

Spot foreign exchange market and time series

Filippo Petroni and Maurizio Serva

Dipartimento di Matematica and I.N.F.M. Università dell'Aquila, I-67010 L'Aquila, Italy

(dated: April 14, 2024)

Abstract

We investigate high frequency price dynamics in foreign exchange market using data from Reuters information system (the dataset has been provided to us by Olsen & Associates). In our analysis we show that a naive approach to the definition of price (for example using the spot midprice) may lead to wrong conclusions on price behavior as for example the presence of short term covariances for returns.

For this purpose we introduce an algorithm which only uses the non arbitrage principle to estimate real prices from the spot ones. The new definition leads to returns which are i.i.d. variables and therefore are not affected by spurious correlations. Furthermore, any apparent information (defined by using Shannon entropy) contained in the data disappears.

I. INTRODUCTION

A foreign exchange market is an over the counter (OTC) market not subject to any time restriction, in fact, it is open 24 hours a day. Given also that it is the most liquid market in the world and the availability of tick-by-tick quotes, foreign exchange market is very convenient for the study of high frequency behaviors.

Foreign exchange market is made up of about 2000 financial institutions around the globe which operates by selling or buying certain amount of a given currency. A market maker (any of the financial institutions which make the market) is expected to quote simultaneously for his customers both a bid and a ask price at which he is willing to sell and buy a standard amount of a given currency. Each of the major market makers shows a running list of its main bid and ask rates, and those rates are displayed to all market participants. In principle each rate from each market maker is valid until a new rate is displayed by the same market maker. In practice, this is not the case and no information is given about the lifetime of each quote.

In analyzing recorded financial data [1, 2], a difficult and puzzling problem is to define which is the real asset price. In principle, three different quotes for the asset are available: bid, ask and traded price (the price at which the transaction is actually made). Using a wrong definition for asset price can lead to wrong evaluation of price dynamics. For example, if the traded price is used to analyze price dynamics a random zero mean oscillation around the real price will be found at very short time scale.

We analyze the DEM /USD exchange rates taken from Reuters' EFX pages (the dataset has been provided to us by Olsen & Associates) during a period of one year from January to December 1998. In this period 1,620,843 quotes entries in the EFX system were recorded. The dataset provides a continuously updated sequence of bid and ask exchange rate quotation pairs from individual institutions whose names and locations are also recorded. EFX dataset does not contain any information on traded volume and on the lifetime of quotes. Furthermore EFX quotes are indicative and they do not imply that any amount of currency has been actually traded.

The aim of this work is to find the best definition for the asset price. We start analyzing raw data assuming that the asset price is simply given by spot quotes. We find that this lead to an indeterminacy of asset price at very short time scale and to spurious correlations

for returns. We investigate one possible explanation assuming that spot quotes contain an estimation error made by the market maker on the real price. In this way we do not find the real price but then we introduce an algorithm which, reducing the spread between bid and ask quotes, is able to determine the real price and solve the indeterminacy. In the last chapter we use information theory to strengthen our results. The key of our work is that we are able to determine the real price with a parameter free algorithm which uses only the non-arbitrage principle.

II. A NAIVE APPROACH TO THE STUDY OF FX MICROSTRUCTURE

The aim of this section is to show that a naive approach to the analysis of foreign exchange market may lead to wrong conclusions on price dynamics.

We analyze data taken from EFX Reuters' information system of DEM /USD exchange quotes of the entire year 1998. In the dataset each bid and ask quotes as given by the market operators are recorded. The dataset does not contain information on trading prices or on volumes of currencies traded but only tick-by-tick exchange rates. Prices are irregularly time-spaced and we decided, instead of sampling the data in some arbitrarily fixed sampling time, to use business time as our time flow index (see [3] for an exhaustive investigation of the problem). According to our choice t takes all integer values up to N which is the number of quotes in the dataset.

We indicate with $S_t^{(a)}$ and $S_t^{(b)}$ respectively bid and ask quotes at time t . For our analysis we consider spot price as given by the average of bid and ask quotes $S_t = (S_t^{(a)} + S_t^{(b)})/2$. We stress that this choice for the spot price is not stringent, the same results can be obtained if bid or ask quotes are used.

We define return at two consecutive business time as:

$$r_t = \ln \frac{S_{t+1}}{S_t} \quad (1)$$

and, in general, returns at time t and lag τ as

$$r_t(\tau) = \ln \frac{S_{t+\tau}}{S_t} : \quad (2)$$

We estimated using the above cited dataset the τ -dependent variance of returns:

$$\langle r_t^2(\tau) \rangle ; \quad (3)$$

the neighboring covariance of two consecutive returns after s lags

$$\langle r_{t+s}(\tau) r_t(\tau) \rangle \quad (4)$$

and the non-overlapping covariances of returns

$$\langle r_{t+s}(\tau) r_t(\tau) \rangle \quad (5)$$

where $s \geq 1$. In the three definitions $\langle \dots \rangle$ indicates an average over the probability distribution. Results are shown in figure 1. The variance of returns is a linear function of time lags s , as expected, but it is different from zero in the limit $s \rightarrow 0$. This implies the existence of an implicit indeterminacy in the price estimation for vanishing time lags. The same indeterminacy is responsible for the negative covariance of two consecutive returns (see below).

In order to explain the previous facts, it has been suggested [4] that the spot price is the composition of two different stochastic processes: a real price change and a noise contribution which is the result of erroneous evaluations of the real price by the market operators.

Given that S_t is the spot price at business time t we can express the two contributions as:

$$S_t = \tilde{S}_t e^{\epsilon_t} \quad (6)$$

where \tilde{S}_t is the real price and ϵ_t is the error contribution to the real price ($\epsilon_t = \ln(S_t/\tilde{S}_t)$; $\epsilon_t = \ln(\tilde{S}_{t+1}/\tilde{S}_t)$). The relation between returns is then given by:

$$r_t = \epsilon_t - \epsilon_{t-1} \quad (7)$$

In this framework we can explain the behavior of the variance and of the other quantities reported in figure 1. In fact, with the above definitions, the dependent variance can be calculated analytically:

$$\langle r_t^2(\tau) \rangle = 2 \langle \epsilon_t^2 \rangle + \langle \epsilon_t^2 \rangle : \quad (8)$$

where it has been assumed that ϵ_t and ϵ_t are uncorrelated i.i.d. random variables. The neighboring covariance of two consecutive returns after s business time

$$\langle r_{t+s}(\tau) r_t(\tau) \rangle = - \langle \epsilon_t^2 \rangle \quad (9)$$

and the non-overlapping covariances of returns

$$\langle r_{t+s}(\tau) r_t(\tau) \rangle = 0 \quad (10)$$

The above picture corresponds exactly to what one can see in figure 1. Therefore, it can be estimated the experimental value for $\langle r_t^2 \rangle$ which is $(2.0 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^{-8}$ and $\langle r_t^2 \rangle = (0.64 \pm 0.05) \cdot 10^{-8}$ for the particular dataset analyzed. We stress that equations 8 and 9 give two independent estimation of the variance allocated in the error contribution. We find that the two values, computed from data of figure 1, coincide within errors.

In order to complete our picture we also estimated the covariance function on time intervals τ , defined as

$$\langle r_{t+\tau} r_t \rangle \quad (11)$$

where we considered $\langle r_t \rangle = 0$. Results are plotted in figure 2. The figure shows that the spot returns are one step negatively correlated ($\langle r_{t+1} r_t \rangle = -\langle r_t^2 \rangle$) while for $\tau > 1$ we have $\langle r_{t+\tau} r_t \rangle = 0$ according to previous findings [5].

III. A MORE REALISTIC APPROACH

The aim of this work is to find a possible algorithm which is able to separate the two contributions in the spot price. The algorithm should be able to solve the indeterminacy found when the spot price is used to analyze high frequency price dynamics. From the previous paragraph we have constraints on the variance allocated in the real price and in the error distribution, the algorithm should then take this constraints into account.

In DEM /USD 1998 dataset, each quote at each business time is associated with the financial institution which fixed that quote. In principle this quote should be valid until the same bank gives a different exchange rate (both for bid and ask prices). In practice between two different quotes from the same bank there are several quotes fixed by other institutions around the world. This suggests that a bank quote elapses after a certain time even if a new quote has not been fixed by the same bank. If the dataset contained information on the time duration of each quote there would be no problem in establishing real price at each time: it would be the best bid and ask quotes valid at that time. But this information is not available and a different strategy has to be found to establish real price at each time.

The algorithm we propose is the following: let us suppose that we are observing the bid and ask price of a given currency and that we are able to detect each quotes from all the financial institution in the business time t .

We define distance between bid and ask as: $D_t = S_t^{(b)} - S_t^{(a)}$. Notice that for the non-

arbitrage principle this quantity is greater than or equal to zero. Considering k time lags previous to business time t we consider the following distance:

$$D_{t;k} = \min_{i \in \text{ft}_{k,tg}} S_i^{(b)} - \max_{i \in \text{ft}_{k,tg}} S_i^{(a)} : \quad (12)$$

For each t our algorithm finds \bar{k} which gives $D_{t;\bar{k}} = 0$ and $D_{t;\bar{k}+1} < 0$. The real price is then given by

$$S_t = \frac{\min_{i \in \text{ft}_{\bar{k},tg}} S_i^{(b)} + \max_{i \in \text{ft}_{\bar{k},tg}} S_i^{(a)}}{2} : \quad (13)$$

In this way we can then define a currency quote at each time. Notice that the number of steps we have to go backwards in time is only given by the non-arbitrage principle and it is different for every t . Once we have obtained S_t we can define $r_t(\cdot) = \ln(S_{t+1}/S_t)$ and compute all quantities (variances and correlations) already computed for the naive price definition.

As stated above if our algorithm is correct we should have that the indeterminacy contained in the spot price is removed for the real price. We then replicate the analysis described in the first paragraph for the spot price using the above defined real price S_t . Results for this analysis are presented in figure 3. It can be seen that the variance of returns goes to zero when business time goes to zero, in fact the experimental value of $\langle r^2 \rangle$ in equation 8 for the real price is $(3.1) \cdot 10^{-10}$, two order of magnitude smaller than for the spot price. Also the neighboring covariance of two consecutive returns goes to zero. Another interesting results is that we obtain for the real returns variance a value $\langle r_t^2 \rangle = 0.64 \cdot 10^{-8}$ which is identical, within error, to the one predicted in equation 8.

If we estimate the covariance of returns as defined in equation 11, we obtain that the real price returns are uncorrelated at every step (see figure 2 where covariance is compared with that of naive returns' given in equation 11).

The idea we have used here is indeed very simple, we assume that old quotes are still valid until they produce arbitrage. In spite of the simplicity we are able to remove all artifacts in the data.

IV . INFORMATION ANALYSIS

To be able to perform information analysis on our dataset first of all we need to code the original data in a sequence of symbols [6]. There are several way to build up such a

sequence: one should make sure that this treatment does not change too much the structure of the process underlying the evolution of financial data. A partition process of the range of variability of the data is needed in order to assign a conventional symbol to each element of the partition. A symbol corresponds then unambiguously to each element of the partition. The procedure described below permits to code financial data in a sequence of binary symbols from which is then possible to quantify available information.

We fix a resolution value ϵ and define

$$r_{t_i}(\epsilon) = \ln \frac{S_{t_i+\epsilon}}{S_{t_i}} \quad (14)$$

where t_i is a given business time. We wait until an exit time t_{i+1} such as

$$|r_{t_i}(\epsilon)| \geq \epsilon \quad (15)$$

In this way we only consider market fluctuations of amplitude ϵ . We can build up a sequence of $r_{t_i}(\epsilon)$, where $t_1 = t_0 + \epsilon_0$ and $t_{i+1} = t_i + \epsilon_i$, then we code this sequence in a binary code according to the following rules:

$$c_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r_{t_i}(\epsilon) < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } r_{t_i}(\epsilon) > 0 \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

The procedure described above corresponds to a patient investor who waits to update his investing strategy until a certain behavior of the market is achieved, for example, a fluctuation of size ϵ .

Once we have build a symbolic sequence we can estimate the entropy which is defined, for a generic sequence of n symbols, as:

$$H_n = - \sum_{C_n} p(C_n) \ln p(C_n) \quad (17)$$

where $C_n = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ is a sequence of n objects and $p(C_n)$ its probability. The difference

$$h_n = H_{n+1} - H_n \quad (18)$$

represents the average information needed to specify the symbol c_{n+1} given the previous knowledge of the sequence $\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$.

The series h_n is monotonically not increasing and for an ergodic process one has

$$h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n \quad (19)$$

where h is the Shannon entropy [7]. It can be shown that if the stochastic process $c_1 :: c_n$ is Markovian of order k (i.e. the probability of having c_n at time n depends only on previous k steps $n-1; n-2; ::; n-k$), then $h_n = h$ for $n \geq k$. In other cases either h_n goes to zero for increasing n , which means that for n sufficiently large the $(n+1)$ -th-symbol is predictable knowing the sequence C_n , or it tends to a positive finite value. The maximum value of h is $\ln(2)$ for a dichotomic sequence. It occurs if the process has no memory at all and the 2 symbols have the same probability. The difference between $\ln(2)$ and h is intuitively the quantity of information we may use to predict the next result of the phenomenon we observe, i.e. the market behavior.

In figure 4 h_n is estimated both for real (\tilde{S}_t) and spot prices (S_t). From the results it is obvious the different behaviors of the two definition for currency price. In fact while for the spot price we find a non zero available information ($\ln(2) - h_n \neq 0$), the stochastic process is a Markov process of order 1, the real price does not show this behavior. The available information for the real price is zero and it remains zero at every step (due to the finite number of data we can only estimate h_n until $n \approx 9$ but we can extrapolate its behavior for $n \gg 1$). This shows that the real price (unfortunately) is a stochastic process with no memory and predictability.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The aim of this work is to find the exact way to extract real prices from quotes taken from Reuters' Information system. Our dataset contains 1,620,843 bid and ask DEM/USD quotes recorded during the entire year 1998, from the 1st of January until the 31st of December 1998.

In section 2 we show that a wrong behavior of price dynamics can be obtained when the raw dataset is naively processed. In fact, one finds an implicit indeterminacy in price specification which increases the volatility and produces spurious covariances. We then explain this indeterminacy by means of an error contribution which is responsible for the increased volatility and for the covariances.

At this point we introduce a parameter free algorithm, only based on the non arbitrage principle, which is able to extract the real prices from the spot ones. The correctness of the procedure is corroborated by the many results presented in this work. First of all we show

that with the new price definition the indeterminacy and the one step anti-correlation drop to zero. We also show, through information analysis, that the stochastic process for the new defined price has no short range memory.

Given our results we think that when studying price dynamics a strong attention has to be posed on the definition of prices to be used in the analysis in order to avoid wrong conclusions as, for example, the existence of short term return correlations.

We stress that we are able to define real prices directly from spot quotes without the need of further information (time of validity of quotes) as one could obtain by means of an electronic broking system [8].

In conclusion we would like to propose our method as a general tool to process raw dataset in order to obtain a new dataset of the same length whose data are a better representation of price evolution in the very short time scale.

Acknowledgment

We thank Michele Pasquini for illuminating discussions in the early stage of the present work and for continuous interest and suggestions.

-
- [1] S. Taylor, *Modeling financial time series*, (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986).
 - [2] P. J. Brockwell and R. A. Davis, *Time series: theory and methods*, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991).
 - [3] L. Berardi, M. Serva, In preparation (2003).
 - [4] M. Pasquini, M. Serva, *Physica A* 277 (1-2): 228-238 (2000).
 - [5] F. De Jong, R. Mahieu and P. Schotman, *J. of Int. Money and Finance* 17: 5 (1998)
 - [6] R. Baviera, M. Pasquini, M. Serva, D. Vergni and A. Vulpiani, *Eur. Phys. J B* 20 (4): 473-479 (2001).
 - [7] C. E. Shannon, *Bell Syst. Techn. Journ.* 27: 623-656 (1948).
 - [8] J. Danielsson and R. Payne, *J. of Int. Money and Finance* 21: 203-222 (2002).




FIG . 1: DEM /USD spot exchange rates: variance (3) (crosses) compared with a linear $\tau 2A + B$, neighboring covariance (4) (circles) compared with $-A$, non-overlapping covariance (5) (stars) compared with zero. A and B are identified with $\langle \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau} \rangle$ and $\langle \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau} \rangle$.




FIG .2: Covariance $\langle r_t r_{t+\tau} \rangle$ and $\langle r_t^2 \rangle$ for spot (squares) and real (circles) returns.




FIG .3: DEM /USD realexchange rates: variance (3) (crosses) compared with a linear τB , neighboring covariance (4) (circles) compared with zero, non-overlapping covariance (5) (stars) compared with zero, B is identified with $\langle \mathbf{r}_t^2 \rangle$




FIG . 4: Information for spot (squares) and real (circles) prices