Spin vs charge excitations in heavy-ferm ion compounds

R.J.Radwanski

Center for Solid State Physics, Snt Filip 5,31-150K rakow, Poland

Institute of Physics, Pedagogical University, 30-084K rakow, Poland

Z.Ropka

Center for Solid State Physics, Snt Filip 5,31-150K rakow, Poland

em ail: sfradwan@cyf-kr.edu.pl, http://www.css-physics.edu.pl

It is pointed out that the answer on the question about the role played by

spin and charge excitations will help to solve the physical origin of the heavy-

ferm ion phenomena. Our answer is that neutral spin-like excitations are re-

sponsible for the heavy-ferm ion phenomena whereas the role of the charge

excitations is negligible.

PACS No: 75.20 H;

K eywords: heavy ferm ion, spin excitations, charge excitations

I. IN TRODUCTION

The microscopic origin of the heavy-ferm ion phenomena and the nature of quasiparticles,

despite of 25 years of very intensive theoretical and experimental studies, is still a subject

of controversy [1{9]. The aim of this paper is to point out that neutral spin-like excitations

are responsible for the heavy-ferm ion phenomena whereas the role of charge excitations is

negligible (An extra rem ark -this sentence has been underlined by the Chairm an of SCES-02

in the rejected copy with a note -W RONG!!).

II. THEORETICAL UNDERSTAND ING

Characteristic heavy-ferm ion (h-f) phenom ena like a large low-tem perature speci cheat,

a non-magnetic state (we would rather say a weakly-magnetic state) anticipated from a

Pauli-like low-tem perature susceptibility and the anom alous resistivity have been basically

1

discussed in term softhe Ferm i liquid (FL). In the FL picture the excitations are charged and they become possible due to the strong hybridization of f-electron and conduction-electron states. In the FL picture the f states lie at the Ferm i level and, as a consequence of the strong hybridization, the number of the f electrons, n_f , becomes not integer. The occupation number n_f is the important factor in the FL description as many physical properties are renormalized by the term $(1-n_f)^{-1}$. K ondo temperature is directly related to this factor as one can read from Eq. 15 in Ref. [3], p.608. In this picture the disappearance of the local moment is related to the unoccupied f states [3]. Thus, in the FL picture a deviation of the occupation number n_f from the integer value and the charge excitations play the fundamental role in formation of heavy-ferm ion phenomena.

In our understanding of heavy-ferm ion phenomena we think that even in Ce, U, Y b com pounds, exhibiting the h-fphenomena, the f states lie much below EF like in conventional rare-earth compounds [10]. A large low-temperature specie cheat is related to the magnetic excitations well understood in conventional rare-earth compounds in case of the Kramers electron systems, i.e. systems with an odd number of the felectrons. Such the odd number electron system is realized in case of the f^1 (Ce^{3+}), f^3 (U^{3+}), f^{13} (Yb^{3+}) system s. All of them have the K ram ers doublet charge-form ed (CF) ground state. This double degeneracy has to be rem oved before the system reaches 0 K and, according to us, the h-f compounds are compounds with extremely smallmagnetic temperatures. The removal of the Kramers degeneracy is equivalent to the time-reversal symmetry breaking (on the atomic scale) and to the form ation of the magnetic state (on the atom ic scale). These phenomena can be well discussed within the Quantum Atomistic Solid-State Theory (QUASST), that points out that f atom spreserve much of their atom ic properties becoming the full part of a solid [11]. In our model there is no single Som merfeld coe cient within the wide, but still below, say, 2 K, tem perature range. In contrary to a very loosely term "non-Ferm i liquid behaviour" QUASST predicts that the ground state of the h-f compound is magnetic, i.e. with the broken tim e-reversal sym m etry. M oreover, it is the state with a low local sym m etry and the broken translational symmetry, in a sophisticated manner, leading to the dierentiation of the f atom s with respect to the shape of the CF ground state and of the magnetism. It causes that the magnetic state is not coherent with respect to temperature, space and the local m om ent direction, in the sense that local magnetic states, marked by the splitting of single-ion K ram ers doublet, appear at slightly dierent temperatures at dierent sites and with dierent direction of the localm oment. A coording to this understanding the excitations are neutral, spin-like and of very small energy. They mimics a spin-liquid with non-trivial properties like the strong spin-lattice coupling and the substantial orbital contribution to the magnetic moment. In the theoretical description it will appear as the need for the attributing the spin with unusual highly anisotropic properties. This fact causes that the local excitations, with the reversal of the local moment, is not longer a local event The Som merfeld coe cient can be extremely large at low temperatures - Ropka has calculated 25 J/K m ol [12]. The lower magnetic temperature, i.e. the temperature where the splitting of the K ram ers doublet appears, the larger can be. It is very important that our model overcom es the Nozieres exhaustion argument [13] about insu cient number of conduction electrons to compensate all localized moments, of value comparable to that observed in the param agnetic state, by means of spin-compensation mechanism. We have shown that the crystal-eld (charge) mechanism, related to the anisotropic charge distribution at the vicinity of the param agnetic cation, is much more e ective than the spin-compensation mechanism [14] in the reduction of the local magnetic moments, even down to almost zero Anisotropic charge distribution around the 4f-cation can produce the crystal-electriceeld (CEF) ground state with a quite smallmagnetic moment even in case of Kramers system.

III.D ISCUSSION

We take the growing evidence for the Non-Ferm i-Liquid behaviour revealed in rapidly growing number of compounds as the conmation of our understanding of the heavy-ferm ion phenomena. Our understanding, with the integer number of felectrons, concurs with theoretical results of Doradzinski and Spalek who came to a number of felectrons so close

to 1 as 0.995 [9]. It is worth to rem ember that values for n_f were given in years 1985–1990 as about 0.70-0.80, see [7], for instance – thus a value of 0.995 we take as practically 1. Within our model we have managed to describe low-tem perature species heat of N $\frac{1}{2}$ xC e_xC uO $_4$ as originating from excitations to the conjugate K ram ers state of the N $\frac{1}{2}$ ions [15] despite that N d₂ xC e_xC uO $_4$ has been announced in 1993 as a new class of heavy-ferm ion superconducting compounds. We have managed to describe an anomalous temperature dependence of the quadrupolar splitting in Y bC u₂S i₂ as the conventional crystal—elde ect on the Y $\frac{1}{1}$ ions [16]. It was an important result as this anomalous dependence was given as the conclusive evidence for the hybridization of felectrons and conduction electrons. Thus, the good description within the crystal—eld model has abolished the hybridization mechanism and that a n_f value of 0.82 (hole) as completely articial as Y b behaves in Y bC u₂S i₂ as the 4f¹³ system. The existence of 3 felectrons as highly-correlated 5f³ system has been proved in heavy-ferm ion superconductor U P d₂A l₃ by observation of well defined CEF-like states [17].

QUASST can be applied not only to interm etallics, where the h{fbehaviour was found originally, but also to insulating rare-earth systems, known as low-carrier systems (Sm_3Se_4 , Yb_3S_4) and to nuclear systems (3He). Our model, developed already in 1994, has predicted the possibility of h-f phenomena in 3d and 4d compounds – the h-f behaviour has been discovered in LiV_2O_4 in 1997, indeed. QUASST predicts smooth crossover from the heavy-ferm ion state to the conventional localized-moment state with the Curie-Weiss law fulled, in agreement with observations. The f electrons, being localized in a number n depending on the partners and on the composition of a considered compound, are taking the active part in the solid-state bonding, via the conventional coulombic interactions.

Finally, we are at the Conference devoted to Strongly-Correlated Electron Systems. In our atom ic-like approach the correlations among the felectrons are taken to be really very strong (thanks them, atom ic-like terms and multiplets as well as three Hund's rules are ful led).

IV.CONCLUSIONS

We claim that heavy-ferm ion phenomena are caused by neutral spin-like excitations, whereas the role of the charge excitations is negligible. The ground state of heavy-ferm ion compounds is magnetic with the time-reversal symmetry broken at the atomic scale.

An extra note added. We take a recent paper of Zwicknagl, Yaresko and Fulde, Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 081103, that came to our attention during the SCES-02 Conference, with a novel model for heavy-ferm ion phenomena with two localized felectrons as con mation of our 10-year understanding of heavy-ferm ion phenomena with the importance of crystaleld states. In particular, in the situation of Prof. P. Fulde who by twenty years advocated for the itinerant f-electron origin of heavy-ferm ion phenomena. It is worth to rem ind that in the SCES-94 Conference the crystal-eld theory has been rejected from the conference presentation as not at all related to heavy-ferm ion physics. A llm embers of the International A dvisory Committee have been informed about this abnormal situation but noone of them react in order to ful llnormal scienti c rules within the magnetic community. Moreover, our CEF approach to heavy-ferm ion phenomena has been continuously rejected by Fulde, acting as the Editor, from publication in Zeitsch. Physik B (e.g. No M S606/94). Thus, we welcome this recent Zwicknaglet al.'s paper admitting the existence of CEF states in heavy-ferm ion and uranium compounds, though we think that the treatment of CEF in this paper is very oversimpli ed. There still is a problem of the existence of the $5f^2$ or $5f^3$ system in UPd₂Al₄. In contrary to the 5f² system considering by Zwicknaglet al. there is large evidence for the 5f³ system. This evidence was published already in 1992, see references in Ref. [17], but Zwicknaglet al. have ignored it.

* This paper has been submitted 31.052002 to Strongly Correlated Electron Conference in Krakow, SCES-02 getting a code NFL023. It has been presented at the Conference, but has been rejected by the Chairman of SCES-02.

The paper has been given under the law and scienti c protection of the Rector of the Jagellonian University in Krakow, of the University of Mining and Metallurgy and of Polish

- [1] K. Andres, J.E. Graebner, H.R. Ott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1979 (1975).
- [2] F. Steglich, J. Aarts, C. D. Bredl, W. Lieke, D. Meschede, W. Franz, H. Schafer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1448 (1979).
- [3] P. Fulde, J.Phys.: Metal Phys. 18, 601 (1988).
- [4] P. Fulde, J. Keller, and G. Zwicknagl, Solid State Phys. 41, 1 (1988).
- [5] T.Kasuya, Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl.108, 1 (1992)
- [6] S.H. Liu, in: Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths, K.A. Gschneidner, Jr, L. Eyring, G.H. Lander and G.R. Choppin, eds (North Holland 1993) vol. 17 p. 87.
- [7] V. Zevin, G. Zwicknagl, and P. Fulde, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2331 (1988).
- [8] N. Grewe and F. Steglich, in: Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., L. Eyring, eds. (North Holland 1991) vol. 13 p. 343.
- [9] R.Doradzinski and J.Spalek, Phys. Rev. B 58, 3293 (1998).
- [10] R.J. Radwanski, "Formation of the heavy-fermion state an explanation in a model traditionally called localized" Poster 8P-92 SCES-92, Sendai'92; http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/cond-mat/9906287.
- [11] R. J. Radwanski, R. Michalski, Z. Ropka, Acta Phys. Pol. B 31, 3079 (2000).
- [12] Z.Ropka, http://xxx.lanlgov/abs/cond-m at/0010081.
- [13] P. Nozieres, Ann. de Phys. 10, 19 (1985).
- [14] R. J. Radwanski, Solid State Commun. 89, 553 (1994).

- [15] R J. Radwanski, Solid State Commun. 99, 981 (1996).
- [16] R.J.Radwanski, J.A. Lloys & Comp. 232, L5 (1996).
- [17] R. J. Radwanski, R. M. ichalski, Z. Ropka, Physica B. 276–278, 803 (2000).