The interaction between a superconducting vortex and an out-of-plane m agnetized ferrom agnetic disk: in uence of the m agnet geom etry

M.V.M ilosevic and F.M.Peeters Departement Natuurkunde, Universiteit Antwerpen (UIA), Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Antwerpen, Belgium (Dated: April 14, 2024)

The interaction between a superconducting vortex in a type II superconducting lm (SC) and a ferrom agnet (FM) with out-ofplane m agnetization is investigated theoretically within the London approximation. The dependence of the interaction energy on the FM -vortex distance. In thickness and di erent geometries of the magnetic structures: disk, annulus(ring), square and triangle are calculated. A nalytic expressions and vector bots of the current induced in the SC due to the presence of the FM are presented. For a FM disk with a cavity, we show that di erent bcalminin a for the vortex position are possible, enabling the system to be suitable to act as a qubit. For FM s with sharp edges, like e.g. for squares and triangles, the vortex prefers to enter its equilibrium position along the corners of the m agnet.

PACS num bers: 74.78.-w, 74.25.Qt, 74.25.Ha.

I. IN TRODUCTION

The interaction between superconductivity and magnetism has drawn a lot of attention in the last decades. To study the e ects due to the interplay of the superconducting order parameter and the non-hom ogeneous magnetic eld resulting from a ferrom agnet (FM), several experimental groups fabricated periodic arrays of magnetic dots and antidots positioned above or under the superconducting lm .^{1,2,3,4} Such ferrom agnetic dots act as very e ective trapping centers for the vortices which leads to an enhancement of the critical current. Recently, it was predicted⁵ that an increase of the pinning e ects by two orders of magnitude can be realized in this way. A fler substantial progress in the preparation of regular magnetic arrays on superconductors and considering the importance of such structures for magnetic device and storage technologies, these hybrid systems became very interesting both from a theoretical and an experimental point of view. Macroscopic phenomena have already been explored experimentally, but a theoretical analysis of the magnetic and superconducting response in such systems is still in its infancy.

In previously proposed models for the superconducting lm (SC) interacting with a ferrom agnet (FM) on top of $it^{6,7,8,9}$ the magnetic texture interacts with the SC current, which subsequently changes the magnetic edd. The authors used the London approximation to describe this system since the sizes of all structures are much larger than the coherence length . The thickness of the SC lm and of the FM was assumed to be negligibly small (i.e. d << ;). Elementary solutions for the interaction between the circular magnetic dot (bubble) or annulus (ring) with a vortex were found. Further, the creation of additional vortices by the FM near the surface was described⁸, by a simple comparison of the free energies of the system with and without the vortex. However, the spontaneous creation of a vortex-antivortex pair as a possible low er energy state was never considered.

O ther theoretical studies involving nite size ferrom agnets were mainly restricted to the problem of a magnetic dot with out-of-plane magnetization embedded in a superconducting $\ln .^{10,11}$ M arm orkos et al.¹⁰ were the rst to solve the non-linear G inzburg-Landau (G L) equation numerically, with appropriate boundary conditions for an in nitely long ferrom agnetic cylinder penetrating the superconducting \ln , and found a correspondence between the value of the magnetization and the vorticity of the most energetically favorable giant-vortex state. The vortex structure of a SC disk with a smaller magnetic disk on top of it was numerically calculated in Ref.¹² using the rst non-linear G L equation, i.e. neglecting the e ect of the screening currents on the totalm agnetic eld. Interesting vortex-antivortex con gurations and an interplay between the giant-vortex and multi-vortices were found.

M ost recently, the pinning of vortices by small magnetic particles was studied experimentally^{13,14,15} which was a motivation for our recent theoretical study of this system ¹⁶. In the latter study we approximated the magnetic eld prole by a magnetic dipole. In the present paper, we generalize these results in order to include the realistic magnetic eld prole of the FM which in the present approach can be of arbitrary shape. The superconducting lm lies in the xy-plane while the FM is positioned a distance labove the SC, and is magnetized in the positive z-direction (out-of-plane). To avoid the proximity elect and the exchange of electrons between the FM and the SC we assume a thin layer of insulating oxide between them as is usually the case in the experiment.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we present the general form alism. In Sec. III, we discuss the pinning potential of the magnetic disk and magnetic annulus (ring) with out-of-plane magnetization. Further, the vortex-magnet interaction energy and supercurrent induced in the superconductor are calculated analytically and the

2

pro les are shown. We use these results in Sec. IV to investigate the manipulation of vortices in the case of a more complicated geometry of the magnet, i.e. magnetic disk with an o -center hole(s). In Sec.V the pinning properties of the magnet with square or triangular shape are analyzed and the most favorable trajectory of the vortex with respect to the magnet edge is determined. The in uence of edges (corners) of the FM on the pinning is then discussed and our conclusions are given in Sec.VI.

II. THEORETICAL FORMALISM

We consider a ferrom agnet of arbitrary shape with hom ogeneous out-of-plane m agnetization M , placed outside a type II SC lm interacting with a single vortex in the SC.W ithin the London approximation, the direct interaction energy between the vortex and the FM in a stationary magnet-superconductor system is given by¹⁶

$$U_{mv} = \frac{1}{2c} {}^{Z} dV^{(i)} {}^{h}_{J_{m}} \sim {}^{i}_{v} \frac{1}{2} dV^{(fm)} h_{v} M', \qquad (1)$$

where $v_{v} = (; ; ; z) = (0; 0 = (2); 0)$ denotes the vortex magnetic ux vector (0 is the ux quantum). The rst integration is performed over the volume inside V⁽ⁱ⁾ the superconductor, while V^(fm) in the second integral denotes the volume of the ferrom agnet. Indices v and m refer to the vortex and the magnet, respectively, j denotes the current and h the magnetic eld.

The interaction energy in this system consists of two parts: (i) the interaction between the M eissner currents generated in the SC (f_m) by the FM and the vortex, and (ii) the interaction between the vortex magnetic eld and the FM. In Ref.¹⁶ we showed analytically that in the case of a point magnetic dipole (MD) for both in- and out-of-plane magnetization these two contributions are equal. Due to the superposition principle, the nite FMs with hom ogeneous magnetization can be represented as an in nite number of dipoles. Consequently, in our case of out-of-plane magnetized FM, the vortex-magnet interaction energy equals

$$U_{mv} = dV^{(fm)}\tilde{h}_{v} M^{\prime}.$$
⁽²⁾

In order to obtain the current induced in the superconductor by the ferrom agnet, one should solve rst the equation for the vector potential¹⁵

$$\operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \mathcal{X}_{m} + \frac{1}{2} \quad (d=2 \quad j_{Z} j) \quad \mathcal{X}_{m} = 4 \quad \operatorname{rot} \mathcal{M} :$$
(3)

This equation is rather complicated to be handled for a nite size FM, but, the analytic expressions for the induced SC current in an in nite superconducting lm with thickness d ($\frac{d}{2} < z < \frac{d}{2}$) in the MD case (with m agnetic m om ent m) are know n¹⁶

$$j_{x}^{m d}(x;y;z) = \frac{cm}{2} \frac{g_{m}}{3} \frac{y_{m}}{R_{m}} \frac{y}{g_{m}} \frac{dqexp}{g_{m}} q \frac{d}{p_{m}} \frac{d}{2} q^{2} J_{1}(qR_{m})C(q;z), \qquad (4a)$$

$$J_{y}^{m d}(x;y;z) = \frac{\alpha m_{0}}{2} \frac{x x_{m}}{R_{m}} \int_{0}^{Z^{2}} dq exp \quad q \quad jz_{m} \quad j \quad \frac{d}{2} \quad q^{2} J_{1}(qR_{m})C(q;z),$$
(4b)

with

$$C (q;z) = \frac{k \cosh(k(\frac{d}{2} + z)) + q \sinh(k(\frac{d}{2} + z))}{(k^2 + q^2) \sinh(kd) + 2kq \cosh(kd)},$$
(5)

where $k = {p \over 1+q^2}$, $R_m = {p \over (x - x_m)^2 + (y - y_m)^2}$ is the distance between the dipole and the point of interest, and J_v () is the Bessel function. The coordinates $(x_m ; y_m ; z_m)$ denote the position of the dipole. The magnetic moment of the magnet is measured in units of $m_0 = 0$, and all distances are scaled in units of . These units will be used in the rest of the paper.

To nd the supercurrent induced by a nite size FM above the superconductor, we make use of the superposition principle and consequently the above expressions (4,5) have to be integrated over the volume of the ferrom agnet. Thus, the value of the current is given by (= x; y)

$$j(x;y;z) = j^{m d}(x;y;z) dV^{(fm)}$$
. (6)

In this section, we investigate the interaction between a vortex in an in nite type II superconducting lm with thickness d ($\frac{d}{2} < z < \frac{d}{2}$) and the magnetic disk with radius R and thickness D with out-of-plane magnetization, i.e. $\dot{M} = (R) (z_1 z) (z_2 n) m \dot{e}_z = (R^2 D)$ (in units of $M_0 = z^2$) located at distance l above (under) the SC ($z_0 = 1, z_1 = 1 + D$).

Inserting the well known expression for the magnetic $\,$ eld of a vortex outside the SC 16

$$h_{vz}(;z) = \frac{L_{0}}{2} \int_{0}^{2^{2}} \frac{dq \, q}{Q} J_{0}(qR) \exp \left(q \frac{2jzj}{2}\right) \frac{dq}{2}; \qquad (7a)$$

$$h_{v} (;z) = \frac{L_{0}}{2^{2}} \operatorname{sgn}(z) \int_{0}^{24} \frac{dq q}{Q} J_{1} (qR) \exp \left(q \frac{2 j z j d}{2}\right);$$
(7b)

into Eq. (2) we nd the expression for the magnetic disk-vortex interaction

$$U_{m v} = \frac{M R \frac{2}{0}}{2} U_{?} (v), \qquad (8a)$$

T

ı.

T

where = v denotes the position of the vortex, and

$$U_{?}(v_{v}) = \int_{0}^{Z^{2}} dq \frac{1}{qQ} J_{1}(qR) J_{0}(q_{v}) E(q; l; D), \qquad (8b)$$

where $Q = k (k + q \operatorname{coth} (kd=2))$, and $E (q; l; D) = e^{ql} e^{qD} 1$.

For the case of a thin ferrom agnetic disk above the thin superconducting m (d; D < 1), the following asymptotics can be obtained:

(1) for $_{v} < R$; we found

U₂ (_v)
$$\frac{dD R}{2} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{p}{1^2 + R^2}} = \frac{\frac{2}{v}}{4} \frac{1}{(1^2 + R^2)^{3=2}}$$
; (9a)

(2) for v > R and v = l;

$$U_{2}(v_{v}) = \frac{dDR}{4} \frac{1}{p \frac{1}{1^{2} + \frac{2}{v}}} = \frac{R^{2}}{8} \frac{2l^{2}}{(l^{2} + \frac{2}{v})^{5=2}}; \qquad (9b)$$

(3) for $_{v} > R; l;$

$$U_{?}(v) = \frac{dDR}{4} = \frac{1}{\frac{1^{2} + \frac{2}{v}}{2}} = \frac{d}{4} H_{0} = \frac{vd}{2} = Y_{0} = \frac{vd}{2} = \frac{v}{2}$$
 (9c)

Here, H $_{\rm v}$ (x) and Y $_{\rm v}$ (x) denote the Struve and Bessel function, respectively.

In the case of a FM on top of the SC (l = 0), the above asymptotics (9 (a-c)) can be expressed in a more precise way:

(1) for $_{\rm v}$ < R ;

$$U_{?}(v) = \frac{dD}{E} = \frac{2}{R^{2}};$$
 (10a)

(2) for $_{v} > R$;

$$U_{2}(v) \qquad \frac{dDR}{v} = \frac{1}{v} \frac{\frac{2}{v}}{R^{2}} E = \frac{R^{2}}{\frac{2}{v}} + 1 = \frac{\frac{2}{v}}{R^{2}} K = \frac{R^{2}}{\frac{2}{v}} + \frac{d^{2}}{16} H_{0} = \frac{vd}{2} = Y_{0} = \frac{vd}{2} \qquad (10b)$$

K (x) and E (x) are the complete elliptic integrals of the rst and second kind, respectively. Further expansion of the asymptotic behavior of the energy at large distances (Eqs. (9c) and (10b)) gives U_2 (v) DR d $\frac{3}{2}$.

W hen we take the derivative of the interaction energy, Eq. (8), over v we obtain the force acting on a vortex in the presence of a magnetic disk:

$$F_{mv} = \frac{M R_{0}^{2}}{2} F_{2} (v), \qquad (11a)$$

with

$$F_{?}(v_{v}) = \int_{0}^{Z_{P}} dq \frac{1}{Q} J_{1}(qR) J_{1}(q_{v}) E(q; l; D).$$
(11b)

For the case of a thin FM on top of a thin SC (d;D < 1; l= 0), we derived the following asymptotics (1) for $_{\rm v}$ < R;

F_? (_v)
$$\frac{dD}{v} = \frac{2}{R^2} K \frac{2}{R^2}$$
; (12a)

(2) for v > R;

$$F_{?}(v) = \frac{dD}{R} = \frac{R^{2}}{\frac{2}{v}} = K = \frac{R^{2}}{\frac{2}{v}} = \frac{d^{3}DR}{16} = Y_{1} = \frac{vd}{2} + H_{1} = \frac{vd}{2} :$$
 (12b)

The latter expression reduces in the extrem $e_v >> R$ lim it to $F_2(v)$ 3D R d $\frac{4}{v}$, which is consistent with the asymptotic behavior of the interaction energy.

The results for the full numerical calculation of Eq. (8) are shown in Fig. 1(a) for a magnetic disk with radius R = 3.0, and three values of the thickness D = 0.1;0.5;1.0, xed total magnetic moment m = 1.0 (M = m = V^(fm)), and at distance l = 0.1 above the SC with thickness d = 0.1. The energy is expressed in units of $U_0 = \frac{2}{0}$) and the force in $F_0 = \frac{2}{0}$ ². The magnet-vortex interaction energy increases if the magnet is made thinner, since the magnetization in that case increases. Also the magnetic eld of the disk becomes more peaked near the magnet edge. In Fig. 1(b), the dependence of the interaction energy on the thickness of the SC is shown. The increased thickness of the SC makes the interaction stronger, due to the stronger response of the SC to the presence of the magnet. Notice that increasing the thickness beyond d >> does not in uence the energy (dashed curve in Fig. 1(b), <math>Q = k(k + q) in Eq. (8)).

The vortex is attracted by the magnetic disk when the magnetization and the vortex are oriented parallel, independently of the value of the parameters. The interaction energy has its minimum just under the center of the disk, which is the energetically most favorable position of the vortex. The force acting on the vortex is purely attractive and it has its maximum at the edge of the magnetic disk (see Fig.1(c)). For large distances between the magnetic disk and the vortex the interaction approaches the value obtained earlier for the case of a magnetic dipole.¹⁶ N ote that in the lim it R ! 0 and D ! 0, Eq. (8) corresponds to the out-of-plane dipole case of Ref.¹⁶. In Refs.^{8,9} the interaction energy between a magnetic nanostructure and a vortex in a thin superconductor (d << 1) was calculated. In their case, the thickness of the magnet was not taken into account (assumed to be in nitely thin), the superconducting lm was taken very thin (d <<) and the FM was placed on top of the SC (in the same z = 0 plane) which corresponds to 1 = 0 in our case. In these lim its, our equations reduce to the same e expressions for the interaction energy like those given in Refs.^{8,9}. But note that the analytical expressions are still not completely reached in the distance range shown in Fig. 1 and therefore a numerical calculation of the full integral is necessary in order to obtain the magnet-vortex interaction energy. Therefore, from this point of view, our expression o ers much more inform ation (non-zero thickness of both FM and SC, and arbitrary position of the FM above the SC) without a real increase of the complexity of the numerical calculation.

To better understand the attractive m agnet-vortex behavior in this system, we calculated the supercurrent induced in the SC due to the presence of the m agnet. As explained in Sec. II, this current can be obtained after integration

FIG.1: The magnetic disk-vortex interaction energy as function of the distance between the vortex and the center of the FM disk: for several values of (a) the thickness of the FM disk, and (b) the thickness of the SC. (c) Plot of the FM -vortex force, and (d) a vector plot of the current induced in the SC due to the presence of the FM (same parameters as in (c)). The grey sem incircle in (d) indicates the position of the edge of the FM.

of Eq. (6). In the case of a at magnetic disk, it has only an azim uthal component and reads

$$\dot{y}(;z) = \frac{dM R_{0}}{3} \int_{0}^{Z^{2}} dqJ_{1}(qR)J_{1}(q) E(q;l;D)C(q;z), \qquad (13)$$

where $= \frac{p}{x^2 + y^2}$, and C (q;z) is given by Eq. (5). For a FM placed under the SC, one should replace z by z. The vectorplot of the current is shown in Fig. 1(d). One should notice that the direction of the current is the one norm ally associated with an antivortex (the clockwise direction) and that the current is maximal at the magnetic disk edge. This agrees with our previous results: the direction of the current explains the attraction between the FM disk and the vortex, and the position of the maximum of the current corresponds to the maximal attractive force. The problem is cylindrically symmetric, and a vortex approaching the magnet from any direction will be attracted for parallel alignment and repelled in the anti-parallel case. This is portant point was not fully explained in Ref.⁹.

U sing the same procedure, for a magnetic annulus ($R_i < < R_o$) with thickness D and out-of-plane magnetization (inset in Fig. 2 (b)) we have

$$M' = \frac{1}{V_{ann}}$$
 (R_i) (R_o) (z₁ z) (z z₀)m e'_z ; (14)

resulting in the vortex-m agnet interaction energy

$$U_{m v} = \frac{M - \frac{2}{0}}{0} \int_{0}^{2} dq \frac{1}{qQ} J_{0} (q_{v}) (R_{o}J_{1}(qR_{o}) - R_{i}J_{1}(qR_{i})) E (q; l; D).$$
(15)

The interaction energy and force curves for the magnetic annulus-vortex interaction are given in Fig. 2(a,b) and are in qualitative (but not quantitative) agreement with earlier results of Ref.⁷, which were obtained in the limit of an extremely thin SC and FM, namely d; D <<. Please notice again that in our calculation nite thicknesses of both SC and FM are fully taken into account. The most important result is that, in this case, the annulus-vortex interaction energy has a ring-like minimum, under the magnet. The exact radial position of this minimum depends on the SC parameters, the thickness of the magnet and its distance from the SC. The force acting on the vortex shows dual behavior – attractive outside the equilibrium ring and repulsive inside.

FIG. 2: The out-of-plane magnetized annulus-vortex interaction: (a) the interaction energy, and (b) plot of the FM -vortex force. The contourplot of the interaction energy is shown as inset in (a) (dark color illustrates low energy, as will be the case throughout the paper, the dashed white sem icircles illustrate the edges of the magnet). A schematic outline of the magnetic annulus is shown in the inset of (b).

Due to the dual behavior of the FM -vortex force one naively expects di erent current ow in the superconductor inside and outside the annulus. We use again Eq. (6) and for the current induced in the superconductor we obtain

$$j_{r}(;z) = \frac{dM_{0}}{3} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dq (R_{0}J_{1}(qR_{0}) - R_{1}J_{1}(qR_{1})) J_{1}(q) E(q;l;D)C(q;z):$$
(16)

In Fig. 3 we show a comparison between the currents induced in the SC in the case of a magnetic disk (dashed curves) and a magnetic annulus (solid curves), for di erent SC-FM vertical distances. When the magnet is positioned far above the superconductor, the vortex qualitatively does not feel the di erence between the disk and the ring case, and the current induced in the SC shows a similar behavior (Fig. 3 (a)). When approaching the superconductor, the in uence of the central hole in the ring becomes more pronounced (Fig. 3 (b,c)), and eventually the current changes sign (Fig. 3 (d)).

O by joursly, the qualitative behaviors of all quantities outside the annulus approach those for the case of a magnetic disk. However, inside the ring, the situation is di erent. The nature of the magnet-vortex force changes and while the current ows in the clockwise direction outside the ring, inside the superconductor the direction of the current is

FIG. 3: C om parison between the current induced in a SC (in units of $j_0 = c_0$ ³) by a magnetic disk (dashed curves) and a magnetic annulus (solid curves) with the same outer radius, as the FM -SC vertical distance l decreases (a)-(d).

anti-clockwise in the case of a small FM -SC distance (i.e. l = 0:1, Fig. 3(d)). P lease notice that due to the fact that the nite thickness of the SC is included in our calculations, the SC current contains also a z-dependence (i.e. through the C (q; z) function).

From a look at Eqs. (15) and (16), one can see that the problem of a magnetic annulus actually can be modelled by two concentric magnetic disks with di erent radius and opposite magnetization. The problem is linear, and this will facilitate the calculation in the cases of non-cylindrically symmetric FM s.

IV. MANIPULATION OF THE EQUILIBRIUM VORTEX POSITION WITH A MAGNETIC DISK CONTAINING A CAVITY

In previous section, we discussed the pinning of vortices by a magnetic disk or annulus (ring). We showed that the most energetically favorable position for the vortex is under the center of the magnetic disk (for parallel alignment) or under the annulus (equilibrium ring). Here we generalize the latter system and displace the hole in the disk from its central location.

Referring to the previous section, we may consider this problem as a superposition of e ects of two magnetic disks with opposite magnetization. The smaller radius magnetic disk with the opposite magnetization models the hole in the larger disk. The parameters of the magnet are: the outer radius R_o , the radius of the hole R_i , the center of the hole is at $(x_h; y_h)$ and the thickness of the FM is denoted by D. Therefore, using Eqs. (8-13) for two magnetic disks, one with radius R_o , and the other with radius R_i , with opposite magnetization and centered at (x; y) = (0; 0) and $(x_h; y_h)$, respectively, we investigate the pinning properties of such FM.

The results of this calculation are shown in Fig. 4 for a magnetic disk with $R_o = 3.0$, $R_i = 1.0$, $(x_h; y_h) = (0.5; 0)$, l = 0.1 and D = d = 0.5. In Fig. 4 (a) we show the contourplot of the FM -vortex attractive force. It is clear that there are two local energy minim a along the y = 0 direction, where the force equals zero: in front of and behind the hole. In Fig. 4 (b) the plot of the interaction energy is given along this direction for three positions of the hole $x_h = 0.5; 0.8$, and 1.0. The in portant result is that the two minim a are not equal: the one closer to the outer edge of the magnet has higher energy (m etastable state) and the one near the magnet center is the actual ground state. How ever, due to

FIG.4: The interaction of the vortex with a magnetic disk with an o -center hole (see left gure for schem atics of the magnet con guration): (a) contourplot of the interaction energy for $R_o = 3.0$, $R_i = 1.0$, $(x_h; y_h) = (0.5; 0)$, l = 0.1 and D = d = 0.5, with $M = M_0$ (dashed circles indicate the edges of the magnet), and (b) the energy along the x-axis, for three di erent locations of the o -center hole in the magnetic disk.

the presence of the hole, the equilibrium position of a vortex is not exactly in the center, and depends on the position of the hole. The magnet is not cylindrically symmetric and we have two separate energy minima instead of a ring of minima as in the case of the magnetic annulus. A loo, one could argue that a slow ly moving vortex in a system with no temperature uctuations could be trapped at the metastable position. A nyhow, the hole in a magnetic disk appears to be a powerful tool for a possible manipulation of the vortex position. However, one question arises: since there are two minima present in the interaction energy, is it possible to have two equilibrium states with the same energy?

In order to construct such a situation, we introduced a second hole in the m agnet, at a sym m etrical position to the rst one with respect to the center of the m agnet. As an example, we took the parameters of the m agnet as $R_o = 3.0$, R_i (for both holes) = 0.5, $(x_h; y_h) = (1.0; 0)$. The interaction energy along the x-axis is given in Fig. 5. Two equal m inim a near the outer edge of the disk are found next to the m agnet holes. However, the global m inim um is still under the center of the m agnet (see inset of Fig. 5).

To elim inate this minimum we allow the holes to touch each other and to form an <code>\eight-hole"</code> in the center of the magnet. The resulting interaction energy (Fig. 6(a)) has now only two equal minima along the y = 0 direction, outside the hole, near the magnet edge, and a plateau-like behavior in the central region. Still, these minima are not the lowest energy states. The central global minimum of the interaction energy from the previous case is now split by the joined holes into two minima along the x = 0 direction (see Fig. 6(b)). The latter minima represent the ground state for a vortex in the presence of a magnetic disk with an <code>\eight-hole"</code>. The vortex has two absolutely equal ground states and the same probability of eventually sitting in one of those. Thanks to this feature, a possible use of this

FIG. 5: The magnetic disk with two symmetrical holes: plot of the FM -vortex interaction energy illustrating the position of the metastable and ground vortex states with respect to the position of the holes (dashed vertical lines). The parameters of the system are $R_o = 3.0$, R_i (for both holes) = 0.5, (x_h ; y_h) = (1.0;0), l = 0.1, D = d = 0.5 and $M = M_0$.

FIG. 6: The interaction energy of a vortex with a magnetic disk containing an \eight-hole": (a) interaction energy for the vortex positioned along the x-axis at the y = 0 line, (b) along the y-axis for x = 0, and (c) contourplot of the FM -vortex interaction energy (dark color-low energy). In (c) the vectorplot of the current induced in the SC in the presence of the FM is superim posed. The solid circles denote the position of the holes in the FM above the SC.

system for quantum computing can be analyzed, similarly to the quantum system s proposed before (see, for example, Ref.¹⁷).

In Fig.6(c) the contourplot of the magnet-vortex interaction energy is given, togetherw ith a vector plot of the current induced in the SC. The position of the eight-hole is denoted by the thick solid circles. A round the magnetic disk, the SC current ows in a clockwise direction, illustrating the general attraction between the FM and the vortex. How ever, under the magnet, current shows a dual behavior, and a vortex-antivortex-like current ow can be seen. N am ely, at the equilibrium vortex-states we nd \antivortex" current pro les while under the holes of the magnet a vortex-like current m otion is present. This suggests the possibility that such a magnetic eld con guration, for su ciently strong magnetization, could induce interesting vortex-antivortex con gurations if placed near a superconducting lm.

U sing this approach, interaction of a vortex with m agnets of m ore complicated shapes can be investigated. We have shown that the magnetic disk with a cavity is a nice example of how to control the vortex by the magnet-geometry. From the point of view of practical vortex manipulation, it would be interesting to move the vortex by changing the parameters of the system. Helseth⁹ proposed a system in which a magnetic disk (with magnetization M₁) is placed in the center of a magnetic ring (with magnetization M₂), where the disk and the ring can have opposite magnetization. In Fig. 7 we show the outline of the system (upper inset), and the calculated interaction energy and force acting on the vortex, for the parameters W₁ = 0.5 e, W₂ = 0.75 e and W₃ = 1.0 e and D = 0.1 on top of a SC with thickness d = 0.1 . Here e^{2} = denotes the electric penetration depth. We suppose a thin oxide layer between the SC and the FM with thickness 0.01 . First we consider the M₁ = M₂ case. Helseth claim ed that in this case, a slow ly moving vortex will be attracted and sit under the annulus. The plot of the interaction energy in Fig. 7 (a) shows two energy minim a, namely, under the disk, and under the annulus (ring-energy minim um, see inset of Fig. 7 (a)). From our

FIG.7: Disk-ring magnetic structure (see inset of (a) for a schematic view of the conguration) above the SC: (a) FM -vortex interaction energy for $M_1 = M_2$, (b) for $M_2 = M_1$. The contourplots of the energy are given as insets (dashed lines indicate the edges of the magnet). The force acting on the vortex is shown in (c), as the solid curve for case (a) and dashed one for case (b). The parameters are $W_1 = 0.5$, $W_2 = 0.75$, $W_3 = 1.0$, D = 1.0, and l = 0.1, all in units of the elective penetration depth $e = {}^2=d$.

calculations it is clear that the ground state for the vortex would be in the center of the magnetic structure and not under the annulus as claim ed in Ref.⁹. However, one could argue that a vortex, slow ly moving towards the magnet, could rest in a metastable state under the ring, if there are no uctuations in the system. In Fig. 7 (c), the solid line shows the force acting on the vortex. P lease note that in our calculation, the positions of the extrem es correspond to the magnet edges, while in Ref.⁹ this was not the case. A loo, the peaks in the forces in our calculation are nite, due to the nite thickness of the magnet. It should be noted that the relation between the two energy minim a and the acting forces strongly depends on the parameters and it can change in favor of the annulus if it is made wider.

In Ref.⁹ it is also stated that in the opposite case, when the annulus magnetization changes sign, i.e. $M_2 = M_1$, the vortex is attracted to the center of the disk. The interaction energy we calculated in this case is plotted in Fig. 7 (b) (the force is given in Fig. 7 (c) by the dashed line). It is clear that the annulus forms an energetic barrier which prevents a \slow ly moving" vortex from reaching the central position. However, the globalm inimum of the energy is under the center of this magnetic structure (the energy shown in Fig. 7 (b) decreases monotonously and reaches zero at in nity), in plying that the vortex would de nitely sit under the magnet for the considered parameters. D i erent values for R_1 ; R_2 ; R_3 could make the centralm inimum higher, and the energy barrier would then be able to repel the vortex. To conclude, in order to use this magnetic structure, but also be careful about the in uence of the parameters on the behavior of this system.

V. SQUARE AND TRIANGULAR MAGNETIC DISKS: INFLUENCE OF THE CORNERS ON THE VORTEX PINNING

Up to this point, we have only considered the interaction of a vortex with magnets having circular symmetry, namely magnetic disks, rings, and combinations of those. Here we consider FM s with broken circular symmetry,

namely square or triangular magnetic disks. We put the center of our Cartesian coordinates in the center of the magnet and the x axis along one of its sides. In this case, Eq. (2) can not be solved analytically, and triple num erical integrations must be perform ed. In the case of a rectangular FM we have

$$U_{m v}(x_{v}; y_{v}) = \frac{M - \frac{2}{0}}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{dq}{Q} E(q; l; D) dx dy J_{0}(qR_{v}); \qquad (17)$$

where $R_v = \frac{p}{(x - x_v)^2 + (y - y_v)^2}$. A and B are the dimensions of the magnet (with thickness D) in the x and y direction, respectively. As before, the distance between the FM and the SC surface is 1.

The components of the current induced in the SC are obtained in an analogous way, by numerical integration of Eq. (6), using the expressions (4a-5).

In Fig. 8 (a) the interaction energy with a vortex is shown, along two directions (lines of symmetry): (i) diagonal (dotted line); (ii) horizontal (solid line). In the inset, the contourplot of the energy is given. For comparison, we also give the results for a magnetic disk (thick dashed curve) with $R^2 = AB$ and the same thickness D. As far as the pinning potential of a square magnetic disk is concerned, the asymmetry is rather small and the result is not much di erent from the one of an equivalent circular disk. Only in the region near the edge of the magnet, some discrepancy between the pinning potential of the square magnet in the diagonal direction and the disk approximation is observed. Moving further from the magnet. The situation is some what di erent for rectangular-shaped FM disks. In Fig. 8 (b) we show the results for A = 4B = 2.0 and, in this case, the corresponding circular disk becomes a very poor approximation. Far away from the magnet, this approximation becomes better, as expected.

FIG.8: Rectangular magnetic disk above a SC lm: (a) FM -vortex interaction energy for a square magnet case, namely with sides A = B = 1.0, thickness D = 0.1 and magnetization $M = M_0$, at l = 0.1 above the SC with thickness d = 0.1 (the inset shows the contourplot of the energy, white line indicates the edge of the magnet), and (b) for A = 4B = 2.0. For comparison, the FM -vortex interaction energy in the case of the magnetic disk with the same volume is given by the thick dashed curves.

From the behavior of the interaction energy we have seen that the vortex is attracted to the center of the square or triangular magnet for parallel orientation of the magnetization and the vortex magnetic eld. This corresponds qualitatively to the case of a magnetic disk. However, the broken circular symmetry of the magnet introduces some changes in the magnet-vortex interaction. In Fig. 9 we show the contourplot of the force acting on the vortex and the vector bt of the current induced in the SC, both for the case of a square and triangular magnetic disks. It is obvious that the attractive force is stronger at the sides than at the comers of the magnet. Therefore, the vortex approaching the magnet at the side of the magnet will be attracted stronger than on the diagonal direction. A s far as the current is concerned, it has the direction associated with an antivortex. Near the magnet, the current follows the shape of the magnet and is maxim allong the sides of the magnet. Further from the square or triangular magnet, the behavior of the current is more similar to the case of the circular magnetic disk. In Fig. 9 (c) the rectangular magnet case is show n. O ne in portant feature should be noticed: the FM -vortex attraction force is stronger at the longer side of the rectangle.

As one can see in Fig. 9, the maxima of the FM -vortex interaction force are located on the sides of the magnet. Therefore, one may expect that the energetically preferable direction of vortex motion when attracted by the FM is perpendicular to its sides. To investigate this, we put the vortex in di erent positions (open dots in Fig. 10(a)) and follow its trajectory using molecular dynam ics simulations. The results are shown in Fig. 10(a). If the initial position of the vortex is along the lines of symmetry of the magnet, the vortex moves straight towards the center of the magnet. O therwise, the trajectory of the vortex is distorted towards the corner of the magnet. It actually appears that the vortex avoids the maxima of the attractive force. This is counterintuitive but can be explained by the prole of the FM -vortex interaction energy, given in Fig. 10(b,c). One should notice the \wave" shape of the energy (if going along the ring around the magnet, see g. 10(b)). Following a circle around the magnet, the energy has its minima at the corners of the magnet (denoted by black triangles in Fig. 10(b,c)) and the saddle points are on the sides (white triangles). The periodicity in Fig. 10(c) corresponds to the number of corners of the ferrom agnetic disk.

FIG.9: The contour bts of the FM -vortex attractive force for parallelorientation of the magnetization and the vortex magnetic eld, for: (a) an equilateral triangular magnetic disk, with sides a = 1.0, (b) square magnet, with sides A = B = 1.0. The other parameters correspond to the ones in Fig.8. The vector plots of the current are superim posed. In (c), the contour plot of the force for the rectangular magnet case is given, A = 1.6, B = 0.6. Dark color represents high force intensity. Positions of the edges of the magnets are given by white solid lines.

From Fig. 10 it is obvious that the interaction energy for any position of the vortex lowers steep towards the center of the magnet, but also towards the corners. This induces the distortion of the vortex trajectory and gives the impression that the vortex approaching the magnet from the corners is more energetically favorable.

FIG.10: The trajectory of the vortex when attracted by the triangular magnetic disk (same parameters as in Fig.9(a)): (a) vortex paths with respect to the attractive force landscape (the edge of the magnet is illustrated by white line), (b) the contourplot of the triangular magnet-vortex interaction energy (dark color - low energy), and (c) the interaction energy along the ring indicated by dashed line in (b).

VI. CONCLUSION

We applied the London theory to investigate ux pinning in SC In s due to the presence of a ferrom agnet situated above (or under) the SC, where the nite thickness of both FM and the SC were fully taken into account. In the case of a magnetic disk or annulus (ring), we obtained analytic expressions for the FM -vortex interaction energy, force and the screening currents. We also derived the asymptotic behavior of the interaction potential and the force for speci c values of the involved parameters. In the case of a magnetic disk with an o -center hole we showed the existence of two local minim a in the FM -vortex interaction energy - the ground state and the metastable one. By changing the position of the hole, the position of the equilibrium moves with respect to the magnetic disk center. We also showed that in the case of a FM disk with two touching holes (\eight-hole") two minima with equal energy but di erent vortex position appeared. The probability of a vortex sitting in one of these two states is the same, which makes this system interesting as a possible qubit. To further investigate the in uence of the magnet geometry on its pinning properties, we calculated the pinning potential for square and triangular shaped ferrom agnets. A substantial breaking of the circular symmetry occurs and the attractive force acting on the vortex is stronger at the sides of the magnet than at the corners. A lso, making one side of the rectangular magnet longer enlarges the attractive force along it with respect to the other side. A lthough counterintuitive, we showed that the vortex approaches the non-circular magnet rather along the corners than perpendicular to the sides, follow ing the gradient of the potential.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the F lem is Science Foundation (FW 0 -V 1), the Belgian Inter-University Attraction Poles (IUAP), the O nderzoeksraad van de Universiteit Antwerpen" (GOA), and the ESF programme on V ortex matter". Stimulating discussions with D.Vodolazov, V.V.M oshchalkov, and M.Van Bael are gratefully acknow ledged.

E lectronic address: peeters@ uia.ua.ac.be

⁷ A.F.Kayali, Phys. Lett. A 298, 432 (2002).

- ⁹ L.E.Helseth, Phys.Rev.B 66, 104508 (2002).
- ¹⁰ I.K.M arm orkos, A.M atulis, and F.M. Peeters, Phys. Rev. B 53, 2677 (1996).

¹ M. Baert, V.V. Metlushko, R. Jonckheere, V.V. Moshchalkov, and Y. Bruynseraede, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 3269 (1995).

² J.I.Martin, M. Velez, J.Nogues, and I.K. Schuller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1929 (1997).

³ M.J.Van Bael, L.Van Look, K.Tem st, M. Lange, J. Bekaert, G. Guentherodt, V.V. Moshchalkov, and Y. Bruynseraede, Physica C 332, 12 (2000).

⁴ M. Lange, M. J. Van Bael, L. Van Look, K. Tem st, J. Swerts, G. Guentherodt, V. V. Moshchalkov, and Y. Bruynseraede, Europhys. Lett. 53, 646 (2001).

⁵ L.N.Bulaevskii, E.M. Chudnovsky, and M.P.M aley, Appl Phys. Lett. 76, 2594 (2000).

 $^{^{\}rm 6}$ I.F.Lyuksyutov and V.L.Pokrovsky, Phys.Rev.Lett. 81, 2344 (1998).

⁸ S.Erdin, A.F.Kayali, I.F.Lyuksyutov, and V.L.Pokrovsky, Phys.Rev.B 66, 014414 (2002).

¹¹ Sa-Lin Cheng and H.A. Fertig, Phys. Rev. B 60, 13107 (1999).

¹² M.V.M ilosevic, S.V.Yam polskii, and F.M.Peeters, Phys.Rev.B 66, 024515 (2002).

¹³ D.J.M organ and J.B.K etterson, Phys.Rev.Lett. 80, 3614 (1998).

¹⁴ M.J.Van Bael, J.Bekaert, K.Tem st, L.Van Look, V.V. Moshchalkov, Y.Bruynseraede, G.D.Howells, A.N.Grigorenko, S.J.Bending, and G.Borghs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 155 (2001).

¹⁵ A.S.Mel'nikov, Yu.N.Nozdrin, I.D.Tokman, and P.P.Vysheslavtsev, Phys.Rev.B 58, 11672 (1998).
 ¹⁶ M.V.Milosevic, S.V.Yam polskii, and F.M.Peeters, Phys.Rev.B 66, 174519 (2002).
 ¹⁷ L.B.Io e and M.V.Feigelman, Phys.Rev.B 66, 224503 (2002).

This figure "fig910.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0303310v1

This figure "fig1234.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0303310v1

This figure "fig5678.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0303310v1