Optimally squeezed spin states

A. G. Rojo*

Department of Physics, Oakland University, Rochester, Michigan 48309

arXiv:cond-mat/0303437v1 20 Mar 2003

Abstract

We consider optimally spin-squeezed states that maximize the sensitivity of the Ramsey spectroscopy, and for which the signal to noise ratio scales as the number of particles N. Using the variational principle we prove that these states are eigensolutions of the Hamiltonian $H(\lambda) = \lambda S_z^2 - S_x$, and that, for large N, the states become equivalent to the quadrature squeezed states of the harmonic oscillator. We present numerical results that illustrate the validity of the equivalence.

PACS numbers: 42.50D, 03.65.U, 03.65.G

*Electronic address: rojo@oakland.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

Coherent control of ensembles of two-level atoms may lead to the production of entangled spin-squeezed states [1]. Each atom of the ensemble can be treated as a spin 1/2, and, in analogy with squeezed states of photons [2] and phonons [3], for squeezed spin states the quantum noise in the different components of the total spin is redistributed preserving the uncertainty relation. Depending on the context, different definitions of spin squeezing can be used. Starting from the uncertainty relation $\Delta S_x \Delta S_y \geq |\langle S_z \rangle/2|$ (and cyclic permutations), a possibility is to define states satisfying $\Delta^2 S_i < |\langle S_j \rangle/2|$ as spin-squeezed[4]. Another definition was proposed by Wineland *et al.* [5], who showed that the resolution in spectroscopic experiments on N two-level atoms is determined by the factor

$$\xi = \frac{\Delta S_\perp}{|\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle|}, \tag{1}$$

which measures the quantum noise in a direction perpendicular to the mean value of the total spin. States with small ξ are relevant to quantum information and constitute the focus of our paper. We will also discuss Kitagawa and Ueda's[1] squeezing parameter given by

$$\xi_{\rm spin} = \frac{\Delta S_\perp}{|\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle|^{1/2}}, \tag{2}$$

as well as the parameter ξ_z proposed by Raghavan *et al.*[6]:

$$\xi_z = \frac{\Delta S_\perp^2}{S^2 - \langle S_z \rangle^2}. \tag{3}$$

From the commutation relation for the spin components in three orthogonal directions we have that

$$\frac{\Delta S_z}{\langle S_x \rangle} \Delta S_y \geq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{4}$$

Since the fluctuations for N spins 1/2 are bounded [$\Delta \mathbf{S} \leq \sqrt{N/2(N/2+1)}$], there is a lower bound (the Heisenberg limit) for the squeezing factor:

$$\xi > \frac{1}{N} \tag{5}$$

for large N. On the other hand, for product states (or unentangled states) $\xi = 1/\sqrt{N}$. The simplest example is the completely polarized state in the x direction $|\rightarrow, \rightarrow, \rightarrow, \cdots, \rightarrow\rangle$, which has $\langle S_x \rangle = N/2$, $\langle S_y \rangle = \langle S_z \rangle = 0$, and $\langle \Delta S_z \rangle = \langle \Delta S_y \rangle = \sqrt{N}/2$.

Given the above bounds, the key to approaching the Heisenberg limit is to find states with $|\langle S_x\rangle|$ of order N and a fluctuation ΔS_z of order one.

Consider for example $N = 3$ with $S = 3/2$. The Hilbert space has dimension 4, and we can label the states by their projection $m = -3/2, -1/2, 1/2, 3/2$ along the z direction. The simplest squeezed state $|\Psi_{S=3/2}\rangle$ has the form [7]

$$|\Psi_{S=3/2}\rangle = \frac{|1/2\rangle + |-1/2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{6}$$

This state is polarized in the x direction, with $\langle\Psi_{3/2}|S_x|\Psi_{3/2}\rangle = 1$, $\langle\Psi_{3/2}|S_y|\Psi_{3/2}\rangle = \langle\Psi_{3/2}|S_z|\Psi_{3/2}\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\Psi_{3/2}|S_z^2|\Psi_{3/2}\rangle = 1/4$, giving $\xi = 1/2 < 1/\sqrt{3}$.

If we include the states with maximum projection ($S_z = \pm 3/2$) we will increase both ΔS_z and $\langle S_x\rangle$ and the question is whether the inclusion of these states can improve the ratio $\Delta S_z/\langle S_x\rangle$. The natural step is to consider a state of the form:

$$|\Psi'_{S=3/2}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+a^2}}\left[\frac{|1/2\rangle + |-1/2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} + a\frac{|3/2\rangle + |-3/2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \tag{7}$$

for which

$$\xi = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{(1+a^2)[1+(3a)^2]}}{1+\sqrt{3}a} \simeq \frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{3}a), \qquad (a \ll 1). \tag{8}$$

This result indicates that the inclusion of larger spin projections with a small amplitude can improve the spin squeezing. For $a = 1/\sqrt{3}$ the state has well defined $S_x = 3/2$, and $\xi = 1/\sqrt{3}$. For $a \simeq 0.16$ the squeezing factor has its minimum value $\xi \simeq 0.44$.

In section II we prove, using the variational principle, that the states that minimize ξ (the optimally squeezed states) are solutions of the Hamiltonian

$$H(\lambda) = \lambda S_z^2 - S_x, \tag{9}$$

where, without loss of generality, we chose the x axis in the direction of the mean spin and $S_\perp = S_z$.

For different values of the parameter λ, the eigenstates of (9) have the minimum ΔS_z for a given $\langle S_x\rangle$ and, in the large N limit, the squeezing factor scales as $\xi \sim 1/N$. For $1 \ll \Delta S_z \ll \sqrt{N}$, $H(\lambda)$ can be mapped to the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian, and the optimally squeezed states become gaussians of reduced variance, identical to the quadrature squeezed states. In section III we present numerical diagonalizations of (9) for up to 600 spins to illustrate the validity of the mapping.

II. VARIATIONAL CALCULATION

For N spins, the general state with $\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle$ in the x direction can be written as the following superposition of Dicke states $|S, m\rangle$ (eigenstates of the total spin S and the spin projection m in the z direction):

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{m,S} \varphi_{S,m} |S, m\rangle, \tag{10}$$

with $\varphi_{S,m}$ real to guarantee that $\langle \Psi | S_y | \Psi \rangle = 0$. The squeezing factor can be written as the following functional of the set $\{\varphi_{S,m}\}$:

$$\xi(\{\varphi_{S,m}\}) = \frac{\left[\sum_{S,m} m^2 \varphi_{S,m}^2\right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{S,m} \varphi_{S,m}^2\right]^{1/2}}{\sum_{S,m} \varphi_{S,m} X_{S,m} \varphi_{S,m+1}}, \tag{11}$$

with m integer (half integer) for N even (odd), and

$$X_{S,m} = \sqrt{(S + m + 1)(S - m)} \tag{12}$$

twice the matrix element of the operator S_x. The minimization condition

$$\frac{\delta \xi}{\delta \varphi_{S,m}} = 0 \tag{13}$$

gives rise to the following difference equation for $\varphi_{S,m}$

$$\lambda m^2 \varphi_{S,m} - \frac{1}{2} \left(X_{S,m} \varphi_{S,m+1} + X_{S,m-1} \varphi_{S,m-1} \right) = E(\lambda) \varphi_{S,m}, \tag{14}$$

with λ and $E(\lambda)$ functions of the sums in Eq.(11). Eq.(14) is identical to the eigenvalue equation for the Hamiltonian $H(\lambda) = \lambda S_z^2 - S_x$, and in order to find the minimum squeezing we can regard λ as a parameter and minimize ξ with respect to λ for each eigenstate of $H(\lambda)$. Also, since $H(\lambda)$ is even in the projection in the z direction, the solutions are even and odd in m, and $\langle \Psi | S_z | \Psi \rangle = 0$. It is interesting to note that Eq.(14) corresponds to a one dimensional tight-binding Hamiltonian for a "particle" in a parabolic potential of strength λ and hopping to near neighbors given by $X_{S,m}$. The squeezing factor can then be interpreted as the ratio of a mean square displacement and a mean kinetic term. For large S the parabolic potential confines the particle to small values of m and as a result $\langle m^2 \rangle \sim O(1)$, and $\langle S_x \rangle \sim O(S)$, which implies that ξ is minimized by states corresponding by the maximum total spin. We work in this subspace and in what follows we omit the subindex S in $\varphi_{S,m}$.

Hamiltonian (9) was considered previously by Law *et al.* to generate spin-squeezed states [8], and also arised several times in the context of Bose-Einstein condensation. Specifically, if we write the spin operators in term of the Schwinger bosons $a^\dagger$ and $b^\dagger$:

$$S_z = \frac{1}{2}\left(a^\dagger a - b^\dagger b\right), \quad S^+ = a^\dagger b, \tag{15}$$

Hamiltonian (9) becomes (ommiting terms that depend on the total number N)

$$H(\lambda) = 2\lambda\left[\left(a^\dagger a\right)^2 + \left(b^\dagger b\right)^2\right] - \frac{1}{2}\left(a^\dagger b + b^\dagger a\right). \tag{16}$$

The Hamiltonian above corresponds two condensates, each of them treated in the single mode approximation, with the S_x term in (9) becoming a Josephson coupling betwen the condensates. This correspondence was used by Milburn *et al.*[9], Steel and Collett[10], Gordon and Savage [11] and Raghavan *et al.*[6]. In this representation, the squeezing parameter ξ can be interpreted as the ratio of the particle number fluctuation (ΔS_z) to the Josephson energy ($\langle S_x\rangle$) of two weakly coupled condensates: start with two condensates (a and b) with equal particle number $N_a = N_b = N/2$. This corresponds to the Dicke state $|N/2, 0\rangle$ with $S_z = 0$. Now we turn on a small Josephson coupling between the condensates that will induce a small fluctuation in the particle number of each condensate $\Delta(N_a - N_b) \equiv \Delta S_z \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. On the other hand, for the Josephson energy we have

$$\langle ab^\dagger\rangle \simeq \langle a\rangle\langle b^\dagger\rangle \sim \mathcal{O}(N), \tag{17}$$

giving $\xi \sim 1/N$.

Before analyzing the detailed form of the solutions of (14) we can gain insight on the optimally squeezed states by examining the general behavior of $E(\lambda)$ for small and large λ, and using the Hellman-Feynman theorem[12]

$$\frac{dE}{d\lambda} = \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \right\rangle \tag{18}$$

to compute the averages: $\langle m^2\rangle = dE(\lambda)/d\lambda \equiv (\Delta S_z)^2$, and $\sum_m \varphi_m X_{S,m}\varphi_{m+1} = \lambda dE(\lambda)/d\lambda - E(\lambda) \equiv \langle S_x\rangle$, giving

$$\xi(\lambda) = \frac{\left[\frac{dE(\lambda)}{d\lambda}\right]^{1/2}}{\lambda\frac{dE(\lambda)}{d\lambda} - E(\lambda)}. \tag{19}$$

For small $\langle m^2\rangle$ (large λ), the solutions for even and odd N are qualitatively different. For $\lambda \to \infty$ and N even, the ground state energy the solution corresponding to the "particle"

at the bottom of the potential well ($m = 0$). Using perturbation theory keeping the lowest lying states ($m = 0, \pm 1$),

$$E(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{N^2}{8}} \simeq -\frac{N^2}{8\lambda}, \tag{20}$$

for N even. On the other hand, for odd N the particle can be either at $m = +1/2$ or $m = -1/2$, the energy diverges as $\lambda/4$, and the ground state corresponds to a linear combination as in Eq.(6). The condition $d\xi/d\lambda = 0$ implies

$$\frac{d^2E}{d\lambda^2}\frac{d}{d\lambda}[\lambda E(\lambda)] = 0. \tag{21}$$

Since $E(\lambda)$ has monotonic curvature, the minimum is given by the product $\lambda E(\lambda)$. Given the discussion above, for N even the minimum value of ξ corresponds to $\lambda \to \infty$:

$$\xi^{(\min)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N}, \qquad (\text{even } N). \tag{22}$$

This absolute minimum is however not very interesting since it corresponds to a ratio of zeroes therefore implying a vanishing mean value of the spin. This limit has been pointed out by André and Lukin [13] for a perturbative calculation. For odd N, since $E(\lambda)$ diverges for large λ, the product $\lambda E(\lambda)$ changes sign and the absolute minimum of ξ corresponds to a large but finite value of λ that we determine numerically by solving exactly Hamiltonian (14).

If we extend our variational treatment to the squeezing parameter given by Eq.(2), we see that the states that minimize $\xi_{\rm spin}$ are also eigenstates of (9), but this time we have to minimize $\xi_{\rm spin}(\lambda)$ given by

$$\xi_{\rm spin}(\lambda) = \frac{\left[\frac{dE(\lambda)}{d\lambda}\right]^{1/2}}{\left[\lambda\frac{dE(\lambda)}{d\lambda} - E(\lambda)\right]^{1/2}}. \tag{23}$$

The minimum value of $\xi_{\rm spin}$ also corresponds to $\lambda \to \infty$, but the square root in the denominator of (23) implies that

$$\xi_{\rm spin}^{(\min)} = 0. \tag{24}$$

On the other hand, the variational calculation shows that the states that minimize ξ_z given by Eq.(3) are eigenstates of

$$H_{\rm s}(\lambda) = \lambda S_z^2 - S_z. \tag{25}$$

As discussed in Ref. [6], the states that minimize ξ_z are eigenstates of S_z.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The numerical minimization for different N (see Figure 1) shows that, in the large N limit:

$$\xi_{\min} = \frac{1.695}{N}, \qquad (\text{odd}\, N). \tag{26}$$

In general, for larger values of ξ the solutions of (14) give the optimally squeezed states with minimum ΔS_z for a given value of $\langle S_x \rangle$, and for which ξ scales as $1/N$. In order to show this, we first note that the *exact* normalized eigenstate of S_x with eigenvalue $N/2$ and N even [15] has the form of a gaussian of width $\sqrt{N}$:

$$\varphi_m^{(N/2)} = 2^{-N/2} \binom{N}{\frac{N}{2} - m} \simeq \left(\frac{2}{\pi N}\right)^{1/4} e^{-m^2/N}. \tag{27}$$

The eigenstate corresponding to $S_x = -N/2$ is simply $\varphi_m^{(-N/2)} = (-1)^m \varphi_m^{(N/2)}$. Using the explicit expressions for $X_{N/2,m}$ it is straightforward to show that the states with smaller projections $S_x = N/2 - n$ are of form $\varphi_m^{(N/2-n)} \propto h_{\frac{N}{2}}^{(n)}(m) \varphi_m^{(N/2)}$, and $h_S^{(n)}(m)$, with $S = N/2$, obeying the following recursion relation:

$$\frac{N}{4}\left[h_S^{(n)}(m+1) + h_S^{(n)}(m-1)\right] - \frac{m}{2}\left[h_S^{(n)}(m+1) - h_S^{(n)}(m-1)\right] = \left(\frac{N}{2} - n\right) h_S^{(n)}(m). \tag{28}$$

For large N we can take the continuum limit of $h_S^{(n)}(m)$ and (28) becomes the differential equation satisfied by the Hermite polynomials $H_n(x)$ [16], with $x = m\sqrt{2/N}$. This proves that, in the large N limit, the eigenstates $\varphi_m^{(N/2-n)}$ of S_x in the S_z representation are harmonic oscillator wave functions $\varphi_n(x)$, with the following operator equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} S_z &\rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{N}{2}}x, \\ S_y &\rightarrow i\sqrt{\frac{N}{2}}\frac{d}{dx} \\ S_x &\rightarrow -\frac{N+1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

and an implicit truncation in the allowed excitations n of the harmonic oscillator Hilbert space: $n < N/2$. [For quantum numbers $N/2 < n < N$, the solutions are of the form

$\varphi_m^{(N/2-n)} = (-1)^m \varphi_{N-n}(m\sqrt{2/N})$.] The operator equivalence of Eq. (29) corresponds to the well known Holstein-Primakov transformation[17] provided $\Delta S_x/N \ll 1$. Also, the correspondence between the Harmonic oscillator algebra and that of the angular momentum was discussed using the so-called group contraction by Arecchi *et al.* [18]. In this formulation, (9) becomes the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian and the ground state is a rescaled gaussian $\varphi_0(x) = \pi^{-1/4}\sigma^{-1/2} \exp -x^2/2\sigma^2$, which, in the discrete representation has the form

$$\varphi_m \simeq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/4}\Delta S_z^{1/2}} e^{-(m/2\Delta S_z)^2}, \tag{30}$$

with $\Delta S_z = \sigma\sqrt{N}/2$. Since the mapping to the continuum is exact provided $1 \ll \Delta S_z \ll N$, taking the limit of large N with ΔS_z fixed we obtain

$$|\langle S_x \rangle| = \frac{N+1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\left(\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right) \simeq \frac{N}{2}\left[1 - \frac{1}{8(\Delta S_z)^2}\right]. \tag{31}$$

In Figure 2 we present comparisons of the continuum approximation with exact diagonalizations of (14) that show excellent agreement for large N and ΔS_z as small as 0.5. In Figure 3 we show comparisons of the exact eigenstates φ_m of Eq. (14) with the analytical solution.

Notice that the operator S_y in the discrete (or tight-binding) representation has the form of a velocity operator: $S_y = (i/2)\sum_m (X_{N/2,m}|m+1\rangle\langle m| - \text{h.c.})$. In the tight-binding limit the commutator of the position (corresponding to S_z) and the velocity operator is not a constant but is proportional to the kinetic energy operator (corresponding to S_x) and the commutation relations are equivalent. In the continuum approximation $S_y \to i\sqrt{\frac{N}{2}}\frac{d}{dx}$ and ΔS_y is given by

$$\Delta S_y = \left\langle -\frac{N}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right\rangle^{1/2} = \frac{N}{4\Delta S_z}, \tag{32}$$

which proves that the optimally squeezed states in the large N limit (and for $1 \ll \Delta S_z \ll N$) correspond to minimum uncertainty wave-packets satisfying $\Delta S_z \Delta S_y = \langle S_x \rangle/2$. Note that the optimally squeezed states given by Eq. (30) can be written in the form

$$\Psi(N, M, \alpha) = C \exp\left(-\alpha S_z^2\right) \exp\left(-i\pi S_y/2\right)|N/2, M\rangle, \tag{33}$$

with $\alpha = 1/(2\Delta S_z)^2 - 1/N \simeq 1/(2\Delta S_z)^2$ and $M = N/2$. The state (33) has some similarity with the minimum uncertainty states considered by Rashid [19, 20], but the S_z^2 (as opposed

to S_z for the Rashid states) in the first exponential guarantees that the squeezing is in the direction perpendicular to the mean spin for all M.

We close by noting that the harmonic oscillator equivalence extends to the dynamic generation of squeezed states by the application of an impulsive non-linear Hamiltonian. Consider an ensemble of identical two-level atoms with energy splitting $\hbar\omega_0$. We define the corresponding spin quantization axis in the x direction so that $H_0 = \omega_0 S_x$, and the equations of motion for $S_z(t)$ and $S_y(t)$ are exactly the same as those of x and p for a harmonic oscillator of frequency ω_0. If a pulse of the form $H' = \delta(t)\eta S_z^2$ is applied, the quasiprobability distribution in the (S_y, S_x) plane is modified as in Figure 4, the only difference with the harmonic oscilator being that $\eta < 1/\sqrt{N}$ (for larger η the response is periodic in η). For times $t > 0$ the distorted distribution rotates at frequency ω_0 and the squeezing factor evolves as

$$\xi(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{[1 + (\eta N \sin\omega_0 t)^2 - \eta N \sin 2\omega_0 t]^{1/2}}{1 - N\eta^2/2}. \tag{34}$$

If we call $\eta = \alpha_0/\sqrt{N}$, with $\alpha_0 < 1$, the minimum squeezing $\xi_{\min} = ([1 - \alpha_0^2/2]\alpha_0 N)^{-1}$ scales as $1/N$ and is reached twice during the cycle of the rotation of the ellipse of Figure 4.

Acknowledgments

We thank Paul Berman, Tony Bloch and Ken Elder for very valuable conversations. I am indebted to Alejandro Uribe for referring me to the Krawtchowk polynomials.

Appendix

In this section we will derive the recurrence equation for the discrete polynomials of Eq. (28). The normalized eigenstate $|n\rangle_x$ of S_x corresponding to the eigenvalue $S-n$, with $S=N/2$, can be written as

$$|n\rangle_x = \sum_{m=-S}^{S} \varphi_S^{(n)}(m)|m\rangle_z, \tag{35}$$

with $|m\rangle_z$ the eigenstate of S_z with eigenvalue m. For our choice of quantization axes we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_z|n\rangle_x &= \frac{(S^+ + S^-)}{2}|n\rangle_x & (36)\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2S}}{2}\left[\left(1-\frac{n-1}{2S}\right)^{1/2}\sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle_x + \left(1-\frac{n}{2S}\right)^{1/2}\sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle_x\right], & (37)\end{aligned}$$

which, substituted in (35) gives

$$2\left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{S}}\right)\varphi_S^{(n)}(m) = \left(1-\frac{n-1}{2S}\right)^{1/2}\sqrt{2n}\varphi_S^{(n-1)}(m) + \left(1-\frac{n}{2S}\right)^{1/2}\sqrt{2(n+1)}\varphi_S^{(n+1)}(m). \tag{38}$$

Now we define $h_S^{(n)}(m)$ as

$$\varphi_S^{(n)}(m) = \frac{h_S^{(n)}(m)}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} 2^{-S}\binom{2S}{S-m}, \tag{39}$$

and subtitute in (38) to obtain the following recursion relation

$$h_S^{(n+1)}(m) = 2\left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{S}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-n/2S}}h_S^{(n)}(m) - 2n\sqrt{\frac{1-(n-1)/2S}{1-n/2S}}h_S^{(n-1)}(m) \tag{40}$$

Note that, in the limit $S\to\infty$, $m/\sqrt{S}\to x$, $h_S^{(n)}(m)\to H_n(x)$, and (40) becomes the recurrence equation for the Hermite polynomials:

$$H_{n+1}(x) = 2xH_n(x) - 2nH_{n-1}(x). \tag{41}$$

Iterating (40) we obtain the first few discrete polynomials $h_S^{(n)}(m)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_S^{(0)}(m) &= 1 \\
h_S^{(1)}(m) &= 2\frac{m}{\sqrt{S}} \\
h_S^{(2)}(m) &= \frac{4\left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{S}}\right)^2 - 2}{\sqrt{1-1/2S}} \\
h_S^{(3)}(m) &= \frac{8\left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{S}}\right)^3 - 4\left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{S}}\right)(3-1/S)}{\sqrt{(1-1/2S)(1-1/S)}} \\
h_S^{(4)}(m) &= \frac{16\left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{S}}\right)^4 - 48\left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{S}}\right)^2(1-2/3S) + 12(1-1/S)}{\sqrt{(1-1/2S)(1-1/S)(1-3/2S)}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{42}
$$

Finally we note that the above discrete Hermite polynomials are proportional to the Karwtchouk polynomials $K_n(x;p,N)$ [21], which obey the following recursion relation:

$$
p(n-N)K_{n+1}(x;p,N) - [n(2p-1)+x-Np]\,K_n(x;p,N) + n(p-1)K_{n-1}(x;p,N) = 0. \tag{43}
$$

Comparing (43) with (40) we see that

$$
h_S^{(n)}(m) = \frac{2^{n/2}}{\sqrt{(2S-n)!}} K_n(m+S;1/2,2S). \tag{44}
$$

---

[1] M. Kitagawa and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. A **47**, 5138 (1993).

[2] D. F. Walls and G. J. Milburn *Quantum Optics* (Spinger, Berlin, 1994).

[3] J. Jansky and Y. Y. Yushin, Opt. Commun. **59**, 151 (1986); M. Artoni and J. L. Birman, Phys. Rev B. **44**, 3736 (1996); G. A. Garrett, A. G. Rojo, A. K. Sood, J. F. Whitaker and R. Merlin, Science **275**, 1628 (1997).

[4] D. F. Walls and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 709 (1981). Wodkiewicz and J. Eberly, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **2**, 458 (1985).

[5] D. J. Wineland, J. J. Bollinger, W. M. Itano and F. L. Moore, Phys. Rev. A **46**, R6797 (1992).

[6] S. Raghavan, H. Pu, P. Meystre and N. P. Bigelow, Opt. Comm.**188**, 149 (2001).

[7] This kind of states have been considered by B. Yurke [Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 1515 (1986)] for fermion interferometers and by D. J. Wineland, J. J. Bollinger, W. M. Itano and D. J. Heinzen [Phys. Rev. A **50**, 56 (1994)] in the context of spin squeezing.

[8] C. K. Law, H.T. Ng and P. T. Leung, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 055601 (2001).

[9] G. J. Milburn, J. Corney, E. M. Wright and D. F. Walls, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 4318 (1997).

[10] M. J. Steel and M. J. Collett, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 2920 (1998).

[11] D. Gordon and C. M. Savage, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 4623 (1999).

[12] R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. **56**, 340 (1939).

[13] A. André and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. A **65** 053819-1 (2002).

[14] A. S. Sørensen and K. Mølmer Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4431 (2001).

[15] For odd N the solutions are of the form $\varphi_m \sim [\frac{\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma(N/2-m)\Gamma(N/2+m)}]^{1/2}$.

[16] G. B. Arfken and H. J. Weber, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*, Academic Press (1995), p.768.

[17] C. Kittel, *Quantum Theory of Solids*, John Wiley & Sons, (1987), p.50.

[18] F. T. Arecchi, E. Courtens, R. Gilmore and H. Thomas, Phys. Rev. A **6**, 2211 (1972) (see Appendix C).

[19] M. A. Rashid, J. Math. Phys. **19**, 1391 (1978); **19**, 1397 (1978).

[20] G. S. Agrawal and R. R. Puri, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 4968 (1994).

[21] See for example G. Szegö, *Orthogonal Polynomials, 4th ed.* Providence, R.I.: Amer. Math. Soc., pp 35-37 (1975).




FIG. 1: Numerical results of the absolute minimum $\xi_{\min}$ for even N (and $S = N/2$) as a function of N.




FIG. 2: ΔS_z vs $\langle S_x \rangle$ for the optimally squeezed states for even and odd number of spins N obtained by numerical diagonalization of Eq.(14). The end points for N even and odd correspond to the eigenstates of S_x (with eigenvalue $N/2$) and $\Delta S_z = \sqrt{N}/2$. For odd N there is a minimum value of $\langle S_x \rangle = (N+1)/4$ that corresponds to $\Delta S_z = 0.5$. This is the bifurcation identified by Sørensen [14] for $N = 3$ and discussed in the text. The dashed lines correspond to the analytical result $\Delta S_z = (1/\sqrt{8})/\sqrt{1 - 2\langle S_x \rangle / N}$.




FIG. 3: Comparison between the exact eigenstates of $H = \lambda S_z^2 - S_x$ for 600 spins (circles) and the solutions of the discretized harmonic oscillator of Eq.(30) ($\times$'s) in the continuum approximation. The curves correspond to $\Delta S_z/\Delta S_{z,0} = 0.03$ (a), 0.08 (b), 0.24 (c) and 1.0 (d), with $\Delta S_{z,0} = \sqrt{N}/2$. For $\Delta S_z > 0.3\Delta S_{z,0}$ the two solutions are indistinguishable.




FIG. 4: Quasiprobability distribution in the (S_z, S_y) plane for N spins, before and after a pulse $H' = \delta(t)\eta S_z^2$ is applied on the lowest eigenstate of $H_0 = \omega_0 S_x$. In contrast with the harmonic oscillator, the distribution is bounded by a circle of radius $N/2$.