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A bstract
A fam ily ofm ultispecies drop-push system on a one-dim ensional lattice is investigated. It is show $n$ that this fam ily is solvable in the sense of the Bethe ansatz, provided a nonspectral matrix equation is satis ed. The large-tim e behavior of the conditional probabilities, and the dynam ics of the particle-type change are also investigated.
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## 1 Introduction

Various aspects of one-dim ensional asym m etric exclusion processes have been of physical interest. These contain, for exam ple, the kinetics of biopolim erization $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ dynam ical m odels of interface grow th $m$ odel is also related to the noisy B urgers equation $[\overline{-1}]$, and hence to the study of
 studied, for exam ple in $\left[\underline{G}\left[\frac{1}{[1]}\right]\right.$.

In the study of stochastic processes, the term solvable has been used in several $m$ eanings. In [9] [1] ], solvability $m$ eans solvability in the sense of the Bethe ansatz, or factorization of the $N$-particle scattering $m$ atrix to the twoparticle scattering $m$ atrices. $T$ his is related to the fact that for system solvable in this sense, there are a large number of conserved quantities. In [1] [12 $\left.{ }^{6}\right]$, solvability $m$ eans closedness of the evolution equation of the em pty intervals (or their generalization). A nd in $\left.{ }_{2}^{2}\right]_{1}^{\prime}\left\{\left[\mathcal{2}_{1}^{-1}\right]\right.$, solvability $m$ eans that the evolution equation for the $n$-point functions, contain only $n$-or less-point functions.

In $\left[\frac{9}{9}\right]$, the B ethe ansatz was used to solve the asym $m$ etric sim ple exchusion process on a one-dim ensional lattioe. In [1] [], a sim ilar technique was used to solve the drop-push $m$ odel $\left[30_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, and a one-param eter fam ily of reactions containing the sim ple exclusion processes and the drop-push $m$ odel as special cases. In [ [12'ㄹ', the sam e technique was used to solve a two-param eter fam ily of processes, involving bidirectional di usion, exclusion, and pushing. The behavior of this last $m$ odelon the continuum, was investigated in [1] 픽]. In all of the above cases, the essence of the $m$ ethod has been to replace the reactions by suitable boundary conditions. The boundary conditions involved were extended in [15 [1] to describe system $s$ in them the process ofannihilation exists as well, and in [16], to generalboundary conditions in the continuum .

A $l l$ of the above studies have been about single-species system $s$. In $[13,14$ system $s$ w ith exclusion processes were investigated, which contained $m$ ore than one species. It was show in [ [13], that in order that such system $s$ be solvable in the sense of the Bethe ansatz, certain relations should be satis ed betw een the rates. This relations can be w ritten as som e kind of a spectral $Y$ ang-B axter equation. In $\left.\left.{ }^{[1}\right]^{1}\right]$, it was show $n$ that this spectral equation is equivalent to a nonspectralm atrix equation involving the rates.

H ere we w ant to extend this approach to the case ofdrop-push m odels. The system s under consideration, consist of $N$ particles, which can be of several species. This particles live on an in nite one-dim ensional lattice, so that each site of the lattice is either em pty or contains one particle. E ach particle hops to the site at its right-hand side $w$ ith unit rate, if that site is em pty. If that site is occupied, then the particle $m$ ay push the other particle, and at the sam e tim e a reaction $m$ ay occur betw een these neighboring particles changing their species.

T he schem e of the paper is the follow ing. In section 2, a m ulti-species extension of the drop-push $m$ odel is introduced and the use of a suitable boundary condition instead of the reaction is investigated. In section 3, the solvability condition (in the sense of the Bethe-ansatz) for this reaction is investigated, and it is show $n$ that this condition, which is a spectral equation for the $m$ atrix
of the reaction rates, can be rew ritten as a nonspectral equation for the sam e m atrix. In section 4, the conditional probability is obtained, and its behavior in the two-particle sector, specially its large-tim e behavior, is investigated. In section 5, the dynam ics of the particle-type change in the two-particle sector is investigated and the large-time lim it of the probability of particle-types is obtained. Finally, section 6 is devoted to the concluding rem arks.

## 2 M ulti-species extension of the drop-push model

In the ordinary drop-push $m$ odel, the system consists ofa single type ofparticles, living on a one-dim ensional lattice. E ach site of the lattioe is either em pty or occupied by one particle. A ny particle can hop to the site at its right neighbor, w ith the rate 1, if that site is em pty. If the right neighbor site is occupied, the particle can still hop to that site and push the second particle, w th the sam e rate 1 . O ne can write the reactions like

In this reaction, the total num ber of particles is conserved. For a system containing $N$ particles, the question of interest is to determ ine the probability of nding the N particles in sites $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}<x_{j} ; \quad \text { for } i<j ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the so called physical region. It is easily seen that the evolution equation for this probability, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{t}\right)$, is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{P}-\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} \quad 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{t}\right)+\quad+\mathrm{P} ;\left(\mathrm{x}:: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{t}\right) \\
\mathrm{NP}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{t}\right) ; \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

if am ong the sites $x_{i}$, no two are adjacent; that is, if $x_{i}<x_{i+1} \quad$ 1. For a block of ( $n+1$ ) adjacent sites, the evolution equation becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
P-\left(x_{0}=x ;::: ; x_{n}=x+n ; t\right)= & P\left(x_{0}=x \quad 1 ;::: ; x_{n}=x+n ; t\right)+ \\
& +P\left(x_{0}=x \quad 1 ;::: ; x_{k}=x+k \quad 1 ;\right. \\
& \left.x_{k+1}=x+k+1 ;::: ; x_{n}=x+n ; t\right) \\
& +\quad(n+1) B\left(x: ; x_{n} ; t\right): \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

This looks like di erent from $\overline{(\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{1}}$ ). H ow ever, de ning a boundary condition
P (:::;x;x;:::) := P (:::;x 1;x;:::);
 fact no physicalm eaning, since the argum ent of $P$ in that expression is not in the physical region. But its introduction helps to solve the evolution equation, as it was done in $\left[\begin{array}{c}{[-6]}\end{array}\right]$ (and in $[\underline{9}]$ for the exclusion process).
$N$ ow suppose that the system consists of $k$ species of particles; that is, an occupied site m ay have k di erent states. A ssum $\mathrm{e} m$ oreover, that if the right neighbor of a particle is free, the reaction is the sam e as ordinary drop-push m odel, w thout changing the type of the particle, but there is a di erence when two particles are adjacent to each other: the left particle does push the right one with unit rate, but in the $m$ ean tim e there is a probability that the types of the particles change. So we have reactions like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { A ; ! ; A ; w ith the rate } 1 \text {; } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A A ; ! ; A A w th the rate b :
C onsider a consisting of tw o particles, and denote the probability that the rst particle be at the site $x$ and of the type A and the second particle be at the site $y$ and of the type A , by $P$ ( $x ; y$ ). The evolution equations becom $e$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B} \quad=^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~b} \quad: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, $B$ is the overallpushing rate, in which the type change is unim portant. If this overall pushing rate is 1 , the second equation in $\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)$ is sim pli ed and it is seen that it can be rew ritten in the form of the rst equation, provided one introduces the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \quad(x ; x ; t)=b \quad P \quad(x \quad 1 ; x ; t): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne notes that all of the elem ents of the $m$ atrix $b$ (including the diagonalele$m$ ents) are nonnegative, as they are rates, and b satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (s } s \text { ) } b=s \quad s ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s:=1: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This sim ply means that the sum of the elem ents each of the colum ns of $b$ is equal to one.) A sim ilarm atrix b w as introduced in [14], how ever the diagonal elem ents of that b w ere not necessarily nonnegative.

Now consider a system consisting of $N$ particles of various species, w ith the evolution equation

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} \quad 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{t}\right)+\quad+\mathrm{R} ;\left(\mathrm{x}:: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{t}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { N P }\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{t}\right) ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& P \quad(x ; y ; t)=P \quad(x \quad 1 ; y ; t)+P \quad(x ; y \quad 1 ; t) \quad 2 P \quad(x ; y ; t) ; x<y \quad 1 ; \\
& \mathrm{P}-\quad(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}+1 ; \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{P} \quad(\mathrm{x} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{x}+1 ; \mathrm{t})+\mathrm{b} \quad \mathrm{P} \quad(\mathrm{x} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}) \\
& \text { B } P(x ; x+1 ; t) P(x ; x+1 ; t) ; \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

in the whole physical region, and the boundary condition

$$
P\left(::: ; x_{k}=x ; x_{k+1}=x ;:::\right):=b_{k ; k+1} P\left(::: ; x_{k}=x \quad 1 ; x_{k+1}=x ;:::\right) ; \text { (13) }
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}+1}=1 \quad{\underset{\mid}{\left.\right|_{\{\mathrm{z}\}} \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{k}+1}}^{\mathrm{b} ~ 1} \quad 1 ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $P$ is an $N$-tensor the com ponents of $w$ hich are probabilities. It is seen that in this system, apart from the simple di usion, there is a reaction betw een a block of $n+1$ adjacent particles:

A $\quad{ }_{n} A_{i}!$; A $\quad{ }_{n}{ }^{2}$ w ith the rate $b_{n} 1 ; n \quad 0 ; 1 D^{0}{ }^{n}{ }_{0}{ }_{n}$ :
$T$ his com es from the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(x_{0}=x ;::: ; x_{n 1}=x+n \quad 1 ; x_{n}=x+n \quad 1\right) \\
& =\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n} 1 ; \mathrm{n}} \quad 0 ; 1 \not \mathrm{BP}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}=\mathrm{x} \quad 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n} 1}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{n} \quad 2 ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{n} \quad 1\right): \quad\right. \text { (16) }
\end{aligned}
$$

N ote the order of the m atrices b . T his order suggests that if a collection of $\mathrm{n}+1$ particles are adjacent, there is a probability that the rst particle pushes the second and changes the type of the second (and itself) and then it is the second ( $m$ odi ed) particle that interacts $w$ ith the third.

## 3 Solvability and the B ethe-ansatz solution

C onsider the evolution equation (12) with the boundary condition (1-3). To solve this equation, one as usual seeks the eigenvectors of the operator acting at the right-hand side of $(\underline{1} \underline{2})$, that is, one tries to solve

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E} \quad\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)= & \left(\mathrm{x}_{1} \quad 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)+\quad+1\left(x:: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1\right) \\
& \mathrm{N} \quad\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) ; \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(::: ; x_{k}=x ; x_{k+1}=x ;:::\right): b_{k ; k+1}\left(::: ; x_{k}=x \quad 1 ; x_{k+1}=x ;:::\right): \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The B ethe-ansatz solution to this equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)={ }^{X} \text { A } e^{i(p) x} \text {; } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here is an arbitrary vector and the sum $m$ ation runs over the elem ents of the perm utation group of $N$ ob jects. Putting this is $\left(\underline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$, one arrives at

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=X_{k=1}^{X^{N}}\left(e^{i_{k}} \quad 1\right) ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

while ( $\left.{ }^{(10} \bar{q}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ gives

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & e^{i\left(p_{k}\right)} b_{k ; k+1} \tag{21}
\end{array}\right] A+\left[1 \quad e^{i\left(p_{k+1}\right)} b_{k ; k+1}\right] A \quad{ }_{k}=0 ;
$$

where

$$
k\left(p_{j}\right)=\begin{array}{ll}
8 \\
\gtrless p_{k+1} ; & j=k \\
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} ; & j=k+1 \quad:  \tag{22}\\
p_{j} ; & j \not k ; k+1
\end{array}:
$$

From ( $\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}}^{1} \overline{1}_{1}$ ), one arrives at

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}=S_{k ; k+1}\left[\left(p_{k}\right) ;\left(p_{k+1}\right)\right] A ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
S_{k ; 1}\left(p_{i} ; p_{j}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \left.z_{j} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}\right)^{1} & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}
\end{array}\right) ; \tag{24}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{j}:=e^{i p{ }_{j}}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

So one can construct A 's from $A_{1}$, by writing as a product of $k$ ' $s$; that is, one can $w$ rite the $N$-particle scattering $m$ atrix $A$, as a product of the tw oparticle scattering $m$ atrix S. H ow ever, as the generators of the pem utation group satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{k+1} k=k+1 k k+1 ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

one also needs

This, in term sof the $S$ becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1 ; 2}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{2} ; p_{3}\right) S_{2 ; 3}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1} ; p_{3}\right) S_{1 ; 2}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)=S_{2 ; 3}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1} ; p_{2}\right) S_{1 ; 2}\left(p_{1} ; p_{3}\right) S_{2 ; 3}\left(p_{2} ; p_{3}\right): \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term $s$ of the $R-m$ atrix de ned through

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k ; k+1}=: \quad{ }_{k ; k+1} R_{k ; k+1} ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

(28운) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{2 ; 3}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3}\right) \mathrm{R}_{1 ; 3}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{3}\right) \mathrm{R}_{1 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{R}_{1 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \mathrm{R}_{1 ; 3}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{3}\right) \mathrm{R}_{2 ; 3}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3}\right) ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the spectral Y ang-B axter equation.
$T$ he $B$ ethe-ansatz solution exists, $i$ the scattering $m$ atrix satis es ( $\left.2 \overline{2} \bar{B}_{-}\right)$. T his is a general statem ent not com ing from a speci c reaction. In the problem studied here, $S$ is of the form (2-4). C om paring this w ith the $S$ m atrix obtained
for the $m$ ulti-species sim ple exclusion process $\left[1{ }^{-1}{ }^{4}\right]$, it is seen that $S\left(p_{i} ; p_{j}\right)$ in these two di erent problem s are transform ed to each other by a sim ple change $z_{i} \$ z_{j}$. The de nition of $z$ in tem $s$ of $p$ in the present paper is, how ever, di erent from that of $\left[14_{1}^{1}\right]$. It is also seen that $w$ ith the changes $b_{1 ; 2} \$ b_{2 ; 3}$ and $z_{1} \$ z_{3},\left(\underline{2} 8_{1}\right)$ is transform ed to the spectral equation for $S$ obtained in [14].]. So it is not strange that the (2, ${ }^{2}$ ) should be identical to another nonspectral equation. For com pleteness, the argum ent leading to that nonspectral equation is outlined. O ne notioes that (2d) is quadratic in term $s$ of $z_{1}$, and becom es identity when $z_{1}=z_{2}$ or $z_{1}=z_{3}$. So (28) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} \quad \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} \quad \mathrm{z}_{3}\right) Q\left(\mathrm{z}_{2} ; \mathrm{z}_{3}\right)=0 \text {; } \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

whidh $m$ eans (20은) is equivalent to $Q=0$. To obtain $Q$, one can sim ply put $z_{1}=0$ in ( $\overline{2}_{1}^{-1}$ ). D oing this, and inverting both sides, another equation is obtained which is quadratic in term $s$ of $z_{3}$. A gain it is seen that for $z_{3}=0$ and $z_{3}=z_{2}$, this equation becom es identity. So one can write this equation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{3}\left(z_{3} \quad z_{2}\right) \widetilde{Q}\left(z_{2}\right)=0 ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to $\widetilde{Q}=0$. To nd $\widetilde{Q}$, one sim ply writes the coe cient of $z_{3}^{2}$ in the inverted equation. O ne arrives at an equation containing only $z_{2}$. $T$ his in turn, can be converted to an expression quadratic in term $s$ of $z_{2}$. The coe cients of $z_{2}^{0}$ and $z_{2}^{2}$ of this equation are identities, while the coe cient of $z_{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{2 ; 3} \mathrm{~b}_{1 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{2 ; 3}+\mathrm{b}_{1 ; 2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{b}_{2 ; 3}+\mathrm{b}_{1 ; 2}\right) \mathrm{b}_{2 ; 3} \mathrm{~b}_{1 ; 2} ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{2 ; 3}\left[\mathrm{~b}_{2 ; 3} ; \mathrm{b}_{1 ; 2}\right]=\left[\mathrm{b}_{2 ; 3} ; \mathrm{b}_{1 ; 2}\right]_{1 ; 2} ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are the sam e as eqs. (47) and (48) in [14], w ith $b_{1 ; 2} \$ b_{2 ; 3}$, as expected.

## 4 The conditional probab ility

A ssum ing that the solvability condition ( $\mathbf{2}_{-1}^{\prime}$ ), or equivalently $\left(\overline{3} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, is satis ed, O ne can determ ine the conditional probability (or the propagator):

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x ; t \dot{y} ; 0)=\frac{Z}{(2)^{N}} e^{i p y} \quad A \quad e^{i(\varphi) x} e^{t E(\varphi)} ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration region for each $p_{i}$ is $[0 ; 2]$, and $A_{1}=1$. The singularity in $A$ is rem oved by setting $p_{j}!p_{j} \quad i$, where the lim it ! $O$ is meant. N ote that as the elem ents ofb are nonnegative and b satis es ( $\left.1-1)_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, the absolute value of the eigenvalues ofb is not greater than 1 .

For the tw o-particle sector, there is only one $m$ atrix (b) in the expression of U. So, it can be treated as a c num ber. U sing a calculation sim ilar to what has been done in $\left[\overline{1}_{1}^{-1}\right]$ and $\left.[1]_{2}^{1} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, one arrives at

$$
\begin{align*}
U(x ; t y ; 0)=e^{2 t} & \frac{t^{x_{1}} y_{1}}{\left(x_{1}\right.} \frac{\left.y_{1}\right)!}{t^{x_{2}} y_{2}} \\
& +x_{1=0}^{x_{2}} \frac{\left.y_{2}\right)!}{\left(x_{2} \quad y_{1}\right)!} \frac{t^{x_{2}} y_{1}}{\left(x_{1} \quad y_{2} \quad l\right)!} b^{x_{1} y_{2} l} \quad 1+\frac{\left(x_{2} \quad y_{1}\right) b}{t} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

To investigate the large-tim e behavior of the propagator, it is useful to decom pose the vector space on which b acts, into two subspaces invariant under the action of b: the rst subspace corresponding to eigenvalues $w$ ith m odulus one, the second corresponding to eigenvalues w ith $m$ odulus less than one. This is done introducing tw o pro jections $Q$ and $R$, satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Q+R=1 ; \\
Q R=R Q=0 ; \\
{[b ; Q]=[b ; R]=0:} \tag{37}
\end{array}
$$

Q projects on the rst subspace, and $R$ projects on the second. O ne can now multiply $U$ by $1=Q+R$. In the term multiplied by $R$, one can treat b as a num ber w ith $m$ odulus less than 1 . B ut if the $m$ odulus of $b$ is less than 1 , then the integrand in ( 3 근) is nonsingular and it is readily seen that for large $t$, the leading term in the integral is independent of $b$, and in fact equal to the value obtained w ith $\mathrm{b}=0$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
& U\left(x ; t_{i} ; 0\right)=e^{2 t} \frac{t^{x_{1} y_{1}}}{\left(x_{1} \quad y_{1}\right)!} \frac{t^{x_{2} y_{2}}}{\left(x_{2} \quad y_{2}\right)!} \\
& \left.+\mathrm{X}_{1=0}^{\mathrm{x}^{1}} \frac{t^{x_{2} y_{1}}}{\left(x_{2} \quad y_{1}\right)!} \frac{t^{x_{1}} y_{2} l}{\left(x_{1}\right.} y_{2} \quad 1\right)!b^{1} \quad 1+\frac{\left(x_{2} \quad y_{1}\right) b}{t} \quad Q \\
& +e^{2 t} \frac{t^{x_{1} y_{1}}}{\left(x_{1} \quad y_{1}\right)!} \frac{t^{x_{2} y_{2}}}{\left(x_{2} \quad y_{2}\right)!} \\
& \left.+X_{1=0}^{x^{1}} \frac{t^{x_{2} y_{1}}}{\left(x_{2} \quad y_{1}\right)!} \frac{t^{x_{1} y_{2} 1}}{\left(x_{1}\right.} y_{2} \quad 1\right)!b^{1} \quad 1+\frac{\left(x_{2} \quad y_{1}\right) b}{t} \quad R ; \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

and for large tim es,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { the second term } \left.\left.=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t} f e^{\left[\left(x_{1} y_{1} y_{1} t\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right.\right.} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}\right]=(2 \mathrm{t}) \\
&  \tag{39}\\
& \qquad e^{\left[\left(x_{1} y_{1} y_{2} t\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2} y_{1} t\right)^{2}\right]=(2 t)} g R ; \quad t!\quad 1:
\end{align*}
$$

So at large tim es, the second term tends to zero faster than $t^{1}$, and the leading term in the conditional probability, which is of the order $t^{1}$, does not involve the second term .

If the only eigenvalue of b w ith m odulus 1 is 1 , then $U$ has a sim ple behavior fort! 1 . In this case, $\mathrm{bQ}=Q$, and one can $\operatorname{sim} p l i f y ~ U$ to $n d$

$$
\begin{align*}
& U(x ; t y ; 0)=e^{2 t} \frac{t^{x_{1} y_{1}}}{\left(x_{1} \quad y_{1}\right)!} \frac{t^{x_{2} y_{2}}}{\left(x_{2} \quad y_{2}\right)!} \\
& +X_{1=0}^{X^{2}} \frac{t^{x_{2} y_{1}}}{\left(x_{2} \quad y_{1}\right)!\left(x_{1} \quad y_{2} \quad 1\right)!} \quad 1+\frac{x_{2} y_{1} y_{1}}{t} \quad Q \text {; } \\
& \text { t! } 1 \text { : } \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

This is sim ply the propagator corresponding to a single-species drop-push system, multiplied by $Q$.

## 5 D ynam ics of the particle-type

For sim plicity, let's continue w ith the tw o-particle sector. T he probability that the rst particle be of type A and the second particle be of type A , regardless of their positions, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P} \quad(t)={\underset{x}{x_{1} ; x_{2}}}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{P}} \quad\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; t\right) ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prim ed sum $m$ ation $m$ eans that the summ ation is on the physical region ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}<\mathrm{x}_{2}$ ). D i erentiating this, one arrives at

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}-\quad(\mathrm{t})=\begin{array}{rlllll}
\mathrm{X} & 0 \\
& \mathbb{P} & \left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right. & \left.1 ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}\right)+\mathrm{P} & \left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right. & \left.1 ; \mathrm{t}) \quad 2 \mathrm{P} \quad\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}\right)\right] ;
\end{array} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{X}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}}{\mathrm{X}} \\
& =(b \quad) P \quad(x \quad 1 ; x ; t) \text {; } \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last inequality, the boundary condition $(\overline{\mathrm{g}})$ has been used. It is seen that the evolution equation of the particle-type is not closed; it involves the probability of nding di erent types of the particles in adjacent sites.

H ow ever, the com plete conditionalprobability for large tim es has the sim ple form ( $\left.4 \overline{4} \bar{O}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. For that form, the sum $m$ ation in ( $44 \bar{I}_{1}^{1}$ ) is readily done, and one arrives at

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{x} 1 ; \mathrm{x}_{2}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{U}} \quad(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{y} ; 0)=\mathrm{Q} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{t}!1: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the fact has been used that the m ultiplier of Q in $\left(\overline{4} \overline{4}^{-1}\right)$ is sim ply the propagator of the single-species drop-push $m$ odel, and its sum $m$ ation on the physical region results in 1. From this, it is seen that the large-tim e probability of particle-types, depends only on the intial types of the particles, and not on their initial positions. This is of course true, when the only eigenvalues of $b$
w th m odulus 1 is 1 , the condition for ( $\left.4 \mathrm{O}_{1}^{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ to hold. If m oreover, this eigenvalue is nondegenerate, then the large-tim e probability of particle-types is even independent of the initial particle types. In this case, $Q$ would be written like

$$
Q \quad=q \quad s \quad s ;
$$

from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t!} P \quad(t)=q \quad: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $q$ is the eigenvector ofb w ith eigenvalue 1, nom alized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \mathrm{~s} q=1: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is seen that in this case, the large tim e probability of the particle types depends only on their interaction when they are adjacent.

## 6 C oncluding rem arks

It w as seen that a special class ofm ulti-species drop-push $m$ odels are solvable in the sense of the B ethe-ansatz. T he condition corresponding to this solvability, resem bles very m uch to what obtained in $[1$ asym $m$ etric exchusion processes. $T$ his is not accidental, since the behaviors of the drop-push $m$ odel and the asym $m$ etric exchision $m$ odel on continuum are related to each other: using a G alilean transform ation, one can transform a drop-push $m$ odel in which particles di use to the right, to an exclusion $m$ odel
 regarding the solvability, w ith $m$ inor $m$ odi cations can be used here.
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