}}(\mathbf{r}_{i}) = 2je_{j} m ft_{i+e_{x,y},i} \qquad [u_{i+e_{x,y}}^{n} u_{i}^{n} f(E_{n}) + v_{i+e_{x,y}}^{n} v_{i}^{n} (1 f(E_{n}))]g; \qquad (2.4)$$

The nuclear spin relaxation rate T_1^{1} can be obtained from the spin-spin correlation function $_+$; (i; j;) at zero energy through the following site dependent function^{17,25},

$$R (i; j) = \lim_{X^{+}; (i; j; i_{n} ! + i_{n}) = (=T)_{j_{0}}^{-1} \\ = u_{i}^{n} u_{i}^{n^{\circ}} [u_{j}^{n} u_{j}^{n^{\circ}} + v_{j}^{n} v_{j}^{n^{\circ}}] \\ \prod_{n; n^{\circ}} T f^{0}(E_{n}) (E_{n} - E_{n^{\circ}}):$$
(2.5)

Since all of the experim ents 3,4,5,6 are perform ed at the oxygen, copper, or other nuclei in HTS, we need the expressions of the NMR relaxation rate at these sites. In this paper we shall mainly consider the contribution from the planar oxygen (17 O) and copper (63 Cu) nuclei. The

oxygen nucleus is located between two C u nuclei along the x or y axis. The internal magnetic eld H_{int} on ^{17}O site is averaged over its two nearest neighboring ^{63}Cu sites. The NMR relaxation rate form factor on nuclei ^{17}O and ^{63}Cu in m om entum space is: $F_{O,x}$ 1 + $\cos(q_x=2)$ and F_{Cu} ($\cos(q_x) + \cos(q_y)$)². It can be expressed in term softhe real-space spin-spin correlations as follow s^{20} :

$$1 = {}^{17}T_{1}T = C \mathbb{R} (i; i) + 1 = 2 \overset{X^{0}}{\overset{j \neq x}{\overset{j \neq x}{\overset{j \neq x}{\overset{j \neq x}{\overset{j = x}{\overset{j = x}{\overset{j \neq x}{\overset{j \neq x}{\overset{j = x}{\overset{j \neq x}{\overset{j \neq x}{\overset{j = x}{\overset{j \neq x}{\overset{j = x}{\overset{j = x}{\overset{j \neq x}{\overset{j = x}}{\overset{j = x}{\overset{j = x}}{\overset{j = x}{\overset{j = x}{\overset{$$

 $\begin{array}{ccc} P & \circ & P & \infty & P & \infty \\ \text{here the notation of} & & & & \\ \mathbf{x}, & & & \text{and} & & \\ \text{m eans sum m ation} \\ \text{over tw o nearest-neighboring sites along the x axis, four} \\ \text{next-neighboring-sites, and four next-next-neighboring} \\ \text{sites, respectively. Here we calculate T_1^1 in terms of arbitrary unit and choose the ratio of the coe cients^{21}$ \\ B = C = 1.583. \end{array}$

Throughout this paper, we use the following parameters: U = 2:4, V_{DSC} = 1:2, the linear dimension of the unit cell of the vortex lattice is chosen as $N_x N_y$ = 40 20 sites and the number of the unit cells $M_x M_y$ = 20 40, and the hole doping level x = 0:15. This choice corresponds to a uniform magnetic eld B ' 37T. We set t₀ = a = 1. The standard procedures²⁶ are followed to introducem agnetic unit cells, where each unit cell contains two superconducting ux quanta. By introducing the quasimom entum of the magnetic B loch state, we obtain the wave function under the periodic boundary condition whose region covers many unit cells.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND COMPARING WITH EXPERIMENTS

O ur previous numerical calculations¹² show clearly that the induced AF order exists both inside and outside the vortex cores, and behaves like an inhom ogeneous SDW with the same wave length in the x and y directions. Fig. 1 depicts the SDW amplitude or the staggered magnetization $M_i^s = \sum_{i=1}^{SDW} /U$ distribution in a square lattice. It is seen that the induced SDW order reaches its maximum value at the vortex core center (V), zero value at the saddle-point midway between two nearest neighboring vortices (S), and in between at the center of the squared vortex lattice unit cell (C). U sing Eq.(2.4), the internalm agnetic eld H_{int} at di erent site can be num erically determ ined. In the upper right inset of Fig. 1 we show the histogram of H_{int} , where P (H_{int}) m easures the num ber of lattice sites (or plaquettes) with

xed $H_{\rm int}.$ W e can approximately identify each site (V, S,C) including the sites around it in the vortex lattice

to the distribution. The correspondence between the site position and H_{int} can roughly be established. It is easy to see that the magnitude of H_{int} is decreasing along the path (V ! S! C) and its direction is alw ays perpendicular to the lattice plane. In a narrow region, H_{int} may even become negative. This is very di erent from the case for a pure DSC where H_{int} is always positive ¹⁷. Our histogram of H_{int} distribution is consistent with the experimental data³ except there the region of negative H_{int} is somewhat wider than ours. The experimentally observed negative H_{int} may indicate the existence of magnetic eld induced SDW around the vortex cores.

The NMR signal at the maximum cuto of the histogram as a function of internal magnetic eld comes from the V-site. The minimum cuto is from the C-site. The peak value of the internal magnetic eld comes from the S-site. Contrary to the case for a pure d-wave superconductor, the SDW order outside the vortex core may have a remarkable contribution to both the internal magnetic distribution and the NMR signal. It is supposed that ¹⁷0 NMR experiment can provide direct inform ation on the LDOS as the antiferrom agnetism spin uctuation is cancelled^{27,28}. From our study, we nd that in the presence of SDW vortex cores, the internal magnetic eld on¹⁷0 site can not be cancelled exactly and appreciable residue exists.

Next, we study the relationship between low energy



FIG.1: The amplitude distribution of the SDW order parameter M $_{\rm i}^{\rm s}$ (a) in one magnetic unit cell. V, S, C points represents the vortex core center, the saddle point midway between two nearest neighboring vortices, and the center of the squared vortex lattice unit cell, respectively. The inset shows the histogram P (H $_{\rm int}$) of the induced magnetic eld distribution where H $_{\rm int}$ is in a unit of 10^3 G auss. The calculation is performed with U = 2:4 and $V_{\rm D\,SC}$ = 12.



FIG.2: The spatial distribution in ages of the LDOS at the energy E = 0 (a) and NMR relaxation rate $1=T_1$ (i)T (b) in a vortex unit cell for a pure DSC with U = 0. O ther parameter values are the same as those in Fig. 1.

LDOS and NMR signal rate $1=T_1$. In a pure d-wave superconductor (DSC), a linear relationship is found be-R (i; i) and the LDOS $_{i}(E = 0)^{17}$ at tween $1=T_1$ (i) zero tem perature. We have checked our program and reproduced sim ilar results. Figure 2 depicts the spatial distribution in ages of LDOS $_{i}(E = 0)$ (a), and $1=T_{1}$ (i)T (b) for a pure DSC with U = 0 at low tem perature. In this case, the core state shows zero-energy peak²⁶ and nodal directions are along x = y and x =y. The images in Fig. 2(a) and 2(b) are similar to each other and the results can be regarded as linearly proportional to each other. Thus the NMR signal for a pure DSC can be estim ated quantitatively from its corresponding zero energy LDOS according to the linear relationship.

From Fig. 2(a), the LDOS of quasiparticles decreases along the path (V! C! S). In the nodal directions, appreciable weight of the low -energy states extending from the vortex core still remains there. Our numerical result indicates that the LDOS of the low -energy states at the C-site is slightly larger than that of the S-site, although C-site is farther away from the vortex center. This is di erent from the work by Takigawa et al¹⁷ where the LDOS at the S site is found to be larger than that

at the C site. The authors 1^{7} there employed the sym m etrical gauge and they found that their unit vector of vortex lattice is oriented along 45° from the Cu-O bond. But our result is consistent with the study of K napp et al. ³⁰who used a di erent approach and calculated the NMR signalat various sites for a pure DSC. Furtherm ore, a recent sm all-angle neutron scattering m easurem ent²⁹ for La_{1:83}Sr_{0:17}CuO₄₊ indicates that the unit vector of vortex lattice is clearly oriented along the Cu-O bonds, consistent with our result in Fig. 1. The NMR experim ents 3,4,5,6 also show that the NMR signal rst decreases as one moves away from the V-site to S-site and then increases at the C-site, consistent with the results in Fig. 2. Here we notice that the NMR signal at C site is slightly larger than that at S-site, their di erence could be seen in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. It appears that the above analysis could provide a basic understanding of the spatial distribution of the experim entally observed NMR relaxation rates in HTS in the fram ework of pure DSC. However, it is well known that the theoretical predicted LDOS²⁶, which shows a strong zero energy peak for a pure DSC at the vortex core is in contradictory to the STM measure $m ents^{23,24}$ on HTS. In order to overcom e this di culty, the magnetic induced AF order has been introduced¹¹ to account for the experim ents. In the following we shall investigate the e ect of induced AF or SDW order on the NMR theory in HTS.

The presence of the induced SDW order in the mixed state may lead to violation of the linear relationship between the LDOS at E = 0 and the NMR relaxation rate $1=T_1$ (i). This can be seen clearly in Fig. 3, where we show the spatial images of the LDOS (a) and the $1=T_1$ (i)T (b) according to our num erical calculations for U = 2.4. There is little resemblance between Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), and the linear relationship does not exists here. Fig. 3(a) indicates that the LDOS is smallest at V-site, and largest at S-site, it clearly can not be applied to explain the NMR experiments^{3,4,5,6} as in the case for a pure DSC .But the LDOS obtained here exhibits no zero energy peak at the vortex core which is consistent with the STM experiments^{23,24}. The averaged LDOS of quasiparticles in Fig. 3 (a) (U = 2.4) is greatly suppressed than that in Fig. 2(a) (U = 0). This is because the presence of the induced SDW enhances the insulating nature of the system and thus reduces the num ber of the quasiparticles. W hen U = 2.4, there are two contributions to the NMR signal $1=T_1$ (i)T, at the low tem perature, the dom inant one is from the induced SDW order and the m inor one is from the low energy quasiparticle states. The LDOS is the sm allest at the vortex core center but the SDW order is at its maximum as shown in Fig. 1. From Fig. 3(b), it is easy to see that the spatially distributed NMR signal $1=T_1$ (i)T which has the largest value at the vortex center because of the induced AF order there and decreases along the path (V! C! S). This feature is consistent with what has been observed by the experiments^{3,4,5,6} and in sharp contrast with Takigawa et al.'s results³¹. They claim ed that T_1^{1} at V-site is smaller than the neigh-



FIG.3: The spatial distribution in ages of the LDOS at the energy E = 0 (a) and NMR relaxation rate $1=T_1$ (i)T (b) in a vortex unit cell for a DSC with induced SDW. All parameter values are the same as those in Fig. 1.

boring sites and the NMR signal rate at S-site is higher than that of C-site. The choice of lattice size in their calculations corresponds to a very strong magnetic eld at about 51 Tesla. Their results seem to be inconsistent with the measurem ent of $1=^{17}T_1$ on YBa₂Cu₃O₇³.

The NMR signals also exhibit the tem perature T and magnetic eld B dependences. Our approach described in Section II is easily extended to study the nite T case, but not the B dependence because weaker B im plies larger size calculation which we are not able to do at the present m om ent. Since the observed NMR signals are coming from the oxygen, copper, or other atoms in HTS, here we shall mainly consider the tem perature dependence of the NMR signal rate $1=^{17}T_1$ from the ^{17}O sites using Eq. (2.6). It needs to be point out that the value of R (i; j f i) in Eq. (2.6) is of the same order with R (i; i) and its contribution should not be neglected. In Fig. 4, the NMR relaxation rates $1=T_1=1=1^{17}T_1$ on 1^7O site normalized by its value $1=T_1^c$ at T_c as a function of T are plotted for sites V, C and S. The calculations are perform ed using U = 2.4 except the dashed curve associated with the V site where U = 0 and is obtained for a pure DSC. The inset plots the tem perature dependence



FIG.4: Tem perature dependences of 17 O NMR signals $1\text{=}T_1$ norm alized by its value $1\text{=}T_1^{\,\text{c}}$ at T_c are plotted as a function of $T\text{=}T_c$ at the sites V,S,C. The dashed line associated with the site V is obtained for a pure DSC by using U = 0. The inset plots the tem perature dependence of SDW order parameters at V-site and DSC order at S-site.

of the staggered m agnetization at V-site and DSC order at S-site. Our calculations show that the vast majority sites outside the vortex core exhibit long relaxation time, but few sites around the vortex core exhibit short relaxation time, which contains useful inform ation on the site-dependent low energy excitations around the vortex. The maximum magnitude of ${}^{17}T_1^{1}$ at V-site is about two orders of magnitude larger than that far from the vortex core, and the NMR signal rate at C-site is also larger than that at S-site. Because both NMR signals at C and S sites are very weak as compared to that at V site, their distinction is not re ected in Fig. 4 below T < 0:4T_c. The m in im al value of the staggered m agnetization occurs near the saddle point S. It re ects the fact that the SDW order at C site is larger than that at S site as shown in Fig. 1. This result clearly indicates that the presence of the induced SDW order makes remarkable contribution to NMR signals. At the vortex core center V , the evolution of T_1^{-1} with tem perature exhibits a double peak structure below T_c ; the sharp peak occurs at lower T and the broad peak is at higher T. This is in contrast to a single peak obtained with U = 0 for a pure DSC¹⁷, and it can be clearly seen from the dashed curve below a critical tem perature T_{AF} 0:54T_c and the solid curve above T_{AF} associated with the V site in Fig. 4. The inset shows that above the tem perature $T_{A\,F}$, the induced staggered m agnetization vanishes and only D SC order prevails up to T_c . The sharp peak at $0.45T_c$ is below T_{AF} and the broad peak at $0.64T_{c}$ is originated in the pure DSC order. It is obvious that the value of the critical tem perature T_{AF} is U or sample dependent, and the position of the sharp peak can made to a lower T if a smaller U or T_{AF} is chosen for the calculation. In



FIG.5: Site-dependent relaxation rate T_1^{-1} for ${}^{63}Cu$ (a), ${}^{17}O$ nuclei of pure d-wave case and ${}^{63}Cu$ (c), ${}^{17}O$ (d) site of SDW vortex core case.

case the value of T_{AF} is slightly higher than the position of the broad peak, only a single peak for the NMR signal is predicted. When T_{AF} is close or larger than T_c , the NMR signal would be a increasing function of T and may not show any peak structure. We also have done the same calculation for the NMR relaxation rates on 63 C u nuclei on our model lattice sites (1=T₁(i)), and found sim ilar T and site dependence as shown in Fig. 4. In the experimental aspect, a sharp peak has been observed at T $0.235T_c$ ⁶ in T l_2 Ba₂C uO₆ while there is no observed peak in YBa₂C u₃O₇ up to T $0.272T_c$ ³. Therefore the value U in YBa₂C u₃O₇ should be larger than that in T l_2 Ba₂C uO₆. This conclusion is opposite to the theoretical prediction m ade by Takigawa et al.³¹

We also would like to exam ine the dierence in the spatial distributions of the NMR signals from 63 C u and 17 O nuclei. In Fig. 5, The site distribution m aps of T_1^{1} for 63 Cu and for 17 O in a pure DSC (U = 0) are respectively illustrated in (a) and (b). Both of these maps reach a sim ilar peak value at the vortex core and then fall away sm oothly from it. In the vortex core, the magnitude of T_1^{-1} at the ^{63}Cu site is about three times larger than that at the 17 O site. In Figs. 5(c) and 5(d), we present the results for ⁶³Cu and ¹⁷O nuclei in a DSC with induced SDW (U = 2.4). The site-dependent spectra for both nucleishow staggered oscillations in the vortex core, there the NMR signal rate from the ¹⁷O site is about one fourth of the value from that of ⁶³Cu site. This is because the AF order at the ¹⁷O sites could be dram atically weakened by the partial cancellation of the staggered magnetization between two nearest neighboring sites on the original lattice. It is useful to point out that the NMR signal ${}^{17}T_1^{1}$ outside the vortex core region is one order of magnitude sm aller than that of ${}^{63}T_1{}^1$. In the presence



FIG.6: Site-dependent relaxation rate T_1^{1} for two dimensions from the measures with U = 2.4 and the magnetic eld B = 37T at 63 Cu (a) and at 17 O (b). The log plot is shown as inset.

of the induced SDW, the NMR signal rate is enhanced at the core center and suppressed away from the vortex core. For both U = 0 and U = 2.4 cases, T_1^{-1} reaches a maximum at the core center while its value at the C-site is always larger than that at the S-site.

Next, the tem perature dependence of NMR signal rates for the case of U = 2.4 is exam ined. Here, we plot the variation of T_1^{-1} along the path V ! S ! C for 63 C u nuclei in Fig. 6(a) and for 17 O nuclei Fig. 6(b) at two di erent tem peratures. The values of T_1^{-1} in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) exhibit similar behavior and oscillate from site to site. The am plitude of the oscillation rejects the underlying staggered magnetization and is apparently weakened at higher tem perature. In fact, the T dependence of NMR signal rate at three typical points has been seen from Fig. 3. Figure 6 shows more clearly that NMR signal rate at each site increases with tem perature and its value at site C is always larger than that at site S. We have reproduced the power law relation T_1^{-1} T³ for a pure D SC¹⁷ at zero magnetic eld and at low temperature.



FIG.7: Site-dependent relaxation time T_1^{1} for two dimenti m agnetic elds with U = 2.4 and the temperature $T=T_c=0.35$ at 63 Cu (a) and at 17 O (b). The log plot is shown as inset.

In the presence of vortices with induced SDW order, it should not be surprised that $T_1^{\ 1}$ does not follow this T 3 kw .

Finally, we show the site dependence of NMR signal rates on 63 C u and on 17 O nuclei at two di erent magnetic eld B respectively in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b). The NMR signals T_1^{1} in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) are similar to each other. Since the magnitude of the induced SDW order is strongly dependent on the external magnetic

eld B | higher B leads to more pronounced SDW order which may in turn yield a larger NMR signal. This conclusion is consistent with the NMR experiments⁶. As a result, the amplitude of oscillation at dierent sites, which measures the strength of the induced staggered magnetization, is dramatically reduced when the magnetic eld B is decreased from 37T to 27T as shown in Fig. 7. Here B = 27T corresponds to a vortex lattice unit cell of 48 24 sites. On the other hand, the NMR signal rate at V-site is suppressed with increasing B for a pure DSC ¹⁷ which rejects the density of quasiparticle

around the vortex core is reduced due to the enhancem ent of quasiparticle leakage along the nodal directions. It was argued by Takigawa et al.³¹ that when AF order inside the vortex core is large enough, T_1^{-1} becomes the absolute m in im um at V-site. This conjecture³¹ is based on the assumption that the linear relation between T_1^{1} and LDOS still exists even in the presence of the SDW order. On the other hand, our results indicate strongly that with the increasing B, the enhancem ent of AF order inside the vortex core m ay lead to m ore pronounced T_1^{-1} value at the V-site. This is very di erent from what has been predicted in Ref. 31. Finally we point out that existing experim ental data³ show the presence of appreciable NMR signal rate at S-site and its value is insensitive to T.But in our calculations there is a very weak NMR signal at S-site. The discrepancy between experimental data and our results m ay be due to the reason unclear to us at this m om ent.

IV. SUMMARY

Based on a model Ham iltonian as described in Sections I and II, we have investigated the site-and tem peraturedependence of NMR signal rate T_1^{1} near the vortex core in an optim ally doped HTS. The calculations are carried out with (U = 2:4) and without (U = 0) the magnetic eld induced SDW order. A lthough the relative values of T_1^{1} at V, S, and C sites near the vortex core obtained theoretically for a pure DSC are consistent with recent experim ents^{3,4,5,6}, the tem perature dependent of T_1^{1} thus derived fails to explain the sharp peak observed in NMR experiments⁶ for T l₂B a₂C uO ₆₊ at low temperature. Moreover, the LDOS obtained for a pure DSC ²⁶ has a zero energy peak at the core center and this is in contradictory to the STM observation $s^{23,24}$. All these di culties could som ew hat be rem oved by introducing the eld induced SDW pinned at the vortex cores as it has been studied in the present paper. W ith the induced SDW order, the LDOS exhibits no zero energy peak at the core center and is consistent with experiments. Unlike the case for a pure DSC, there exists no linear relationship between zero energy LDOS and T_1^{1} . We show that SDW order could strongly enhance the NMR signal rate, and as a result, the NMR signal T_1^{1} from ^{17}O site has its largest value at the core center V , and sm allest value at the saddle point S site (see Figs. 6 and 7). The tem perature dependent of T_1^{1} at V site exhibits a sharp peak at low tem perature which is in agreem ent with the NMR experiments^{3,6}. Since the strength of the SDW order depends on the magnitude of B, the value of T_1^{1} should also be enhanced by increasing B.W e also compare T_1^{1} from 63 Cu and 17 O nuclei at di erent T and B, their essential features are sim ilar to each other. The magnitude of ${}^{17}T_1^{1}$ outside the vortex core region is about one order of m agnitude sm aller than that of ${}^{63}T_1^{-1}$ while these two values are closer to each other inside the vortex core. Finally, we would like to point out that

the di erence between our results and those of a sim ilar work³¹ has been discussed in detail in Section III. A nother fundam ental di erence is that their LD O S^{31} at the vortex center for a pure D SC (U = 0) show s double peaks near E = 0 while only a single peak is obtained in previous²⁶ and present works. It is our hope that the present calculation m ay shed m ore light on the understanding of recent NM R and STM experim ents for the m ixed state of HTS in a uni ed picture, and stim ulate m ore theoretical activity in this eld.

- ¹ B. Lake, G. Aeppli, K N. Clausen, D F. M cM onrow, K. Lefm ann, N E. Hussey, N. Mangkomtong, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, T E. M ason, and A. Schroder, Science 291, 1759 (2001); B. Lake, H M. Ronnow, N B. Christensen, G. Aeppli, K. Lefm ann, D F. M cM orrow, P. Vorderwisch, P. Sm eibidl, N. Mangkomtong, T. Sasagawa, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, and T E. M ason, Nature 415, 299 (2002).
- ² R.I. Miller, R.F. Kie, J.H. Brewer, J.E. Sonier, J. Chakhalian, S.Dunsiger, G.D. Morris, A.N. Price, D.A. Bonn, W.H. Hardy, and R. Liang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 137002 (2002).
- ³ V F.M itrovic, E E.Sigm und, M.Eschrig, H N.Bachman, W P.Halperin, A P.Reyes, P.Kuhns, and W G.Moulton, Nature 413, 501 (2001); V F.M itrovic, E E.Sigm und, W P.Halperin, A P.Reyes, P.Kuhns, and W G.Moulton, cond-m at/0202368 (unpublished).
- ⁴ N.J.Curro, C.M illing, J.Hasse, and C.P.Slichter, Phys. Rev.B 62, 3473 (2000).
- ⁵ K.Kakuyanagi, K.Kum agai, and Y.M atsuda, Phys.Rev. B 65, 060503 (2002).
- ⁶ K. Kakuyanagi, K. Kumagai, Y. Matsuda, and M. Hasegawa, cond-mat/0206362 (unpublished).
- ⁷ S.-C. Zhang, Science 275, 1089 (1997); D P.A rovas, A.J. Berlinsky, C. Kallin, and Shou-Cheng Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2871 (1997).
- ⁸ E.Dem ler, S.Sachdev, and Y.Zhang, Phys.Rev.Lett. 87,067202 (2001); Y.Zhang, E.Dem ler, and S.Sachdev, Phys.Rev.B 66,094501 (2002).
- ⁹ M.Ogata, Int.J.M od.Phys.B 13, 3560 (1999).
- ¹⁰ M. Ichioka, M. Takigawa and K. Machida, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 33 (2001).
- ¹¹ Jian-X in Zhu and C.S.Ting, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 147002 (2001).
- ¹² Yan Chen and C.S. Ting, Phys. Rev. B 65, R180513 (2002).
- ¹³ Jian-X in Zhu, Ivar M artin, and A R. B ishop, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 067003 (2002).
- ¹⁴ Yan Chen, H.Y. Chen and C.S. Ting, Phys. Rev. B 66, 104501 (2002).
- ¹⁵ Han-Dong Chen, Jiang-Ping Hu, Sylvain Capponi, Enrico

A cknow ledgm ents

W e are grateful to S.H.Pan, B.Friedman, G.-q. Zheng and Z.D.W ang for useful discussions. This work was supported by a grant from the Robert A.W elch Foundation and by the Texas Center for Superconductivity at the University of Houston through the State of Texas (YC and CST), and the Department of Energy (JXZ).

Arrigoni, and Shou-Cheng Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 137004 (2002).

- ¹⁶ M.Franz, D.E.Sheehy, and Z.Tesanovic, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88, 257005 (2002).
- ¹⁷ M. Takigawa, M. Ichioka, and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3057 (1999); J. Phys Soc. Jpn. 69, 3943 (2000).
- ¹⁸ R W ortis, A J. Berlinsky, and C. Kallin, Phys. Rev. B 61, 12342 (2000).
- ¹⁹ D K. M orr and R. W ortis, Phys. Rev. B 61, R882 (2000), and D K. M orr, Phys. Rev. B 63, 214509 (2001).
- ²⁰ B S.Shastry, Phys.Rev.Lett.63, 1288 (1989); A J.M illis,
 H.Monien, and D.Pines, Phys.Rev.B 42, 167 (1990);
 Z.Y.Weng, D N.Sheng, and C S.Ting, Phys.Rev.B 59, 11367 (1999).
- ²¹ N.Bulut, D.Hone, D.J. Scalapino, and N.E.Bickers, Phys. Rev.Lett. 64, 2723-2726 (1990).
- ²² Som e prelim inary results have been reported in, C S.T ing, Yan Chen, and Jian-X in Zhu, Bull. Am . Phys. Soc. 47 (1), 330 (2002) M arch].
- ²³ Ch.Renner, B.Revaz, K.Kadowaki, I.Maggio-Aprile, . Fischer, Phys.Rev.Lett. 80, 3606 (1998).
- ²⁴ S.H.Pan, E.W. .Hudson, A.K.Gupta, K.-W. .Ng, H.Eisaki, S. Uchida, and J.C. Davis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1536 (2000).
- ²⁵ R.Leadon, and H.Suhl, Phys. Rev. 165, 596 (1968).
- ²⁶ Y.W ang and A.H.M adD onald, Phys. Rev. B 52, R 3876 (1995).
- ²⁷ M. Takigawa, A.P. Reyes, P.C. Hammel, J.D. Thompson, R.H. Hener, Z.Fisk, and K.C. Ott, Phys. Rev. B 43, 247 (1991).
- ²⁸ N.Bulut and D.J.Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 45, 2371 (1992).
- ²⁹ R.Gilardi, J.M. esot, A.D. rew, U.D. ivakar, S.L.Lee, E.M. Forgan, O. Zaharko, K. Conder, V.K. A.Swal, C.D. Dewhurst, R.Cubitt, N.M. om ono, and M.O.da, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 217003 (2002).
- ³⁰ D.Knapp, C.Kallin, A.J.Berlinsky, and R.W ortis, Phys. Rev.B 66, 144508 (2002).
- ³¹ M. Takigawa, M. Ichioka, and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 047001 (2003).