

Comment on "Microcanonical Mean Field Thermodynamics of Self-Gravitating and Rotating systems"

I. Ispolatov¹ and M. Karttunen²

¹*Departamento de Física, Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Casilla 302, Correo 2, Santiago, Chile*

²*Biophysics and Statistical Mechanics Group, Laboratory of Computational Engineering, Helsinki University of Technology, P.O. Box 9203, FIN-02015 HUT, Finland*

(Dated: March 22, 2022)

PACS numbers: 04.40.-b, 05.70.Fh, 98.10.+z

In a recent Letter [1] Votyakov *et al.* stated that a microcanonical system of self-gravitating particles confined to a spherical container collapses at a sufficiently low energy and high angular momentum not to a single-core core-halo system but to a double-core binary. Based on molecular dynamics simulations and analytical estimates we found strong evidence that such systems do not form stable or even long-living metastable binaries. Instead, they form single core axially asymmetric states with the core traveling on an almost circular orbit along the container wall.

We considered systems consisting of $N = 250$ – 1000 particles of unit mass confined to a spherical container of radius R with reflecting walls and interacting via soft Coulomb potential $(r^2 + r_0^2)^{-1/2}$, $r_0 = 0.002R$. Details of the MD simulation setup are described in [2]. Two types of initial configurations were used: A spherically symmetric core-halo state and an axially symmetric ring. We chose the values of rescaled energy $\epsilon = E R^3 / N^2$ and angular momentum $\ell = L / (R N^3)$ in the intervals $1 \leq \epsilon \leq 0.4$ and $0.6 \leq \ell \leq 1.2$, where according to Ref. [1] double-core structures exist.

Independent of the initial conditions, all simulated systems evolved to the same final configuration as shown in Fig. 1. All final (equilibrium) states consisted of a single core circling along the wall of the container at or near the equatorial plane, and a halo, also rotating. Systems initiated with a single core never developed a second one, while systems initiated as rings first fragmented into several small cores which later coalesced into bigger ones and finally into a single core. There inevitably exists a brief period of evolution when a ring-initiated system has two cores yet they merge into a single core at a

kinematic timescale (system crossing time) $\tau_{\text{sc}} = R^3 / 2N \ell^2$. This timescale is at least by 4 orders of magnitude shorter than the time required for total equilibration [2] and hence we cannot consider a double-core structure even as a metastable state.

Apparently the observed single-core asymmetric configurations are artificially excluded from consideration in Ref. [1] and the following publications [3, 4, 5] by fixing the center of mass of the system in the center of container. However, in a system with reflecting boundary conditions considered in Refs [1, 3, 4, 5], the total momentum is not conserved and the center of mass does move. Once the position of the center of mass is not restricted, high angular momentum single-core states become possible and actually the most probable ones. Similarly to the non-rotating case, this can be seen by the following argument: If two cores merge, entropy (S) is gained mostly due to an increase ΔE in the kinetic energy of the high-energy halo, $\Delta S \approx 3/2 (N - N_c) \ln(\Delta E / E)$. Here $E = N_c^2 / 2r_0$ is the potential energy released during merging of two cores of radius r_0 [2] consisting of $N_c = 2N$ particles each. Entropy loss is negligible as only 3 degrees of freedom corresponding to the macroscopic (collective) motion of the particles of the vanished core become constrained.

Evidently, self-gravitating binary systems are abundant in the Universe, while the scenario of a single core sliding along the wall is highly unnatural. However, for a self-gravitating system to have an equilibrium state a container is needed, and within the framework of the model with container considered in [1], equilibrium binary-core states do not exist.

The authors are thankful to E. V. Votyakov for inspiring discussions and gratefully acknowledge the support of Chilean FONDECYT under grants 1020052 and 7020052.




FIG. 1: A system of $N = 500$, $\epsilon = 0.6$, $\ell = 1$ viewed along (left) and perpendicular (right) to the axis of rotation. The $N_c = 119$ core particles are marked as +.

-
- [1] E. V. Votyakov, H. I. Hidmi, A. De Martino, D. H. E. Gross, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **89**, 031101 (2002).
 - [2] I. Ispolatov and M. Karttunen, cond-mat/0302590.
 - [3] E. V. Votyakov, A. De Martino, D. H. E. Gross, *Eur. Phys. J. B*, **29**, 593 (2002).
 - [4] A. De Martino, E. V. Votyakov, D. H. E. Gross, *Nucl. Phys. B* **654**, 427 (2003).
 - [5] A. De Martino, E. V. Votyakov, D. H. E. Gross, cond-mat/0210707.