Resonant dynam ics in boson-ferm ion m ixtures

M.Wouters, J.Tempere, J.T.Devreese

TFVS, Universiteit Antwerpen, Universiteitsplein 1, B2610 Antwerpen, Belgium.

(January 31, 2003)

Abstract

The time evolution of a dilute atom ic boson-ferm ion m ixture is studied at zero tem perature, when the external magnetic eld is brought close to a interspecies Feshbach resonance. On short time scales we not that before a collapse or phase separation of the m ixture can occur, oscillations between ferm ionic atom s and heteronuclear molecules dom inate the dynam ics. Applying the model presented here to a trapped m ixture of ⁴⁰K -⁸⁷Rb atom s, we not that the predicted oscillations can be revealed in degenerate m ixtures of these atom s.

Typeset using REVT_EX

I. IN TRODUCTION

O ne of the m ost attractive features of cold atom ic gases is that the interatom ic scattering length is under very good experimental control. Using the presence of Feshbach resonances, the scattering length can be tuned from highly repulsive to strongly attractive by changing the applied magnetic eld. Sympathetic cooling techniques allow to cool mixtures of fermionic and bosonic atoms down to extrem ely low temperatures where the quantum mechanical nature of both bosons and ferm ions becomes apparent, resulting in a Bose-E instein condensate coexisting with a led Ferm i sea [1,2]. When the interspecies interactions are strongly attractive, changing the number of bosons alters the mean eld interaction strength and can result in a collapse of the Ferm i gas as observed by M odugno et al. β]. This instability can also be triggered by changing the scattering length itself, making use of a Feshbach resonance. The divergence of the scattering length at a Feshbach resonance. This means that close to this magnetic eld value, the molecular state can be populated. A number of experimental [4] and theoretical works [5{8] have been devoted to study the conversion of atom s between the atom ic and molecular condensates and the therm all cloud.

In this work we study the evolution of an initially noninteracting boson-ferm ion m ixture at zero tem perature when a sudden change (on a time scale much faster than any other time scale in the system) in the magnetic eld brings it close to an interspecies Feshbach resonance. The (ferm ionic) molecules rst form out of a condensate boson and a ferm ionic atom with an energy smaller than or equal to the Fermi energy. We will refer to such ferm ionic atoms (molecules) as 'slow' ferm ions (molecules); and to ferm ions or molecules with more energy than the Fermi energy as 'fast' ferm ions or molecules, respectively. The decay of a molecule can then either lead back to a condensate boson with the same 'slow' ferm ion or to a therm all boson accompanied by a 'fast' ferm ion. Energy conservation is not violated because the interaction energy is changed. The latter process shows that the stepwise change of the magnetic eld can lead to heating of the system, while the form er dem onstrates that coherent oscillations between ferm ionic atom s and m olecules are possible, when a ferm ionic atom interacts with the condensate as a whole. Due to multiple scattering of ferm ionic atom s, bosons and m olecules, the time evolution of the Yast' part of the system is expected to be rather chaotic. This is why we preferred to focus on the 'slow' ferm ionic atom s and m olecules. The energies involved in the form ation of m olecules are easily two orders of m agnitude bigger than the Ferm i energy and thus we conclude from the di erence in phase space volume that it is rather unlikely that the Yast' decay products of a molecule will come back in the Ferm isphere. This assumption allows us to study the 'slow' ferm ionic atom s and m olecules as a subsystem.

Our study is based on the model H am iltonian introduced in Sec. II. We make some approximations that allow us to write the time dependence of 'slow' ferm ionic atoms and molecules in analytical form. The results obtained with this simplied model are discussed in Sec. III, together with the experimental conditions needed to make the predictions observable, using data from ${}^{40}\text{K} - {}^{87}\text{Rb}$ scattering.

Experimentally, the scattering length as a function of the magnetic eld close to a Feshbach resonance can be well parameterized by

$$a_{\text{scatt}}$$
 (B) = a_{bg} 1 $\frac{B}{B - B_0}$; (1)

where a_{bg} is the background scattering length, B_0 the position and B the width of the resonance.

A good description of the scattering physics near a Feshbach resonance can be obtained by explicitly introducing m olecules [9,10]. These m olecular states are the bound states of an interatom ic potential in a closed channel and their energy relative to the threshold is given by

$$(B) = (B \quad B_0) ;$$
 (2)

where = $m \text{ olecule} ferm ion boson}$ is the di erence in magnetic moment between the molecular and atom ic states. Denoting the coupling strength between atom s and molecules by g_m , the H am iltonian for a trapped gas can be written as

where $H_{f,b}^{0}(x) = \sqrt{2}r^{2} = 2m_{f,b} + V_{trap}^{f,b}(x)$ and $H_{m}^{0}(x) = \sqrt{2}r^{2} = 2m_{m} + V_{trap}^{m}(x) + _{bare}(B)$ are the H am iltonians of the non-interacting gases of ferm ionic atom s, bosons and m olecules, respectively. The corresponding m asses of these atom s and m olecules, and the trapping potentials con ning them are indexed by f; b and m to indicate ferm ionic atom s, bosons and m olecules, respectively. In the last term we stress that the parameter ______ appearing in the H am iltonian (3) is the bare m olecular binding energy [10]. The true binding energy

(B) given in (2) di ers from this quantity due to virtual dissociations of the molecules. Background scattering is om itted, because we assume that the system is brought close enough to resonance so that the resonant contribution is much more important.

Starting from H am iltonian (3), we wish to study the dynam ics of the m ixture when the scattering length is suddenly brought close to a Feshbach resonance. In order to grasp the basic physics, som e approximations are made. First of all we assume that there are much more condensate atoms than fermions, so that the elect on the condensate can be neglected. A loo the depletion of the condensate is presumed to be small, which allows for the B ogoliubov approximation. Finally we assume that the distance that a particle travels during a molecule form ation process is small compared to the harm onic oscillator length. The latter permits us to restrict ourselves to hom ogeneous systems at instand use a local density approximation to take the inhom ogeneity due to the content of the content at a later stage. A ll these assumptions will be justified in Sec. III.

We thus consider a hom ogeneous boson-ferm ion mixture without interactions before t = 0, the moment when the magnetic eld is suddenly brought close to resonance and

the interactions between the atom s start taking place. At t 6 0, the initial state consists of condensate bosons, Slow' ferm ionic atom s (lling the Ferm i sphere), and no molecules. From t = 0 onwards, the evolution of this mixture is described by (3): The evolution will consist in the form ation of molecules and their subsequent decay. Pauliblocking will limit the decay channels. However, the molecular binding energy is, even for magnetic elds that are only 0:1G away from resonance, much larger than the Ferm i energy (since = 0:1G _ B = k_B _ 6:7 K, with _ B and k_B respectively the Bohrm agneton and the Boltzm ann constant, whereas E_F is of the order of a few hundred nK) and blocking e ects will be sm all. As a consequence, a single particle description of the ferm ions should be a good rst approximation. The simplie d Ham iltonian for this ferm ion-boson mixture reads

$$H = \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{e^{2}k^{2}}{2m_{f}} \frac{y}{f}(k) = (k) + \frac{e^{2}k^{2}}{2m_{b}} \frac{y}{b}(k) = (k) + \frac{e^{2}k^{2}}{2m_{m}} + \frac{e^{$$

Here, $g_m^{p} \overline{n_b}$ has the dimension of energy and we will use

= t
$$g_{h} \stackrel{p}{n_{b}} = \sim;$$
 and $m = g_{m} m_{r} = (\sim^{2} n_{b}^{1=6})$ (5)

as our dimensionless quantities, with $m_r = m_f^{1} + m_b^{1}^{1}$ the reduced mass of a ferm ionboson pair. The rst line in (4) represents the free gases of ferm ionic atoms, bosons and molecules, respectively. The second line in (4) describes the interaction converting a ferm ionic atom and a boson into a molecule ('slow' or 'fast') and vice versa. In the single particle picture, we should study the time evolution of each ferm ion in the Ferm i sea individually. However, because the Ferm i level is much smaller than the molecular binding energy, the initial kinetic energy of the 'slow' ferm ion does not in uence the nal result for the dynamics and can be neglected. Consequently all the 'slow' ferm ions will have a sim ilar time-evolution due to the interaction with the condensate, irrespective of the speci c value of their momentum. Thus, it will su ce to analyze the properties of a ferm ion with initial momentum k = 0 to know the evolution of all of them.

An appropriate wave function to describe one ferm ion that is initially at rest is

$$j (t)i = [f_{Z}(t) \int_{f}^{y} (k_{f} = 0) j t + m (t) \int_{m}^{y} (k_{m} = 0) j t + d^{3}k_{f} w (k_{f};t) \int_{f}^{y} (k_{f}) \int_{b}^{y} (k_{f}) j t] j N_{b}i;$$
(6)

where f (t) and m (t) represent the amplitude of the bare ferm ionic atom and the bare molecule. The third term, containing the amplitude w (k_f ;t) arises from molecules that dissociate in a fast ferm ionic atom and a thermal boson, which is an important process. Higher order processes where the fast ferm ionic atom form s again a molecule that dissociates are neglected in this approach. D iagram matically speaking, this comes down to retaining only the diagram s of Fig. 1 (a) and neglecting the contributions like those in Fig. 1 (b).

The Schrodinger equation $i \sim 0_t j i = H j i$ allows to nd the time evolution of the amplitudes in wave function (6):

$$i \sim \frac{d}{dt} f(t) = g_m p_{\overline{n}} m(t); \qquad (7)$$

$$i \sim \frac{d}{dt}m$$
 (t) = $_{\text{bare}} + g_m p \overline{n_b}f$ (t) + $g_m \frac{d^3k_f}{(2)^3}w$ (k_f;t); (8)

$$i \sim \frac{d}{dt} w (k_{f};t) = \frac{\sim^{2} k_{f}^{2}}{m_{r}} w (k_{f};t) + g_{m} m (t) :$$
 (9)

We choose as initial conditions f (t = 0) = 1 and m (t = 0) = w ($k_{\rm f}$;t = 0) = 0. This corresponds to the initial state wave function describing one 'slow' ferm ionic atom j _{init}i = $\frac{y}{f}$ ($k_{\rm f}$ = 0) jDi: Note that $\frac{P}{n_{\rm b}}$ is assumed time-independent. The linear dimensial equations (7)-(9) can be solved with a Laplace transform, yielding

$$f() = \frac{1}{\binom{1}{9} 2!_{0} + \cdots + \frac{3}{8} (2m)^{3=2} \frac{p}{!_{0}}} e^{i!_{0}} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{4^{2}} (2m)^{3=2} \frac{p}{!_{0}}}{\binom{1}{9} (\frac{1}{4^{2}} (2m)^{3=2} \frac{p}{!_{0}})^{3=2}} d!;$$
(10)

and

$$m() = \frac{1}{2!_{0} + \cdots + \frac{3}{8} (2m)^{3=2} P_{1_{0}}} e^{i!_{0}} + e^{i!} \frac{1}{4^{2}} \frac{(2m!)^{3=2}}{(!(! - \cdots) - 1) + \frac{(2m!)^{3}}{16^{2}}} d!;$$
(11)

where $!_0$ is a root of $!(! + -+ \frac{1}{4}(2m)^{3=2}p_{\overline{1}})$ 1 = 0 and - is a dimensionless parameter related to the realm olecular binding energy by $-= (g_m p_{\overline{n}b})$. In evaluating the integral in (8), we have used the relation between the real and bare molecular binding energy, and bare, for a momentum cuto K [10]: $= b_{are} K q_n^2 m_r = (-)^2$:

It is instructive for the understanding of the time evolution to investigate the eigenstates of the H am iltonian (4) describing the system in which the time evolution takes place. The ground state for a single ferm ionic atom in a system described by (4) can be investigated by using (6) as a variational wave function with $f;m;w(k_f)$ as variational parameters. The variational expression for the ground state energy is

$$\frac{E_{0}(f;m;w(k_{f}))}{q_{m}P\overline{n_{b}}} = (f m + m f) + \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}k_{f}}{2m} \frac{k_{f}^{2}}{2m} jw(k_{f})^{2} + \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}k_{f}}{(2)^{3}} (m w(k_{f}) + w(k_{f})m); \qquad (12)$$

III.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A.Atom - molecule oscillations

where k_f is now measured in units $n_b^{1=3}$: M inimizing this expression, with the constraint j_h j ij= 1 we nd for the ground state energy the equation

$$E^{*} @ E^{*} \sim \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}k_{f}}{E^{*} - \frac{k_{f}^{2}}{2rr}} A \qquad 1 = 0; \qquad (13)$$

where $E = E = (g_n^p \overline{n_b})$; which has as lowest solution (relative to the bottom of the ferm ion band) $E = !_0$. The ground state is a bound state representing a coherent superposition of the states corresponding to the ferm ion as an atom and as a part in a molecule:

$$j_{bound} i = N \quad \frac{1}{!_{0}} \int_{f}^{y} (k_{f} = 0) + \int_{m}^{y} (k_{m} = 0) \qquad (14)$$

$$+ \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}k_{f}}{!_{0} \frac{k_{f}^{2}}{2m}} \int_{f}^{y} (k_{f}) \int_{b}^{y} (k_{f})^{5} j i;$$

with N a normalization constant. Similar bound states are found in the photodissociation of a negative ion [11]. Equation (13) has only one solution for E negative. The solutions of (13) with E' = ! > 0 form a continuum, because we consider the limit of an in nite system. The eigenstates j_{exc} (!) is corresponding to these solutions are the excited states of the H am iltonian (4).

The time evolution of the initial state j_{init} is can then be written in the basis of the eigenstates of (4) as

$$j (t)i = j_{\text{bound}} ie^{i!_0 t} h_{\text{bound}} j_{\text{init}} i$$

$$+ j_{\text{exc}}(!)ie^{i!t} h_{\text{exc}}(!) j_{\text{init}} id!:$$
(15)

In Fig. 2, the modulus square of h $_{\rm bound}$ j $_{\rm init}$ i, is shown for several values of ~ in the left panel. In the right panel, the modulus square of the projection of the bound state on the molecular state, h $_{\rm bound}$ j $_{\rm m}^{\rm Y}$ (k_m = 0) fli², is shown. Note that expression (15) has the sam e structure as expressions (10),(11). This is to be expected, because the am plitudes f (t) and m (t) represent the projection of j (t) i on the 'slow' ferm ionic atom component and the 'slow' molecule component:

$$f(t) = h0j_f (k_f = 0)j (t)i;$$

m (t) = h0j_m (k_f = 0)j (t)i:

The two terms appearing in j (t)i; expression (15) give rise to the corresponding two terms in f (t), expression (10), and in m (t), expression (11). The rst term (the rst line in expressions (10),(11)) is related to the bound state and the second term (containing the integration over !) is related to the excited states. Because of the continuous character of the excited states as a function of !, the terms related to the excited states vanish for large times.

We nd that for large j~j the conversion from 'slow' to 'fast' ferm ions is greatly reduced. This can be understood from the fact that for large j~j h $_{bound}$ j $_{init}$ i becomes very small

(as can be seen in Fig. 2) and the initial state coincides nearly with an eigenstate of the system, $j_{init}i = j_{exc}$ (! = 0) iso that j (t) i $j_{init}i$ and f (t) remains close to 1 (its initial value).

The fraction of Slow'm olecules or Slow' ferm ionic atom s, obtained from (10) and (11) respectively, displays dam ped oscillations resulting from the interference between the term s related to the bound state and the term s related to the excited states. Fig. 3 shows the evolution of the densities of Slow' ferm ionic atom s and Slow'm olecules for di erent values of the m olecular binding energy ~ and m ass m. For the chosen values of the m ass, the oscillations are visible for negative m olecular binding energy ~< 0, while for ~ positive they are strongly dam ped.

We observed that the larger m; the fewer molecules are form ed. Indeed, according to Ferm i's golden rule, a bigger reduced m assmeans a faster dissociation rate ($m_r^{3=2}$) of the of 1, the fraction of 'slow' ferm ions reduces substantially molecules. Remarkably, also form over time even though at all times few molecules that can dissociate to Yast' fermionic atom s are present. This e ect can be understood since, even though few molecules are present at any time, they form and dissociate quickly. This raises the question whether our approximate wave function (6) is good enough. For low m, it is obvious that the dissociation ofm olecules will be slow and strong Rabioscillations between ferm ionic atom s and m olecules occur. The third term of (6), containing the factor $w(k_f;t)$, is then relatively unimportant and corrections on it will not a ect the result a bt. For high m, the m olecules dissociate rapidly and the contribution to j (t) i coming from the pairs of Yast' ferm ionic atoms and bosons (last term from equation (6)) must be big. But in this case the corrections to the contribution of these pairs {through new form ation of fast m olecules out of a fast ferm ionic atom { are sm all, because few of those m olecules are form ed. D iagram m atically, this m eans that diagram s such as those in Fig. 1 (b) are negligible. Then for interm ediate m one can also expect (6) to be a good approximation, at least in order to calculate the fraction of 'slow' ferm ions.

B.R elation to experim ents on 40 K $-{}^{87}$ R b m ixtures

To check whether the picture described here, with ferm ions that form a bound state with the condensate, is correct, one has to be able to measure preferably the fraction of 'slow' ferm ionic atom s. This should, after some transient behavior, go to a constant value and not to zero. They can be detected by a time of ight expansion after a magnetic pulse has been applied.

In ⁴⁰K $_^{87}$ Rb plenty of Feshbach resonances have been predicted [12,13] allowing us to estimate quantitatively the magnitude of the model parameters. Therefore, we make use of $g_m = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{a_{bg}} = \frac{1}{B} - \frac{1}{r}$, with a_{bg} the background scattering length. As an example we take the resonance between the (9=2; 9=2) + (1;1) hyper ne states around 7412G. For $n_b = 10^{14}$ cm³; the frequency $g_m = \frac{1}{n_b} = -2$ is equal to 7:72 10^{6} Hz, which is much larger than the harmonic oscillator frequency. Thus we can expect that the connement is relatively unimportant for the atom -molecule oscillations. We ind in this case -33:13 (B B₀) (G) = $\frac{1}{n_b}(10^{14}$ cm³) and further m = 15:47: The values used for the parameters of Fig. 3 are for this resonance with $n_b = 10^{14}$ cm³ and B B₀ = 0:1G on the

left, and on the right for the (9=2; 7=2) + (1;1) hyper ne states with a Feshbach resonance around 522:9G.

The current results have been derived in the fram ework of a translation invariant system whereas in the experim ental setup the inhom ogeneity of the trapped gasses is apparent. The time evolution has been calculated for hom ogeneous mixtures, and it is necessary to estimate what the e ects due to the con nem ent are. Considering the case with much more bosons than ferm ions, we can neglect in a rst approximation the change of the boson density. Then one can nd in a mean-eld approximation the redistribution speed of the fermions in the trap. The local density approximation is applicable only if during the time scale of a Rabi oscillation between the ferm ionic atom state and the molecular state, the ferm ions have not m oved over a substantial fraction of the trap length. In the Thom as Ferm i approxim ation for the bosons, the mean eld potential felt by a ferm ion is $2 \sim a_{\text{scatt}} n_{\text{b}}^{0} = m_{r}$ $(1 \quad \hat{r} = R_c^2); with$ n_b^0 the condensate density in the origin and R_c the radius of the condensate. This results in an additional con nem ent potential (inverted for $a_{\text{scatt}} > 0$) acting on the ferm ions. For the resonance used above, for $n_b^0 = 10^{14} \text{ gm}^3$ and $R_c = 10$ m and making use of (1), its frequency equals $!_{bf} = 4.95$ $10^3 \text{ s}^1 = -:$ For $\sim \text{not too sm all}$, this is much lower than the frequency scale of the molecule form ation $g_m = \frac{10^{14} \text{ gm}}{n_b^0} = -:7.72$ 10^6 Hz ; which means that the dynam ics due to molecule form ation is fast compared to the mean-eld collapse, and the local density approximation can be used. For $\sim = 1$, an atom migrates 10% of the trap length in 0.75 m s whereas the period of a Rabioscillation is around 1.3 s:

W ithin the approximations described above, we plot in Fig. 4 the density of slow fermions as a function of time and distance from the center of the trapping potential in the case that the fermion cloud has a radius 1.5 times bigger than the condensate. To use the form alism presented above, space was subdivided in discrete boxes in which the gases were presumed hom ogeneous, as in the local density approximation. As discussed above, no redistribution of fermions across volume elements is considered so that each volume element can be treated independently of the others. In the lower panels, the total fraction, averaged over space, of slow 'fermionic atom s is compared to the local fraction of slow 'fermionic atom s in the center of the trap. One can see that the inhom ogeneity decreases the am plitude of the oscillations in the total fraction of slow ' fermionic atom s is the inhom ogeneity decreases the amplitude of the condensate is the most dense in the middle, which increases the e ciency of the atom -m olecule conversion, and also because ;m and ~ depend on n_b (r); so that on di erent places in the condensate oscillations with di erent frequencies occur.

$\ensuremath{\texttt{IV}}$. C O N C LU S IO N S

We have made a study of the dynamics of a ferm ion-boson mixture resulting from a fast switch in the magnetic eld, bringing it close to an interspecies Feshbach resonance. Our analysis shows that damped oscillations between ferm ionic atoms and molecules will occur on the typical time scale of $\sim = (g_m \ ^P \ \overline{n_b})$ (1 s for a $^{40}K \ ^{87}Rb$ mixture): Such a type of oscillations have recently been observed in single component BEC's near a Feshbach resonance [4]. The decay of the molecules in pairs of Yast' ferm ionic atoms and bosons leads to heating of the system, but a core of 'slow' ferm ions will remain, due to the existence of a bound state of the ferm ions coupled to the condensate and the continuum of therm al bosons. Using the latest data on resonances in $^{40}K \ ^{87}Rb$ scattering [12,13], we nd that

the predicted oscillations between slow ferm ions and slow molecules should be observable both in a hom ogeneous and inhom ogeneous system. The redistribution of the densities are expected to occur much slower than these oscillations.

V.ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The authors like to thank G.M odugno for the useful and stimulating discussions and A.Sim oni for providing us the latest theoretical predictions on Feshbach resonances in 40 K – 87 Rb. D iscussions with M. Inguscio are also gratefully acknow ledged. Two of the authors (M.W. and J.T.) are supported nancially by the Fund for Scientic Research – Flanders (Fonds voor W etenschappelijk Onderzoek { V laanderen). This research has been supported nancially by the FW 0-V projects N os. G.0435.03, G.0306.00, the W Ω G.project W 0.025.99N and the GOA BOF UA 2000, IUAP.

REFERENCES

A lso at: Lym an Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138.

A loo at: Universiteit Antwerpen (RUCA), G roenenborgerlaan 171, B-2020 Antwerpen, Belgium and Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, P.B. 513, 5600 M B Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

- [1] A.G. Truscott, K.E. Strecker, W.I.M cAlexander, G.B. Partridge, R.G. Hulet, Science 291, 2570 (2001).
- [2] F. Schreck, L. Khaykovich, K. L. Corwin, G. Ferrari, T. Bourdel, J. Cubizolles and C. Salom on , Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 080403 (2001).
- [3] G. Modugno, G. Roati, F. Riboli, F. Ferlaino, R. J. Brecha, M. Inguscio, Science 297, 2240 (2002).
- [4] E.A.Donley, N.R.Clausen, S.T.Thompson and C.E.W iem an Nature 417, 529 (2002).
- [5] S.J.J.M.F.Kokkelm ans and M.J.Holland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 180401 (2002).
- [6] T.Koehler, T.Gasenzer, K.Bumett, Phys. Rev. A 67, 013601 (2003).
- [7] R.A.Duine, H.T.C. Stoof, preprint condm at/0210544 (2002).
- [8] M. Mackie, K. A. Suominen, J. Javanainen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 180403 (2002).
- [9] E.Timmermans, P.Tommasini, R.Côte, M.Hussein, and A.Kerman, Phys.Rev.Lett. 83, 2691 (1999).
- [10] S.J.J.M.F.Kokkelmans, J.N.Milstein, M.L.Chiofalo, R.Walser, and M.J.Holland, Phys. Rev. A 65, 053617 (2002).
- [11] K.Rzazewski, M. Lewenstein, and J.H. Eberly, J. Phys. B 15, L661 (1982).
- [12] A. Sim oni, G. Modugno, G. Roati, M. Inguscio, preprint cond-m at/0301159 (2003).
- [13] A. Sim oni, private com munication.
- [14] C.J. Pethick and H. Smith, Bose-Einstein condensation in dilute gases, p. 138, (Cam bridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIG.1: Feynm an diagram s that describe the correction to the G reen function of the heteronuclearm olecules in them ixture. The term s in the left part (a) are taken into account in the theory of this paper. Multiple dissociations as depicted in the right part (b) are neglected.

FIG.2: Number of m olecules (right) and ferm ionic atoms (left) for t! 1 as a function of the m olecular binding energy \sim for di erent values of the m ass m .

FIG. 3: Time evolution of the densities of 'slow' ferm ionic atoms and molecules in a homogeneous system for two di erent values of the molecular binding energy and the mass. The molecular binding energies correspond to detunings of the magnetic eld of $B_0 = 0.1G$. Forn_b = 10^{14} cm⁻³ the dimensionless detunings and them asses correspond then to the predicted ⁴⁰K - ⁸⁷Rb resonances in the (9=2; 9=2) + (1;1) hyper nestates at 7412G (left) and to the (9=2; 7=2) + (1;1) at 522:9G (right).

FIG. 4: T in e evolution of the density of 'slow' ferm ionic atom s when a noninteracting boson-ferm ion m ixture in a harm onic trap is suddenly brought close to a Feshbach resonance. In the upper panels, the density of 'slow' ferm ionic atom s is shown as a function of the

distance to the center of the trap and in the lower panels, the spatially averaged fraction of 'slow' ferm ionic atom s is compared with this fraction in the origin. The inhom ogeneity decreases the spatially averaged oscillations because the amplitude and frequency depend on the condensate density and consequently vary in space. The parameters used are the same as for the right panels in Fig. 3; m = 3.8 and $\sim = 2.5$; and correspond to a realistic situation in 40 K $^{-87}$ Rb m ixtures.