

High Temperature Superfluid and Feshbach Resonance

Deog Ki Hong^{1,a} and Stephen D. H. Hsu^{2,b}^aDepartment of Physics, Pusan National University, Pusan 609-735, Korea^bDepartment of Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403-5203¹dkhong@pnu.edu, ²hsu@duende.uoregon.edu

(March 22, 2002)

Abstract

We study an effective field theory describing cold fermionic atoms near a Feshbach resonance. The theory gives a unique description of the dynamics in the limit that the energy of the Feshbach resonance is tuned to be twice that of the Fermi surface. We show that in this limit the zero temperature superfluid condensate is of order the Fermi energy, and obtain a critical temperature $T_C \sim 0.43 T_F$.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Ss, 64.60.-i, 74.20.-z

After the successful achievement of Bose-Einstein condensation in ultracold atomic gases, a natural question is whether one can achieve superfluidity in a degenerate fermion gas. Samples of fermionic alkali atoms have already been cooled below their Fermi temperature of several hundreds nano-Kelvin [1], where quantum degeneracy phenomena such as Cooper-pairing of atoms are potentially important [2].

It was suggested that the superfluidity gap may be made as large as of order the Fermi energy in the alkali atomic gases [3,4,5], using a Feshbach resonance [6], at which the scattering length becomes very large. For weak coupling, the scattering length away from resonance is usually much smaller than the typical wavelength of fermions near the Fermi surface, $|a| \ll k_F^{-1}$ and the Cooper pairing gap is then given in terms of scattering length as

$$\Delta \approx 2E_F e^{-\pi/2k_F |a|}, \quad (1)$$

where E_F is the Fermi energy and $\hbar k_F = p_F$ is the Fermi momentum. The effective strength of attraction is related to the scattering length as $V = 4\pi\hbar^2 a/m$, where n is the number density and m is the fermion mass. Therefore, a weakly bound Cooper-pair gap is typically much smaller than the Fermi energy. However, if the scattering of fermions occurs at the Feshbach resonance, whose energy is nearly equal to twice that of the Fermi surface, the effective scattering length becomes quite large and so does the gap. In this paper we analyze this enhancement at the Feshbach resonance in detail.

At ultralow temperature, the atomic gas can be described by a Fermi liquid à la Landau, whose (effective) Lagrangian density is given as, suppressing the spin indices,

$$\mathcal{L}_e = \psi^\dagger i\partial_t \psi + \frac{\nabla^2 \psi^\dagger \psi}{2m} + E_F \psi^\dagger \psi + \mathcal{L}_{int}; \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{int} describes the interaction of atoms. The interaction Lagrangian can be expanded in powers of ψ and ψ^\dagger ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = \frac{g_1}{2} \psi^\dagger \psi^2 + g_2 \psi^\dagger \tilde{\psi}^2 + \dots \quad (3)$$

Near the Fermi surface, interactions with non-Cooper pairing kinematics, as well as derivative corrections to the Cooper pairing interaction, are irrelevant and flow to zero [7]. For scattering of atoms of opposite momenta, only the leading term is marginal, and, if attractive ($g_1 > 0$), a gap opens at the Fermi surface, given as

$$\Delta \approx 2E_F e^{-2/(g_1 \rho_F)}; \quad (4)$$

where ρ_F is the energy density of states at the Fermi surface.

However, when the resonance scattering occurs at energy near twice the Fermi energy, $E_R \approx 0$, we have to include the resonance degrees of freedom in the effective Lagrangian, since such a resonance state is easily excited and has a long lifetime:

$$\mathcal{L}_e = 3 \psi^\dagger i\partial_t \psi + \frac{\nabla^2 \psi^\dagger \psi}{2M} - E_R \psi^\dagger \psi + g \psi^\dagger \psi \tilde{\psi} + \text{h.c.} + \dots; \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{\psi}$ denotes the (spin-0) resonance field of mass M and a decay width Γ . The ellipsis denotes the higher-order terms involving ψ , $\tilde{\psi}$, and/or ψ^\dagger , $\tilde{\psi}^\dagger$, which are negligible near

the Fermi surface. Note that the resonance energy E_R is measured from the Fermi surface. Namely, it is the binding energy minus twice the Fermi energy. As the coupling g has dimensions of [energy]^{1/2} in natural units, we introduce a dimensionless coupling g ,

$$g = \frac{p}{2M} \overline{g}; \quad (6)$$

which is simply the Yukawa coupling between the scalar resonance and the fermionic atom that would appear in a relativistic formulation of the model. (We can obtain the kinetic energy in (5) from the usual kinetic term $\bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi$ by rescaling the field by $e^{iM\tau} = \frac{p}{2M}$.) By comparing on-resonance scattering in the relativistic and non-relativistic formulations, one can see that $g^2 = p_F$.

We now consider the decay process of the resonance in medium (Fig. 1):

$$! + : \quad (7)$$

The differential decay rate in the rest frame of medium is

$$d = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - p) \frac{1}{2E} \frac{m^2}{E_1 E_2} [1 - f(E_1)] \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3} [1 - f(E_2)] \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3} \times \mathcal{M}^2; \quad (8)$$

where $E_i = \frac{q}{m^2 + p_i^2}$, $E = \frac{q}{M^2 + p^2}$, and $f(E) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E - \mu)/T}}$ with $\mu = \frac{q}{m^2 + p_F^2}$.

The T matrix in the non-relativistic limit is $\mathcal{M}^2 = g^2$. Because of the Pauli blocking, the decay process is restricted by kinematics. For a two-body decay, the Fermi-Dirac distribution restricts the angle θ between \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} ($\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q} = 2$) (see Fig. 2).

When $p \leq 2 p_F$ with $q^2 = m^2 \hat{E}^2 - p^2 = 4$, where $\hat{E} = E_R + 2E_F + \frac{p^2}{2M}$, the decay width near $T = 0$ is

$$= \frac{1}{2} g^2 \frac{m^4}{\hat{E}^2 p} \ln^4 \frac{1 + \frac{p \cdot q}{m \hat{E}} \cos \theta}{1 - \frac{p \cdot q}{m \hat{E}} \cos \theta}; \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q} = 2 p_F$ at $\theta = 0$ and $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q} = q$. When $p_F + p \leq 2 < q$, the angle θ is not restricted and $\cos \theta = 1$ ($\theta = 0$). Finally, when $p_F^2 - p^2 = 2 > q^2$ or $p^2 < p_F^2 - m^2$, the resonance does not decay, $\Gamma = 0$, as the final states allowed by kinematics are Pauli blocked.

The decay rate of the resonance in free space can be similarly calculated. We find that the resonance in free space decays at rest with a rate,

$$= \frac{g^2 q}{m \hat{E}_R}; \quad (10)$$

where $\hat{E}_R = E_R + 2E_F$ is the resonance energy in free space. According to a recent measurement [8] of a Feshbach resonance between optically trapped ⁴⁰K atoms in the two lowest energy spin-states, $p_F = 2; \quad 9 = 2i$ and $p_F = 2; \quad 7 = 2i$, the resonance peak occurs at a magnetic field of 201.5 \pm 1.4 G with a width of 8.0 \pm 1.1 G, which corresponds to $\hat{E}_R = 10^{-6}$ eV and $\mu = 4 \times 10^{-8}$ eV. For experimentally realizable ultracold atoms, $E_F = k_B \times 600$ nK or $E_F = 6 \times 10^{-11}$ eV. The dimensionless coupling g is much less than one.

Now let us consider the medium effect on the propagation of the resonance. Even though the gas of atoms is dilute, the medium effect is important when the de Broglie wavelength is larger than the interatomic distance. The self energy of the resonances is

$$i(\mathbf{p}) = 2g^2 \int \frac{1}{q \left(1 + i \frac{p_0}{2} + q \frac{(p=2+q)^2}{2m} + E_F\right)} \frac{1}{q \left(1 + i \frac{p_0}{2} + q \frac{(p=2+q)^2}{2m} + E_F\right)} : \quad (11)$$

We perform the q integration first and rewrite the self energy as sum of the vacuum part and the matter part from the states in the Fermi sea:

$$i(\mathbf{p}) = i_{\text{vac}}(\mathbf{p}) + i_{\text{matt}}(\mathbf{p}); \quad (12)$$

where

$$i_{\text{vac}}(\mathbf{p}) = 2ig^2 \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{p_0 \left(p^2 = 4 + q^2 - 2p_F^2\right) = 2m}; \quad (13)$$

which is irrelevant for our purpose, and the matter part becomes

$$i_{\text{matt}}(\mathbf{p}) = 4im g^2 \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p_F \left(q + \frac{p}{2}\right) + p_F \left(q - \frac{p}{2}\right)}{2p_F^2 + 2m p_0 \left(p^2 = 4m\right) q} \quad (14)$$

When $p_0 = E_F$; $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{j} = p_F$,

$$i_{\text{matt}}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{g^2}{2} m p_F \left(1 + O\left(\frac{p_0}{E_F}\right); \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{j}}{p_F}\right); \quad (15)$$

We note that the medium gives a finite contribution to the resonance energy:

$$E_R = E_R + E_R \frac{g^2}{4 \pi^2 v_F} E_F : \quad (16)$$

When the resonance energy is large or of order of E_F , the resonance degrees of freedom are irrelevant and can be integrated out in favor of a four-Fermi interaction. However, if the resonance energy is tuned to be much smaller than the Fermi energy, it must be included in the low energy dynamics of the cold atomic gas. A drastic effect occurs when the resonance energy is negative (or less than twice the Fermi energy of atoms). Namely, the resonance cannot decay into two atoms due to the Pauli blocking. The lifetime is proportional to $1/T$ and becomes infinity at zero temperature. The system can reduce its energy by removing fermions from the Fermi sea and storing them as condensed bosons. In this regime we expect Bose-Einstein condensation of the resonance [3,5].

Here, we are most interested in the case of zero detuning, when the resonance is very close to the Fermi surface, and our effective theory applies with great accuracy. The Cooper theorem then tells us that the Fermi surface becomes unstable to atom-pairing, which is described here as a condensate of resonances (see Fig. 3).

We determine the value of the condensate by calculating the Coleman-Weinberg potential for the resonance [9], using a formalism developed for a Fermi surface effective theory [10].

$$V(\phi) = (E_R + i\gamma) \phi + B \phi + \frac{2p_F^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{dE dl}{(2\pi)^2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{g^2 \gamma}{E^2 + v_F^2 l^2}\right); \quad (17)$$

where B is the counter term and higher orders are suppressed, as we discuss in more detail below. Choosing the renormalization condition at $g = 0$ to be

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 V}{d g^2} = E_R + i \gamma; \quad (18)$$

where $E_R = E_R - g^2 m p_F / (2 \hbar^2) = E_R - g^2 E_F / (4 \hbar^2 v_F)$ and γ are the resonance energy and the decay rate in medium, respectively, we find the real part of the potential to be

$$V(g) = E_R - \frac{p_F^2}{2 \hbar^2 v_F} g^2 - \ln \frac{g^2}{2} \hbar^2; \quad (19)$$

which has a minimum at

$$h = -\frac{1}{g} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{2 \hbar^2 E_R v_F}{g^2 E_F} \right); \quad (20)$$

Taking the renormalization point $g = E_F$, we find the superconductivity gap

$$\Delta = E_F \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{2 \hbar^2 E_R v_F}{E_F^2 E_F} \right); \quad (21)$$

where E_R is the resonance energy in medium measured at the Fermi momentum. The renormalization condition (18) resums the medium corrections to the resonance propagator (i.e. vacuum polarization due to atom loops). These effects modify the resonance-induced interaction between atoms (see Fig. 3), as well as the definition of zero detuning. If we tune the resonance energy in medium to be zero, the gap becomes as large as $\Delta = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) E_F$. This result is a robust consequence of the effective theory, depending only on the existence of a resonance near the Fermi surface.

Corrections to our results come from two sources: (1) higher order graphs within the effective theory, and (2) corrections to the effective theory itself.

It is straightforward to estimate corrections of type (1), which are obtained by inserting, e.g., in Fig. 3, an additional resonance exchange on the internal atom line. The resulting expression is suppressed by an additional factor of g^2 , but does not produce additional powers of k_F^2 (the area of the Fermi surface) for kinematical reasons. For this reason, the Cooper pairing channel already considered gives the dominant contribution and additional resonance exchange interactions are negligible.

On the other hand, when the gap is as large as $\Delta = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) E_F$, some of the pairing atoms are far from the Fermi surface. The effective theory description there may suffer corrections of type (2) which are order Δ over E_F , and might decrease the size of the gap. However, by self-consistency, the resulting Δ cannot be much smaller than E_F (perhaps by an order of magnitude at most)¹.

Let us now consider finite temperature effects. Strictly speaking, the calculation of the critical temperature involves fluctuations which are far from the Fermi surface, and hence

¹This is in agreement with an earlier result [11] using effective field theory to write the gap directly in terms of the two-particle phase shift: $\Delta = E_F \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \cot \delta \right)$. At a resonance, $\cot \delta$ goes through zero, so Δ is of order E_F . A simple estimate using the gap equation obtained from Fig. 3 also yields $\Delta = E_F \exp \left(O(1) \right)$ when the scalar exchange is exactly on-resonance.

is not fully described by the effective theory. Also, we must assume that the resonances maintain thermal equilibrium with the atoms without being destroyed by the fluctuations. The Coleman-Weinberg potential becomes

$$V(\mu; T) = E_R \mu^2 + B \mu^4 - \frac{2p_F^2}{k_B T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_l}{2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{g^2 \mu^2}{(2n+1)^2 + v_F^2 l^2} \right); \quad (22)$$

where the Matsubara frequency $\omega_n = k_B T (2n+1)$. The minimum occurs at

$$E_R + B = g^2 \frac{p_F^2}{2v_F} k_B T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2 + g^2 \mu^2}; \quad (23)$$

As the temperature approaches the critical temperature, the gap vanishes and the minimum therefore should occur at $\mu = 0$, which gives, taking the renormalization point to be E_F ,

$$E_R = \frac{p_F^2}{2v_F} g^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2} \tanh \frac{1}{2k_B T_C}; \quad (24)$$

The critical temperature at which the gap vanishes is therefore

$$T_C = \frac{2e}{k_B}; \quad (25)$$

which is twice the BCS value ($e \approx 0.577$ is the Euler constant). At the Feshbach resonance in medium, $E_R = 0$ or $E_R = g^2 m p_F^2 (2^{-2})$, we find $T_C = 0.68 E_F / k_B$. This is slightly larger than the value obtained by Holland et al. [3]. At the Feshbach resonance the ultracold Fermi gas becomes a superfluid if it cools below $0.68 T_F$, where T_F is the Fermi temperature.

Because the critical temperature obtained is large (of order T_F), this calculation must be modified to correctly account for atom number conservation. In other words, we must impose the condition that the number density of atoms

$$N_0 = N_F + N_B; \quad (26)$$

where N_0 is the number density in the absence of the resonance and N_F and N_B are functions of a chemical potential which may differ from E_F . Using the free particle Fermi-Dirac and Bose-Einstein distributions in $N_{F,B}$ along with the relation $T_C = 0.68 E_F / k_B$, we obtain $T_C \approx 0.63 E_F / k_B$, which suggests that number conservation reduces the critical temperature by roughly one third. A more sophisticated expression for the number densities $N_{F,B}$ can be obtained by including the effect of interactions on the thermodynamic potential [5]. We find that the fluctuation contribution to the number density is

$$N_C \approx \frac{p_F^2}{2^3 v_F} \frac{(T)^2}{T} \tanh \frac{1}{T} - \frac{p_F^2}{2^2 v_F} T \frac{E_R}{T} \ln \frac{1 + e^{(-2 E_R)/T}}{1 + e^{(-2 E_R)/T}}; \quad (27)$$

Unless the resonance-atom coupling is taken to be very large (so large as to render a controlled calculation doubtful), the effect of interactions on (26) is quite small near the critical temperature.

In summary, we used an effective field theory describing modes near the Fermi surface to compute the Coleman-Weinberg (mean-field) potential for the resonance in medium, obtaining a robust superfluidity gap of $\Delta = e^{1/2} E_F$ at zero detuning. We find that the critical temperature for the superfluid phase transition is $T_C \approx 0.43 T_F$, taking into account the constraint from conservation of atom number.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Anthony Leggett for discussions. The work of D.K.H. was supported in part by the KOSEF grant number 1999-2-111-005-5 and also by the academic research fund of Ministry of Education, Republic of Korea, Project No. BSR I-99-015-D I0114. The work of S.H. was supported in part under DOE contract DE-FG 06-85ER 40224 and by the NSF through the USA-Korea Cooperative Science Program, 9982164.

FIGURES




FIG .1. The resonance decays into two atoms in medium . The circle denotes the Fermi surface.




FIG .2. The allowed angles in decay of the resonance.




FIG .3. The dashed line denotes the resonance and the triangle denotes the superfluid gap.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. DeMarco and D. Jin, *Science* 285, 1703 (1999).
- [2] K. M. O'Hara, et al., *Science* 298, 2179 (2002).
- [3] M. Holland, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, M. L. Chiofalo, and R. Walser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87, 120406-1 (2001); M. L. Chiofalo et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 090402 (2002).
- [4] E. Timmermans et al., *Phys. Lett. A* 285, 228 (2001).
- [5] Y. Ohashi, A. Gri n, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89, 130402 (2002); [cond-mat/0210185](#).
- [6] H. Feshbach, *Ann. Phys.* 19, 287 (1962).
- [7] R. Shankar, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 66, 129 (1994); J. Polchinski, [hep-th/9210046](#).
- [8] T. Loffus et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 173201 (2002); For ${}^6\text{Li}$ atoms, see K. M. O'Hara et al., *Phys. Rev. A* 66, 041401 (2002).
- [9] S. R. Coleman and E. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. D* 7, 1888 (1973).
- [10] D. K. Hong, *Phys. Lett. B* 473, 118 (2000) [[hep-ph/9812510](#)]; *Nucl. Phys. B* 582, 451 (2000) [[hep-ph/9905523](#)].
- [11] J. Hormuzdiar and S. D. H. Hsu, [nucl-th/9811017](#).