

# Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations within the Nelson Quantization Picture

G. Kaniadakis\* and A.M. Scarfone†

*Dipartimento di Fisica and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica della Materia  
Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy.*

(Dated: January 7, 2022)

We present a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations (NLSEs) describing, in the mean field approximation, systems of interacting particles. This class of NLSEs is obtained generalizing expediently the approach proposed in Ref. [G.K. Phys. Rev. A **55**, 941 (1997)], where a classical system obeying to an exclusion-inclusion principle is quantized using the Nelson stochastic quantization. The new class of NLSEs is obtained starting from the most general nonlinear classical kinetics compatible with a constant diffusion coefficient  $D = \hbar/2m$ . Finally, in the case of  $s$ -stationary states, we propose a transformation which linearizes the NLSEs here proposed.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d, 03.65.-w, 05.20.-y

Keywords: Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Stochastic quantization method.

One among the most investigated topics in quantum mechanics regards the nonlinear generalizations of the Schrödinger equation. In the last decades many nonlinear extensions of the Schrödinger equation have been proposed in literature either to explore the fundamental aspects of quantum mechanics, with the usual linear theory representing only a limiting case, or to describe particular physical phenomenologies.

Among the many nonlinear variants of Schrödinger equations proposed in literature, we recall the Bialynicki-Birula and Mycielski [1] in which appears the term  $-b \ln |\psi|^2$ , the Guerra and Pusterla model [2] introducing the nonlinearity  $\Delta|\psi|/|\psi|$  in order to save the superposition principle of the linear quantum mechanics, and finally, the Weinberg theory [3] which proposes the introduction of homogeneous nonlinear terms in order to save, only partially, the superposition principle.

Many of the NLSEs proposed contain complex nonlinearities. For instance, the Doebner-Goldin equation [4, 5], belonging to the Weinberg class, were introduced as the most general NLSE compatible with the Fokker-Planck equation for the probability density.

In addition, a large number of NLSEs have been introduced in order to study some phenomenologies in condensed matter physics. In Ref.s [6, 7] a NLSE with a nonlinearity of the type  $a_1 |\psi|^2 \psi + a_2 |\psi|^4 \psi + i a_3 \partial_x (|\psi|^2 \psi) + (a_4 + i a_5) \partial_x |\psi|^2 \psi$ , is introduced to describe a single mode wave propagation in a Kerr dielectric guide. Another example is found in Ref. [8] where the nonlinearity  $a_1 |\psi|^2 \psi + i a_2 \psi + i a_3 \partial_{xx} \psi + i a_4 |\psi|^2 \psi$  takes into account pumping and dumping effects of the nonlinear media and can be used to describe dynamical modes of plasma physics, hydrodynamics and solitons in optical fibers (Ref. [9] and references therein). Finally, NLSEs are used to describe propagation of high power optical pulses in ultrashort soliton communication systems [10], incoherent solitons [11], and others.

In Ref. [12], starting from a classical system obeying to an exclusion-inclusion principle, a NLSE with complex nonlinearity was derived by using a direct generalization of the stochastic quantization method proposed by Nelson [13]. The classical nonlinear kinetics imposed by the exclusion-inclusion principle was studied in Ref.s [14, 15]. Successively in Ref. [16] the problem of the most general nonlinear classical kinetics was investigated. This last one contains as a particular case the kinetics found in different physical systems, like among others, the Bosons and Fermions (generalized exclusion-inclusion principle), the quons and the system of particles obeying to the Haldane statistics [17]. The above nonlinear kinetics can be used to describe several physical phenomenologies like the Bose-Einstein condensation, the superfluidity and superconductivity and so on.

In the present paper we discuss the problem concerning the quantization of a classical system obeying to the most general nonlinear kinetics compatible with a constant diffusional coefficient, by using the method of Ref. [12]. We recall that in Ref. [18] it has been presented another generalization of the stochastic quantization method which permits to obtain NLSEs containing only real nonlinearities.

Let us consider a classical stochastic Marcoffian process in  $n$ -dimensional space  $\mathcal{R}$ , described by the following evolution equation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho(t, \mathbf{x})}{\partial t} = \int_{\mathcal{R}} [\pi(t, \mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}) - \pi(t, \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{y})] d^n y, \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho(t, \mathbf{x})$  is the particle density function and  $\pi(t, \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{y})$  the transition probability from the site  $\mathbf{x}$  to the site  $\mathbf{y}$

given by [14]:

$$\pi(t, \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{y}) = r(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \alpha(\rho(t, \mathbf{x})) \beta(\rho(t, \mathbf{y})) . \quad (2)$$

The above definition for the transition probability implies a nonlinear kinetics and plays a fundamental role in the development of the theory exposed here. We observe that  $\pi(t, \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{y})$  is given by a product of three factors. The first one,  $r(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ , is the transition rate and depends only on the starting  $\mathbf{x}$  and arrival  $\mathbf{y}$  sites during the particle transition  $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{y}$ . The second factor  $\alpha(\rho(t, \mathbf{x}))$  is an arbitrary function of the particle population of the starting site. This function satisfies the condition  $\alpha(0) = 0$ , because if the starting site is empty the transition probability is equal to zero. Finally the third factor  $\beta(\rho(t, \mathbf{y}))$  is an arbitrary function of the arrival site particle population. For this function we have the condition  $\beta(0) = 1$  which requires that the transition probability does not depend on the arrival site if, in it, particles are absent. The expression of the function  $\beta(\rho(t, \mathbf{x}))$  plays a very important role in the particle kinetics because can stimulate or inhibit the transition  $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{y}$  allowing in this way to take into account interactions originated from collective effects.

The evolution equation (1), taking into account the expression of the transition probability Eq. (2), assumes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho(t, \mathbf{x})}{\partial t} = & \beta(\rho(t, \mathbf{x})) \int_{\mathcal{R}} r(t, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) \alpha(\rho(t, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})) d^n y \\ & - \alpha(\rho(t, \mathbf{x})) \int_{\mathcal{R}} r(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \beta(\rho(t, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) d^n y . \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Now we consider the particle kinetics in the first neighbor approximation and then we expand up to the second order the quantities  $r(t, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) \alpha(\rho(t, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}))$  and  $\beta(\rho(t, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))$  in Taylor series of  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$  respectively, in an interval around  $\mathbf{x}$ , for  $\mathbf{y} \ll \mathbf{x}$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r(t, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) \alpha(\rho(t, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})) = & r(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \alpha(\rho(t, \mathbf{x})) + \partial_i [r(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \alpha(\rho(t, \mathbf{x}))] y_i \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \partial_j [r(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \alpha(\rho(t, \mathbf{x}))] y_i y_j + O(y^3) , \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\beta(\rho(t, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) = \beta(\rho(t, \mathbf{x})) - \partial_i \beta(\rho(t, \mathbf{x})) y_i + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \partial_j \beta(\rho(t, \mathbf{x})) y_i y_j + O(y^3) , \quad (5)$$

being  $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$ , with  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

After introducing the drift coefficient  $\mathbf{J}(t, \mathbf{x})$  the diffusion coefficient  $D(t, \mathbf{x})$ :

$$\mathbf{J}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{y} r(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d^n y , \quad (6)$$

$$D(t, \mathbf{x}) \delta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{R}} y_i y_j r(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d^n y , \quad (7)$$

Eq. (3) transforms to the following nonlinear partial differential equation [16, 17]:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[ (\mathbf{J} + \nabla D) \alpha \beta + D \left( \beta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \rho} - \alpha \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \rho} \right) \nabla \rho \right] , \quad (8)$$

with  $\rho = \rho(t, \mathbf{x})$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha(\rho)$  and  $\beta = \beta(\rho)$ . Eq. (8) is a continuity equation for the density of particle  $\partial \rho / \partial t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0$  in the configuration space, where the current results to be the sum of two terms:  $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}_{\text{drift}} + \mathbf{j}_{\text{diff}}$ . The first one  $\mathbf{j}_{\text{drift}} = -(\mathbf{J} + \nabla D) \gamma(\rho)$  with  $\gamma(\rho) = \alpha(\rho) \beta(\rho)$ , is a nonlinear drift current. The second term  $\mathbf{j}_{\text{diff}} = -D (\beta \partial \alpha / \partial \rho - \alpha \partial \beta / \partial \rho) \nabla \rho$  is a nonlinear diffusional current different from the standard Fick current. In fact, the coefficient which multiplies  $\nabla \rho$  is a function of  $\rho$ . Eq. (8) describes a class of nonlinear diffusional processes varying the functions  $\alpha(\rho)$  and  $\beta(\rho)$ .

In the following, we study a sub-class of the above processes for which the diffusion coefficient  $D$  is a constant and the diffusional current is given by the standard Fick form  $\mathbf{j}_{\text{diff}} = -D \nabla \rho$ . Then the functional  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  obey the condition:

$$\beta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \rho} - \alpha \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \rho} = 1 . \quad (9)$$

From Eq. (9) we obtain:

$$\alpha(\rho) = [\gamma(\rho) \Gamma(\rho)]^{1/2} , \quad \beta(\rho) = [\gamma(\rho)/\Gamma(\rho)]^{1/2} , \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Gamma(\rho) = \exp \left[ \int^{\rho} \frac{d\rho'}{\gamma(\rho')} \right] . \quad (11)$$

Varying  $\gamma(\rho)$ , Eq.s (8), (10) and (11) describe the class of nonlinear kinetics which is the object of study of the present contribution. This class of kinetics includes also the standard linear one for which  $\gamma(\rho) = \rho$  and then  $\alpha(\rho) = \rho$ ,  $\beta(\rho) = 1$ .

Following Nelson, we assume that the continuity equation (8), which is a Fokker-Planck equation, describes a stochastic Markoffian process asymmetric in time [13]. For this reason we must consider both the forward (+) and the backward (−) expressions of the particle current  $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{u}^{(\pm)} \gamma(\rho) \mp D \nabla \rho$  where  $\mathbf{u}^{(\pm)} = -\mathbf{J}^{(\pm)}$  are the forward and backward velocities. The forward and backward Fokker-Planck equation assume the form:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\mathbf{u}^{(\pm)} \gamma(\rho) \mp D \nabla \rho] = 0 . \quad (12)$$

We define now the current velocity as  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{u}^{(+)} + \mathbf{u}^{(-)})/2$ , assuming that can be derived by a potential  $\mathbf{v} = \nabla S/m$ . After summing the forward and backward Fokker-Planck equations, we obtain the following continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{\nabla S}{m} \gamma(\rho) \right] = 0 . \quad (13)$$

In the stochastic quantization method the system is viewed as a classical Markoffian process where the particles are subjected to a Brownian diffusion with a diffusion coefficient given by  $D = \hbar/2m$  [19, 20]. The mean acceleration for this classical stochastic process is defined as

$$\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{(-)} \mathbf{u}^{(+)} + \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{(+)} \mathbf{u}^{(-)} \right] , \quad (14)$$

where the forward and backward derivative are defined us:

$$\left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{(\pm)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}^{(\pm)} \cdot \nabla \pm D \Delta . \quad (15)$$

The dynamics is governed by the Newton law  $\mathbf{F} = m \mathbf{a}$  and supposing that the mean external force  $\mathbf{F}$  is derived from a potential  $\mathbf{F} = -\nabla V$ , we can write  $\nabla V = -m \mathbf{a}$ . This last equation, if we take into account the expression of  $\mathbf{a}$  given by Eq. (14) and the expressions of the forward and backward velocities  $\mathbf{u}^{(\pm)} = \nabla S/m \pm D \nabla \rho/\gamma(\rho)$ , reproduces after integration the evolution equation for the potential  $S$  which is the following generalized *Hamilton-Jacobi* equation:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{(\nabla S)^2}{2m} + U_q(\rho) + W(\rho) + V = 0 , \quad (16)$$

where  $U_q(\rho) = -(\hbar^2/2m) \Delta \rho^{1/2}/\rho^{1/2}$  is the quantum potential [21, 22] and  $W$ , given by

$$W(\rho) = f_1(\rho) \Delta \rho + f_2(\rho) (\nabla \rho)^2 , \quad (17)$$

with

$$f_1(\rho) = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \left[ \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\gamma(\rho)} \right] , \quad (18)$$

$$f_2(\rho) = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma(\rho)^2} \frac{\partial \gamma(\rho)}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{2\gamma(\rho)^2} - \frac{1}{2\rho^2} \right] , \quad (19)$$

is a real nonlinear quantity depending only on the density of particle  $\rho$ .

We introduce now the complex function  $\psi$  given by the ansatz:

$$\psi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \rho(t, \mathbf{x})^{1/2} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} S(t, \mathbf{x}) \right] . \quad (20)$$

By taking into account Eq. (20) the particle current  $\mathbf{j} = (\nabla S/m) \gamma(\rho)$  can be written as:

$$\mathbf{j} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2m} \gamma(\rho) \left( \frac{\nabla \psi}{\psi} - \frac{\nabla \psi^*}{\psi^*} \right). \quad (21)$$

The continuity equation (13), the generalized *Hamilton-Jacobi* equation (16) together with the ansatz (20), allow to obtain the evolution equations for the function  $\psi$  which is the following NLSE:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \psi + V \psi + \Lambda(\rho, \mathbf{j}) \psi, \quad (22)$$

where  $\Lambda(\rho, \mathbf{j}) = W(\rho) + i\mathcal{W}(\rho, \mathbf{j})$  is a nonlinear complex quantity whose imaginary part is given by:

$$\mathcal{W}(\rho, \mathbf{j}) = \frac{\hbar}{2\rho} \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{\rho - \gamma(\rho)}{\gamma(\rho)} \mathbf{j} \right], \quad (23)$$

and the real one takes the form:

$$W(\rho) = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \Delta \rho + \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} - \frac{1}{2\rho^2} \right) (\nabla \rho)^2 \right]. \quad (24)$$

We remark that Eq. (22) defines a large class of NLSEs by varying the functional  $\gamma(\rho)$ .

In Ref. [12], with the purpose of introducing a generalized inclusion-exclusion principle which originates from the collective effects of a many body quantum system, it has been assumed  $\gamma(\rho) = \rho(1 + \kappa\rho)$ . The corresponding NLSE obtained from Eq. (22) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \psi + V \psi - i\kappa \frac{\hbar}{2\rho} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho \mathbf{j}}{1 + \kappa\rho} \right) \psi \\ & + \kappa \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \left[ \frac{\Delta \rho}{1 + \kappa\rho} + \frac{2 - \kappa\rho}{2\rho} \frac{(\nabla \rho)^2}{(1 + \kappa\rho)^2} \right] \psi, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where  $\mathbf{j}$ , given by Eq. (21), takes the form:

$$\mathbf{j} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2m} (\psi^* \nabla \psi - \psi \nabla \psi^*) (1 + \kappa\rho). \quad (26)$$

In Eqs (25) and (26) the parameter  $\kappa \in \mathbf{R}$  takes into account of exclusive ( $\kappa < 0$ ) or inclusive ( $\kappa > 0$ ) effects due to the particle interactions of the system.

Finally we consider the *s*-stationary states ( $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{0}$ ) of the system described by Eq. (22) for which  $\mathcal{W}(\rho, \mathbf{0}) = 0$ . The field  $\psi$  for these states has the form  $\psi = \rho^{1/2} \exp(-iEt/\hbar)$  and obeys the stationary NLSE:

$$E \psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \psi + V \psi + W(\rho) \psi. \quad (27)$$

Eq. (27) describes a *C*-integrable system which is linearizable by means of a transformation on the field  $\psi$  [23, 24]. In fact, if we introduce now the new stationary wave function  $\phi$  by means of the ansatz

$$\phi = c \Gamma(\rho)^{1/2} \exp(-iEt/\hbar), \quad (28)$$

from Eq. (27) follows that the new field  $\phi$  obey to the stationary linear Schrödinger equation

$$E \phi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \phi + V \phi. \quad (29)$$

The constant  $c$  in Eq. (28) is obtainable from the condition  $\int_{\mathcal{R}} \psi^* \psi d^n x = \int_{\mathcal{R}} \phi^* \phi d^n x = 1$  so that the wave-functions  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  can be normalized simultaneously. The study of the *s*-stationary states of the nonlinear system obeying to Eq. (27) is reduced to the study of the *s*-stationary states of the linear system (29) under the same external potential  $V(\mathbf{x})$  and with the same energy  $E$ . We remark that the linearization of Eq. (27) can be understood by taking into account that the wave-functions  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  satisfies the relation  $(T\phi)/\phi = (T\psi)/\psi + W(|\psi|^2)$  where  $T = -(\hbar^2/2m) \Delta$  is the quantum operator of kinetic energy. This last relationship means that the sum of the kinetic energy and of

the nonlinear term  $W(|\psi|^2)$  is equal to the kinetic energy of the system described by the wave function  $\phi$ . This last system is linear because the nonlinear quantity  $W(|\psi|^2)$  is hidden into this kinetic energy.

In conclusion, in the present paper we have studied within stochastic quantization a system obeying to the most general nonlinear kinetics compatible with a constant diffusion. The evolution equation (22) obtained in this way describes a wide class of NLSEs with complex nonlinearities by varying the functional  $\gamma(\rho)$ . Being  $\gamma(\rho)$  an algebraic function of  $\rho$  the nonlinearities are derivative of the second order, with the real part depending on the density of particle  $\rho$  but not on the phases  $S$ . All equations belonging to this class conserve the total number of particle of the system, they are  $U(1)$ -invariant and, in absence of the external potential  $V(\mathbf{x})$ , they are invariant over space-time translation and rotation, but generally, are not Galilei invariant. Finally, in the case of  $s$ -stationary states, a transformation  $\gamma$ -dependent permits the linearization of this class of the NLSEs.

---

\* Electronic address: giorgio.kaniadakis@polito.it

† Electronic address: antonio.scarfone@polito.it

- [1] I. Bialynicki-Birula, and J. Mycielski: *Ann. Phys.* **100**, 62 (1976).
- [2] F. Guerra, and M. Pusterla: *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **34**, 351 (1982).
- [3] S. Weinberg: *Ann. Phys.* **194**, 336 (1989).
- [4] H.-D. Doebner, and G.A. Goldin: *Phys. Lett. A* **162**, 397 (1992).
- [5] H.-D. Doebner, and G.A. Goldin: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27**, 1771 (1992).
- [6] M. Florjańczyk, and L. Gagnon: *Phys. Rev. A* **41**, 4478 (1990).
- [7] M. Florjańczyk, and L. Gagnon: *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 6881 (1990).
- [8] B.A. Malomed: *Physica D* **29**, 155 (1987).
- [9] B.A. Malomed: *Phys. Rev. A* **44**, 6954 (1991).
- [10] V.S. Shchesnovich, and E.V. Doktorov: *Physica D* **129**, 115 (1999).
- [11] O. Bang, D. Edmundson, and W. Królokowski: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 5279 (1999).
- [12] G. Kaniadakis: *Phys. Rev. A* **55**, 941 (1997).
- [13] E. Nelson: *Phys. Rev.* **150**, 1079 (1966).
- [14] G. Kaniadakis, P. Quarati: *Phys. Rev. E* **49**, 5103 (1994).
- [15] G. Kaniadakis: *Phys. Lett. A* **203**, 229 (1995).
- [16] G. Kaniadakis, A. Lavagno, and P. Quarati: *Nucl. Phys. B* **466**, 527 (1996).
- [17] G. Kaniadakis, A. Lavagno, and P. Quarati: *Phys. Lett. A* **227**, 227 (1997).
- [18] H.-J Mann: *Rep. Math. Phys.* **44**, 143 (1999).
- [19] I. Fenyés: *Z. Physik* **132**, 82 (1952).
- [20] W. Weizel: *Z. Physik* **134**, 264 (1953); **135**, 270 (1953); **136**, 582 (1954).
- [21] E. Madelung: *Z. Physik* **40**, 332 (1926).
- [22] D. Bohm: *Phys. Rev.* **85**, 166 (1952); **85**, 180 (1952).
- [23] F. Calogero, and J. Xiaoda: *J. Math. Phys.* **32**, 875 (1991); **32**, 2703 (1991).
- [24] F. Calogero: *J. Math. Phys.* **33**, 1257 (1992).