

Bose-Einstein Condensation in Competitive Processes

Hideaki Shimazaki and Ernst Niebur

*Department of Neuroscience, Zanvyl Krieger Mind/Brain Institute,
School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218*

(Dated: January 10, 2022)

We introduce an irreversible discrete multiplicative process that undergoes Bose-Einstein condensation as a generic model of competition. New players with different abilities successively join the game and compete for limited resources. A player's future gain is proportional to its ability and its current gain. The theory provides three principles for this type of competition: competitive exclusion, punctuated equilibria, and a critical condition for the distribution of the players' abilities necessary for the dominance and the evolution. We apply this theory to genetics, ecology and economy.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Nt, 87.23.-n, 87.23.Kg, 89.65.-s

Competition occurs when two or more players such as organisms, individuals or companies strive for common but limited resources. It plays a significant role in biological and social activities, and is the basic idea underlying evolution. Recently, Bianconi & Barabási demonstrated that their competitive complex network model behaves analogously to a Bose gas [1]. A few nodes recruited many connections and acted like hubs, while most others had sparse links. This phenomenon was described in the mathematical framework of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC). Here, we analyze a discrete multiplicative process that also exhibits BEC. This generic competition model is defined by the following conditions at each time step: 1. A player's gain is proportional to its ability and to its gain at the previous time step. 2. A new player joins the competition. 3. Players compete for a fixed total amount of resources. The constant addition of players is the basis of the model's open and irreversible characteristics and makes the process suitable for the analysis of natural and social competition. We show that the cumulative gain of each player is related to the Bose distribution, except for that of two players. One is the pioneer. The other is a high-ability player that dominates most resources under a certain statistical condition for the distribution of the players' ability. We investigated the implication of BEC in this mathematical game for different competition models. Applied to the competition among clonal strains of evolving asexual bacteria, BEC in an irreversible process provides theoretical grounds for the principle of competitive exclusion [2] and the hypothesis of punctuated equilibria [3]. Furthermore, the existence of a transition point elucidates the condition necessary for evolutionary development, and explains the observation that a high mutation rate is not necessarily advantageous for adaptive evolution [4, 5]. Finally, we investigate possible applications to ecological and economic activities.

Before we present our competition model, we introduce a novel, general framework for how a function $\varphi(\epsilon)$ formally maps into a Bose system. Define a function $\varphi(\epsilon)$ that satisfies the following conditions for an arbitrary C^2

density function $g(\epsilon) (\geq 0)$ defined on $\epsilon \in [0, \epsilon_{\max}]$,

$$\int d\epsilon g(\epsilon) = 1, \quad (1)$$

$$\int d\epsilon g(\epsilon) \varphi(\epsilon) = m + y_0, \quad (2)$$

$$\int d\epsilon g(\epsilon) e^{-\beta\epsilon} \varphi(\epsilon) = M. \quad (3)$$

The r.h.s. of these equations and β in (3) are all positive constants. Then $\varphi(\epsilon)$ is given by

$$\varphi(\epsilon) = \frac{y_0}{1 - e^{-\beta\epsilon - \alpha}}, \quad (4)$$

where $e^{-\alpha} = m/M$. For, dividing (2) by y_0 , then subtracting (1) yields

$$\int d\epsilon g(\epsilon) \frac{\varphi(\epsilon) - y_0}{y_0} = N, \quad (5)$$

where $N = m/y_0$. From (3) $\times N/M - (5)$ and the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variation, we obtain (4). Hence, the so-called occupation number in (5),

$$n(\epsilon) = \frac{\varphi(\epsilon) - y_0}{y_0} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta\epsilon + \alpha} - 1}, \quad (6)$$

becomes the Bose distribution, or, equivalently, the system $\varphi(\epsilon)$ maps into a Bose system. From (4), such a system may be obtained from the sum of a geometric progression with ratio $e^{-\beta\epsilon - \alpha} < 1$. This motivates analysis of the following competition process.

Consider a simple multiplicative process

$$y_i(t+1) = a_i b(t) y_i(t), \quad (7)$$

where $y_i(t)$ is the gain of the i th player at time t and a_i is its ability, a positive and time-independent random variable chosen from a distribution $\rho(a)$. From (7), a player's gain is proportional to its ability and the gain of the previous time step. Furthermore, a new player joins the race at every time step with initial value $y_i(i) = y_0$, which means we consider $t+1$ simultaneous equations at

time t . The only exception is the first player (pioneer), whose initial value is $y_0 + m$. Finally, the population races for a fixed amount of resources, modelled by the normalization $b(t)$ in (7) which is defined by $b(t) = m/M_t$, where M_t is given by

$$M_t = \sum_{j=0}^t a_j y_j(t). \quad (8)$$

This assures that the total gain distributed among t players at time t is m for $t > 0$. As a new player joins the race with y_0 , the total gain of the population is fixed to $m + y_0$ every time step. We now consider the time evolution of players except for the pioneer. The gain of the i th player at time t is given by $y_i(t) = \Omega_i(t)y_0$, where

$$\Omega_i(t) = a_i^{t-i} \left(\prod_{t'=i}^{t-1} b(t') \right). \quad (9)$$

Without loss of generality, we can set $a_i \leq 1$ (discussed later), and we let $a_i = e^{-\beta\epsilon_i}$, thus introducing a formal parametrization of the ability distribution by the inverse temperature $\beta (= 1/T)$. The random variable $\epsilon \in [0, \epsilon_{\max}]$ is chosen from $g(\epsilon)$, a state density function which defines the system. From (9), we have

$$\ln \Omega_i = (t-i) \{-\beta\epsilon_i - \langle \ln b \rangle\}, \quad (10)$$

using $\ln \prod_{t'=i}^{t-1} b(t') = \sum_{t'=i}^{t-1} \ln b(t') \sim (t-i) \langle \ln b \rangle$. By assuming stationarity of $b(t)$, discussed below, we let its time average be

$$\langle \ln b \rangle = -\alpha. \quad (11)$$

The normalization factor α keeps the total gain constant throughout the dynamical process. Its role is analogous to that of the chemical potential of a quantum gas introduced for the conservation of particle number. From (10) and (11), we obtain $y_i(t) = e^{(-\beta\epsilon_i - \alpha)(t-i)} y_0$. Given $e^{-\beta\epsilon_i - \alpha} < 1$, the cumulative gain $\varphi_t(\epsilon_i) = \sum_{t'=i}^t y_i(t')$, converges to $\varphi(\epsilon_i)$ in (4). Hence, the normalization of $\varphi_t(\epsilon_i)$ by the initial value y_0 ,

$$n_t(\epsilon_i) = \frac{\varphi_t(\epsilon_i) - y_0}{y_0}, \quad (12)$$

takes the form of the Bose distribution in the thermodynamic limit ($t \rightarrow \infty$). Indeed, in this limit, M_t obeys

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_t = \int d\epsilon g(\epsilon) \sum_{t'=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta\epsilon} y(t'). \quad (13)$$

Since the stationarity of $b(t)$ yields $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ln b(t) = \langle \ln b \rangle$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} m/M_t = e^{-\alpha}$ from (11). By substituting this into (13), we obtain an equality,

$$\int d\epsilon g(\epsilon) \frac{1}{e^{\beta\epsilon + \alpha} - 1} = N, \quad (14)$$

where $N = m/y_0$. The dynamical system (7) thus formally maps into a Bose system in the thermodynamic limit.

Comparing this system to a quantum gas, adding the gain m at each step corresponds to adding m Bose particles. This gain is then distributed among players with different abilities; these abilities correspond to energy levels. Different from a quantum gas, a new energy level is introduced at every step (with initial occupation of y_0), the gains (particles) do not move to different energy levels once they are allocated, and they are not quantized. Despite these differences, the system preserves a basic feature of a Bose gas: gains tend to accumulate where other gains are already present. Thus, condensation analogous to BEC is expected at low temperatures.

To study this prediction, we simulated the multiplicative process (7), adopting a standard density function,

$$g(\epsilon) = C_\sigma \epsilon^{\sigma-1}, \quad (15)$$

where $C_\sigma = \sigma/\epsilon_{\max}^\sigma$ ($\sigma > 1$). We found two distinct phases for the distribution of normalized cumulative gain (12). At high temperature, $n_t(\epsilon_i)$ obeys the Bose distribution (FIG. 1-a). Our assumption of stationarity of $b(t)$ is confirmed numerically since $n_t(\epsilon_i)$ agrees well with the Bose distribution with α being provided on the assumption of stationarity. At low temperatures, the coordinated distribution breaks down: one player with low energy (but not necessarily the lowest energy because of the normalization) dominates a large fraction of the cumulative resources. Note that the stationarity of $b(t)$ no longer holds in this domain. This Bose-Einstein condensate exists only below the critical point $\alpha = 0$. For, if α is negative, $\varphi(\epsilon_i)$ of the player with $\epsilon_i < -\alpha/\beta$ does not converge. Its normalized cumulative gain cannot be incorporated in the integral in (14); rather it has to be added as an extra term. The critical temperature for this transition can be predicted in the usual way. Change of variables $y = \beta\epsilon$ and use of $\alpha = 0$ in (14) yields

$$N = \frac{\sigma}{(\beta_c \epsilon_{\max})^\sigma} \int_0^{\beta_c \epsilon_{\max}} \frac{y^{\sigma-1}}{e^y - 1} dy. \quad (16)$$

By approximating the upper limit of integral in (16) by infinity, the critical temperature $T_c (= 1/\beta_c)$ is given by

$$T_c^{(1)} \sim \epsilon_{\max} \{N^{-1} \Gamma(\sigma+1) \zeta(\sigma)\}^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}, \quad (17)$$

for $N \ll 1$. When $N \gg 1$, this approximation is not valid because of the high critical temperature. For $N \gg 1$, one uses $e^y \simeq 1 + y$ in (16) and obtains

$$T_c^{(2)} \sim \epsilon_{\max} (1 - \sigma^{-1}) N. \quad (18)$$

The transition can be easily seen in the occupation by the most capable player, defined by $\varphi_t(\epsilon_{\min}) / \sum_j \varphi_t(\epsilon_j)$, where $\epsilon_{\min} = \min \{\epsilon_j\}$. As the temperature decreases below T_c , its occupation dramatically increases, supporting




FIG. 1: (a) Normalized cumulative gain (12) for $m = 5$ and $T < T_c$ (left), $T \approx T_c$ (center) and $T > T_c$ (right). Solid line is the Bose distribution with α from (11). The plus sign indicates a pioneer. Plots of the last ten entrants were excluded. (b) Numerical calculation of $|\alpha|$ with α from (11), averaged over 500 trials. Symbols +, o, x indicate $m = 5, 10$ and 20 . Change in the sign of α indicates the transition. Triangles on the abscissa are the transition temperatures from (18); $T_c \approx 3.33, 6.67$ and 13.3 . Shown in inset is the dependence of T_c on exponent σ for $m = 20$ (dots) and the analytical solution from (18), solid line. (c) Cumulative occupation by the most capable player was calculated by $\varphi_t(\epsilon_{\min}) / \sum_j \varphi_t(\epsilon_j)$, where $\epsilon_{\min} = \min\{\epsilon_j\}$ and $t = 200$. Symbols as in (c). Dashed line, occupation by pioneer for $m = 20$. In all simulations, $y_0 = 1$, $\sigma = 3$ and $\epsilon_{\max} = 1$.

the prediction (FIG. 1-c). The time evolution of each player's gain also shows characteristic differences above and below the predicted T_c . At $T > T_c$, the gain of each player monotonically decreases. Below T_c , time evolution is not monotonic (FIG. 3-b and area in FIG. 2-c). This is particularly interesting because this BEC occurs in an irreversible process. In contrast to BEC in a quantum gas in equilibrium, the condensation state does not imply the dominance of resources by a single player at the lowest energy throughout the whole competition process. Instead, there are replacements of dominant player upon the entrance of a player with lower energy level.

We verified the existence of a BEC analogue in a discrete multiplicative process, as was shown in a continuous model [1]. To see its applicability to real-world competition, we mention that (7) is a natural extension of Malthusian population increase: population, when unchecked, increases in a geometrical ratio, that is $y_i(t+1) = \tilde{a}_i y_i(t)$, where $\tilde{a}_i \in [\tilde{a}_{\min}, \tilde{a}_{\max}]$ and $\tilde{a}_{\min} > 1$.

We introduced normalization to model limited resources, obtaining (7) with a_i replaced by \tilde{a}_i . Note that we can substitute $\tilde{a}_i = \tilde{a}_{\max} a_i$ (i.e. $a_i \leq 1$) without loss of generality because \tilde{a}_{\max} is common in the nominator and the denominator in (7). This enables us to apply (7) to population dynamics and even economic activities.

Application to Genetics: We consider competition of clonal strains of asexual *Escherichia coli* serially propagated on glucose-limited medium. For this aim, we slightly generalize model (7) such that we allow $l (\geq 1)$ new players to join the competition with initial value y_0 at each step. From a similar argument, we obtain (14), where $N = m/y_0 l$. Assigning $y_0 = 1$, we have l mutants (with usually different abilities) at each time step [7]. We chose this procedure because it is unlikely to have $y_0 > 1$ mutants of the same ability. The original $m + y_0 l$ wild types propagate m wild types and produce $y_0 l$ mutants of novel abilities. Then the mixed population of $m + y_0 l$ is normalized to m , and generates $y_0 l$ mutants (FIG. 2-a, c). Eventually all wild types are swept away and replaced by mutants. This is much faster in BEC than at high temperatures (see reduction of pioneer occupation in BEC in FIG. 1-c). Also at low temperatures inferior strains are quickly expelled by a superior strain, which is analogous to the competitive exclusion principle [2, 8]. Subsequent transient replacement of the dominant mutants supports the hypothesis of punctuated equilibria [3]. Weighted mean ability (M_t in (8) if $l = 1$) shows step-like evolution at low temperatures (FIG. 2-d), but this effect diminishes with increasing temperature. Now, new mutants all have similar abilities, competition is crowded and severe, and the sudden upsurges of the weighted mean ability of the




FIG. 2: (a) Schematic diagram of propagation of *E. coli*. Symbols, o: wild type (WT), others: mutants. (b) State density (15) (dashed line) with $\sigma = 3$ and $\epsilon_{\max} = 1$ used in simulation and corresponding ability distribution (solid line) $\rho(a) = g(\epsilon) \left| \frac{da}{d\epsilon} \right|$ for $T = 1/3, 1$ and 3 from left to right. Note $a \in [e^{-\beta\epsilon_{\max}}, 1]$. (c) Time evolution at $T = 1$ ($m = 200, l = 2, y_0 = 1$). As $T_c \approx 66.6$, the process is in BEC. Graded areas indicate population of each strains. WT abilities were set $\epsilon = 0.5$, which is slightly lower than $\langle \epsilon \rangle \approx 0.76$ for $\sigma = 3$ since WT is likely to fit to environment better than chance. (d) Weighted mean ability shows punctuated equilibria at $T = 0.1$ (bottom) and $T = 1$ (center). At $T = 100 > T_c$ (top), stationarity (no evolution) is observed.




FIG. 3: (a) Market share of all nine different razors from The Gillette Company introduced over the last 3.5 decades [6]. (b) Simulated market share from (7), using $y_0 = 1, m = 9$. Rather than assuming a specific state density, we assigned $a = 0.025, 0.04, 0.043 \dots etc.$ for successive products, with each newly introduced product having higher ability than the previous one. The specific values were selected to best fit the empirical data. In years without a product introduction by Gillette, the simulation introduced “products” with randomly drawn lower abilities ($a \ll 0.025$) that are not competitive and disappear (traces adjacent to abscissa). Share of the j th product at time t was defined by $y_j(t)/(m + y_0) \times 45$ as 45% is the mean total share in (a). We considered the Carbon razor as the pioneer because it was the dominant product at the beginning of the period for which we have data. The introduction year of “Prem Double Edge” was not available, thus we assigned 1963 arbitrarily to fit the plot.

population are smeared out. Finally, at sufficiently high temperatures, no mutant becomes an absolute winner; evolution ceases when the population lacks prominent players. Note that the stationarity of weighted mean ability confirms the stationarity of $b(t)$, which in turn allows the argument below (11).

A striking statement of the theory is the prediction of a singular point on the emergence of evolution. Most mutations are likely to be deleterious [8], which was simulated by the use of an increasing state density (15) so that inferior mutants are likely to occur (FIG. 2-c). In this case, the critical temperature is predicted by (18). Through its dependence on N , T_c is found to be dependent on the mutation rate $y_0 l / (m + y_0 l) = (N + 1)^{-1}$. Therefore, at a given temperature, decreasing mutation rate generates evolutionary development. A sufficiently low mutation

rate not only prevents deleterious offspring but is necessary for adaptive evolution itself.

Although introducing the notion of temperature and the state density of a quantum gas was instructive, our model does not require these constructs. Our conclusions hold as long as a sharply decreasing ability distribution $\rho_\lambda(a)$ exists on $a \in [a_{\min}, 1]$ where $a_{\min} > 0$, with λ a parameter, such that the integral (14),

$$I(\lambda, \alpha) = \int_{a_{\min}}^1 da \rho_\lambda(a) \frac{1}{a^{-1}e^\alpha - 1} = N, \quad (19)$$

is finite. Since $I(\lambda, \alpha) \leq I(\lambda, 0) \equiv N_c(\lambda)$, we have a finite N_c and we can determine the corresponding critical mutation rate for a given λ . Since N_c is finite, the process is in condensation for $N > N_c$, while evolution ceases for $N < N_c$. In those cases in which the critical mutation rate is in the physiological range, this argument explains “clonal interference” [8] which is an empirically observed constraint on the role of mutator alleles that rises to high frequency in association with beneficial mutations [4, 5]. The critical point, however, only determines the occurrence of adaptive evolution at $t \rightarrow \infty$, and a low mutation rate slows the rising of dominant mutants. This implies the existence of an optimal mutation rate for a transiently changing environment.

Application to Ecology and Economy: In ecology, the Lotka-Volterra equation predicts that coexistence between strongly competing species is impossible, a result confirmed in laboratory experiments on *Paramecium* [2] though applicability in complex ecosystems is uncertain [9]. These results established the *principle of competitive exclusion*; or “one species, one niche.” Our process models interspecific competition with completely overlapping niches, and BEC is a natural extension of the competitive exclusion principle in an irreversible process. This principle may also apply to economic activities if a product or a company satisfies the ecological conditions of the exclusion principle [10]. For instance, products sold for a specialized market may compete within their niche in the marketplace. Figure 3-a shows the market share of different razors successively introduced by The Gillette Company, illustrating a much-studied case of new products eroding the market share of their own predecessors [6]. Aspects of the dynamics of product replacement may thus be captured by our competitive process (Fig. 3-b).

We thank HG Schuster for very helpful suggestions and the NSF for support through a CAREER award to EN.

[1] G. Bianconi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5632 (2001).
[2] G. F. Gause, *The struggle for existence* (Hafner, New York, 1964).
[3] S. F. Elena et al., Science **272**, 1802 (1996).
[4] P. D. Sniegowski et al., Nature (London) **387**, 703 (1997).
[5] J. A. G. M. de Visser et al., Science **283**, 404 (1999).
[6] G. J. Tellis, *Will and Vision: How Latecomers Grow To*

Dominate Markets (McGraw-Hill, New York, 2001).
[7] We constrain our analysis to a large population of *E. coli* so that we can expect $l \geq 1$ mutants at each step.
[8] P. J. Gerrish et al., Genetica **102/103**, 127 (1998).
[9] P. Colinvaux, *Ecology* (Wiley, 1986).
[10] G. Hardin, Science **131**, 1292 (1960).