# M odels of universal pow er-law distributions 

Ken $̈$ ï K aw am ura* and N aom idhi H atano**<br>*D epartm ent of P hysics, A oyam a G akuin U niversity, C hitosedai, Setagaya, Tokyo 157-8572<br>**D epartm ent of P hysics, A oyam a G akuin U niversity, C hitosedai, Setagaya, Tokyo 157-8572 and<br>Institute of Industrial Scienœ, U niversity of Tokyo, K om aba, M eguro, Tokyo 153-8505

(Received)
Power-law distributions with various exponents are studied. We rst introduce a sim ple and generic m odel that reproduces Zipf's law. W e can regard this m odelboth as the time evolution of the population of cities and that of the asset distribution. W e show that our m odel is very robust against various variations. N ext, we explain theoretically why our m odel reproduces Z ipf's law. By considering the tim e-evolution equation of our model, we see that the essence of $Z$ ipf's law is an asym $m$ etric random walk in a logarithm ic scale. F inally, we extend our $m$ odelby introducing an additional asym $m$ etry. $W$ e show that the extended $m$ odel reproduces various pow er-law exponents. By extending the theoretical argum ent for $Z$ ipf's law, we nd a sim ple equation of the power-law exponent.
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## 1. Introduction

Zipf's law is the observation that the frequency $n$ of the occurrence of various entities is an inverse power-law function $n / R^{1}$, where the rank $R$ is determ ined by the descending order of the frequency of each entity. Zipf1) rst $m$ ade this observation for the frequency of occurrence of English words in literature; that is, the m ost frequent word \the" (rank $R=1$ ) appears tw ige as $m$ any tim es as the second $m$ ost frequent word \of" (rank $R=2$ ). Since then, $Z$ ipf's law has been found in various elds of natural and social sciences including the population of cities) and the asset distribution of companies. ${ }^{3,4)} \mathrm{Th}$ is universality of Z ipf $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ law, how ever, has not been well explained theoretically. To date, most of the available models have been speci ch to each problem $\cdot 2,5,6$ ) O ne of the punposes of the present paper is to explain the mechanism of Z ipf's law and thereby its universality. O ur conclusion is that the essence of Zipf's law is a size change of each entity proportional to its size. (W e m ade a brief report on this point previously. ${ }^{7 \text { ) }}$ )

In various elds of natural and social sciences, there are also many phenom ena that exhibit
 nom ics, ${ }^{10\{12)}$ sh schoo13) and fam ily nam es. ${ }^{14)}$ W e explain the m echanism of these power law $s$ well by extending the argum ent for Z ipf's law. The exponent di ens from unity whenever the size increase and the size decrease are asym $m$ etric.

In section 2, we introduce a sim ple and genericm odelthat reproduces $Z$ ipf's law. W e can regard
this $m$ odelboth as the tim e evolution of the population of cities and that of the asset distribution. In section 3, we explain theoretically why this model reproduces the power law. In section 4, we extend ourm odelso as to reproduce pow er law sw th other power-law exponents. O ur explanation show $s$ that the pow er-law of our $m$ odel is very robust.

B efore introducing our model, let usm ake a tutorial rem ark on the relation am ong three types of distribution appearing in the present paper: the ranked distribution, the cum ulative distribution function and the probability distribution function. T he ranked distribution, including Zipf's law, is a cum ulative distribution function $w$ th the horizontal and vertical axes being ipped. A ranked distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{R} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ eans that there are, say, R cities whose population is greater than or equal to $n$. In other words, the probability that the population of an arbitrarily chosen city is greater than or equal to $n$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\quad \mathrm{n})=\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{~N}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here N is the total num ber of cities. C hanging the variable R to n on the right-hand side, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}) / \mathrm{n}^{1=}=\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{b}} \text {; } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is the power-law exponent of the cum ulative distribution function $P(n)$. Thus we obtain the relation betw een the power-law exponents of the cum ulative distribution finction and the ranked distribution.

Next, the cum ulative distribution function $P(x)$ is related to the probability distribution function $p(x)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=Z_{x}^{Z_{1}} p(x) d x: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his $m$ eans that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x) / x^{a}, \quad P(x) / x^{(a 1)}=x^{b}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the pow er-law exponent of the probability distribution function.
C onsequently we arrive at the relation am ong the three pow er-law exponents as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{1}=\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a} \quad 1: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the follow ing sections, we introduce $m$ odels that reproduce power law $s$ in the form of the cumulative distribution function $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{x}$ b. It corresponds to the power law s of the probability
distribution function and the ranked distribution in the form $\operatorname{sp}(x) / x^{(b+1)}$ and $n / R^{1=b}$, respectively. In particular, the case $b=1$ is equivalent to $Z$ ipf's law in the form of the ranked distribution $n / R^{1}$.

In the present paper, we claim that the origin of the power law $s$ is di usion in the logarithm ic scale. For this reason, we also $m$ ake a rem ark on the variable transform ation of Eq (5). To see Eq. (5) in the logarithm ic scale, we change the variable to be $=\log x . T$ he probability distribution function $p(x)$ is transform ed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x) d x \quad x^{a} d x=e^{a} e d \quad p() d: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, we de ne the probability distribution function $w$ th respect to as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p()=e^{(a 1)}=e^{b}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It show s that the exponent of the exponential law of the probability distribution function in the logarithm ic scale coincides w ith the pow er-law exponent of the cum ulative distribution function.
2. M odel and sim ulation results

In this section we introduce our m odel and show the results of its sim ulation. T he m odel that we introduce here evolves as follow s : F irst, we consider a set of positive values fxig w ith N entities. $T$ hen we repeat the follow ing procedures $T$ tim es:

P opulation-D istribution M odel
(i) C hoose an entity i random ly from 1 i N .
(ii) A dd or subtract random ly the am ount $x_{i}$ from the chosen entity $w$ th the probability 1=2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}!x_{i} \quad x_{i} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a constant w ith $0 \ll 1$. For sim plicity, we assum e that the in itial values of fx $i g$ are all equal. This initial condition, how ever, is irrelevant in the long-tim e lim it T ! 1 .

If we regard the values $f x_{i} g$ as the population of $N$ cities, an explanation of this $m$ odel from the view point of the population distribution is that bigger cities tend to have greater population $m$ ovem ent. D uring the tim e evolution we require the boundary condition that $x_{i}$ should not be less than a lower bound $x_{l: b}$ : $(>0)$; W hen an operation such as $x_{i}!x_{i} \quad x_{i}<x_{l: b}$ : is chosen in the step (ii), we cancel this operation. This boundary condition is required in order to prevent each entity $x_{i}$ from decreasing lim itlessly. This is quite a plausible requirem ent; in realities, the population of cities, for exam ple, cannot be less than $x_{l: b}:=1$.
$F$ igure 1 show s som e of the results of the sim ulation of the above procedures for various values of in the form of the cum ulative distribution function $P(x) / x^{b}$. (H ere the number of the entities is $N=10^{4}$.) W e can clearly see that the distribution converges to pow er law $s$ in all cases



F ig. 1. R esults of the sim ulation for various values of. T he num ber of steps is $\mathrm{T}=10^{5}$ (dotted line), $10^{6}$ (dashed line) and $10^{7}$ (solid line). T he straight line indicates the power law $w$ ith the exponent $b=1$, nam ely $Z$ ipf's law .
in the long-tim elim it T ! 1 . Figure 2 show s the pow er-law exponents estim ated from the results of our sim ulation. (W e estim ated the exponents by the least-squares $m$ ethod.) $T$ he exponent $b$ is


Fig. 2. The power-law exponent b against the param eter . The straight line show sthe solution Eq. (31), while the squares indicate estim ates from the results of our sim ulation.
obviously close to unity for any values of . W e note that in F ig. 1 the param eter is related only to the rapidity of the convergence to $Z$ ìf $f^{\prime}$ s law. Because of the relation (6), we obtain Z ipf's law in the form of the ranked distribution $n / R{ }^{1}$ by ipping the horizontal and vertical axes of F ig. 1; the m odel thus reproduces the universality of Z ipf's law as T ! 1 .

To show the robustness of the m odel, we m odify it from the view point of the asset distribution and still reproduce $Z$ ipf's law. A ssum e that a com pany tends to trade w ith a com pany of a sim ilar size. Then we repeat the follow ing procedures $T$ tim es:

A sset-D istribution M odel
(i) C hoose an entity i random ly from 1 i N .
(ii) For i> 1, wemove the am ount $x_{i} 1$ from the (i 1)th entity to the ith entity, where is a constant param eter w th $0 \ll 1$. In other w ords, the follow ing takes place:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{i 1}!x_{i 1} \quad x_{i 1} ;  \tag{10}\\
x_{i}!x_{i}+x_{i 1}: \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $i=1$, we increase all values $x_{i}$ by the sam e am ount $x_{1}=N$.
(iii) $R$ earrange the entities in the descending order of the values $x_{i}$.

A $n$ intultive explanation of this $m$ odi ed $m$ odel is that $m$ oney ow from one com pany to a sm aller com pany and the sm aller com pany delivers products to the larger com pany. Figure 3 show som e of the results of the sim ulation of the above procedures for various values of . (H ere the num ber of the com panies is $\mathrm{N}=10^{4}$.) The cum ulative distribution function again converges to $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{x}^{1}$ in all cases. The operation for $i=1$ in the step (ii) corresponds to the boundary condition $x_{i} \quad x_{l b}$ : of the population-distribution m odel.

The value of the low er bound $x_{l b b}$ : does not need to be $x e d$. Let us $m$ odify the populationdistribution $m$ odeland $m$ ake $x_{l: b}$ : a changeable param eter. $W$ hen the operation $x_{i}!x_{i} \quad x_{i}<x_{l: b}$ : is chosen in the step (ii) of the nst m odel, we produce a random number $S(0<S<1)$. For

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\left(x_{1: b}: x_{i}\right)}>S \text {; } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

this operation is cancelled, but for

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\left(x_{1: b}: x_{i}\right)}<S \text {; } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x_{i}$ becom es the new value of $x_{l: b}$ :. A broad change that exceeds the low er bound $x_{l b}$ : is allowed $w$ ith a sm all probability. Figure 4 show $s$ the result of the sim ulation of the $m$ odi ed $m$ odel for $=0: 3$ and $N=10^{4}$. C om pare it $w$ ith $F$ ig. 1; we can see the distribution in $F$ ig. 4 behaves in the form of the Zipf's law, while shifting to the negative direction.

The value does not need to be xed as well. We can modify the population-distribution m odel and m ake as a changeable param eter; we choose random ly from $0 \ll 1$ every time betw een the steps (i) and (ii) in the population-distribution $m$ odel. In other words, the value of changes at each tim e step. Figure 5 show $s$ the result of the sim ulation of the latter m odi ed model for $N=10^{4}$. C om pare it $w$ ith $F$ ig. 1; we can see the distribution in $F$ ig. 5 behaves in the form of the Z ipf's law. The results in Figs. 4 and 5 show that Z ipf's law of our m odel holds out against a w ide variety ofm odi cation.

W e see from the above variations that the essence of the $m$ odel is the increase and the decrease proportional to the size of each entity; this is the key to the universality of Zipf's law. On the basis of the sim pleness and the robustness of our m odel, we believe that Zipf's law in many elds of science has the sam e origin of $\backslash$ proportional change."

## 3. C ontinuum Lim it and U niversality

In this section we give a theoretical explanation as to why the $m$ odel in the previous section reproduces Z ipf's law. Let us consider the lim it N ! 1 . Hence we regard the set of variables $f x_{i} g$ as a continuous variable $x$. We consider in this lim it the tim e evolution of the probability



$F$ ig. 3. R esults of the sim ulation of the asset distribution for various values of . T he num ber of steps is $T=10^{5}$ (dotted line), $10^{6}$ (dashed line) and $10^{7}$ (solid line). W e norm alized the results by the asset of the highest entity. $T$ he straight line indicates the pow er law w ith the exponent $b=1$, nam ely $Z$ ip f's law.


F ig. 4. The result of the sim ulation for $=0: 3 \mathrm{w}$ ith a broad low er bound. T he num ber of steps is $\mathrm{T}=10^{7}$ (dotted line), $410^{7}$ (dashed line) and $10^{8}$ (solid line). T he straight line indicates the pow er law w ith the exponent $b=1$, nam ely Z ịpf's law.


F ig. 5. The result of the sim ulation w ith random ly chosen $(0 \ll 1)$. The number of steps is $\mathrm{T}=10^{5}$ (dotted line), $10^{6}$ (dashed line) and $10^{7}$ (solid line). T he straight line indicates the power law w ith the exponent $b=1$, nam ely Zipf's law.
distribution function $p(x)$. W e note that Eq. (9) gives probability ow $s$ in the directions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x!x \quad x=(1 \quad) x: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tim e-evolution equation of the probability distribution function $p(x)$ is therefore given by the follow ing:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} p(x ; t)=p(x ; t)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} p \frac{x}{1} ; t+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1+}{1+} p \frac{x}{1+} ; t ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $p(x ; t)$ is the probability distribution of $x$ at time $t$ and is a constant determ ining the unit of tim e. The rst term on the right-hand side represents a ow from the point $x$ out to the points (1 ) $x$, while the second and third term $s$ represents ow from $x=(1 \quad)$ into $x$.

The coe cients $1=(1 \quad)$ in front ofp in Eq. (15) are necessary in order to satisfy the probability conservation. To see this, we integrate Eq. (15) over x as

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_{1}}{1+}{ }_{0}^{p} \frac{x}{1+} ; t \mathrm{dx} \text { : } \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

C hanging the variables to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0}=\frac{x}{1} ; \quad x^{\infty}=\frac{x}{1+} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can rew rite Eq. (16) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varrho^{d t}}{Z_{1}} p(x ; t) d x & =Z_{1}^{Z_{1}^{1}} p(x ; t) d x+\overline{2}_{1}^{Z_{1}} p\left(x^{0} ; t\right) d x^{0} \\
& \left.+\bar{p}_{0}^{\infty} ; t\right) d x^{\infty}=0:
\end{aligned}
$$

T hus the total probability is conserved. (In the present case, the probability w ould be conserved w thout the coe cients $1=(1 \quad)$. H ow ever, they are necessary when Eq. (15) is generalized later; see Eq. (35).)

Let us see Eq. (15) in the logarithm ic scale; we change the variable to be $=\log x$. The probability distribution function is transform ed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x ; t) d x=p(e ; t) e d=p(; t) d: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(; t) \quad p(x ; t) x=p(e ; t) e: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By rew riting $p$ in tem s of $p$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
p(x ; t) & =\frac{1}{x} p(\log x ; t)=e p(; t) ;  \tag{20}\\
p \frac{x}{1} ; t & =\frac{1}{x} p \log \frac{x}{1} ; t \\
& =(1 \quad \text { ee } \beta(\log (1 \quad) ; t) ; \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

we transform the evolution equation (15) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Q}{d t} p(; t)=p(; t)+\frac{-p}{2}(+\quad ; t)+\frac{-}{2} p(\quad+; t) ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (1 \quad)>0: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can regard the evolution equation (22) as a random walk from to , that is, a random walk with asymm etrically xed step sizes.

Let us consider them ean ing of the asym $m$ etric random walk. W e carry out the Tay lor expansion of the second and third term $s$ on the righthand side of Eq. (22). A ssum ing $0\left({ }^{3}\right)^{\prime} 0$, we can rew rite Eq. (22) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{e^{@}}{@ t} P(; t)=2 P(; t)+P(; t)+\frac{Q}{巴} P(; t)+\frac{2}{2} \frac{Q^{2}}{\Theta^{2}} P(; t)  \tag{24}\\
& +\mathrm{P}(; \mathrm{t}) \quad+\frac{\mathrm{Q}}{\Theta} \mathrm{P}(; \mathrm{t})+\frac{+}{2} \frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{\Theta^{2}} \mathrm{P}(; \mathrm{t}):
\end{align*}
$$

By rearranging the right-hand side of Eq. (24), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho}{@ t} P(; t)=\frac{{ }_{+}^{2}+{ }^{2}}{2} \frac{@^{2}}{@^{2}} P(; t)+\left(++\quad \frac{@}{@} P(; t):\right. \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst and second term s on the right-hand side of Eq. (25) correspond to the di usion and advection term $s$, respectively. C onsequently we can regard the equation of the asym $m$ etric random walk, Eq. (22), as an advection-di usion equation (25) approxim ately. For $0 \ll 1$, the advection coe cient is

$$
\begin{equation*}
++\quad=\quad \log (1+) \quad \log (1 \quad)=\log \frac{1}{12^{2}}>0: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It suggests that the probability distribution function in the logarithm ic scale p(; t ) m oves to the negative direction while di using.

Let us now concentrate on nding stationary solutions ( ) of Eq. (22):

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \beta_{\mathrm{s}}()+\beta_{\mathrm{s}}(+)+\beta_{\mathrm{s}}(\quad+)=0: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e seek solutions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{s} / e^{b}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(See A ppendix A for the validity of this assum ption.) By plugging Eq. (28) into Eq. (27), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 e^{b}+e^{b} e^{b}+e^{b} e^{b+}=e^{b}\left(2+e^{b}+e^{b+}\right)=0 ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{b+}+e^{b}=2: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

R ew riting Eq. (30) using Eq. (23), we obtain the follow ing equation for b:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f} \text { (b) } \quad \frac{1}{2}(1+)^{\mathrm{b}}+\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad)^{\mathrm{b}}=1: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ igure 6 show $s$ the function $f(b)$ for various values of. Though the form of $f(b)$ changes $w$ ith , the two solutions of Eq. (31), $b=0$ and 1, are stable. O bviously $b=0$ is a solution of Eq. (31).


Fig. 6. The function $f(b)$ de ned in Eq. (31) for various values of. The curvature of $f(b)$ increases as becom es greater.
$T$ he solution $b=0 \mathrm{~m}$ eans that the stationary solution $\beta_{s}$ is a uniform distribution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{b}}=\text { const: } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s we suggested in Eq. (25), our m odel can be regarded as di usion with advection in the logarithm ic scale. W e hence expect that the solution $b=0$, or a uniform distribution appears only in the case of periodic boundary conditions. For our m odelw th the low er bound $\mathrm{x}_{1 \cdot b}$ :, the solution $\mathrm{b}=1$ survives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{e} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to the argum ent at the end of Sec. 1, the solution (33) means P ( x ) / $\mathrm{x}^{1}$. This is in good agreem ent w ith our sim ulation data in F ig. 1. T he present argum ent indicates that a
random $w$ alk $w$ ith asym $m$ etric step sizes in the logarithm ic scale is the essence of the universality of Z ìf f's law.

## 4. Extension of the m odel

So far, we have focused on Zipf's law $(b=1)$. H ow ever, various power-law exponents $(b \in 1)$ have been reported in $m$ any phenom ena including econom ics ${ }^{10\{12)}$ and fam ily nam es. ${ }^{14)}$ In this section, we extend our m odel in order to reproduce power-law distributions $w$ th other exponents.

The extension is basically modi cation of the asym $m$ etry of the random walk. We introduce tw o types of extension. In both extensions, the initial and the boundary conditions are the sam e as in the $m$ odel in Sec. 2. Extension A of the $m$ odelevolves as follow $s$ :
(i) Choose an entity i random ly from 1 i N.
(ii) A dd the am ount $+x_{i}$ or subtract the am ount $x_{i}$ random ly from the chosen entity w ith the probability $1=2$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}!x_{i} \quad x_{i}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the param eters + and are made to be di erent; + is the grow th param eter and is the anti-grow th param eter. W e restrict ourselves to the case $0<+\ll 1$; otherw ise, we can have a $m$ eaningless solution $\mathrm{b}<0$ (See Eq. (38) below).
$F$ igure 7 show ssme of the results of the sim ulation of the above procedures for various values of and $\mathrm{N}=10^{5}$. W e can still see the power-law distribution, but the power-law exponents b changed from unity. The pow er-law exponent btakes various values in the modi ed modelwhile it is $x e d$ to $b=1$ for any values of in Sec. 2 .

W e can easily modify the argum ent in Sec. 3 so as to analyze the above modi ed model, Extension A. Instead of Eq. (15) we now write the tim e-evolution equation of $p(x)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} p(x ; t)=p(x ; t)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{1} ; t+\frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{1++} p \frac{x}{1++} ; t: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the probability is still conserved. By rew riting p in term s of $p$, we transform the evolution equation (35) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho}{@ t} \beta(; t)=\beta(; t)+\frac{-1}{2} \beta(+\quad ; t) \quad \frac{1}{2} \beta(+; t) ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (1 \quad)>0: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote the di erence between Eq. (23) and Eq. (37). The equation for the exponent b now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f} \text { (b) } \quad \frac{1}{2}(1++)^{b}+\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad)^{b}=1: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$




$F$ ig. 7. R esults of the sim ulation of Extension $A$ for various values of.$T$ he number of steps is $T=10^{6}$ (dotted line), $10^{7}$ (dashed line) and $10^{8}$ (solid line).


Fig. 8. The function $f(b)$ de ned in Eq. (38) b for various values of $w$ ith the ratio $+=\quad=0: 7$ xed. The curvature of $f(b)$ increases as becom e greater.
$F$ igure 8 show $s$ the function $f(b)$ for various values of $w$ ith $+==0: 7$. Though the solution $b=0$ is still stable, the other solution depends on . O wing to the asym $m$ etry betw een + and , the relevant solution ofEq. (38) now depends on + and . Figure 9 show s that the


Fig. 9. The pow er-law exponent bagainst the param eter while xing $+=\quad=0: 7$. The solid line shows the solution of Eq. (38), while the squares are the sim ulation results.
sim ulation results of Extension $A$ ( $F$ ig. 7) are in good agreem ent w th the solution of Eq. (38).
N ow we introduce Extension $B$ of the $m$ odel that also reproduces power-law exponents $b \in 1$. Extension B of the m odel evolves as follow s:
(i) Choose an entity i random ly from 1 i N.
(ii) A dd or subtract random ly the am ount $x_{i}$ from the chosen entity w ith the probabilities + and , respectively $(+=1)$. In other words,

8
${ }^{<} x_{i}+x_{i} \quad$ w ith probability $+\cdot$
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ !

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { : } x_{i} \quad x_{i} \quad w \text { ith prabability } \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 10 show som e of the results of the sim ulation of Extension B. W e again see power law s $w$ th $b \in 1$. The tim e-evolution equation of $p(x)$ now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} p(x ; t)=p(x ; t)+\frac{}{1} p\left(\frac{x}{1} ; t\right)++\frac{}{1+} p\left(\frac{x}{1+} ; t\right): \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$




By rew riting $p$ in term $s$ of $p$, we transform the evolution equation (40) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} P(; t)=P(; t)+P(+; t)++P(+; t) ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (1 \quad)>0: \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation for the exponent b now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(b)=\quad(1 \quad)^{b}++(1+\quad)^{b}=1: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ igure 11 show $s$ the function $f(b)$ for various values of $w$ ith $+==1=2$. Though the solution $\mathrm{b}=0$ is still stable, the other solution depends on. O wing to the asym m etry betw een


Fig. 11. The function $f(b)$ de ned in Eq. (43) for various values of $w$ ith $+=1=3$ and $=2=3$ xed. The curvature of $f(b)$ increase as becom es greater.

+ and , the relevant solution of Eq. (43) depends on , + and . Figure 12 show s that the sim ulation results of Extension B (Fig. 10) are in good agreem ent w ith the solution of Eq. (43).

5. Sum m ary

In the present paper, we explained the origin of the univensality of Z ipf's law theoretically and dem onstrated it num erically. W e claim that the essence of Z inf's law is a size change of each entity


Fig. 12. The power-law exponent b against the param eter while xing $+=1=2$. The solid line shows the solution of Eq. (43), while the squares are the sim ulation results.
proportional to its size. The sim ulation results of our sim ple and generic model suggest that the present explanation of Zipf's law is applicable to various phenom ena both in natural and social sciences.

W e also extended the model slightly and reproduced power law s other than Zipf's law . We revealed that som e asym $m$ etry betw een the increase and the decrease causes the deviation from Z ịpf's law. Equations (38) and (43) m ay be useful in estim ating param eters in actual pow er-law phenom ena.

Appendix A : Exponential Solutions

In this appendix, we discuss the validity of the assum ption (28) for the solution of Eq. (27). C arrying out the Fourier transform of Eq. (27), we obtain

$$
2 \text { 侖 }(k)+e^{i k}+e^{i k}(k)+e^{i k}
$$

or

$$
e^{i k}++e^{i k}=2
$$

where

$$
\rho_{s}(k)=\beta_{s}() e^{i k} d:
$$

By substituting Eq. (23), we can write Eq. (A -2) in the form

$$
(1+)^{i k}+(1 \quad)^{i k}=2:
$$

Let us look for solutions k in the com plex plane by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} \quad \mathrm{ib} ; \tag{A•5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $k_{r}$ and b are real num bers. If we have solutions $w$ ith $k_{r}=0$ only, the assum ption Eq. (28) is justi ed. Substituting Eq. (A.5) fork in Eq. (A 4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+)^{b} e^{i k_{r}+}+(1 \quad)^{b} e^{i k_{r}}=2: \tag{A•6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To satisfy Eq. (A $\cdot 6$ ), we need the phase factors to be real:

$$
e^{i k_{r}+}=e^{i k_{r}}=1
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i k_{r}+}=e^{i k_{r}}=1: \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case ofEq. (A • 7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{+}=\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{} \tag{A•9}
\end{equation*}
$$

while in the case of Eq. (A 8) we have

$$
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{(2 \mathrm{~m}+1)}{+}=\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{}
$$

$w$ here $m$ and $n$ are integers. In order for the solutions $(A \cdot 9)$ and ( $A \cdot 10$ ) to exist, the ratio of the step sizes + and must be a rational num ber. It m eans that the random walker has a possibility of retuming exactly to the starting point. W e consider that such cases are quite exceptional; if we choose a general value of , the ratio $+=$ is an irrational num ber in general. H ence we neglect the solutions (A.9) and (A•10) and assum e $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}=0$. Therefore the rem aining solutions have the form $k=\quad i b . W$ e can thus justify the assum ption (28).
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