

# The Kubo-type Formula for Conductivity of Spatially Inhomogeneous Systems

I. G. Lang, L. I. Korovin

*A. F. Ioffe Physical-Technical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, 194021 St. Petersburg, Russia*

S. T. Pavlov<sup>†‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>*Facultad de Fisica de la UAZ, Apartado Postal C-580, 98060 Zacatecas, Zac., Mexico*

<sup>‡</sup>*P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences. 119991, Moscow, Russia*

(March 22, 2022)

The expressions for average densities of currents and charges induced by a weak electromagnetic field in spatially inhomogeneous systems are obtained. The case of finite temperatures is considered. It is shown that average values are separated into "basic" and "additional" parts. The former depends on electric fields, and the latter depends on derivatives of electric fields on coordinates. Semiconductor quantum wells, wires or dots may be considered as spatially inhomogeneous systems.

1.R. J. Kubo [1] obtained the formula for the conductivity tensor  $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega)$ , applicable in a case of spatially homogeneous systems and electric fields  $\mathbf{E}(t)$  independent of spatial coordinates. This formula takes into account exactly the interaction of current carriers with the medium. Consequently, it is a powerful tool for the solution of concrete conductivity problems in solids.

Currently there is a great interest in experimental and theoretical study of low-dimensional semiconductor objects such as quantum wells, wires and dots. Because of this, the generalization of the Kubo formula in a case of spatially inhomogeneous systems and spatially inhomogeneous fields is urgent. Kubo [1] used the operator of interaction of current carriers with the electric field as

$$U_K = - \sum_i e_i \mathbf{r}_i \mathbf{E}(t), \quad (1)$$

where  $e_i$  and  $\mathbf{r}_i$  are the charge and radius - vector of the  $i$ -th particle,  $\mathbf{E}(t)$  is time-dependent, but homogeneous in the space electric field. However, it follows from the Maxwell equations, that the time-dependent electric field necessarily depends on coordinates, so the use of Eq. (1) is always a certain approximation, if  $\mathbf{E}$  depends on  $t$ . Our task consists of obtaining the additional terms in the Kubo formula, which contain derivatives of the electric field on coordinates, as well as taking into account the heterogeneity of physical systems.

2.Let us consider a system of  $N$  particles of charge  $e$  and mass  $m$  in a weak electromagnetic field, characterized by intensities  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ . We introduce vector  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and scalar  $\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)$  potentials in terms of which the fields are expressed (the gage of potentials is arbitrary). For the sake of generalizing our task, we shall suppose that the system of particles is placed in a constant magnetic field  $\mathbf{H}$ . The vector potential  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$  corresponds to  $\mathbf{H}$ . The total Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_{total}$  is as follows

$$\mathcal{H}_{total} = \mathcal{H} + U, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_i \frac{\mathbf{p}_i^2}{2m} + V(\mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_N), \quad (3)$$

$\mathbf{p}_i = \mathbf{P}_i - (e/c)\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i)$ ,  $\mathbf{P}_i = -i\hbar(\partial/\partial\mathbf{r}_i)$ ,  $V(\mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_N)$  is the potential energy, including interaction between particles and external potential.  $U$  is the interaction energy of particles with the electromagnetic field

$$U = U_1 + U_2, \quad (4)$$

$$U_1 = -\frac{1}{c} \int d^3r \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \int d^3r \rho(\mathbf{r}) \varphi(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (5)$$

$$U_2 = \frac{e}{2mc} \int d^3r \rho(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{A}^2(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (6)$$

In Eqs. (5), (6) the operators of current density

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \mathbf{j}_i(\mathbf{r}),$$

$$\mathbf{j}_i(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e}{2} \{ \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \mathbf{v}_i + \mathbf{v}_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \}, \quad \mathbf{v}_i = \frac{\mathbf{p}_i}{m}$$

and charge density

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \rho_i(\mathbf{r}), \quad \rho_i(\mathbf{r}) = e \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)$$

are introduced. The operator  $U_2$  is out of the framework of the linear approximation on the electromagnetic field.

It is supposed that on the indefinitely removed distances there are no charges and currents, and also that on times  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ , the fields  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  are equal to 0, which corresponds to adiabatical switching on the fields. Generally, the operator  $U$  of the interaction of particles with the electromagnetic field is not expressed

through fields  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{R}, t)$ . Accordingly, the operators  $\mathbf{j}_1(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and  $\rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t)$  are expressed only through potentials  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and  $\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{j}_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= -\frac{e}{mc}\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)A_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' [U_1(t'), j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)], \\ \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' [U_1(t'), \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where subscript 1 means linear approximation on the fields.  $[F, Q] = FQ - QF$  is the commutator of operators  $F$  and  $Q$ .

However, all the observable values must be expressed through fields. This also is true for average values  $\langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$  and  $\langle \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$ , where the averaging is introduced by

$$\langle \dots \rangle = \frac{Sp\{\exp(-\beta\mathcal{H}) \dots\}}{Sp\{\exp(-\beta\mathcal{H})\}}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{kT}. \quad (8)$$

The problem lies in what kind of interaction to use a containing vector potential or an electric field, which was discussed earlier in [2] with reference to a task of the light dispersion in bulk crystals. However, since we must solve other problems with spatially inhomogeneous systems, it is necessary to come back again to this topic.

3. For the case  $T = 0$  average values of densities of current and charge, induced by external fields in a spatially inhomogeneous medium, are calculated in [3]. The obtained expressions contain the symbol  $\langle 0|\dots|0 \rangle$ , where  $|0 \rangle$  is the wave function of the ground state of the system. By replacing averaging  $\langle 0|\dots|0 \rangle$  with averaging Eq. (8) [3] we obtain

$$\langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = \langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle_E + \langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle_{\partial E/\partial r}, \quad (9)$$

$$\langle \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = \langle \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle_E + \langle \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle_{\partial E/\partial r}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle_E &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \int d^3r' \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \\ &\times \langle [j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t), d_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t')] \rangle E_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t'), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle_E &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \int d^3r' \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \\ &\times \langle [\rho(\mathbf{r}, t), d_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t')] \rangle E_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t'), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle_{\partial E/\partial r} &= \frac{e}{mc} \langle d_\beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial r_\alpha} \\ &- \frac{i}{\hbar c} \int d^3r' \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \langle [j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t), Y_{\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{r}', t')] \rangle \\ &\times \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t')}{\partial r'_\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle_{\partial E/\partial r} &= -\frac{i}{\hbar c} \int d^3r' \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \\ &\times \langle [\rho(\mathbf{r}, t), Y_{\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{r}', t')] \rangle \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t')}{\partial r'_\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r} \rho(\mathbf{r}), \quad Y_{\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{r}) = r_\beta j_\gamma(\mathbf{r}), \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -c \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t'). \quad (16)$$

The correctness of the replacement of averaging  $\langle 0|\dots|0 \rangle$  with  $\langle \dots \rangle$  proves to be true in the further comparison of our results with the results of [4] and [5].

4. We transform Eqs. (9) – (14) so that at transition to a limit  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) \simeq \mathbf{E}(t)$ , the Kubo formula for conductivity [1] will be obtained. We use a ratio [1,4]

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [F(t), Q(t')] \rangle = \int_0^\beta d\lambda \langle \frac{dQ(t')}{dt'} F(t + i\hbar\lambda) \rangle, \quad (17)$$

true for any pair of operators  $F$  and  $Q$ , and we also use the integration on variable  $t'$  and  $\mathbf{r}'$  in parts. It results in

$$\langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = \langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(1)} + \langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(2)}, \quad (18)$$

where the first part contains an electric field, and the second contains its derivative on coordinates, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(1)} &= \int d^3r' \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \\ &\times \langle j_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t') j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t + i\hbar\lambda) \rangle E_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t'), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(2)} &= \frac{e}{mc} \langle d_\beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial r_\alpha} \\ &- \frac{1}{c} \int d^3r' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \langle Y_{\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{r}') j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) \rangle \\ &\times \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial r'_\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\langle \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = \langle \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(1)} + \langle \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(2)}, \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(1)} &= \int d^3r' \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \\ &\times \langle j_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t') \rho(\mathbf{r}, t + i\hbar\lambda) \rangle E_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t'), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(2)} &= -\frac{1}{c} \int d^3r' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \\ &\times \langle Y_{\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) \rangle \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial r'_\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Let us emphasize that the separations of the average values Eqs. (18) and (21) in two parts does not coincide with the separations Eqs. (9) and (10), convenient only at  $T = 0$ .

The contributions with the superscript (1) contain an electric field. We shall name them "basic". The contributions with the superscript (2) contain derivatives of the electric field on coordinates. We shall name them "additional". The reason for introducing basic and additional contributions is that for the solution of any problem, in which the field  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is spatially inhomogeneous, it is possible to estimate magnitudes of the additional contributions and to determine whether it is necessary to take them into account or to reject them.

5. We compare our results with the conclusions of [5], where  $\langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$  is also calculated at finite temperatures. This expression differs from ours which only contains an electric field. Generally speaking, integrating on  $\mathbf{r}'$  in parts, it is possible to get rid of derivative  $\partial E_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t)/\partial r'_\gamma$ , proceeding to the formulas which only contain fields. However, the opposite procedure, transition from fields to derivatives, is not always possible in basic contributions where fields are always kept.

The separation of Eq. (18) in two parts coincides with the separation of [5]. The expressions for the basic contribution with superscript (1) coincide. But instead of Eq. (20) in [5] for the additional contribution, the result

$$\frac{\partial \langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(2)}}{\partial t} = - \int d^3 r' \varphi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', 0) \times [E_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t) - E_\beta(\mathbf{r}, t)] \quad (24)$$

is obtained, where

$$\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \tau) = \int_0^\beta d\lambda \langle j_\beta(\mathbf{r}') j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, \tau + i\hbar\lambda) \rangle. \quad (25)$$

Integrating both parts of Eq. (24) on time, we have

$$\langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(2)} = - \frac{e}{mc} \langle \rho(\mathbf{r}) \rangle a_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{1}{c} \int d^3 r' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \langle j_\beta(\mathbf{r}') j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) \rangle a_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t). \quad (26)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\langle \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(2)} = \frac{1}{c} \int d^3 r' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \langle j_\beta(\mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) \rangle a_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t). \quad (27)$$

We have verified that it is possible to proceed from our results in Eqs. (20) and (23) to the results of Eqs. (26) and (27), integrating on  $\mathbf{r}'$  in parts.

6. Eqs. (20) and (23), unlike Eqs. (26) and (27), contain operators  $\mathbf{r}_i$  of coordinates of particles. Indeed, the definitions in Eqs. (15) may be written as

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}) = e \sum_i \mathbf{r}_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i), \quad (28)$$

$$Y_{\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e}{2} \sum_i [r_{i\beta} j_{i\gamma} + j_{i\gamma} r_{i\beta}]. \quad (29)$$

But the average values  $\langle \mathbf{j}_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$  and  $\langle \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$  should not depend on the point of readout of the coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_i$ . This means that Eqs. (20) and (23) contain only non-diagonal elements of the operators  $\mathbf{r}_i$ , and the diagonal elements can be excluded.

To demonstrate this statement let us transform Eq. (29) so that the operator  $r_{i\beta}$  stands only on the left

$$Y_{\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{r}) = - \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \delta_{\beta\gamma} \rho(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_i r_{i\beta} j_{i\gamma}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (30)$$

Substituting Eqs. (28) and (30) in Eq. (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(2)} &= \frac{e^2}{mc} \sum_i \langle r_{i\beta} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \rangle \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial r_\alpha} \\ &+ \frac{i\hbar}{2mc} \int d^3 r' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \langle \rho(\mathbf{r}') j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) \rangle \\ &\quad \times \text{div} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}', t) \\ &- \frac{1}{c} \int d^3 r' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \sum_i \langle r_{i\beta} j_{i\gamma}(\mathbf{r}') j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) \rangle \\ &\quad \times \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial r'_\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Let us separate the operator  $\mathbf{r}_i$  in two parts:

$$\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_i^d + \mathbf{r}_i^{nd}, \quad (32)$$

where superscripts  $d$  and  $nd$  mean diagonal and non-diagonal contributions, respectively, and

$$\langle n | \mathbf{r}_i^d | m \rangle = \langle n | \mathbf{r}_i | m \rangle \langle n | m \rangle. \quad (33)$$

We then substitute Eq. (32) in the last term of Eq. (31) from item 4. Taking advantage of commutativity  $[\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{r}_i^d] = 0$ , it is possible to show that

$$\begin{aligned} &- \frac{1}{c} \int d^3 r' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \sum_i \langle r_{i\beta}^d j_{i\gamma}(\mathbf{r}') j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) \rangle \\ &\times \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial r'_\gamma} = - \frac{e}{mc} \sum_i \langle r_{i\beta} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \rangle \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial r_\alpha} \\ &+ \frac{1}{c} \int d^3 r' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \sum_i \langle j_{i\gamma}(\mathbf{r}') j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) r_{i\beta}^{nd}(i\hbar\lambda) \rangle \\ &\quad \times \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial r'_\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Using Eqs. (32) and (34), we obtain from Eq. (31) expressions, which do not containing the operators  $\mathbf{r}_i^d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(2)} &= \frac{i\hbar}{2mc} \int d^3r' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \\
&\times \langle \rho(\mathbf{r}') j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) \rangle \text{div} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}', t) \\
&+ \frac{1}{c} \int d^3r' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \sum_i \langle j_{i\gamma}(\mathbf{r}') j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) r_{i\beta}^{nd}(i\hbar\lambda) \rangle \\
&- r_{i\beta}^{nd} j_{i\gamma}'(\mathbf{r}') j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial r_\gamma'}. \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the additional contribution in average induced density of charge we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^{(2)} &= \frac{i\hbar}{2mc} \int d^3r' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \\
&\times \langle \rho(\mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) \rangle \text{div} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}', t) \\
&+ \frac{1}{c} \int d^3r' \int_0^\beta d\lambda \sum_i \langle j_{i\gamma}(\mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) r_{i\beta}^{nd}(i\hbar\lambda) \rangle \\
&- r_{i\beta}^{nd} j_{i\gamma}'(\mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}, i\hbar\lambda) \frac{\partial a_\beta(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial r_\gamma'}. \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

7. We make the Fourier-transformation of the electric field as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) &= E_\alpha^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}, t) + E_\alpha^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}, t), \\
E_\alpha^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^3k \int_0^\infty d\omega E_\alpha(\mathbf{k}, \omega) e^{i(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r} - \omega t)}, \\
E_\alpha^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= (E_\alpha^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}, t))^*, \\
E_\alpha(\mathbf{k}, \omega) &= \int d^3r \int_{-\infty}^\infty dt E_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) e^{-i(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r} - \omega t)}. \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

The average induced density of current may now be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^3k \int_0^\infty d\omega \\
&\times \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}, \omega | \mathbf{r}) E_\beta(\mathbf{k}, \omega) e^{i(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r} - \omega t)} + c.c., \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}, \omega | \mathbf{r})$  is the conductivity tensor, dependent on spatial coordinates. Using Eqs. (19) and (35) for basic and additional contributions to the average induced density of current, we obtain

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}, \omega | \mathbf{r}) = \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}, \omega | \mathbf{r}) + \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \omega | \mathbf{r}), \quad (39)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}, \omega | \mathbf{r}) &= \int d^3r' \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\beta d\lambda \\
&\times \langle j_\beta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', -i\hbar\lambda) j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle e^{-i(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}' - i\omega t)}, \quad (40) \\
\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \omega | \mathbf{r}) &= \frac{i\hbar k_\beta}{2m\omega} \int d^3r' e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}'} \int_0^\beta d\lambda \\
&\times \langle \rho(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', -i\hbar\lambda) j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \\
&+ \frac{k_\gamma}{\omega} \int d^3r' e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}'} \int_0^\beta d\lambda \sum_i \langle j_{i\gamma}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', -i\hbar\lambda) j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) r_{i\beta}^{nd} \\
&- r_{i\beta}^{nd} (-i\hbar\lambda) j_{i\gamma}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', -i\hbar\lambda) j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \rangle. \quad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

As an approximation, when the electric field does not depend on spatial coordinates, i.e.  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) \simeq \mathbf{E}(t)$ , we make the Fourier-transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\alpha^{(+)}(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega E_\alpha(\omega) e^{-i\omega t}, \\
\langle j_{1\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle_h &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \\
&\times \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega | \mathbf{r}) E_\beta(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} + c.c., \quad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

where subscript  $h$  means a field, homogeneous in space. It is easy to see that

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega | \mathbf{r}) = \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k} = 0, \omega | \mathbf{r}). \quad (43)$$

Then with the help of Eqs. (40) - (42) we obtain

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega | \mathbf{r}) = \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\beta d\lambda \langle J_\beta(-i\hbar\lambda) j_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle e^{i\omega t}, \quad (44)$$

where the current operator is

$$J_\alpha = e \sum_i \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i\alpha}. \quad (45)$$

Eq. (44) is the generalization of the Kubo formula in the case of a spatially inhomogeneous medium, where the conductivity tensor depends on  $\mathbf{r}$ . In the case of a spatially homogeneous medium, the tensor  $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega | \mathbf{r})$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{r}$  and we obtain from Eq. (44)

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = \frac{1}{V_0} \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\beta d\lambda \langle J_\beta(-i\hbar\lambda) J_\alpha(t) \rangle e^{i\omega t}, \quad (46)$$

where  $V_0$  is the normalization volume. The obtained formula coincides with the Kubo result [1] if we substitute  $\omega$  for  $-\omega$  on the RHS and take into account that in [1] the volume  $V_0 = 1$ .

It follows from Eq. (42) that the additional contributions to the average density of the induced current result in additional contributions to the conductivity tensor which contains the factor  $k_\gamma/\omega$ . If a field  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the plane wave (at a monochromatic irradiation) or wave package (at a pulse irradiation),  $k \simeq \omega/c$  and additional contributions contain a small factor  $v/c$  in comparison to the basic contributions, where  $v$  is the particle velocity in the system.

However, this estimation is not always correct for spatially inhomogeneous systems (for example for semiconductor quantum wells, wires or dots). It is possible to consider the field  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  as external, or as a stimulating field, only when we calculate the densities of the induced current and charge in the lowest order on interaction of the field with the system of charged particles. Such an approximation is acceptable in the case of a quantum well under the condition [6,7]

$$\gamma_r \ll \gamma,$$

where  $\gamma_r(\gamma)$  is the radiative (non-radiative) broadening of electronic excitation.

Otherwise, when  $\gamma_r \gg \gamma$ , it is necessary to take into account the interaction of the field with particles in all orders of a perturbation theory. Then the field  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the genuine field within the limits of low-dimensional objects.

This field cannot yet be represented as a superposition of plane waves, for which  $k = \omega/c$ . For example, the genuine field strongly varies inside of a quantum well along the  $z$  axis which is perpendicular to the plane of a quantum well. The light falls along the  $z$  axis and the frequency  $\omega$  is in resonance with one of the excitation energy levels of the electron system in a quantum well belonging to the discrete spectrum [8,9]. Then the values  $kd \simeq 1$  are essential, where  $d$  is the width of a quantum well. Instead of a small factor  $v/c$ , we obtain a factor

$$M \simeq \frac{v}{\omega d} = \frac{v}{c} \frac{\lambda}{2\pi d}.$$

If the wave length is  $\lambda \gg d$ , it may appear that the new factor  $M$  is much greater than  $v/c$ . In concrete cases, it is necessary to estimate its magnitude. In [8,9] we assumed that  $M \ll 1$  and neglected the additional contributions to average values of the induced densities of currents and charges (it was considered in the case  $T = 0$ ).

Thus, Eqs. (40) - (42) represent the analogue of the Kubo formula in a case of spatially inhomogeneous electric fields and systems. The average values of the induced charge density are also calculated in this case (see Eqs. (21), (22) and (37)).

The problem of the transition from initial expressions (containing vector and scalar potentials) to expressions for average values (containing electric fields and derivatives from field on coordinates) is solved.

Substituting the obtained expressions for the average densities of current and charge in the Maxwell equations, it is possible in principle to determine the genuine fields inside and outside of semiconductor low-dimensional objects. Thus, it is possible to calculate the light reflection and absorption coefficients of these objects (see, for example, [8,9]).

Authors are grateful to M. Harkins for a critical reading of the manuscript. The work has received partial financial support of the Russian Fund of Basic Researches (00-02-16904), Program " Physics of semiconductor nanostructures" and Federal Program "Integration".

- [2] R. Zeyher, H. Bilz, M. Cardona, Sol. State Commun., **19**, 57 (1967).
- [3] I. G. Lang, L. I. Korovin, J. A. de la Cruz-Alcaz, S. T. Pavlov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., **123**, N2 (2003), pp. 305-324 [JETP, **96**, No. 2 (2003), pp. 268-285]; E - print archives, cond-mat/0212549.
- [4] S. Nakajima, Proc. Phys. Soc., **69**, 441 (1965).
- [5] O. V. Konstantinov, V. I. Perel, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., **37**, N3(9), 786 (1959) [Sov. Phys. JETP, **10**, 560 (1959)].
- [6] L. C. Andreani, F. Tassone, F. Bassani, Sol.State Commun., **77**, N11, 641 (1991).
- [7] L. C. Andreani, G. Panzarini, A. V. Kavokin, M. R. Vladimirova, Rhys. Rev.B, **57**, N8, 4670 (1998).
- [8] L. I. Korovin, I. G. Lang, D. A. Contreras-Solorio, S. T. Pavlov, Fiz. Tverd. Tela, **43**, 2091 (2001)[Phys. Solid State, **43**, 2182 (2001)]; E - print archives, cond-mat/0104263.
- [9] L. I. Korovin, I. G. Lang, D. A. Contreras-Solorio, S. T. Pavlov Fiz. Tverd/ Tela, **44**, No 11, 2084 (2002)[Phys. Solid State, **44**, 171 (2002)]; E - print archives, cond-mat/0203390.

---

[1] R. J. Kubo, Phys. Soc. Japan, **12**, N6, 570 (1957).