M.V. Feigelm an

L.D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow, Russia

The paper [1] is concered with experimental data on "proximity e ect in ultra n Pb/Ag multilayers within the Cooper limit". While the authors do present some new experimental data, their presentation, to my point of view, is seriusly de cient in i) adequate analysis of those data, and ii) in proper account of the results obtained previously within the same eld of research.

Concering item i), I have two di erent points to mention: a) W hile M dM illan's form ula for  $T_c$  of strongcoupled superconductor (Eq.(2) of [1]) is well-known, as well as the Cooper-limit form ula for  $T_c$  of S-N bilayer (Eq.(3) of [1]), there is no any reason to believe that com bination of both forum ulae makes any physical sense. Indeed, what are the reasons to use in the M dM illan's form ula some average electron-phonon coupling  $_{\rm SN}$  while keeping the same constant C oulom b repulsion constant

known for Pb ? The Eq.(3) was derived within the BCS weak-coupling model of superconductivity, and its extension to strong-coupling case needs som e additional work at least. The authors argue that "electrons probe the entire sam ple" - why then the authors assume they can neglect C oulom b replusion in the A g layers ? W ithout clear answers to these questions the "t" used to describe the obtained experim ental results will stay extrem ely speculative. b) The author's idea that bilayers studied can be described as being within "C ooper lim it" is based in plicitely upon the assumption that resistance of the S-N interface is su ciently low (it's trivial to see that in the case of low -conductive interface the "C ooper  $\lim it"$  form ula is not valid). Theory of  $T_c$  () dependence for thin S-N bilayer upon S-N interface resistance was presented in e.g. papers [2, 3, 4]. W hile it is possible that sam ples studied in [1] do indeed belong to the Cooper lim it, this is to be checked carefully.

Now I proceed to the item ii). The authors claim they have shown in this paper and in their previous paper[5] that they called "inverse proxim ity e ect" - i.e. increase of  $T_c$  of ultra n S-N bilayers with increase of norm al m etal thickness  $d_N$ . In fact, this e ect was for the rst tim e experim entally observed m ore that 10 years before, in paper [6], and then studied in m ore details in [7]. The authors of [5] exactly reproduce the idea and experim ental approach of [6, 7] for the study of  $T_c$  of ultrathin bilayers (just with another choice for norm al and superconductive m etals), without any reference to those papers. D etailed studies of  $T_c$  behavior in multilayers and bilayers can be found, e.g. even in earlier papers [8, 9].

On theoretical side, the authors ignore the existence of

broadly accepted detailed m icroscopic theory of that the authors called "inverse proxim ity e ect", cf. [10, 11, 12] – not to m ention previous papers on the sam e issue [13, 14]. In particular, in the review paper [12] a detailed analysis of the experiments [6, 7] is presented. None of these papers is even m entioned in [1, 5].

There exists how ever som ethat new (at least, in com – parison with [6, 7]) element in the experiments reported in [1, 5]: apart from determination of  $T_c$  via in-plane resistivem easurements, the authors studied tunnelling conductance into the bilayer, thus determining energy gap dependence upon thicknesses of S and N layers. Theoretical results for the energy gap in S-N bilayers are published in e.g. [4] within BCS mean- eld model; modication of tunnelling conductance in dirty S-N Im s due to enchanced C oulom b interaction was discussed in [15]. N one of those papers is referred to in [1, 5].

- Proximity e ect in ultra n Pb/Ag multilayers withint the Cooper limit", O Bourgeous, A Frydman and R.C. Dynes, cond-m at/0302251.
- [2] G Bergm ann, Solid. State Comm. 76, 415 (1990).
- [3] M G Khusainov, JETP Lett. 53, 554 (1991).
- [4] Ya V Fom inov and M V Feigel'man, "Superconductive properties of thin dirty S-N bilayers", Phys. Rev. B 63, 094518 (2001).
- [5] O Bourgeous, A Frydm an and R C D ynces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 186403 (2002).
- [6] IL Landau, D L Shapovalov and IA Parshin JETP lett. 53,642 (1991).
- [7] D L Shapovalov, JETP Lett, 60, 193 (1994).
- [8] N M isservet and M R Beasley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 672 (1989).
- [9] E.Yap and G. Bergman, Solid State Comm. 78, 245 (1991).
- [10] A M Finkelstein, JETP Letters 45, 46 (1987).
- [11] A M Finkelstein, "Electron liquid in Disordered Conductors", v.14 of Soviet Sciences Reviews, ed. IM Khalatnikov, Harwood Academic Publishers, London (1990).
- [12] A M Finkelstein, Physica B 197, 636 (1994).
- [13] S. M aekawa and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 51, 1380 (1982).
- [14] H. Takagi and Y. Kuroda, Solid State Comm. 41, 643 (1982).
- [15] Y O reg, P B rowner, B Sim ons and A A ltland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1269 (1999).