# ac-Calorim etry at H igh P ressure and Low Tem perature

Heribert W ilhelm

M ax-P lanck-Institut fur C hem ische P hysik fester Sto e, N othnitzer Str. 40, 01187 D resden

A bstract. Recent developments of the ac-calorimetric technique adapted for the needs of high pressure experiments are discussed. A semi-quantitative measurement of the specic cheat with a Bridgman-type of pressure cell as well as a diamond anvil cell is possible in the temperature range 0.1 K < T < 10 K. The pressure transmitting medium used to ensure good pressure conditions determines to a great extent via its thermal conductivity the operating frequency and thus the accessible temperature range. Investigations with di erent pressure transmitting media for T > 1.5 K reveal for solid He a cut-o frequency which is considerably higher than for steatife. Experiments below 1 K and pressures above 10 G Pa clearly show that the pressure dependence of the linear temperature coe cient of the specic c heat can be measured. It is in qualitative agreement to a related quantity obtained quasi-sim ultaneously by electrical resistivity measurements on the same sample.

The speci c heat (C) is an important therm odynam ic quantity. Its tem perature dependence can deliver hints about m icroscopic energy scales and provides a pow erful tool to identify phase transitions. In this respect tem perature (T) dependent m easurements are an indispensable means not only for experimentalists. This has triggered the development of dierent and very sophisticated technical realizations to obtain C (T) from the millikelvin range up to very high temperature. The available methods can be divided in two categories. A diabatic techniques are considered as the most accurate way to estimate the absolute value of C (T). They require sample masses of several grams and the subtraction of the addenda, i.e., the speci c heat of sample holder and therm om eter. Am ong the non-adiabatic (or dynamic) methods, ac-calorimetry is a suitable technique for samples with masses well below one milligram. The speci c heat can be measured with very high sensitivity, despite the small masses. However, the absolute accuracy which can be achieved is less than for the adiabatic methods.

A diabatic techniques are used to detect pressure-induced phase transitions or to investigate the evolution of electronic properties as the unit cell volum e is reduced. The sample masses needed dem and large volum e pressure cells, such as a piston-cylinder cell. W ith this technique the accessible pressure range is, how ever, limited to about 3.5 GPa. Very offen it would be desirable for the pressure range to be extended. In this case an anvil-type of pressure cell is the only alternative. Such a high pressure tool dem ands a

#### 2 H.W ilhelm

much smaller sample volum ewhich makes an adiabatic measurement a hopeless venture. Thus, a c-calorimetry is an ideal method to be used for pressures beyond the limit of piston-cylinder cells.

## 1 ac-calorim etry adapted for high pressure

The general set-up of the ac-calorim etric technique form easuring the specic c heat is sketched as a simpli ed model in Fig.1(a). The sample is them ally excited by an oscillating heating power  $P = P_0 [1 + \cos(!t)]$ , e.g. generated by a current of frequency !=2 through a resistance heater. The temperature oscillations at frequency ! are detected with a therm om eter attached to the sample. Sullivan and Seidel [1] obtained a relation among the amplitude  $T_{ac}$  of the temperature oscillations and the specic c heat C of the sample:

$$T_{ac} = \frac{P_0}{!C} + \frac{1}{!^2} + \frac{1}{!$$

This equation contains the time constants  $_1 = C =$  and  $_2$ , with the therm al conductivity of the therm al link between sample and tem perature bath (see Fig. 1(a)). It was derived in the ideal case, when the heat capacity of therm om eter, heater, and heat link between sam ple and tem perature bath are negligible and assuming a perfect coupling between heater, sample, and therm om eter. The measured value of  $T_{ac}$  depends on the measuring frequency ! (Fig.1(b)): At low frequency (!  $!_1 = = C$  ) the m ean sam ple tem perature is above the bath tem perature  $T_0$  by  $T_{dc} / P_0 = .$  The recorded tem perature oscillation T<sub>ac</sub> yields the speci c heat of the sam ple if the frequencies are in  $!_2 = 1 = _2$ . The possibility of tuning both the amplitude the range  $!_1$ ! and the frequency of the excitation is the main advantage of this method; as long as can be made smallenough, the sensitivity of the measurem ent does not depend on the mass of the sam ple.

This technique was employed by several groups [2,3,4,5] to investigate the pressure dependence of the speci cheat. The conditions for the ac-technique in a pressure cell are far away from being ideal. In particular the therm al properties of the pressure transmitting medium have to be taken into account. This was done by Baloga and Garland [3] for the case of high gas densities and low sample therm al conductivities. In their accessible tem perature range (245 K  $\,<\,$  T  $\,<\,\,$  300 K ) the general relation between  $T_{ac}$  and C  $\,$  for the accalorim etric expression (1) can be recovered if the product of speci c heat and therm al conductivity of the pressure transmitting medium is negligible with respect to that of the sam ple. Then the heat wave does not propagate too far into the pressure transmitting medium and its speci c heat does not contribute too much to  $T_{ac}$ . Typical frequencies are of the order of 1 Hz. E ichler and G ey [4] were the st to use the ac-technique form etallic sam ples in a piston-cylinder cell (p<sub>m ax</sub> 3:5 GPa) at low tem perature (1.3 K < T < 7 K). Here, the sample was embedded in diam ond powder. It acts as pressure



F ig.1. (a) Sketch of a general ac-calorim etric assem bly. The sam ple, therm albath, therm on eter, and heater are in contact by a therm allink with therm alconductivity . 1 is a measure of the therm al relaxation between sam ple and bath; 2 com prises the relaxation of therm on eter, heater, and sam ple. (b) Sam ple tem perature T (t) for di erent frequency dom ains: For ! !1,T<sub>ac</sub> T<sub>dc</sub> / P<sub>0</sub>= is not frequency dependent and is a measure of the therm alconductivity . In the range !1 ! !2, the am plitude of the ac-part T<sub>ac</sub> / (!C) <sup>1</sup> depends on the measuring frequency and yields the speci c heat of the sam ple. At ! !2, T<sub>ac</sub> is strongly reduced. Independent of the frequency, the mean sam ple tem perature is T = T<sub>0</sub> + T<sub>dc</sub>.

transm itting medium and provided the therm alresistance between the sample and the pressure cell. The measuring frequency was 120 Hz.

Pressures well above 3.5 GPa can only be achieved with opposed anvils, ie., with a clam ped Bridgm an anviltechnique or a diam ond anvilcell (DAC). In Bridgm an cells, the anvils are often m ade out of tungsten carbide (W C) or synthetic diam ond and the pressure cham ber consists of pyrophyllite (a sheet silicate,  $A \ge Si_4O_{10}$  (OH)<sub>2</sub>). The sample is in between two disks of e.g., the soft m ineral steatite (3M qO 4Sip HO) which acts as pressure transm itting medium. In a DAC a metallic gasket contains the sample and the pressure transm itting m edium . C om pared to a B ridgm an cella DAC com prises several advantages. First of all the pressure range can be extended easily to 50 GPa. Furtherm ore, the transparent anvils give optical access to the sample and the pressure can be determ ined with the ruby uorescence m ethod. Finally, the most important point is the possibility using He as pressure transmitting medium.W ith respect to hydrostatic pressure conditions, solidi ed He is an idealm edium since it is highly plastic and inert. How ever, these desirable features m ight m islead in underestim ating the e orts in the elaborate assembly of the ac-calorim etric circuit in a DAC.

The feasibility of the ac-technique at pressures well above the lim it of the piston-cylinder cells has to be tested, regardless of the type of high pressure cell. From the general principle of the ac-calorim etry (Fig. 1) it is evident that the main challenge are the unknown therm alproperties of the pressure



F ig.2. Therm al conductivities (T) of di erent materials used in high pressure devices with opposed anvils. W C and diam ond are often used as anvils. D ata for diam ond (type Ib) are taken from [6]. Pyrophyllite and steatite serve as gasket and pressure transmitting medium, respectively. D ue to its plasticity solid He permits hom ogeneous pressure conditions. The (T) data for He are taken from [7] (60 bar) and [8] (380 bar and 800 bar). Unpuri ed He (1063 bar [8]) has a signi cantly di erent (T). At the indicated pressures the crystals were grown. The solid line represents a calculated (T) of He at 2 G Pa (see text). (T) of C eRu  $_2$  Si<sub>2</sub> [9] stands in for the therm al conductivity of heavy Ferm ion compounds at low tem perature.

transm itting medium. To shed som e light on this, the therm al conductivity of the two preferred media, steatite and He will be discussed qualitatively in the following.

A priori it is not evident if the pressure media could satisfy the assumed requirements in the deduction of (1) because little is known about their thermal conductivity under pressure. To get an overview of (T) of the materials used in a Bridgman device, the thermal conductivity of W C, pyrophylitte, and steatite at am bient pressure have been measured (Fig. 2). Literature data of diam ond [6] and solid He at di erent pressure [7,8] are also depicted in Fig. 2. At low temperatures (T) of steatite can be a factor  $10^4$  sm aller than that of solid He at about 0.1 GPa.M oreover, the purity of He signi – cantly a ects the shape and size of (T). For very pure He [0] the maximum

therm al conductivity can be one order of magnitude higher than for unpuri ed He at alm ost the sam e pressure §]. Fortunately, it is very likely that the solidi ed He in the pressure chamber of a DAC is polycrystalline and contains in purities, brought in during the lling procedure. Therefore, (T) m ight not reach the high values expected for pure He at the same pressure. As was pointed out in [8] the maximum value of (I) occurs roughly at  $_{\rm D}$  =50 (  $_{\rm D}$  : D ebye tem perature). Since H e is highly com pressible,  $_{\rm D}$  and thus, the maximum of (I), rapidly increases with pressure. As a result the value of (T) at, e.g. 1 K, could decrease considerably. In order to get a rough estimate of (T) of He at several GPa, the following assumptions are m ade: (i) The low tem perature slope rem ains unchanged at high pressure. (ii) The maximum value of (T) at  $T_{max}$  does not increase with pressure. (iii)  $_{\rm D}$  =50 can be estimated using  $_{\rm D}$  (V) =  $_{\rm D}$  (V<sub>0</sub>)  $\frac{\rm V}{\rm V_0}$  with = 2:4 T<sub>max</sub> [11]. (iv) The maximum value of (T) for He in a DAC might be comparable to that of impure He at about 0.1 GPa (Fig. 2). Based on the equation of state for solid He [12] the density for low tem perature and 2 GPa is inferred to be about V 6 cm<sup>3</sup>/m ole. This density together with  $_{\rm D}$  (V<sub>0</sub>) 90 K at  $V_0 = 11:77 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mole} [11] \text{ yields } T_{\text{max}}$ 9K.Then (T) at 2 GPa (line in Fig. 2) can be estimated with the assumptions speci ed above.

(T) of steatite and He shown in Fig. 2 clari es the di erences in an accalorim etric experim ent with these pressure media. The cut-o frequency in the case of steatite changes continuously since (T) is a monotonic function below 10 K. Moreover, it is very likely that its shape will not be e ected strongly by pressure. Thus,  $!_1$  at a given temperature should slightly vary with pressure. For steatite (T) = aT<sup>2:3</sup>, with a = 6:7 10<sup>3</sup> W /m /K<sup>3:3</sup>, is a good approximation of the data below 8 K. Together with heat capacity of a typical heavy Ferm ion compound like CeRu<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> [13] or CePd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> [14] a cut-o frequency  $!_1$ =(2) 100 Hz at ambient pressure is calculated.

(T) of pressurized He, however, varies drastically with temperature and pressure. C om paring (T) of He at 2 GPa and 4.2 K with that of steatite at am bient pressure shows that  $!_1$  will be roughly a factor 10 larger and of the order of several kHz. At these frequencies severe constraints are put on the hom ogeneity of the temperature in the sam ple. A hom ogeneous temperature distribution in the sam ple is given if the therm alwavelength the distribution is already fulled at about !=(2) 1 kHz for the compounds mentioned above. Nevertheless, these frequencies are wellbelow  $!_2$  since metallic sam ples and therm om eter ensure high therm al conductivity.

These considerations show that an ac-calorim etric measurement of metallic samples enclosed in solid He is more dicult for 1 K < T < 10 K as for the same sample embedded in steatite. Outside this temperature interval (T) of He can be as small as that of steatite at ambient pressure and it might expected that  $T_{ac}$  is dominated by the speci c heat of the sample. If the assumptions made above hold for even higher pressure, the cut-o frequency could be reduced signi cantly and the ac-calorim etry in a DAC would becom e feasible in a larger tem perature range.

## 2 ac-calorim etry in di erent pressure environm ents

The previous section illum inated the general aspects of the ac-calorim etry and contemplated the frequency dom ain in which experiments could be conducted. Two independent experiments [15,16,17] using the same compound but in dimensioned evolves and pressure transmitting media provide experimental information about the cut-omfrequency. Both investigations explored pressures up to 8 GP a and temperatures in the range 1.5 K < T < 10 K.

Figure 3 shows the pressure cham ber of the Bridgm an cell before closing the device. The typical thickness of the sam ple, therm occuple and heating wires are 20, 12, and 3 m, respectively. Two di erent ways of supplying the heat to the sam ples were tested. For sam ple A a thin electrical insulation (4{5 m of an epoxy/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> m ixture) prevents electrical contact with the heater but still established a good therm al contact. Sam ple B is set apart on a m etallic (Pb) foil, electrically (and thus therm ally) linked to the heater through a gold wire. No heating current passes through this sam ple. In the course of the experiment it turned out that the con guration A provided a hom ogeneous tem perature distribution whereas the con guration B was ensuring hydrostatic pressure conditions.

The heating power was chosen in such a way that the tem perature oscillations were in the range 2 mK <  $T_{ac}$  < 20 mK. They were measured with a <u>Au</u>Fe/Au therm occuple (Au + 0.07 at% Fe). The therm ovoltage  $V_{ac}$  arises from the tem perature di erence between the sample (at  $T_0$  + T) and the edge of the sample chamber (at  $T_0$ ) [18]. The therm ovoltage was amplied at room tem perature in two stages and read by means of a lock-in detection referred to the frequency of the heating current. How ever, two potential draw backs should not be concealed: (i) the tem perature of the samples is measured with a therm occuple, under the assumption that the ambient pressure calibration holds at high pressure. (ii) The total amount of heat supplied to the samples is not known, despite the resistive heating. This prevents so far the acquisition of absolute values for the speci c heat.

C eR  $u_2$ G  $e_2$  exhibits two m agnetic phase transitions at am bient pressure leading to large features in the speci c heat. Together with the well known in uence of pressure on these transitions [3] this compound is a good candidate for testing ac-calorimetry at high pressure. Figure 4 (a) shows the result of the ac-measurements at 0.7 GPa in comparison to the speci c heat obtained by a relaxation method at am bient pressure. Pressure slightly shifted the transition temperatures as expected from the (T;p) phase diagram [13]. The height of the speci c heat jump at the second order transition (T<sub>N</sub> 9 K) represents 47% of the total signal compared to 51% for the am bient pressure curve. This indicates that T<sub>ac</sub> is dom inated by the heat capacity of the sam –



F ig.3. Top view of the inner part of a B ridgm an -type of pressure cell before closing. Two samples of C eR  $u_2$  G  $e_2$  are arranged for an ac-calorim etric experiment. Sample A is placed on top of the heater wires but is insulated from them. Sample B is in contact with a metallic foil and thermally linked to the heater through a Au-wire. The C hrom el-<u>Au</u>Fe therm occuples measure the sample temperature. The Pb-wire serves as pressure gauge. The entire assembly is mounted on a disk of steatite.

ple. An additional support for this statement is given by a frequency test. A coording to (1) the relation  $T_{ac} / 1=!$  for  $!_1$  should hold, which is indeed observed (inset Fig. 4 (a)). A tofa low pass liker to the data yields  $!_1=(2) = 450$  Hz. Frequency tests at various temperatures and pressures are a necessary task to determ ine  $!_1$  and to ensure the validity of the relation between  $T_{ac}$  and the speci c heat of the sam ple. The height of the rst order transition ( $T_c = 7$  K) is very sensitive to any distribution of  $T_c$  and should not compared to the proper tool to measure a latent heat [19] since it only detects the reversible part at frequency ! on a temperature scale  $T_{ac}$ . Nevertheless, the position of a rst order transition can be detected by an ac-calorim etric measurement.



F ig.4. (a) C om parison of the inverse of the bok-in signal,  $1=V_{ac} / C$ , of C eR  $u_2$ G  $e_2$  enclosed in steatile at 0.7 G Pa and the speci c heat C =T m easured with a relaxation m ethod at am bient pressure [13]. The data sets are norm alized at 10 K. A frequency test at 4.2 K is depicted in the inset (! 1 450 H z). (b) Tem perature dependence of  $1=V_{ac} / C$  of C eR  $u_2$ G  $e_2$  above 5 G Pa. The pronounced feature related to the antiferrom agnetic transition is suppressed by pressure. The inset shows the speci c heat at 5.0 G Pa with an anom aly at low tem perature. The feature can still be seen near 3.5 K at 5.5 G Pa (m ain gure).

The ac-calorim etry data of C eR  $u_2$ G  $e_2$  above 5 G Pa shown in Fig. 4 (b) demonstrate the potential of this method. The in uence of pressure on the antiferrom agnetic transition is visible and the deduced  $T_N$  (p) data agree with the (T;p) phase diagram extracted from transport measurements [13]. A critical pressure  $p_c$  7 G Pa is necessary to suppress the long-range magnetic order. The broadening of the antiferrom agnetic transition is very likely related to intrinsic e ects although a small pressure inhom ogeneity could be partly responsible for it. In addition to this transition an anomaly at lower temperature was resolved (inset of Fig. 4 (b)). These measurements were the

rst to show that this anom aly seen so far only by transport m easurem ents [13], has therm odynam ic origin and is a bulk property.

W orking with a DAC allowed D em uer and coworkers [17] to use a di erent way to supply the oscillating heat power to the sam ple. They attached an optical ber to the DAC and heated the sam ple with the light of an A r-ion laser. It was chopped mechanically at frequencies up to 3 kHz. The tem perature oscillations of a Au-C hrom el therm occuple bonded directly on the sam ple by spark welding were measured with a lock-in ampli er. In this experiment C eR  $u_2$  G  $e_2$  was enclosed with solidi ed He. The cut-o frequency was estimated to 4 kHz at 0.5 GPa and 7 K. This value supports the estimated order of magnitude for  $!_1$  in the case of pressurized H e given in Sec.1. The high them alconductivity of H e limits the application of the ac-method at low pressures. Nevertheless, the magnetic phase transitions could be observed although only a part of the signal at the xed measuring frequency of 1.5 kHz was due to the speci c heat of the sample. In this investigation an increased width of the transition was also established. Intrinsic e ects seem to be responsible for this if the good hydrostatic pressure conditions in the experiment are kept in mind. In addition, a similar broadening in speci c heat experiments at ambient and low pressure have been reported [20,21] when  $T_N$  is pushed to zero temperature either by doping or pressure.

The analysis of the therm al conductivity data in Sec. 1 suggests that H e could be used as a pressure transmitting medium at low temperature even at pressures of a few GPa. This presumption is corroborated by the results of Holm es and coworkers [22]. With a combined measurement of electrical resistivity and ac-calorimetry the heavy Fermion superconductor  $CeCu_2Si_2$  was investigated down to 0.1 K for pressures up to 7 GPa. The jump in the ac-signal caused by the entrance into the superconducting state provided a semi-quantitative measure of the sample speci c heat. The onset of the speci c heat occurred when the resistive transition was completed and a rm s the bulk property of the superconducting state.

## 3 ac-calorim etry below 1 K and beyond 10 G Pa

A demonstration of the feasibility of the ac-technique below 1 K and pressures well above 10 GPa is the experiment on CePd<sub>2:02</sub>Ge<sub>1:98</sub> in a Bridgm antype of pressure cell [14]. The set-up of the experiment was chosen in such a way that electrical resistivity and ac-calorim etry could be perform ed on the same crystal. This makes it possible to check whether an anomaly in T<sub>ac</sub> is related to the sam ple or not with an independent electrical resistivity m easurem ent. Figure 5 shows the arrangem ent in the pressure chamber. It contains two di erent samples of the solid-solution  $C \in Q_{+x} G \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ , but only one of them (x = 0.02) was connected for the ac-experiment. The sample was heated with a current supplied through Au-wires attached to the sam ple. This reduces the components in the pressure chamber and avoids a pressure gradient due to the heat wires. W ith this arrangement it is also possible to calibrate the <u>Au</u>Fe/Au them occuple up to very high pressure and over a wide tem perature range [14]. It was observed that the absolute therm opower S(T) of <u>Au</u>Fe at 4.2 K and 1.0 K at 12 GPa is about 20% sm aller than the values at am bient pressure. These rather sm all changes show that the results are not a ected qualitatively if the ambient pressure values of S (T) are used. Thus, the draw back of a m issing tem perature calibration for the therm occuple mentioned in Sec. 2 could be in principle eliminated. The reliability of the pressure cell is obvious as can be seen in Fig. 5. A fler the pressure was



F ig.5. Left: P ressure cell before closing with two sam ples of C eP  $d_{2+x}$  G  $e_{2-x}$  (x = 0 and 0.02) and the pressure gauge (P b-foil). The ac-calorim etric circuit is mounted on one sam ple (x = 0.02). The tem perature oscillations are read with an additional <u>Au</u>Fe wire when an ac-heating current is applied. Right: The pressure cell after pressure release from 22 G Pa. The alm ost unchanged con guration shows the reliability of the pressure device.

released from 22 GP a the overall shape of the pressure cellas wellas its initial diam eter were alm ost unchanged and the distance between the voltage leads increased by less than 5%.

 $C eP d_{2:02}G e_{1:98}$  was chosen because in its stoichiom etric form it is the Ge-doped counterpart of the antiferrom agnetically ordered heavy Ferm ion com pound C eP  $d_2Si_2$  (T<sub>N</sub> = 10 K). The latter system enters a superconducting ground state when the magnetic order is suppressed ( $p_c = 2.7$  GPa) [23]. Applying pressure to C eP  $d_{2:02}$ G  $e_{1:98}$  (T<sub>N</sub> = 5:16 K [14]) should increase T<sub>N</sub> to a maximum and then it should approach zero temperature. The aim of the ac-calorim etric measurem ent was to extract the electronic contribution to the speci cheat. Figure6 shows the inverse of the registered lock-in signal  $V_{ac}$  below 10 K at various pressures. The pronounced anomaly in 1= $V_{ac}$  (T) for pressures between 6.0 GPa and 10 GPa is caused by the entrance into the antiferrom agnetically ordered phase. The height of the anom aly decreases and it becomes a very broad feature as the system approaches  $p_c = 11.0$  GPa [14]. A similar broadening upon approaching the critical pressure was reported for  $C \in Pd_2Si_2$ , despite the low erpressure and the use of  $H \in as$  pressure transmitting medium [24]. Recalling the increased transition width reported in Sec.2 it is very likely that this is an intrinsic phenom enon. Two frequency tests at p = 8:9 GPa are shown in the inset of Fig. 6.A tofa low pass

Iter to the data yield cut-o frequencies of !=(2) = 350 Hz and 1060 Hz for T = 4.2 K and 9 K, respectively. Assuming the validity of  $!_1 = -C$ , these values and the  $1=V_{ac}$  data at the corresponding temperatures result in (42 K)/(9 K) = 0.2, alm ost the same ratio as at am bient pressure (see



Fig.6. Temperature dependence of the inverse lock-in voltage  $V_{ac}$  of  $C \exp d_{2:02}G e_{1:98}$ . The entrance into the antiferrom agnetically ordered state is clearly visible. Inset: Frequency test at p = 8:9 GPa for di erent temperatures. The solid lines represent a t of a low pass liter to the data with cut-o frequencies  $!_1=(2) = 350$  Hz and 1060 Hz for 4.2 K and 9 K, respectively.

Fig.2).Hence, pressures up to 9 GPa seem to have a weak e  $\,$  ect on  $\,$  (T) of steatite below 10 K .

The most important observation in this experiment is the pressure dependence of the value of  $1=V_{ac}$  taken at about 0.3 K. The inverse of the lock-in voltage,  $1=V_{ac}$ , strongly increases, reaches a maximum in the vicinity of  $p_c$  and levels of a thigh pressure (Fig.7). The critical pressure was inferred from the A'(p)-anomaly in the temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity (T) =  $_0 + A^{Tn}$ , with  $_0$  the residual resistivity, and the thing parameters A' and n [14]. Below 1 K,  $1=V_{ac}$  is proportional to C=T, since the temperature dependence of the absolute therm opower, S(T) / T, is a fairly good assumption. Above this temperature the S(T) dependence is certainly different and  $1=V_{ac}$  has to be interpreted with caution. Thus,  $1=V_{ac}$  (T) at low temperature



Fig.7. Left: Pressure dependence of the inverse lock-in voltage  $V_{ac}$  of  $C eP d_{2:02} G e_{1:98}$  obtained at the low est tem peratures reached in each run. The maximum is attained at a pressure very close to the critical pressure where the magnetic ordering tem perature is pushed to zero. Right: The tem perature coe cient A of the electrical resistivity. It shows an anomaly at the magnetic/non-magnetic phase transition.

ture can be regarded as a direct m easure of the electronic correlations. The pronounced pressure dependence of  $1=V_{ac}$  shows that the electronic correlations are considerably enhanced as pressure approaches  $p_c$  and that the signal originates m ainly from the sample. However, above 15 GPa, the pressure dependence is not strong enough to follow the A'(p)-dependence according to the empirical K adow akiW oods relation [25]. A possible reason for this deviation m ight be that at these pressures  $V_{ac}$  does not represent entirely the heat capacity of the sample. A step towards a quantitative m easure of the speci c heat at these conditions would be to achieve a control of the supplied heating power and the therm al contact between sample and pressure transm itting m edium . N evertheless, the strong pressure dependence of  $1=V_{ac}$  at low temperature is rem iniscent to A'(p) and is a motivation for further studies.

## 4 Conclusions

The ac-calorim etric technique adapted for high pressure experiments at low temperature (T < 10 K) was discussed. The oscillating sample temperature provides the speci c heat of the sample if the measuring frequency is above the cut-o frequency  $!_1 = -C$ . It is determined by the thermal conductivity of the pressure transmitting medium and the speci c heat of the sample. A qualitative estimate of (T) for steatile and solid H e, the two preferred pressure media was made. The cut-o frequency for steatile is less than 1 kH z whereas æveralkH z was inferred for solid H e (at 2 G P a and 42 K). An experimental con mation of the order of magnitude for these values was found for pressures up to 7 G P a and temperatures in the range of 1.5 K < T < 10 K. The large values in the case of H e put a temperature limit for the use of a DAC whereas a Bridgm an-type of high pressure cell can be used below 10 K and pressures well above 10 G P a. Due to the strong pressure dependence of

(T) of He, the maximum in (T) shifts towards higher temperature. This might open the low temperature region for the ac-calorimetric method also for a DAC. These promising results build up the hope of a quantitative understanding of the ac-calorimetry and interesting speci c heat data under extrem e conditions might be expected.

#### A cknow ledgm ents

The work presented here is the result of a collaboration with F.Bouquet, A.Demuer, A.Holmes, D.Jaccard, A.Junod, and Y.Wang.Iam grateful to them for many fruitful and stimulating discussions. The assistance of A.Bentjen in the thermal conductivity measurements at the MPICPfS is acknow ledged.

#### References

- 1. P.F. Sullivan and G. Seidel, Phys. Rev. 173, 679 (1968).
- 2. A.Bonilla and C.W.Garland, J.Phys.Chem.Solids, 35, 871 (1974).
- 3. J.D.Baloga and C.W.Garland, Rev.Sci.Instrum.48,105 (1977).
- 4. A.Eichler, and W.Gey, Rev.Sci.Instrum.50, 1445 (1979).
- 5. A. Eichler, H. Bohn, and W. Gey, Z. Phys. B 38, 21 (1980).
- 6. Landolt Bornstein Vol. III/17a: Physics of Group IV E lements and III-V Com pounds, ed.: 0. Madelung, Springer, Berlin, p. 357 (1982).
- 7. F.J.Webb, K.R.Wikinson, and J.Wiks, Proc.Roy.Soc.A, 214, 546 (1952).
- 8. W. D. Seward, D. Lazarus, and S. C. Fain, Jr., Phys. Rev. 178, 345 (1969).
- 9. A.Am ato, PhD Thesis, University of Geneva, 1988.
- 10. A coording to [3] puri ed (unpuri ed) H e refers to a chem ical in purity level less than 15 ppm with an (no) additional puri cation by an adsorption trap cooled to 63 K.
- 11. J.S.Dugdale and J.P.Franck, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A, 257, 1 (1964).
- 12. R.L.M ills, D.H.Liebenberg, and J.C.Bronson, Phys. Rev. B 21, 5137 (1980).

- 14 H.W ilhelm
- 13. H.W ilhelm, K.A lam i-Yadri, B.Revaz, and D.Jaccard, Phys.Rev.B 59, 3651 (1999).
- 14. H.W ilhelm and D.Jaccard, Phys.Rev.B 66,064428 (2002).
- 15. F. Bouquet, Y. W ang, H. W ilhelm, D. Jaccard, and A. Junod, Solid State Commun.113, 367 (2000).
- 16. B.Salce, J.Thom asson, A.D em uer, J.J.B lanchard, J.M. Martinod, L.D evoille, and A.Guillaum e, Rev.Sci.Insturm.71, 2461 (2000).
- 17. A.Dem uer, C.M arcenat, J.Thom asson, R.Calem czuk, B.Salce, P.Lejay, D. Braithwaite, and J.Flouquet, J.Low Tem p.Phys. 120, 245 (2000).
- D. Jaccard, E. Vargoz, K. A lam i-Yadri, and H. W ilhelm, Rev. High Pressure Sci. Technol. 7, 412 (1998).
- 19. X. W en, C. W. Garland, R. Shashidhar, P. Barois, Phys. Rev. B 45, 5131 (1992).
- 20. R.A.Fisher, C.Marcenat, N.E.Phillips, P.Haen, F.Lapierre, P.Lejay, J. Flouquet, and J.Voiron, J.Low Temp.Phys. 84, 49 (1991).
- 21. B.Bogenberger and H.v.Lohneysen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1016 (1995).
- 22. A.T.Holmes, A.Demuer, and D.Jaccard, Acta Phys.Pol.B 34, 567 (2003).
- 23. F.M.Grosche, S.R.Julian, N.D.M athur, and G.G.Lonzarich, Physica B 223& 224, 50 (1996).
- 24. A .D em uer, A .T .H olm es, and D .Jaccard, J.Phys.: C ondens.M atter 14, L529 (2002).
- 25. K.Kadowakiand S.B.Woods, Solid State Commun. 58, 507 (1986).