

# Wave mixing of hybrid Bogoliubov modes in a Bose-Einstein condensate

Dermot McPeake<sup>†\*</sup> and J F McCann \*

*\* Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics,  
Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland*

*† NMRC, University College Cork, Lee Maltings, Prospect Row, Cork, Ireland.*

(Dated: October 2002)

Mode-mixing of coherent excitations of a trapped Bose-Einstein condensate is modelled using the Bogoliubov approximation. Hybridization of the modes of the breather ( $l = 0$ ) and surface ( $l = 4$ ) states leads to the formation of a Bogoliubov dark state. Calculations are presented for second-harmonic generation between the two lowest-lying even-parity  $m = 0$  modes in an oblate spheroidal trap. Two hybrid modes are strongly excited near second-harmonic resonance, and the coupling strength, and hence conversion rate, to the breather mode is half that given by an equivalent hydrodynamic estimate.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Kk, 03.75.Be, 03.75.-b, 42.65.Ky

Observations of coherence of matter wave fields such as four-wave mixing [1], squeezing [2] and harmonic generation [3], in atomic Bose-Einstein condensates have recently been reported. The well-defined phase of the condensate means that small amplitude quasiparticle excitations can be produced that combine, through elastic coherent (phase preserving) atomic collisions, to produce frequency-mixed modes. In this Letter we analyse, within the Bogoliubov model [4], second-harmonic generation of excitations in trapped spheroidal atomic condensates: a process recently observed in experiment [3]. We find the quantal results are significantly different from hydrodynamic predictions [5]. In addition to the quantal frequency shifts, we observe mode hybridization due to coupling of degenerate Bogoliubov states and the creation of coherent-matter dark states. The hybridization process strongly affects second-harmonic conversion. Two modes are strongly excited near resonance, and the coupling strength, and hence conversion rate, to the breather mode is half that given by an equivalent hydrodynamic estimate.

Consider then a finite number of atoms,  $N$ , each of mass  $m_a$ , occupying a Bose condensate trapped by a spheroidal potential such that the angular frequency along the polar  $z$ -axis, axis of cylindrical symmetry, is  $\omega_z$ , and the corresponding radial frequency is  $\omega_r$ . We define the trap aspect ratio as  $\lambda \equiv \omega_z/\omega_r$ , so that the trapping potential can be written:  $V_{\text{trap}} = \frac{1}{2}m_a\omega_r^2(r^2 + \lambda^2z^2)$ . The symmetry means that, in the limit of small amplitude excitations, the acoustic equation is separable and the axial,  $z$ , radial  $r$  and angular coordinate  $\theta$  provide good hydrodynamic quantum numbers [6, 7]. The azimuthal angular momentum quantum number is denoted by  $m$ .

The lowest-frequency excitations of symmetry  $m = 0$ , are the quadrupole ( $\omega_1$ ) and breathing ( $\omega_2$ ) modes, and in the  $N$ -independent hydrodynamic approximation [8]:  $\omega_{1,2}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\omega_r^2(4 + 3\lambda^2 \pm \sqrt{9\lambda^4 - 16\lambda^2 + 16})$ . The nonlinear interactions caused by collisions create mode mixing.

This coupling is most strongly pronounced when temporal phase-matching occurs, that is when second-harmonic resonance arises:  $\omega_2 = 2\omega_1$ . The corresponding values of  $\lambda$ , the trap aspect ratio, are thus  $\lambda_r = \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{77 \pm 5\sqrt{145}} \approx 0.683$  and 1.952 [8].

The effect was first observed in an oblate trap by Foot and coworkers [3] for the following parameters:  $N \sim 20000$  atoms of  $^{87}\text{Rb}$ ,  $T < 0.5T_c$ , with  $\omega_r = 2\pi \times 126\text{Hz}$ . In measurements carried out within the range  $1.6 < \lambda < 2.8$ , strongly-enhanced second-harmonic generation was found when  $\lambda = 1.93 \pm 0.02$ . The corresponding chemical potential of this condensate  $\mu$ , such that  $\mu \gg \hbar\omega_r$ , lie within the range where hydrodynamic theory should be valid. And indeed both the frequency of the breathing mode,  $\omega_2$ , [6] and the resonant aspect ratio,  $\lambda_r$ , measured by experiment are in very good agreement with linear hydrodynamic theory [8]. Subsequently a hydrodynamic model of the nonlinear mixing of quasiparticles [4] was applied to estimate the rate of second-harmonic generation [9] and gave results consistent with experiment. However, this consistency hides potentially important quantal features not previously considered or observed. This Letter examines the process of mode mixing and harmonic generation using a detailed quantal treatment of the process [4] taking into account atom number and quantum pressure corrections.

The formalism for wave-mixing processes in Bose condensed gases was developed by Burnett and coworkers [4]. At low temperatures,  $T/T_c \leq 0.5$ , the dynamics of Bose condensed gases are dominated by single quasi-particle excitations. The atom interactions are represented by an  $s$ -wave pseudopotential corresponding to a scattering length,  $a_s$ . The spectrum and mode densities of collective excitations can be obtained from the Hartree variational principle:

$$\delta \int dt (\psi, [H_0 + \frac{1}{2}g\psi^*\psi - i\hbar\partial_t]\psi) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $g = (4\pi\hbar^2/m_a)Na_s$ ,  $H_0 = -(\hbar^2/2m_a)\nabla^2 + V_{\text{trap}} -$




FIG. 1: Frequency spectrum of the the lowest four even-parity,  $m=0$ , excitations. The frequencies are in units of the radial frequency,  $\omega_r$ . Bogoliubov theory for  $N = 4650$  atoms,  $C = 1000$ , is compared with hydrodynamic predictions (inset) ( $C \rightarrow \infty$ ). The labelling of the curves in the main graph corresponds to the quantum numbers for a spherical trap:  $\lambda = 1$ . The frequency of the (+) hybrid mode is marked by the short-dashed line, and the (-) hybrid mode by the long-dashed line. The lowest frequency is the  $l = 2, m = 0$  quadrupole (solid line). Hybridization of the Bogoliubov breather mode  $l = 0$  occurs near  $\lambda = 0.80, 1.63, 2.60$ . Second-harmonic resonance between the quadrupole and (+) hybrid occurs at  $\lambda = 1.989$ .




FIG. 2: Hybridization and formation of the Bogoliubov dark state. The normalized quasiparticle amplitudes  $u(r, z)$  are plotted as a function of radial coordinate  $r$  (horizontal axis) and axial coordinate  $z$  (vertical axis) for  $C = 1000$ . Red indicates positive values and blue negative values. Values of  $\lambda$  increase from left to right:  $\lambda = 1.35, 1.60, 1.65, 1.75$ . Below the crossing, at  $\lambda=1.35$ , the surface (upper) and breather (lower) modes are distinct. At  $\lambda = 1.65$  the modes combine to form the dark state (+) hybrid. As  $\lambda$  increases the monopole symmetry transfers to the upper(+) hybrid (Fig. 1)

$\mu$ , and  $\mu$  plays the role of a Lagrange multiplier. The condensate and excited modes can be described by the linear response ansatz [4] where:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = b_0(t)\phi(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{j>0} [b_j(t)u_j(\mathbf{r})e^{-i\omega_j t} + b_j^*(t)v_j^*(\mathbf{r})e^{+i\omega_j t}] \quad (2)$$

and where  $\phi$  represents the highly-occupied condensate; that is,  $|b_0| \approx \sqrt{N} \gg |b_j|$ ,  $j > 0$ . From the variation  $\delta\phi^*$ , and linear expansion in the small parameters  $b_j, b_j^*$  taken as constant, the stationary Gross-Pitaevskii equation and Bogoliubov equations follow:

$$H_0\phi + g|\phi|^2\phi = 0 \quad (3)$$

with  $(\phi, \phi) = 1$ . The Bogoliubov modes are solutions of the coupled linear equations:

$$(H_0 + 2g|\phi|^2)u_j + g\phi^2 v_j = +\hbar\omega_j u_j \quad (4)$$

$$(H_0 + 2g|\phi|^2)v_j + g\phi^{*2}u_j = -\hbar\omega_j v_j \quad (5)$$

We take the conventional normalization of modes:  $(u_i, u_j) - (v_i, v_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Although the spectrum  $\omega_j$  is unique, the mode amplitudes may contain arbitrary components of the kernel, the  $\omega = 0$  component. After diagonalization, it is convenient, though not necessary, to enforce orthogonality [4]. Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization ensures the eigenmodes do not overlap the condensate:  $\tilde{u}_j = u_j - (\phi, u_j)\phi$  and  $\tilde{v}_j = v_j + (\phi, v_j)\phi^*$ . Suppose that the condensate (mode 0) contains  $N$  particles, and a single quasiparticle mode  $i$  of excitation is weakly populated. Then mode-mixing collisions of the type,  $i + i \rightarrow 0 + j$ , and all crossing symmetries, will be significant in redistribution of population if phases (energies) match and/or the scattering amplitude is large.

Allowing for variation of the constants  $b_j$  of the trial function (3) in the variational principle (1), and neglecting transitions far from resonance, then we get [4]

$$i\hbar \frac{db_j}{dt} = \sum_j g M_{ij} b_i^* b_j e^{-i\Delta_{ij}t} \quad (6)$$

$$i\hbar \frac{db_j}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} g M_{ij}^* b_i^2 e^{i\Delta_{ij}t} \quad (7)$$

where  $\Delta_{ij} = \omega_j - 2\omega_i$  is the detuning. The mode conversion equations are exactly analogous to those in classical nonlinear optics, allowing for two or more excited modes ( $j$ ) to be populated from the pump mode ( $i$ ). The coupling strength (scattering amplitude) for the process,  $M_{ij}$ , is given by [4]:

$$M_{ij} = 2 \int d\mathbf{r} \{ \phi^* [2\tilde{u}_i^* \tilde{v}_i^* \tilde{u}_j + \tilde{v}_i^* \tilde{v}_i^* \tilde{v}_j] + \phi [2\tilde{u}_i^* \tilde{v}_i^* \tilde{v}_j + \tilde{u}_i^* \tilde{u}_i^* \tilde{u}_j] \} \quad (8)$$

and for a condensate with uniform phase throughout, all modes can be written in term of real functions.

The quasiparticle amplitudes and excitation spectra were found through discretization of the set of equations




FIG. 3: Coupling strength  $m_{ij}$  from the  $m = 0$  quadrupole state for  $C = 1000$  as a function of trap aspect ratio  $\lambda$ : Coupling to (+) hybrid mode (short-dashed line), to the (-) hybrid mode (long-dashed line), and to the  $n = 1, l = 2$  state (dot-dashed line). The hydrodynamic calculation for the breather mode [9] is shown as the dotted line. Second-harmonic resonance for the (+) hybrid mode occurs near  $\lambda=0.68$  and  $\lambda=1.95$  according to hydrodynamic theory [8] and fig.(1) as indicated by the vertical lines.

(3,4,5) using the method of [10]. We define a dimensionless interaction parameter, proportional to the number of atoms condensed:  $C \equiv 8\pi N a_s (\hbar/2m_a \omega_r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , so that  $C \rightarrow 0$  represents the ideal gas limit. In the hydrodynamic approximation,  $\mu^h = (15C\lambda/64\pi)^{2/5} \hbar\omega_r$ , and the hydrodynamic régime can be very roughly characterised by  $\chi \equiv (\mu^h/\hbar\omega_r) \gg 1$ . An example of the spectra of Bogoliubov states for  $C = 1000$  (that is  $\chi \sim 7$ ) is shown in fig.1. This would correspond, for example, to  $N \sim 4500$  atoms of  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  with scattering length  $a \sim 110a_0$  within a trap of frequency  $\omega_r = 2\pi \times 126\text{Hz}$ . Inset, for reference, are the equivalent  $N$ -independent hydrodynamic results. The main feature of the quantal spectrum, compared with the hydrodynamic results, are the very large differences in the high- $l$  state frequencies. We find that in the quantal régime these surface (high- $l$ ) modes, in particular the state labeled  $l = 4$ , interact with the second-harmonic breather mode and play an important role in harmonic generation.

For low- $n, l$  states, the quantum corrections for the frequencies are rather small for this number of atoms. For example, at  $\lambda = 1$  the solution of equations (4,5) for the quadrupole state gives  $\omega_1 = 1.4808\omega_r$  for  $C = 1000$ . This changes to  $\omega_1 = 1.457\omega_r$  for  $C = 2000$ , and reaches the hydrodynamic limit ( $C \rightarrow \infty$ ) at  $\omega_1 = 1.4142\omega_r$ . The  $n = 1, l = 0$  breather frequency is  $\omega_2 = 2.2101\omega_r$  for  $C = 1000$ , shifting to  $\omega_2 = 2.2195$  for  $C = 2000$ , tending to  $\omega_2 = 2.2361\omega_r$  for  $C \rightarrow \infty$ . However while the quantal frequencies for these low-lying modes agree well with hydrodynamic theory for  $C \sim 1000$ , the agreement does not extend to wave-mixing process where quantal effects are much more pronounced.

The quantal breather state undergoes a series of




FIG. 4: Mode conversion for  $C = 1000$  and 10% pump population: (a)  $\lambda = 2.00$ : Population of fundamental ( $n = 0, l = 2, m = 0$ ) quadrupole mode (full line). Population of the off-resonant (-) mode ( $n = 0, l = 4, m = 0$ ) (long-dashed line). Population of resonant second-harmonic (+) mode  $n = 1, l = 0, m = 0$  (short-dashed line) (b) Mode conversion for  $\lambda = 1.65$ . The (+) dark-state hybrid has negligible conversion: Population of fundamental (quadrupole) mode (full line). Population of (+) dark-state hybrid (short-dashed line). Population of (-) hybrid (long-dashed line).

avoided crossings with higher- $l$  states as  $\lambda$  varies (figure 1). The frequency of the  $l = 4$  quantal modes is significantly different from the hydrodynamic predictions for this régime. For example, at  $\lambda = 1$  the  $l = 4, m = 0$  mode has angular frequency  $\omega = 2.426\omega_r$  ( $C = 1000$ ), and  $\omega = 2.291\omega_r$  ( $C = 2000$ ) compared with  $\omega = 2.000\omega_r$  as  $C \rightarrow \infty$ . While at  $\lambda = 2$ ,  $\omega = 3.164\omega_r$  ( $C = 1000$ ), and  $\omega = 3.042\omega_r$  ( $C = 2000$ ), compared with  $\omega = 2.732\omega_r$  as  $C \rightarrow \infty$ . As a result, hydrodynamic theory does not predict a degeneracy of the  $l = 4$  and monopole states.

Near the avoided crossings in figure 1, the Bogoliubov modes mix symmetries. The hybridization of the modes is illustrated by the the excitation functions,  $u(r, z)$ , shown in fig.2; a key finding of this Letter. Below the crossing, at  $\lambda = 1.35$ , the  $l = 0$  and  $l = 4$  amplitudes resemble the spherical pattern and the  $l$ -labelling is certainly appropriate. The radial density of the  $l = 4$  mode is dominated by the presence of the centrifugal barrier pushing the mean radius towards the surface of the condensate. At  $\lambda = 1.60$  (fig. 2) the  $l = 0$  and  $l = 4$  states hybridize and lose the character of conventional classification schemes [6, 7]. Above the degeneracy the modes separate and regain their character. Identical features were found at the other crossings near  $\lambda = 0.80$  and  $\lambda = 2.60$  corresponding to interaction with  $l = 4$  and  $n = 1, l = 2$  states, respectively. The hybridization, although primar-

ily a quantal feature, persists for much larger numbers of atoms. Considering  $C = 2000$ , that is  $N \sim 10^4$ , the second-harmonic resonance occurs at  $\lambda_r = 1.980$  and we find a slight displacement of the avoided crossing from  $\lambda_c = 1.65$  to  $\lambda = 1.54$ , but hybridization of the type discussed is still present.

The results for the coupling strengths are shown in figure 3. The data are presented in scaled dimensionless units in order to compare with hydrodynamic theory [9]:  $m_{ij} = 2(\hbar/m_a\omega_r)^{3/2}(\mu^h/\hbar\omega_r)\lambda^{-5/6}M_{ij}$ . The states are labelled and identified by the adiabatic noncrossing curves in figure 1. At the degeneracy  $\lambda \sim 1.65$  the strong mixing of states is reflected in the changes in coupling strength. Coupling to the upper (+) hybrid mode drops suddenly at this point due to destructive interference between the mode components. The effect is analogous to an optically dark state, that is a coherent superposition of states such that the dipole moments cancel [11]. The interference effect is still apparent near  $\lambda = 2$  when the state is predominantly breather-like and resonant with the quadrupole second harmonic. In contrast the off-resonant (-) hybrid, which is surface-like for  $\lambda \sim 2$  is strongly coupled near the degeneracy.

Similar effects, including the formation of another dark state, occur at  $\lambda \approx 2.6$  corresponding to the crossing between  $l = 0$  and  $l = 6$  as shown in figure 3. The result of hydrodynamic theory for the quadrupole to breather coupling strength [9] is shown in figure 3 as the dotted line. The agreement with the quantal calculation is quite good near  $\lambda = 1$  but near and beyond the crossing point  $\lambda_c = 1.65$ , the hydrodynamic model does not reflect the rapid quantal variation due to hybridization. At the harmonic generation resonance near  $\lambda = 2$  the hydrodynamic model severely overestimates the coupling strength of the breather mode by a factor of two, and neglects the contribution of the  $l = 4$  state.

The solutions of the equations (6,7) describing the relative populations in modes  $i$  and  $j$  are well known [12]. For a resonant two-state model the characteristic coupling time is  $T = |\sqrt{2}\hbar/gM_{ij}b_i^r(0)|$ . In terms of the dimensionless matrix elements  $m_{ij}^q$  this can be written;

$$T = \left| \frac{15\lambda^{-\frac{13}{30}}}{8\pi m_{ij}^q b_i^r(0)\omega_r} \left( \frac{15C}{64\pi} \right)^{-3/5} \right| \quad (9)$$

For  $\lambda \approx 1.95$ , and  $C = 1000$  the quantal prediction is  $|m_{12}^q|=0.013$  compared with the hydrodynamic result [9]  $|m_{12}^h|=0.028$  (the dotted line in figure 3). Suppose that only 5% of atoms were initially in the pump mode, then  $|b_1^r(0)|^2=0.05$ . It follows, for  $C = 1000$  and  $\lambda = 1.95$ , that the respective coupling times are  $T^q=14.60$  ms compared with  $T^h=6.78$  ms, which is a substantial and measurable difference. However two excited hybrid modes are present near second-harmonic resonance. A numerical solution of equations (6,7) for a pump population of 10% at  $\lambda = 2$  shows the interplay of the hybrid modes: figure 4 (a). The nonresonant surface hybrid grows faster due to the larger coupling strength, but is not as efficiently converted as the resonant breather hybrid state. The fundamental mode revives [4] after  $\sim 40$ ms for these parameters. Since the two hybrid modes differ in frequency and symmetry this phenomenon should be detectable by observation of the radial and axial density variations as developed in current experiments [3]. In figure 4 (b) corresponding to  $\lambda = 1.65$ , very low conversion efficiency is observed due to poor phase-matching. Moreover, in this case the dark-state hybrid (short-dashed line) is completely suppressed.

In conclusion, we find the hybridization of Bogoliubov modes plays a vital role in mode mixing, both in the coupling strength and resonant frequency. Another consequence is the creation of dark coherent states. The conversion rate for second-harmonic generation to the breather mode is substantially lower than the hydrodynamic theory, while the off-resonant surface mode is converted with almost equal efficiency. This hybridization persists for much larger numbers ( $N \sim 10^4$ ) of atoms where quantal effects were thought to be negligible. Another potentially interesting case [13] is second-harmonic resonant coupling between the  $m = 2$  quadrupole and the  $m = 0$  monopole mode. In this case an accidental degeneracy of the monopole with a  $m = 4$  mode occurs exactly at the predicted resonance  $\lambda = \sqrt{16/7}$  and the effects of hybridization would be more extreme. The investigation of this particular transition would provide the clearest experimental evidence of the effect we have discussed.

- 
- [1] L. Deng et al., Nature **398**, 218 (1999).
  - [2] C. Orzel et al., Science **291**, 2386 (2001).
  - [3] G. Hechenblaikner, O. Maragó, E. Hodby, J. Arlt, S. Hopkins, and C. Foot, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 692 (2000).
  - [4] S. A. Morgan, S. Choi, K. Burnett, and M. Edwards, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 3818 (1998).
  - [5] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 463 (1999).
  - [6] D. A. W. Hutchinson and E. Zaremba, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 1280 (1998).
  - [7] A. A. Penckwitt and R. J. Ballagh, J. Phys. B **34**, 1523 (2001).
  - [8] F. Dalfovo, C. Minniti, and L. Pitaevskii, Phys. Rev. A **56**, 4855 (1997).
  - [9] G. Hechenblaikner et al., Phys. Rev. A **65**, 033612 (2002).
  - [10] H. M. Nilsen, D. McPeake, and J. F. McCann, J. Phys. B **XX**, in press (2003).
  - [11] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1997).
  - [12] J. A. Armstrong, N. Bloembergen, J. Ducuing, and P. S. Pershan, Phys. Rev. **127**, 1918 (1962).
  - [13] R. Graham, D. Walls, M. Collett, M. Fliesser, and E. W. Ght, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 503 (1998).