

---

# The composite picture of the charge carriers in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ ( $0.063 \leq x \leq 0.11$ ) superconductors

Y H Kim<sup>1</sup>, P H Hor<sup>2,3</sup>, X L Dong<sup>3,4</sup>, F Zhou<sup>4</sup>, Z X Zhao<sup>4</sup>, Y S Song<sup>3</sup> and W X Ti<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221-0011, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-5005, USA

<sup>3</sup> Texas Center for Superconductivity, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-5002, USA

<sup>4</sup> National Laboratory for Superconductivity, Institute of Physics and Centre for Condensed Matter Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, PO Box 603, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China

## Abstract

Through far-infrared studies of  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  single crystals for  $x = 0.063, 0.07, 0.09,$  and  $0.11$ , we found that only  $\sim 0.2\%$  of the total holes participated in the nearly dissipationless normal state charge transport and superconductivity. We have also observed characteristic collective modes at  $\omega \sim 18$  and  $22 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  due to the bound carriers in an electronic lattice (EL) state, and the free carriers are massively screened by the EL. Our findings lead us to propose a composite picture of the charge system where the free carriers are coupled to and riding on the EL. This unique composite system of charge carriers may provide further insights into the understanding of the cuprate physics.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

## 1. Introduction

The superconductivity with high transition temperature ( $T_c$ ) in copper-oxide-based compounds (cuprates) is one of the most puzzling problems in physics. More than one and a half decades after the discovery of superconductivity in cuprates, while substantial progress has been made, the underlying physics, especially the mechanism that leads to the high  $T_c$ , remains highly controversial. Therefore, it is legitimate to question whether some fundamental building blocks on which we have built our understanding of cuprate physics are incomplete or simply missing. In particular, we have examined the validity of the overwhelming single-component treatment, both theoretically and experimentally, of the doping-induced excess carriers (hereafter holes) in the  $\text{CuO}_2$  plane. In this report, we wish to establish this missing link by presenting clear experimental evidence for a unique composite picture of the charge system of cuprates.

---

In the past few years, based on the neutron scattering study of the  $\text{La}_{2-x-y}\text{Nd}_y\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  system [1], charge and spin stripes have emerged as a possible topology of the charge inhomogeneity in the  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes of the superconducting  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  (LSCO). More recently, based on the observation of the pinned Goldstone modes and the single particle excitation gap at  $\sim 0.05$  eV in the far-infrared (far-IR) studies of the cation and anion co-doped polycrystalline  $\text{La}_{1.895}\text{Sr}_{0.015}\text{CuO}_{4+\delta}$  samples at the hole concentration  $p = 0.063$  and  $0.07$ , the square lattice order with  $p(4 \times 4)$  and  $c(2 \times 2)$  symmetries was proposed as a plausible electronic structure of the bound holes [2, 3]. Other experimental signatures indicating a square lattice ordering of the holes had been reported in an electron diffraction study of  $\text{La}_{1.875}\text{Ba}_{0.125}\text{CuO}_4$  [4] and in a transmission electron microscopy study of the  $\text{La}_2\text{CuO}_{4+\delta}$  system [5].


Most recently, the detailed ac susceptibility studies of the  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_{4+\delta}$  system under high pressure showed evidence for an intrinsic electronic phase separation of the holes into two ‘electronic phases’ that support the superconductivity at two discrete temperatures, one at  $\sim 15$  K and the other at  $\sim 30$  K [6]. An electronic phase separation into spin glass ( $x \sim 0.02$ ) and insulating ( $x = 0$ ) phases was also observed in the lightly doped ( $x < 0.02$ ) non-superconducting LSCO single crystals [7]. Indeed, although the topology of the charge arrangements was not demonstrated, recent direct experimental evidence for charge inhomogeneities in the  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes [8–10] seems to be consistent with the above observations. However, despite the credible presence of the electronic phases whose relevant energy scales are within the reach of the far-IR probe energies, there has been no self-consistent far-IR single-crystal work that clearly demonstrates the existence of such electronic phases. Only recently have some far-IR results interpreted as charge density waves (CDWs) [11] or one-dimensional charge stripes [12–14] been reported.

In this paper, we report our findings of the far-IR signatures of the composite charge system of the free and bound holes. Through the in-plane ( $ab$ -plane) far-IR studies of a series of LSCO single crystals, we have observed a screened plasma edge at the frequency ( $\omega$ ) between  $15$  and  $20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , depending on the doping level. This local minimum in the  $ab$ -plane reflectivity comes from an extremely small free hole density ( $n_F$ ) which is only  $\sim 0.2\%$  of the total holes. This small fraction of the holes is responsible for the nearly dissipationless normal state charge transport and superconductivity. We found that these free holes are massively screened by the rest of the holes condensed into electronic lattices (ELs) in the  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes.

## 2. Experimental details

For the measurement of the  $ab$ -plane reflectivity we used high quality  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  single crystals grown by the travelling-solvent floating-zone method with  $x = 0.063, 0.07, 0.09,$  and  $0.11$ . The growth and characterizations of the high quality crystal have been reported elsewhere [15]. The samples were prepared from pure, subgrain-free large as-grown ingots. Double-crystal x-ray rocking-curve and Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS) ion channelling measurements indicated an estimated crystal mosaicity of  $0.1^\circ$  and a minimum RBS-channelling yield of  $3.8\%$  for the  $x = 0.09$  crystal [15]. Both the dc resistivity and Meissner effect measurements of all four crystals are shown in figures 1(a) and (b), respectively. The sample area was  $5 \text{ mm}$  in diameter and the angular error from the desired crystal axis was less than  $\pm 1^\circ$ .

We measured the reflectivity ( $R$ ) at a near normal angle of incidence ( $\sim 8^\circ$ ) on the sample. A Bruker 113v spectrometer was used to cover frequencies ( $\omega$ ) between  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $\sim 1.2 \text{ meV}$ ) and  $4000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $0.5 \text{ eV}$ ) for the  $ab$ -plane reflectivity. As a reference, we used a gold (Au) mirror made by depositing Au film on a stainless steel mirror that had been polished under the same



**Figure 1.** Plots of (a) the in-plane resistivity and (b) the field cooled (5 Oe) measurements of  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  single-crystal samples for  $x = 0.063, 0.07, 0.09$  and  $0.11$  with applied field along the  $c$ -axis.

conditions as the single-crystal samples. We corrected the measured reflectivity in reference to the Au mirror by multiplying the absolute reflectivity of the Au film calculated from the absolute measurement of the surface resistance ( $r_s$ ) of Au via  $R = 1 - 4r_s$  [16]. In order to cover the  $\omega$  below  $30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , we mounted a  $75 \mu\text{m}$  Mylar beamsplitter and a composite Cu-doped Si bolometer with  $1 \text{ cm}^2$  active area operating at 2 K in conjunction with a parabolic light cone with a 7 mm diameter exit aperture. We controlled the sample temperature by directly monitoring the temperature ( $T$ ) from the backside of the sample. We calculated the real part of the conductivity  $\sigma_1(\omega)$  and the real part of the dielectric function  $\epsilon_1(\omega)$  via a Kramers–Kronig transformation of the measured reflectivity. We found that the asymptotic behaviour of the normal state reflectivity below  $12 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  closely followed the Hagen–Rubens approximation. For high  $\omega$  extrapolation above  $4000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (0.5 eV), we used the data published by Uchida *et al* [17] for LSCO single crystals, which are nearly temperature independent and cover  $\omega$  up to 37 eV.

### 3. Results and discussions

In figure 2, we present the unsmoothed far-IR  $ab$ -plane reflectivities (except for  $\omega \lesssim 13 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) of the four single-crystal samples at various  $T$ . Overall reflectivity is high for all samples. As  $T$  decreases below 300 K, the  $R$  reaches a maximum at  $\omega \sim 150 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and then starts to slowly decrease until it reaches a local minimum at around  $\omega \sim 20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  with decreasing  $\omega$ . Near the local reflectivity minimum, there is a sharp peak(s) which grows as  $T$  decreases. The familiar phonons of the  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes are readily seen in the reflectivity.

The corresponding Kramers–Kronig-derived  $\sigma_1(\omega)$  plot in figure 3 shows highly unexpected charge dynamics. There develops an intense asymmetric structure located at













---

$c$ -axis transport [30]. This charge model, in contrast to the recent theoretical discussions of the gossamer superconductivity within the resonating-valence-bond picture [31], also provides a natural experimental foundation for the gossamer nature of the high  $T_c$  arising from the small superfluid density  $n_F$ .

We emphasize that the existence of free carriers and their transport are innately coupled to the underlying EL in this charge model unlike the common CDW system where the normal carriers are decoupled from the CDW condensate [32]. Our proposed charge model, if proven to be true, will place severe constraints on the microscopic model of the theory of high  $T_c$  superconductivity and serve as one of the fundamental building blocks for the interpretation of all the previous experimental observations.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Mark Ankenbauer, Mark Sabatelli, and Bob Schrott for their fine machining work and Jiwu Xiong for her work in crystal growth and processing. PHH is supported by the State of Texas through the Texas Center for Superconductivity at the University of Houston. ZXZ, FZ, and WXT are supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China and the National Science Foundation of China through project G1999064601 and project 10174090.

## References

- [1] Tranquada J M, Sternlieb B J, Axe J D, Nakamura Y and Uchida S 1995 *Nature* **375** 561
- [2] Kim Y H and Hor P H 2001 *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **15** 497
- [3] Hor P H and Kim Y H 2002 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **14** 10377
- [4] Inoue Y, Wakabayashi Y, Ito K and Koyama Y 1994 *Physica C* **235–240** 835
- [5] Li J Q, Chen L, Zhao Z X and Matsui Y 2000 *Physica C* **341–348** 1747
- [6] Lorenz B, Li Z G, Honma T and Hor P H 2002 *Phys. Rev. B* **65** 144522
- [7] Matsuda M, Fujita M, Yamada K, Birgeneau R J, Endoh Y and Shirane G 2002 *Phys. Rev. B* **65** 134515
- [8] Iguchi I, Yamaguchi T and Sugimoto A 2001 *Nature* **412** 420
- [9] Pan S H, O’Neal J P, Badzey R L, Chamon C, Ding H, Engelbrecht J R, Wang Z, Eisaki H, Uchida S, Guptak A K, Ng K W, Hudson E W, Lang K M and Davis J C 2001 *Nature* **413** 282
- [10] Singer P M, Hunt A W and Imai T 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** 047602
- [11] Bernhard C, Holden T, Humlicek J, Munzar D, Golnik A, Klaser M, Wolf T, Carr L, Homes C, Keimer B and Cardona M 2002 *Solid State Commun.* **121** 93
- [12] Dumm M, Basov A N, Komiya S, Abe Y and Ando A 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** 147003
- [13] Venturini F, Zhang Q M, Hackl R, Lucarelli A, Lupi S, Ortolani M, Calvani P, Kikugawa N and Fujita T 2002 *Phys. Rev. B* **66** 060502
- [14] Lucarelli A, Lupi S, Ortolani M, Calvani P, Maselli P, Capizzi M, Giura P, Eisaki H, Kikugawa N, Fujita T, Fujita M and Yamada K 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 037002
- [15] Zhou F, Ti W X, Xiong J W, Zhao Z X, Dong X L, Hor P H, Zhang Z H and Chu W K 2003 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **16** L7
- [16] Brändli G and Sievers A J 1972 *Phys. Rev. B* **5** 3550
- [17] Uchida S, Ido T, Takagi H, Arima T, Tokura Y and Tajima S 1991 *Phys. Rev. B* **43** 7942
- [18] Shimada M, Shimizu M, Tanaka J, Tanaka I and Kojima H 1992 *Physica C* **193** 277 and references therein
- [19] See, for example, Klein M V 1970 *Optics* (New York: Wiley) chapter 11
- [20] Reuter G E H and Sondheimer E H 1948 *Proc. R. Soc. A* **195** 336
- [21] Dingle R B 1953 *Physica* **19** 311
- [22] Timusk T, Puchkov A V, Basov D N and Startseva T 1998 *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **59** 1953
- [23] Basov D N, Liang R, Dabrowski B, Bonn D A, Hardy W N and Timusk T 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** 4090
- [24] Wang N L, McConnell A W, Clayman B P and Gu G D 1999 *Phys. Rev. B* **59** 576
- [25] Startseva T, Timusk T, Puchkov A V, Basov D N, Mook H A, Okuya M, Kimura T and Kishio K 1999 *Phys. Rev. B* **59** 7184

- 
- [26] Takenaka K, Shiozaki R, Okuyama S, Nohara J, Osuka A, Takayanagi Y and Sugai S 2002 *Phys. Rev. B* **65** 092405
- [27] Tajima S, Uchida S, van der Marel D and Bosov D N 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** 129701  
Lucarelli A, Lupi S, Ortolani M, Calvani P, Maselli P and Capizzi M 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** 129702
- [28] Kim Y H, Heeger A J, Acedo L, Stucky G and Wudl F 1987 *Phys. Rev. B* **36** 7252
- [29] Lee P A, Rice T M and Anderson P W 1974 *Solid State Commun.* **14** 703
- [30] Kim Y H *et al* 2003 in preparation
- [31] Zhang F C 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 207002  
Laughlin R B 2002 *Preprint* cond-mat/0209269  
Yu Y 2002 *Preprint* cond-mat/0211131
- [32] Beyermann W P, Mihály L and Grüner G 1986 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** 1489