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#### Abstract

Spatially and temporally inhomogeneous evolution of one-dimensional vicious walkers with wall restriction is studied. We show that its continuum version is equivalent with a noncolliding system of stochastic processes called Brownian meanders. Here the Brownian meander is a temporally inhomogeneous process introduced by Yor as a transform of the Bessel process that is a motion of radial coordinate of the three-dimensional Brownian motion represented in the spherical coordinates. It is proved that the spatial distribution of vicious walkers with a wall at the origin can be described by the eigenvalue-statistics of Gaussian ensembles of Bogoliubov-deGennes Hamiltonians of the mean-field theory of superconductivity, which have the particle-hole symmetry. We report that the time evolution of the present stochastic process is fully characterized by the change of symmetry classes from the type $C$ to the type $C I$ in the nonstandard classes of random matrix theory of Altland and Zirnbauer. The relation between the noncolliding systems of the generalized meanders of Yor, which are associated with the even-dimensional Bessel processes, and the chiral random matrix theory is also clarified.


PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 02.50.Ey, 05.50.+q

## I. INTRODUCTION

Unbalance between short-ranged properties of interactions among elements and long-ranged cooperative effects realized in macroscopic levels is a significant feature of systems far from equilibrium. Even in one dimension the contact process, a model of infection of a contagious disease, exhibits a continuous phase transition at a critical value $\lambda_{c}$ of the infection rate $\lambda$ and in $\lambda>\lambda_{c}$ infected and healthy individuals establish coexistence without detailed balance [1, 2]. Boundary conditions locally imposed at the two edges in one-dimensional lattice play essential role to determine the bulk properties in the asymmetric simple exclusion process, which can be regarded as a model of traffic flows in highways [3, 4, 5]. The purpose of the present paper is to propose one theoretical treatment of such emergence of long-range effects in simple (i.e. short-ranged) stochastic models using vicious walker models originally introduced by Fisher for wetting and melting transitions [6]. The key-point is the symmetry of higher-dimensional space, in which the nonequilibrium many-body system is embedded.

Consider $N$ identical and independent simple and symmetric random walks with initial positions $x_{1}<x_{2}<$ $\cdots<x_{N}$, where $x_{j}$ are assumed to be even integers. One

[^0]of the fundamental quantities in the vicious walk problem [7] is the probability $\mathcal{N}_{N}(t, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N}\right)$, that all walkers remain the ordering of their positions up to time $t ; x_{1}(s)<x_{2}(s)<\cdots<x_{N}(s)$ for all $0 \leq s \leq t$. In other words, it is the probability that they never collide with each other for a time period $t$. If two of them collide, then both are annihilated, since all walkers are vicious persons. It should be noted that this noncolliding condition seems to be very local and incidental, since any walker can enjoy free walking, while the relative distances from the nearest-neighbor walkers are greater than two units of lattice spacing. Fisher [6] and Huse and Fisher [8] derived the asymptotic form $\mathcal{N}_{N}(t, \mathbf{x}) \sim t^{-\psi_{N}}$ in large $t$ for finite $\mathbf{x}$ and determined the exponent as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{N}=\frac{1}{2}\binom{N}{2}=\frac{1}{4} N(N-1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Interesting and important fact is that $\psi_{N}$ is nonlinear in $N$ expressing the long-ranged effect among vicious walkers, which is a result of accumulation of contact repulsive interactions between nearest-neighbor walkers during the time interval $[0, t]$. Moreover, (11) implies that the system possesses symmetry with respect to permutations of the walker positions. This hidden symmetry was clarified as explained follows. Huse and Fisher [6, 8] mapped the enumeration problem of walks of the $N$ particles from a set of positions $x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N}$ to $y_{1}<\cdots<y_{N}$ in time period $t$ onto the diffusion problem of a single particle in the $N$ dimensional space with a set of wall restrictions (the phase space) from a position $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N}\right)$ to $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{N}\right)$ in time $t$. Assume
that $p(t, y \mid x)$ denotes the transition probability density of a one-dimensional Brownian motion from $x$ to $y$ in time $t$, that is, $p(t, y \mid x)=e^{-(y-x)^{2} / 2 t} / \sqrt{2 \pi t}$, then by exploiting the method of images, they derived the $N$-body Green function of vicious walkers in the determinantal form (9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x})=\operatorname{det}_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}\left[p\left(t, y_{k} \mid x_{j}\right)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As discussed in [6, 8] and explicitly shown in 10], (2) is found to be factorized into a product of symmetric part (the Schur function multiplied by Gaussian kernels) and the antisymmetric part (the product of differences of variables), and $\mathcal{N}_{N}(t, \mathbf{x})$ is obtained as an integration of (2) over $y$ with restriction $y_{1}<y_{2}<\cdots<y_{N}$.

Based on the above knowledge on the function $\mathcal{N}_{N}(t, \mathbf{x})$, let us next consider the evolution of vicious walkers in time $t$, conditioned that they remain their ordering (i.e. noncolliding condition) up to a given finite time $T$. Katori and Tanemura [10] showed that this stochastic process was inhomogeneous both in space and time and a transition in the particle distribution was observed as time $t$ goes on from 0 to $T$. This transition is characterized by a symmetry change, which can be described not in the real one-dimensional space nor the $N$-dimensional phase space but in the space of $N \times N$ hermitian matrices. That is, the problem was exactly mapped to the statistics of $N$ real eigenvalues of $N \times N$ hermitian random matrices in a time-dependent Gaussian ensemble. Due to the hermitian condition on $N \times N$ matrices with complex elements $H_{j k}=H_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}+i H_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}, i=$ $\sqrt{-1}, 1 \leq j, k \leq N, N(N+1) / 2$ variables in a set $\mathcal{R}=\left\{\overline{H_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}}: 1 \leq j \leq k \leq N\right\}$ and $N(N-1) / 2$ variables in a set $\mathcal{I}=\left\{H_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}: 1 \leq j<k \leq N\right\}$ are chosen as independent variables. These $N^{2}$ variables in total are assumed to be independently distributed following the Gaussian distributions with zero means. The variances of the variables in $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ are proportional to $\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{I}}$, respectively, both of which are functions of $t$. As the time $t$ is approaching the final time $T$, the variance $\sigma_{\text {I }}$ decreases to zero and a transition from the ensemble of complex hermitian matrices (the Gaussian unitary ensemble, GUE) to that of real symmetric matrices (the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble, GOE) occurs. By integrating over the $N^{\prime}=N^{2}-N$ other variables than the $N$ eigenvalues, a transition of the eigenvalue-statistics from GUE class to GOE class is formulated 11] and it is indeed realized as the time evolution of positions of vicious walkers 10, 12, 13].

The above results suggest the possibility that vicious-walker-type problems with $N$ walkers are generally mapped to some solvable problems in the spaces with appropriately higher dimensions $N+N^{\prime}$, in which only the symmetries of the spaces should be considered and the interactions (restrictions) among the original walkers are resulted from integrating over the auxiliary $N^{\prime}$ variables. The symmetries of the higher dimensional spaces govern the macroscopic behaviors of the systems. The


FIG. 1: Vicious walkers with a wall.
interacting particle systems far from equilibrium will be exactly solved, if we are able to find relevant symmetries, which are generally hidden in the original descriptions of the systems.

Now we propose two kinds of problems of vicious walks, which will be solved in the present paper in order to demonstrate the above mentioned scheme for nonequilibrium systems. We assume that all walkers are located in the positive region of position as $0<x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{N}$ and put an absorbing wall at the origin $\mathbf{0}$ (see Fig.1). The problems are (i) to determine the probability $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{N}(t, \mathbf{x})$ that all walkers remain the ordering of their positions (noncolliding condition) with keeping apart from the wall up to time $t$, and (ii) to find out the time-dependent matrix model, whose eigenvalue-statistics realizes this stochastic process of vicious walkers with a wall, and clarify the hidden symmetry transition in the time evolution.

The former problem (i) was already solved by Krattenthaler et. al [14] and the exponent governing the asymptotic form in large $t, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{N}(t, \mathbf{x}) \sim t^{-\widehat{\psi}_{N}}$, was determined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\psi}_{N}=\frac{N^{2}}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So in this paper, we will start from their result and take the continuum limit of the model to solve the latter problem (ii). In Section II, we construct a system of noncolliding Brownian motions with a wall as a diffusion scaling limit of the corresponding vicious walker model. An important result is that the $N$-body Green function of the obtained system is also in the determinantal form (2) for $0 \leq x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N}, 0 \leq y_{1}<\cdots<y_{N}$, if we replace


FIG. 2: (a) Three-dimensional Bessel process. (b) Method of images.

$$
p(t, y \mid x) \text { by }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{p}(t, y \mid x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi t}}\left\{e^{-(y-x)^{2} / 2 t}-e^{-(y+x)^{2} / 2 t}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function becomes zero as $y \rightarrow 0$, representing the effect of the absorbing wall at $\mathbf{0}$. There are two distinct ways to derive (4). (a) Consider a Brownian motion started from the origin $\mathbf{0}$ in a space with dimensions $d \geq 2$. We adopt the $d$-dimensional spherical coordinate $\mathbf{r}=\left(r, \theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{d-1}\right)$ to represent the motion. In particular, we can trace the radial coordinate (the modulus of the Brownian motion) $r=r(t)$ as shown in Fig. 2 (a) for $d=3$. Since the transition probability density of $r$ is generally described using the Bessel function, such a stochastic process of $r$ is called the Bessel process 15, 16, 17. If we multiply the transition probability density of the three-dimensional Bessel process by $x / y$, then (4) is obtained. (b) For a real path of the Brownian motion from $(x, 0)$ to $(y, t)$ in a spatio-temporal plane, we consider an imaginary path from $(-x, 0)$ to $(y, 0)$, where $x, y>0$ (see Fig. 2 (b)). As an analogy of electrostatic problem, we subtract the transition probability density of the imaginary paths from that of the real paths to obtain (4) (the method of images).

Yor studied a temporally inhomogeneous process called the Brownian meander, which is obtained as a transform of the three-dimensional Bessel process used in the above derivation (a) of (4). He also introduced the $d$ dimensional generalized meanders as the transform of the $d$-dimensional Bessel processes [17]. In Section III, we will give a general theory of noncolliding $N$ walkers constructed as a conditioned system of $d$-dimensional generalized meanders. As a special case, it provides a proof of that the noncolliding system of Brownian particles in the presence of a wall is equivalent with the noncolliding system of the Brownian meanders. This is the complete generalization to many particle systems with arbitrary number of particles $N$ of the fact that the single-particle Green function (4) of a Brownian motion with wall restriction at the origin is proportional to the transition
probability density of a single three-dimensional Bessel process. A key point of our proof for this new result is the proper transform from the $N$-body Green function (2) to the transition probability density by multiplying an appropriate ratio of $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{N}$ 's (see Eqs. (8) and (20) below). Moreover, our general argument gives that, if we consider the problem (ii) for the $d$-dimensional Bessel processes and generalized meanders in the case of even $d$, it is solved using the Gaussian matrix theory with chiral symmetries, which is relevant for the physics of QCD at low energies 18, 19, 20, 21]. Since Brownian meander is made from the three-dimensional Bessel process as mentioned above, it is concluded that the present problem with (4) is not related with chiral symmetry of matrices and that matrix models in the different symmetry classes should be considered.

The latter derivation (b) of (4) gave us a hint to find out the true symmetries, which govern the distribution of vicious walkers with a wall; particle-hole symmetry. The particle-hole symmetry is important in the BCS theory of superconductivity. In particular, its microscopic meanfield treatment ignores any local interactions among particles and holes but consider this symmetry with the so-called Bogoliubov-deGennes (BdG for short) Hamiltonian. Random matrix theory of the BdG-type Hamiltonians was introduced and developed by Altland and Zirnbauer in order to describe the energy-level statistics and transport properties in a metallic quantum dot in contact with a superconductor in a magnetic field [22, 23]. They studied the Gaussian ensembles of the BdG Hamiltonian in the form

$$
\mathcal{H}=U^{\dagger}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega & 0 \\
0 & -\omega
\end{array}\right) U, \quad \omega=\operatorname{diag}\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{N}\right)
$$

where $U$ is an appropriate unitary matrix. As we will give a brief summary of their results in Section IV, Altland and Zirnbauer discovered four new classes of eigenvaluestatistics in addition to the previously known three classic Wigner-Dyson classes (two of them are GUE and GOE, whose relation with the vicious walks without wall was reported in [10]) and three chiral symmetry classes (two of them will be argued in Section III associated with the noncolliding systems of generalized meanders constructed from the even-dimensional Bessel processes). In the four nonstandard symmetry classes, denoted by $C, C \mathrm{I}, D$ and $D$ III in Cartan's notation [23], the classes $C$ and $C$ I are relevant in the present vicious walk problem. They have the probability density functions of nonnegative eigenvalues in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\mathrm{BdG}}\left(\omega ; \sigma^{2}\right) \propto e^{-|\omega|^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}} \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left|\omega_{k}-\omega_{j}\right|^{\beta} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N}\left|\omega_{\ell}\right|^{\alpha}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\omega|^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \omega_{j}^{2}$ and the indices $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are specified as

$$
\alpha=2, \quad \beta=2, \quad \text { for class } C
$$

$$
\alpha=1, \quad \beta=1, \quad \text { for class } C \mathrm{I}
$$

respectively. We will show in Section IV that the transition of distribution of vicious walker positions with a wall is described by the symmetry change from the class $C$ to the class $C$ I of the BdG Hamiltonians. This fact was already reported by Nagao 24], but in this paper complementary new results will be given. In an earlier paper [13], the transition from GUE to GOE realized in the vicious walks without wall was characterized by the graphical expansions with time-dependent coefficients for the moments of walkers. In Section V, we will introduce the Möbius graph expansions for the moments of the vicious walkers with a wall. Moreover, using an exact results of dynamical correlations by Nagao [24], closed formulae for the moments will be given. Such graphical expansions will be first reported in detail in the present paper for the nonstandard symmetry classes $C$ and $C \mathrm{I}$ of Altland and Zirnbauer. Concluding remarks are given in Section VI.

## II. VICIOUS WALK WITH A WALL AND ITS DIFFUSION SCALING LIMIT

## A. Determinantal formula

First we consider the $N$ independent simple and symmetric random walks on an integer lattice $\mathbf{Z}=$ $\{\cdots,-2,-1,0,1,2, \cdots\}$ starting from the sites $\left\{x_{j}\right\}, j=$ $1,2, \cdots, N$, and denote the position of $j$-th walker at time $n=0,1,2, \cdots$ by $x_{j}(n)$. Assume that the initial positions are all distinct nonnegative even integers and ordered as $0 \leq x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{N}$. Then we impose the noncolliding condition up to a given time $m \geq 0$,

$$
x_{1}(n)<x_{2}(n)<\cdots<x_{N}(n), \quad n=1,2, \cdots, m
$$

Such conditional walks are called vicious walks up to time $m$ [6]. Here we impose further restriction on the walks as

$$
x_{j}(n) \geq 0, \quad j=1,2, \cdots, N, \quad n=1,2, \cdots, m
$$

In other words, there is a wall at the origin and all the walkers are conditioned never to collide with each other nor to collide with the wall during the time interval $0 \leq$ $n \leq m$.

Let $\widehat{N}_{N}(m, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N}\right), \mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{N}\right)$, be the total number of the vicious walks with wall restriction, in which the $N$ walkers start from the positions $x_{j}, j=1,2, \cdots, N$, and arrive at the positions $y_{j}, j=1,2, \cdots, N$, at time $m$. Krattenthaler et al. gave the determinantal formula to this number as 14]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{N}_{N}(m, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) \\
= & \operatorname{det}_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}\left[\binom{m}{\frac{m+x_{j}-y_{k}}{2}}-\binom{m}{\frac{m+x_{j}+y_{k}+2}{2}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that all random walks start from given initial positions $\mathbf{x}$. Since the total number of walks is $2^{m N}$, the probability that they are vicious walks with wall restriction and end up with positions $\mathbf{y}$ is $\widehat{N}_{N}(m, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) / 2^{m N}$.

## B. Diffusion scaling limit

In order to take the continuum limit of the vicious walks to derive the system of noncolliding Brownian motions, we introduce a function $\phi_{L}(x)=2[L x / 2]$, for $L>0, x \in \mathbf{R}$ (the set of all real numbers), where $[z]$ denotes the largest integer not greater than $z$, and let $\phi_{L}(\mathbf{x})=\left(\phi_{L}\left(x_{1}\right), \cdots, \phi_{L}\left(x_{N}\right)\right)$. By Stirling formula, we can take the diffusion scaling limit as
$\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^{N} \frac{1}{2^{m N}} \widehat{N}_{N}\left(\phi_{L^{2}}(t), \phi_{L}(\mathbf{y}) \mid \phi_{L}(\mathbf{x})\right)=\widehat{f}_{N}(t, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x})$
for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ with $0 \leq x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N}, 0 \leq y_{1}<\cdots<y_{N}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{f}_{N}(t, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x})=\operatorname{det}_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}\left[\widehat{p}\left(t, y_{k} \mid x_{j}\right)\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with (4). Let $T>0$ and consider a system of $N$ Brownian motions conditioned never to collide with each other nor to collide with the wall at $x=0$ in $[0, T]$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{N}(t, \mathbf{x})=\int_{0 \leq y_{1}<\cdots<y_{N}} d \mathbf{y} \widehat{f}_{N}(t, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \mathbf{y}=\prod_{j=1}^{N} d y_{j}$. Then the transition probability density from the state $0 \leq x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N}$ at time $s$ to the state $0 \leq y_{1}<\cdots<y_{N}$ at time $t(\geq s)$ of such a system is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{g}_{N, T}(s, \mathbf{x} ; t, \mathbf{y})=\frac{\widehat{f}_{N}(t-s, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{N}(T-t, \mathbf{y})}{\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{N}(T-s, \mathbf{x})} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the numerator is the probability that we have the noncolliding (with each other and with a wall) Brownian paths from $\mathbf{x}$ at time $s$ to $\mathbf{y}$ at time $t$ and these paths keep noncolliding from the time $t$ up to time $T$ as well, and the denominator is the probability that the Brownian paths are noncolliding all during time interval $[s, T]$.

It is useful to rewrite (6) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{f}_{N}(t, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x})=(2 \pi t)^{-N / 2} \operatorname{sp}_{\xi(\mathbf{y})}\left(e^{x_{1} / t}, \cdots, e^{x_{N} / t}\right) \\
\times & e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{2}+|\mathbf{y}|^{2}\right) / 2 t} \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(e^{x_{j} / t}-e^{-x_{j} / t}\right) \\
\times & \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq N}\left\{\left(e^{x_{j} / t}-e^{x_{i} / t}\right)\left(e^{\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right) / t}-1\right)\right\} \\
\times & \left\{\prod_{j=1}^{N} e^{x_{j} / t}\right\}^{-N+1}, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi(\mathbf{y})=\left(\xi_{1}(\mathbf{y}), \cdots, \xi_{N}(\mathbf{y})\right)$ with $\xi_{j}(\mathbf{y})=y_{N-j+1}-$ $(N-j+1), j=1,2, \cdots, N$,

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\xi}\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{N}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(z_{i}^{\xi_{j}+N-j+1}-z_{j}^{-\left(\xi_{j}+N-j+1\right)}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(z_{i}^{N-j+1}-z_{i}^{-(N-j+1)}\right)}
$$

and $|\mathbf{x}|^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}^{2}$. Remark that $\operatorname{sp}_{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{N}\right)$ is the character of the irreducible representation corresponding to a partition $\lambda$ of the symplectic Lie algebra (see, for example, Lectures 6 and 24 in [25]). Since we know the formula

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\xi}(1, \cdots, 1)=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq N} \frac{\ell_{j}^{2}-\ell_{i}^{2}}{m_{j}^{2}-m_{i}^{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\ell_{j}}{m_{j}}
$$

with $\ell_{j}=\xi_{j}+N-j+1, m_{j}=N-j+1$ 25] and the integral ((17.6.6) on page 354 in [26])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int d \mathbf{x} e^{-|\mathbf{x}|^{2} / 2} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq N}\left|x_{j}^{2}-x_{i}^{2}\right|^{2 \gamma} \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left|x_{j}\right|^{2 a-1} \\
= & 2^{a N+\gamma N(N-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma(1+j \gamma) \Gamma(a+\gamma(j-1))}{\Gamma(1+\gamma)}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have the asymptotic

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{N}(t, \mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\widetilde{c}_{N}} \widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{x} / \sqrt{t})(1+\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x} / \sqrt{t})) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\mathbf{x}| / \sqrt{t} \rightarrow 0$, where $\widetilde{c}_{N}=(\pi / 2)^{N / 2} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma(2 j) / \Gamma(j)$ with the Gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{x})=\prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left(x_{k}^{2}-x_{j}^{2}\right) \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} x_{\ell} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting eqs. (9) and (10) into eq. (8), we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widehat{g}_{N, T}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{y})=\widehat{c}_{N} T^{N^{2} / 2} t^{-N(2 N+1) / 2} \\
\times e^{-|\mathbf{y}|^{2} / 2 t} \widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{y}) \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{N}(T-t, \mathbf{y}) \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\widehat{c}_{N}=1 / \prod_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma(j)$. The transition probability densities (8) and (12) define the $N$ noncolliding Brownian motions with wall restriction in time interval $(0, T]$ [27].

It should be noted that $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{N}(t, \mathbf{x})$ is the noncolliding probability of Brownian motions with wall restriction and (10) gives the power-law behavior $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{N}(t, \mathbf{x}) \sim t^{-\hat{\psi}_{N}}$ in large $t$ for finite $\mathbf{x}$ with the critical exponent (3).

## C. Transition from class $C$ to class $C \mathbf{I}$

By (10), the $T \rightarrow \infty$ limit of (8) is determined and simply given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{p}_{N}(0, \mathbf{x} ; t, \mathbf{y}) \equiv \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{g}_{N, T}(0, \mathbf{x} ; t, \mathbf{y}) \\
& \quad=\frac{\widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{y})}{\widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{x})} \widehat{f}_{N}(t, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have set $s=0$ and used (11). Moreover, we can take the $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ limit of (13) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{p}_{N}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{y})={\widehat{c^{\prime}}}_{N} t^{-N(2 N+1) / 2} e^{-|\mathbf{y}|^{2} / 2 t} \widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{y})^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${\widehat{c^{\prime}}}^{\prime}{ }_{N}=(2 / \pi)^{N / 2} / \prod_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma(2 j)$. That is, we have the identity

$$
\widehat{p}_{N}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{y})=N!p_{2,2}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(\mathbf{y} ; t)
$$

for $0 \leq y_{1}<\cdots<y_{N}$, where $p_{2,2}^{\mathrm{BdG}}\left(\omega ; \sigma^{2}\right)$ is the probability density function (5) of nonnegative eigenvalues of the BdG Hamiltonian in the class $C(\alpha=\beta=2)$. On the other hand, if we set $t=T$ in (8), for $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{N}(0, \mathbf{y})=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{g}_{N, T}(0, \mathbf{0} ; T, \mathbf{y})=\widehat{c}_{N} t^{-N(N+1) / 2} e^{-|\mathbf{y}|^{2} / 2 t} \widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{y}) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies the identity

$$
\widehat{g}_{N, T}(0, \mathbf{0} ; T, \mathbf{y})=N!p_{1,1}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(\mathbf{y} ; t)
$$

That is, at $t=T, \widehat{g}_{N, T}(0, \mathbf{0} ; T, \mathbf{y})$ is identified with the probability density function (5) of nonnegative eigenvalues of the BdG Hamiltonian in the class $C \mathrm{I}(\alpha=\beta=1)$.

The above results mean the following facts. If we consider the $N$ noncolliding Brownian motions with wall restriction up to a finite time $T>0$, in which all particles start from the origin, as the ratio $t / T \rightarrow 0$, the distribution of particle positions is asymptotically described by the eigenvalue-statistics of the BdG Hamiltonian in the class $C$. On the other hand, at the final time $t=T$, it can be identified with the eigenvalue-statistics of the BdG Hamiltonian in the class $C \mathrm{I}$. There occurs, thus, a transition from the class $C$ distribution to the class $C$ I distribution as time $t$ goes on from 0 to $T$ in our stochastic process.

The essential difference between (14) and (15) is found in the exponents of the factors $\widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{y})^{\beta}$ such that $\beta=2$ in (14) and $\beta=1$ in (15). This factor expresses strong repulsive interactions among particles and between the wall and each particle, in which the larger exponent $\beta$ gives stronger repulsion for short distances. At the very early stage of the process, $t / T \ll 1$, the repulsion may be strong, since the noncolliding condition will be imposed for a long time period up to time $T$ in the future. As the time $t$ goes on, the repulsion strength is decreasing as is the remaining time until $T$, and attains its minimum at $t=T$.

## D. Stochastic differential equations

As explained in 10] in the case without wall restriction, the positions $\mathbf{x}(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), \cdots, x_{N}(t)\right)$ of the $N$ noncolliding Brownian motions solve the stochastic differential equations in a modified type of Dyson's Brownian motion model 26, 28]. In the present case with wall restriction, we have

$$
d x_{j}(t)=\widehat{E}_{j}^{T}(\mathbf{x}(t)) d t+d B_{j}(t)
$$

for $0 \leq x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N}, 0<t \leq T$, where

$$
\widehat{E}_{j}^{T}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \ln \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{N}(T-t ; \mathbf{x})
$$

and $\left\{B_{j}(t)\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ are $N$ independent standard Brownian motions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{j}(0)=0, \quad\left\langle B_{j}(t)\right\rangle=0 \\
& \left\langle\left(B_{j}(t)-B_{j}(s)\right)\left(B_{k}(t)-B_{k}(s)\right)\right\rangle=|t-s| \delta_{j k}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $t, s>0, j, k=1,2, \cdots, N$. In particular, in the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$, (10) with (11) gives the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& d x_{j}(t)=d B_{j}(t)+\frac{1}{x_{j}(t)} d t \\
+ & \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N, k \neq j}\left\{\frac{1}{x_{j}(t)-x_{k}(t)}+\frac{1}{x_{j}(t)+x_{k}(t)}\right\} d t \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq N$ [27]. In the stochastic differential equation for the position of $j$-th particle, the drift terms $d t / x_{j}(t)$ and $d t /\left(x_{j}(t)-x_{k}(t)\right)$ represent repulsive force from the wall at the origin and that from the $k$-th particle, respectively. In addition to them, there are the terms in the form $d t /\left(x_{j}(t)+x_{k}(t)\right), 1 \leq k \leq N, k \neq j$, which can be interpreted as effective repulsive forces from the mirror images of other particles located at $-x_{k}(t), 1 \leq k \leq$ $N, k \neq j$.

## III. NONCOLLIDING MEANDERS AND CHIRAL RANDOM MATRIX THEORY

## A. Definitions of elementary processes

Consider a diffusion equation in dimension $d \geq 2$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{j}^{2}} u(t, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x})
$$

with the initial condition $u(0, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x})=\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$. We use the spherical coordinates $\mathbf{x}=\left(x, \theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{d-1}\right), \mathbf{y}=$ $\left(y, \varphi_{1}, \cdots, \varphi_{d-1}\right)$ and integrate over all the angular variables to obtain a differential equation for the radial coordinate (the modulus)

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{u}(t, y \mid x)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{d-1}{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right] \bar{u}(t, y \mid x)
$$

The unique solution of this equation satisfying the initial condition $\bar{u}(0, y \mid x) y^{d-1} d y=\delta(x-y) d y$ for $x>0$ is given as

$$
\bar{u}(t, y \mid x)=\frac{1}{(x y)^{\nu}} \frac{1}{t} e^{-\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) / 2 t} I_{\nu}\left(\frac{x y}{t}\right)
$$

where $\nu=(d-2) / 2$ and $I_{\nu}(z)$ is the modified Bessel function

$$
I_{\nu}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z / 2)^{2 n+\nu}}{n!\Gamma(\nu+n+1)}
$$

If we set $p^{(\nu)}(t, y \mid x)=\bar{u}(t, y \mid x) y^{d-1}$, then it is normalized as $\int_{0}^{\infty} p^{(\nu)}(t, y \mid x) d y=1$ for any $x>0$. We define
$p^{(\nu)}(t, y \mid 0)$ by the $x \rightarrow 0$ limit of $p^{(\nu)}(t, y \mid x)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{(\nu)}(t, y \mid x) & =\frac{y^{\nu+1}}{x^{\nu}} \frac{1}{t} e^{-\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) / 2 t} I_{\nu}\left(\frac{x y}{t}\right), x>0, y \geq 0 \\
p^{(\nu)}(t, y \mid 0) & =\frac{y^{2 \nu+1}}{2^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu+1) t^{\nu+1}} e^{-y^{2} / 2 t}, \quad y \geq 0 \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The $d=2(\nu+1)$ dimensional Bessel process is defined so that its transition probability density is given by (17) [15, 16, 17].

For $0 \leq u \leq T, w \geq 0, \kappa \in[0,2(\nu+1))$, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(u, w)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d z p^{(\nu)}(T-u, z \mid w) z^{-\kappa} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, we multiply a weight $z^{-\kappa}$ at the final time $T$, so that, as the arrival position $z$ is nearer to the origin, the path is more enhanced. Then the transition probability density from $x$ at time $s$ to $y$ at time $t$ with such bias at time $T, 0 \leq s<t \leq T$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(s, x ; t, y)=\frac{1}{h_{T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(s, x)} p^{(\nu)}(t-s, y \mid x) h_{T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(t, y) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x, y \geq 0$. This bias makes the process defined by (19) be temporally inhomogeneous, and Yor called it the generalized meander indexed $(\nu, \kappa)$. In particular, when $\nu=$ $1 / 2$ and $\kappa=1$, the process is called the Brownian meander (17. The transformation from (17) to $p_{T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(s, x ; t, y)$ by (19) is a generalization of the $h$-transform of Doob 29]. Remark that, if we replace $T$ by $u$ in (18), then $h_{u}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(u, w)=w^{-\kappa}$, since $p^{(\nu)}(0, z \mid w)=\delta(z-w)$. In the case $\nu=1 / 2, \kappa=1$ and $s=0$, (19) becomes, by this replacement, $p^{(1 / 2)}(t, y \mid x) \times x / y$, which is equal to (4) for $I_{1 / 2}(z)=\sqrt{2 /(\pi z)} \sinh z$. This is the derivation (a) of (4) mentioned in Section I.

## B. Non-colliding systems and rectangular random matrices

Now we consider a system of $N$ generalized meanders conditioned that they never collide with each other for a time interval $(0, T], T>0$. Using the determinantal formula in (2) and following the same way as (8) and (19), the transition probability density is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N, T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(s, \mathbf{x} ; t, \mathbf{y})=\frac{f_{N, T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(s, \mathbf{x} ; t, \mathbf{y}) \mathcal{N}_{N, T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(T-t, \mathbf{y})}{\mathcal{N}_{N, T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(T-s, \mathbf{x})} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq s<t \leq T, 0 \leq x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N}, 0 \leq y_{1}<\cdots<y_{N}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N, T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(s, \mathbf{x} ; t, \mathbf{y})=\operatorname{det}_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}\left[p_{T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}\left(s, x_{j} ; t, y_{k}\right)\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{N}_{N, T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(T-t, \mathbf{x})=\int_{0 \leq y_{1}<\cdots<y_{N}} d \mathbf{y} f_{N, T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(T-t, \mathbf{x}, T, \mathbf{y})
$$

Since $f_{N, T}^{(\nu, 0)}(s, \mathbf{x} ; t, \mathbf{y})$ is temporally homogeneous and independent of $T$, we will write it as $f_{N}^{(\nu)}(t-s, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x})$. Moreover, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N, T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(s, \mathbf{x} ; t, \mathbf{y})=\frac{1}{h_{T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(s, \mathbf{x})} f_{N}^{(\nu)}(t-s, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) h_{T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(t, \mathbf{y}) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(t, \mathbf{x})=\prod_{j=1}^{N} h_{T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}\left(t, x_{j}\right)$, and that $h_{T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(T, \mathbf{x})=\prod_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}^{-\kappa}$. Then (20) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{N, T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(s, \mathbf{x} ; t, \mathbf{y}) \\
= & \frac{1}{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(T-s, \mathbf{x})} f_{N}^{(\nu)}(t-s, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(T-t, \mathbf{y}) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(t, \mathbf{x})=\int_{0 \leq y_{1}<\cdots<y_{N}} d \mathbf{y} f_{N}^{(\nu)}(t, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^{N} y_{j}^{-\kappa} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The important point is that we can confirm that (23) with $\nu=1 / 2$ and $\kappa=1$ is equal to the transition probability density (8) of the noncolliding Brownian motions with a wall. In other words, we found the equivalence between the noncolliding Brownian motions with a wall and the noncolliding Brownian meanders. If we set $N=1$ in (24) with $\nu=1 / 2, \kappa=1$, we have $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{1}^{(1 / 2,1)}(t, x)=1 / x$. Then (23) with $s=0$ is reduced to the equality $\widehat{p}(t, y \mid x)=$ $p^{(1 / 2)}(t, y \mid x) \times x / y$, which is the statement given as the derivation (a) of (4) in Section I.

We then consider the limit $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow 0$ to define the noncolliding generalized meanders all started from the origin $\mathbf{0}$ at the initial time $s=0$ for nonnegative integers $\nu$ (i.e. even $d$ ). Consider an arbitrary $N_{1} \times N_{2}$ complex matrices with $N_{1} \geq N_{2}$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{C}\right)$ the space of all such matrices. It is known 30] that any matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{C}\right)$ can be expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=U^{\dagger} \Lambda V \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ and $V$ are unitary matrices with sizes $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$, respectively, and $\Lambda$ is the $N_{1} \times N_{2}$ matrix in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\binom{\widehat{\Lambda}}{\mathbf{0}} \quad \text { with } \hat{\Lambda}=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{N_{2}}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where $a_{j} \geq 0,1 \leq j \leq N_{2}$. The matrices $(U, V)$ parameterize the coset space $U\left(N_{1}\right) \times U\left(N_{2}\right) /[U(1)]^{N_{2}}$, where $[U(1)]^{N_{2}}$ is the diagonal subgroup of $U\left(N_{2}\right)$, and thus the $(U, \Lambda, V)$ can be regarded as "spherical coordinates" in the space $\mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{C}\right)$. It should be remarked that $\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{N_{2}}\right\}$ are not eigenvalues of $A$; they will be referred to as "radial coordinates". The following integral formula proved in [20] is useful. Let $d \mu(U, V)$ be the Haar measure of $U\left(N_{1}\right) \times U\left(N_{2}\right) /[U(1)]^{N_{2}}$. For $A, B \in \mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{C}\right)$, set $A=U_{A}^{\dagger} \Lambda_{A} V_{A}, B=U_{B}^{\dagger} \Lambda_{B} V_{B}$, where $U_{A}, U_{B} \in U\left(N_{1}\right), V_{A}, V_{B} \in U\left(N_{2}\right) /[U(1)]^{N_{2}}$,

$$
\Lambda_{A}=\binom{\hat{\Lambda}_{A}}{\mathbf{0}}, \quad \Lambda_{B}=\binom{\widehat{\Lambda}_{B}}{\mathbf{0}}
$$

with $\widehat{\Lambda}_{A}=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{N_{2}}\right), \widehat{\Lambda}_{B}=\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{N_{2}}\right)$, $a_{j} \geq 0, b_{j} \geq 0,1 \leq j \leq N_{2}$. Then for an arbitrary constant $\sigma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int d \mu\left(U_{A}, V_{A}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left\{(A-B)^{\dagger}(A-B)\right\}\right) \\
\propto & \frac{\operatorname{det}_{1 \leq j, k \leq N_{2}}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{a_{j}^{2}+b_{k}^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right) I_{N_{1}-N_{2}}\left(\frac{a_{j} b_{k}}{\sigma^{2}}\right)\right]}{\prod_{j=1}^{N_{2}}\left(a_{j} b_{j}\right)^{N_{1}-N_{2}} \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N_{2}}\left(a_{j}^{2}-a_{k}^{2}\right)\left(b_{j}^{2}-b_{k}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark that this integral formula can be regarded as a version of the Harish-Chandra (Itzykson-Zuber) formula [31, 32, 33].

Using this integral formula, (21) with a nonnegative integer $\nu$ and $\kappa=0$ is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{N}^{(\nu)}(t, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) \\
\propto & \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left(x_{j}^{2}-x_{k}^{2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N} y_{j}^{2 \nu+1} \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left(y_{j}^{2}-y_{k}^{2}\right) \\
\times & \int d \mu\left(U_{Y}, V_{Y}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 t} \operatorname{tr}\left\{(X-Y)^{\dagger}(X-Y)\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{tr}\left\{(X-Y)^{\dagger}(X-Y)\right\} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr} Y^{\dagger} Y=|\mathbf{y}|^{2}$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{N}^{(\nu)}(t, \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x})}{\prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left(x_{j}^{2}-x_{k}^{2}\right)} \\
\propto & \prod_{j=1}^{N} y_{j}^{2 \nu+1} \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left(y_{j}^{2}-y_{k}^{2}\right) e^{-|\mathbf{y}|^{2} / 2 t} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Above argument proves the following result. Let $\nu$ be a nonnegative integer and $0 \leq \kappa<2(\nu+1)$. The limit $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow 0$ of $g_{N, T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(0, \mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y})$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{N, T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{y})=c e^{-|\mathbf{y}|^{2} / 2 t} \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{N} y_{j}^{2 \nu+1} \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left(y_{j}^{2}-y_{k}^{2}\right) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(T-t, \mathbf{y}) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c$ is a normalization constant determined by $\int_{0 \leq y_{1}<\cdots<y_{N}} d \mathbf{y} g_{N, T}^{(\nu, \kappa)}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{y})=1$. The $N$ noncolliding generalized meanders all started from the origin $\mathbf{0}$ at time 0 is defined by the transition probability density (28).

## C. Chiral Gaussian ensembles and transition of chiral symmetries

For the space $\mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{C}\right)$ of all $N_{1} \times N_{2}$ complex matrices, we introduce the integration measure $d v(A)=$ $\prod_{j=1}^{N_{1}} \prod_{k=1}^{N_{2}} d A_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}} d A_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}$ for $A=\left(A_{j k}\right) \in \mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{C}\right)$ with $A_{j k}=A_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}+i A_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}, i=\sqrt{-1}$. The chiral Gaussian
unitary ensemble (chGUE for short) with variance $\sigma^{2}$ is the ensemble of matrices $A \in \mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{C}\right)$ with the probability measure

$$
d \mu^{\mathrm{chGUE}}\left(A ; \sigma^{2}\right) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \operatorname{tr} A^{\dagger} A\right) d v(A)
$$

For $A \in \mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{C}\right)$ with the polar coordinates (25) and (26), we can show that the probability density function of the "radial coordinates" $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{N_{2}}\right)$ of $A \in \mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{C}\right)$ in chGUE with variance $\sigma^{2}$ is given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\mathrm{chGUE}}\left(\mathbf{a} ; \sigma^{2}\right) \\
\propto & e^{-|\mathbf{a}|^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{N_{2}} a_{j}^{2\left(N_{1}-N_{2}\right)+1} \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N_{2}}\left(a_{j}^{2}-a_{k}^{2}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we set $\mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{R}\right)$ be the space of all $N_{1} \times N_{2}$ real matrices for $N_{1} \geq N_{2}$. The chiral Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (chGOE) with variance $\sigma^{2}$ is the ensemble of matrices $B \in \mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{R}\right)$ with the probability measure

$$
d \mu^{\mathrm{chGOE}}\left(B ; \sigma^{2}\right) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \operatorname{tr} B^{T} B\right) d v^{\prime}(B)
$$

with $d v^{\prime}(B)=\prod_{j=1}^{N_{1}} \prod_{k=1}^{N_{2}} d B_{j k}$. The probability density function of the radial coordinates $\mathbf{b}=\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{N_{2}}\right)$ is given in the form
$p^{\mathrm{chGOE}}\left(\mathbf{b} ; \sigma^{2}\right) \propto e^{-|\mathbf{b}|^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{N_{2}} b_{j}^{N_{1}-N_{2}} \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N_{2}}\left|b_{j}^{2}-b_{k}^{2}\right|$.
Then we consider the distribution of the sum of two rectangular matrices $C=A+B$, in which $A$ and $B$ are chosen from chGUE and chGOE, respectively. The distribution function of $C$ is the convolution of those of chGUE and chGOE. Consider the ensemble of matrices $C \in \mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{C}\right)$, in which the probability measure is given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \mu^{\mathrm{chGUE} / \mathrm{GOE}}\left(C ; \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) \\
= & \int_{B \in \mathcal{M}\left(N_{1}, N_{2} ; \mathbf{R}\right)} d \mu^{\mathrm{chGUE}}\left(C-B ; \sigma_{1}^{2}\right) d \mu^{\mathrm{chGOE}}\left(B ; \sigma_{2}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote the probability density function of the radial coordinates $\mathbf{c}=\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{N_{2}}\right)$ of matrix $C$ in this ensemble by $p^{\mathrm{chGUE} / \mathrm{GOE}}\left(\mathbf{c} ; \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2} ; N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$.

Comparing the above definitions and (28), we can prove the following equality for nonnegative integers $\nu$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{N, T}^{(\nu, \nu+1)}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{y}) \\
= & N!p^{\mathrm{chGUE} / \mathrm{GOE}}\left(\mathbf{y} ; t\left(1-\frac{t}{T}\right), \frac{t^{2}}{T} ; N+\nu, N\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leq y_{1}<\cdots<y_{N}$. It implies that, if $\nu=$ $0,1,2, \cdots$, the time-evolution of the noncolliding generalized meanders indexed $(\nu, \nu+1)$ is represented by the transition of the eigenvalue-statistics from the chGUE class to the chGOE class.

## IV. GAUSSIAN ENSEMBLES OF BOGOLIUBOV-DEGENNES RANDOM MATRICES

Since we have found that the noncolliding Brownian motion with a wall is equivalent with the noncolliding system of Brownian meanders with indices $\nu=1 / 2$ and $\kappa=1$, it does not belong to the chiral symmetry classes discussed in the previous section. We have to consider the ensembles of hermitian matrices in the form of the Bogoliubov-deGennes Hamiltonian for the mean-field theory of superconductivity.

As shown in II-C, the time evolution of spatial distribution of particles in the present system can be regarded as a transition of the eigenvalue-statistics of the BdG random Hamiltonian in the class $C$ to the class $C \mathrm{I}$. The former statistics is characterized by the exponent $\beta=2$ of the repulsive factor $\widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{y})^{\beta}$ and the latter by $\beta=1$. It does not imply, however, that the functional form of the distribution is maintained in the form (5) with $\alpha=\beta$ and only the exponent $\beta$ changes continuously as the time passes. It this section, using a version of Harish-Chandra (Itzykson-Zuber) integral formula over unitary group, we show the fact that the time evolution of the present process is described by a two-matrix model coupling random matrices, one of which is chosen from a Gaussian ensemble of the BdG Hamiltonian matrices of class $C$ and other of which is from that of class $C \mathrm{I}$. There the time dependence of variances of these two ensembles is different from each other.

## A. Hermitian and real symmetric matrices with particle-hole symmetry

We consider the space of the hermitian matrices specified by the following:

$$
\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})=\left\{\mathcal{H}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
b^{\dagger} & -a^{T}
\end{array}\right):\right.
$$

$a$ is an $N \times N$ hermitian matrix, and $b$ is an $N \times N$ complex symmetric matrix $\}$.

Since the dimension of the space of $a$ is $N^{2}$ and that of $b$ is $N(N+1)$, the dimension of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})$ is $N(2 N+1)$. Define

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{N}  \tag{29}\\
-I_{N} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I_{N}$ is the $N \times N$ unit matrix. Then $\mathcal{H} \in$ $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})$ has the following symmetry [22, 23]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=-\mathcal{C} \mathcal{H}^{T} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\varphi_{j}$ is the $2 N \times 1$ eigenvector of $\mathcal{H}$ with an eigenvalue $\omega_{j} ; \mathcal{H} \varphi_{j}=\omega_{j} \varphi_{j}$. Then by (30), $-\mathcal{C} \mathcal{H}^{T} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \varphi_{j}=\omega_{j} \varphi_{j}$. Take the complex conjugate of
the both sides and use the hermiticity of $\mathcal{H}$ and the fact $\mathcal{C}^{-1}=-\mathcal{C}$, we have $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{C} \varphi_{j}^{*}\right)=-\omega_{j}\left(\mathcal{C} \varphi_{j}^{*}\right)$. That means $\mathcal{C} \varphi_{j}^{*}$ is the eigenvector of $\mathcal{H}$ with the eigenvalue $-\omega_{j}$. Assume that $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \cdots, \omega_{N}$ be the nonnegative eigenvalues of $\mathcal{H}$, then other eigenvalues are given by $-\omega_{1}, \cdots,-\omega_{N}$. Therefore, if we set

$$
\binom{U_{1}^{\dagger}}{U_{2}^{\dagger}} \equiv\left(\varphi_{1}, \cdots, \varphi_{N}\right), \quad U \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
U_{1} & U_{2}  \tag{31}\\
U_{2}^{*} & -U_{1}^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

then

$$
\mathcal{H} U^{\dagger}=U^{\dagger} \Lambda, \quad \text { with } \quad \Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega & 0 \\
0 & -\omega
\end{array}\right)
$$

We assume that $U$ is unitary. Then we can see that $i U$ satisfies the relation $\mathcal{C}=(i U)^{T} \mathcal{C}(i U)$. The set of such $2 N \times 2 N$ unitary matrices is called the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})$ 25, 26], whose dimension is $\ell=2 N^{2}$.

The above consideration is summarized as follows; any $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})$ can be diagonalized as

$$
U \mathcal{H} U^{\dagger}=\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega & 0 \\
0 & -\omega
\end{array}\right), \quad i U \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})
$$

where $\omega=\operatorname{diag}\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{N}\right), \omega_{j} \geq 0,1 \leq j \leq N$. We then consider the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H} \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto}(\omega, U)=\left(\left(\omega_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq N}, \mathbf{p}=\left(p_{\mu}\right)_{1 \leq \mu \leq \ell}\right), \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{p}$ denotes the $\ell$-dimensional vector, whose elements are the independent variables of $U$. We have the Jacobian of this map as [23],

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Jac}(\varphi) & =\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \omega_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \omega_{N}}, \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{\ell}}\right)\right| \\
& =C(\mathbf{p}) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \omega_{j}^{2} \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left(\omega_{j}^{2}-\omega_{k}^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C(\mathbf{p})$ is a function independent of the eigenvalues $\omega$.

Next we consider the set

$$
\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{R})=\left\{\mathcal{H}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
b & -a^{T}
\end{array}\right):\right.
$$

$$
a \text { and } b \text { are } N \times N \text { real symmetric matrices }\}
$$

In this case, since the dimensions of the spaces of $a$ and $b$ are both $N(N+1) / 2$, the dimension of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{R})$ is $N(N+1)$. We can see that any $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{R})$ can be diagonalized as

$$
U^{\prime} \mathcal{H} U^{\prime T}=\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega & 0 \\
0 & -\omega
\end{array}\right), \quad i U^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 N ; i \mathbf{R})
$$

where $\omega=\operatorname{diag}\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{N}\right), \omega_{j} \geq 0,1 \leq j \leq N$. Here $\operatorname{Sp}(2 N ; i \mathbf{R})$ is the symplectic group of $2 N \times 2 N$ matrices whose elements are pure imaginary. The map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H} \stackrel{\varphi^{\prime}}{\mapsto}\left(\omega, U^{\prime}\right)=\left(\left(\omega_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq N}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}=\left(p_{\mu}\right)_{1 \leq \mu \leq \ell^{\prime}}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\ell^{\prime}=$ dimension of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 N ; \mathbf{R})=N^{2}$, is considered. Here $\mathbf{p}^{\prime}$ denotes the $\ell^{\prime}$-dimensional vector with the elements of the independent variables of $U^{\prime}$. The Jacobian of this map is determined as 23]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Jac}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)=C^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left|\omega_{j}\right| \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left|\omega_{j}^{2}-\omega_{k}^{2}\right| \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)$ is a function independent of the eigenvalues $\omega$. The important point is that $\operatorname{Jac}(\varphi)$ and $\operatorname{Jac}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)$ are proportional to $\left|\widehat{h}_{N}(\omega)\right|^{2}$ and $\left|\widehat{h}_{N}(\omega)\right|$, respectively.

## B. Gaussian ensembles and eigenvalue distributions

Altland and Zirnbauer introduced the Gaussian ensembles of the BdG Hamiltonians in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})$ and in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{R})$, in which the probability measures are in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{N}\left(\mathcal{H} ; \sigma^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H} \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4 \sigma^{2}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{H}^{2}\right) d \mathcal{H} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with variance $2 \sigma^{2}$. For $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})$, we write the complex variables as $a_{j k}=a_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}+i a_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}, b_{j k}=b_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}+$ $i b_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}$ with $i=\sqrt{-1}, a_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}, a_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}, b_{j \mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{R}}, b_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}} \in \mathbf{R}$, and choose the independent variables as $\left\{a_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}, b_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}, b_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}: 1 \leq j \leq k \leq\right.$ $N\} \cup\left\{a_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}: 1 \leq j<k \leq N\right\}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{H}^{2}=\sum_{j, k}\left|\mathcal{H}_{j k}\right|^{2} \\
= & 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\{\left(a_{j j}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{j j}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{j j}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
+ & 4 \sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left\{\left(a_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{2}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

the probability measure (36) is rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{N}\left(\mathcal{H} ; \sigma^{2}\right) \\
= & \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{e^{-\left(a_{j j}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \frac{e^{-\left(b_{j j}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \frac{e^{-\left(b_{j j}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \\
\times & \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N} \frac{e^{-\left(a_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2} / \sigma^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi \sigma^{2}}} \frac{e^{-\left(a_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{2} / \sigma^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi \sigma^{2}}} \\
\times & \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N} \frac{e^{-\left(b_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2} / \sigma^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi \sigma^{2}}} \frac{e^{-\left(b_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{2} / \sigma^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi \sigma^{2}}} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

with the integration measure

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathcal{H}=\prod_{j=1}^{N} d a_{j j}^{\mathrm{R}} d b_{j j}^{\mathrm{R}} d b_{j j}^{\mathrm{I}} \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N} d a_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}} d a_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}} d b_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}} d b_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The probability measure (36) is given for $\mathcal{H} \in$ $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{R})$, by setting all the imaginary parts $\left\{a_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}, b_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}\right\}$ be zeros in (37) and (38).

On the other hand, following the maps (32) and (34), the integration measures are transformed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathcal{H} \propto \operatorname{Jac}(\varphi) d \omega \times d U \propto \widehat{h}_{N}(\omega)^{2} d \omega d U \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{C}), i U \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathcal{H} \propto \operatorname{Jac}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right) d \omega \times d U^{\prime} \propto\left|\widehat{h}_{N}(\omega)\right| d \omega d U^{\prime} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{M}^{\operatorname{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{R}), i U^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 N ; i \mathbf{R})$, respectively. Since $\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{H}^{2}=2|\omega|^{2}=2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} \omega_{j}^{2}$, integrating over the spaces $\operatorname{Sp}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(2 N ; i \mathbf{R})$ gives the distribution functions of the nonnegative eigenvalues $\omega=$
$\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{N}\right), \omega_{i} \geq 0$, in the form of (5) with the indices $\alpha=\beta=2(\operatorname{class} C)$ and with $\alpha=\beta=1($ class $C \mathrm{I})$, respectively.

## C. Harish-Chandra integral formula

The transition probability density (12) from the state $\mathbf{0}$ to the state $\mathbf{y}$ in time $t$ is written as follows using (7), where the proportional constants are independent of the stochastic variables $\mathbf{y}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{g}_{N, T}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{y}) \propto e^{-|\mathbf{y}|^{2} / 2 t} \widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{y}) \int_{0 \leq z_{1}<\cdots<z_{N}} d \mathbf{z} \widehat{f}_{N}(T-t, \mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{y}) \\
\propto & \left.\widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{y}) \int d \mathbf{z} \operatorname{sgn}\left[\widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{z})\right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq N} \operatorname{det}^{\left[y_{j}^{2} / 2 t-\left(y_{j}-z_{k}\right)^{2} / 2(T-t)\right.}-e^{-y_{j}^{2} / 2 t-\left(y_{j}+z_{k}\right)^{2} / 2(T-t)}\right] \\
= & \widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{y}) \int d \mathbf{z} \operatorname{sgn}\left[\widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{z})\right] e^{-|\mathbf{z}|^{2} / 2 T} \\
& \times \operatorname{det}_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{T}{2 t(T-t)}\left(y_{j}-\frac{t}{T} z_{k}\right)^{2}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{T}{2 t(T-t)}\left(y_{j}+\frac{t}{T} z_{k}\right)^{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we set $\omega_{k}^{\prime}=t z_{k} / T, 1 \leq k \leq N$, and regard $\omega^{\prime}=\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \omega_{N}^{\prime}\right)$ as the nonnegative eigenvalues of the BdG Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}=\left(\mathcal{H}_{j k}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{R})$. By (40), $d \mathbf{z} \propto d \omega^{\prime} \propto d \mathcal{H}^{\prime} /\left|\widehat{h}_{N}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\right|$, and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{g}_{N, T}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{y}) \\
\propto & \widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{y}) \int d \mathcal{H}^{\prime} \frac{1}{\widehat{h}_{N}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)} e^{-T\left|\omega^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 2 t^{2}} \operatorname{det}_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{T}{2 t(T-t)}\left(y_{j}-\omega_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{T}{2 t(T-t)}\left(y_{j}+\omega_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)\right] . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

The result recently reported by Nagao 24] will give a version of Harish-Chandra (Itzykson-Zuber) integral formula in the present case,

$$
\int d U \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4 \sigma^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(U^{\dagger} \mathcal{H} U-\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \propto \frac{1}{\widehat{h}_{N}(\omega) \widehat{h}_{N}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)} \operatorname{det}_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}\left[e^{-\left(\omega_{j}-\omega_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}-e^{-\left(\omega_{j}+\omega_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}\right]
$$

where the integral is taken over the unitary matrices $U$ such that $i U \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})$, and $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ are hermitian matrices in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{R})$ having the nonnegative eigenvalues $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{N}\right)$ and $\omega^{\prime}=\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \omega_{N}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. Application of this identity to (41) gives

$$
\widehat{g}_{N, T}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{y}) \propto \widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{y})^{2} \int d U \int d \mathcal{H}^{\prime} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(U^{\dagger} Y U-\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

with $\sigma^{2}=t(1-t / T)$ and $\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)^{2}=t^{2} / T$, where $Y=$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{N},-y_{1}, \cdots,-y_{N}\right), y_{j} \geq 0,1 \leq j \leq N$. This can be regarded as a BdG version of the two-matrix model studied in [10] for the vicious walker model without wall. Since it is a convolution of two Gaussian distributions, we will arrive at the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{g}_{N, T}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{y}) \propto \widehat{h}_{N}(\mathbf{y})^{2} \int d U \widehat{\mu}_{N, T}\left(t, U^{\dagger} Y U\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{BdG}}(2 N ; \mathbf{C})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\mu}_{N, T}(t, \mathcal{H}) \\
= & \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left\{\frac{e^{-\left(a_{j j}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}} \frac{e^{-\left(b_{j j}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}} \frac{e^{-\left(b_{j j}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}}}\right\} \\
\times & \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left\{\frac{e^{-\left(a_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2} / \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}} \frac{e^{-\left(a_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{2} / \sigma_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi \sigma_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times \prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq N}\left\{\frac{e^{-\left(b_{j k}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2} / \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}} \frac{e^{-\left(b_{j k}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{2} / \sigma_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi \sigma_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}}}\right\} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}=\sigma^{2}+\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)^{2}=t, \quad \sigma_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}=\sigma^{2}=t\left(1-\frac{t}{T}\right)
$$

Now the transition from the class $C$ to the class $C \mathrm{I}$ is explicitly represented. The variance $\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}$ increases linearly in $t$, but $\sigma_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}$ increases in time only up to time $t=T / 2$ and then decreases in time. As $t \rightarrow T, \sigma_{\mathrm{I}}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ making the imaginary parts of matrix elements zeros with probability one, and the symmetry class is changed.

## V. MOMENTS OF VICIOUS WALKERS WITH A WALL

In this section, we study the moments of positions of vicious walkers with a wall in order to characterize the transition of distribution, as reported in [13] for the vicious walkers without wall. The $n$-th moment of the positions of walkers is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{N, T}(t, n)=\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}^{n}\right\rangle_{t} \\
& \quad=\int_{0 \leq x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N}} d \mathbf{x} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}^{n} \widehat{g}_{N, T}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=1,2, \cdots$, where $x_{j}$ denotes the position of $j$-th walker.

Note that

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{H}^{2 k}\right)=\sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{2 k}} \mathcal{H}_{j_{1} j_{2}} \mathcal{H}_{j_{2} j_{3}} \cdots \mathcal{H}_{j_{2 k-1} j_{2 k}} \mathcal{H}_{j_{2 k} j_{1}}
$$

where the sum is taken over all $N^{2 k}$ combinations of indices $j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{2 k}$.

Since (43) is a product of independent Gaussian integration-kernels, we can apply the Wick formula with the variances

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left(a_{j \ell}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}{2}\left(1+\delta_{j \ell}\right), \quad\left\langle\left(a_{j \ell}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}}{2}\left(1-\delta_{j \ell}\right), \\
& \left\langle\left(b_{j \ell}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}{2}\left(1+\delta_{j \ell}\right), \quad\left\langle\left(b_{j \ell}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}}{2}\left(1+\delta_{j \ell}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq \ell \leq N$, where $\delta_{j \ell}$ is Kronecker's delta. These relations are rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle a_{j \ell} a_{m n}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{j \ell}\left(a^{T}\right)_{n m}\right\rangle=\frac{c^{2}}{2}\left(\delta_{j n} \delta_{\ell m}+\gamma \delta_{j m} \delta_{\ell n}\right) \\
& \left\langle b_{j \ell} b_{m n}\right\rangle=\frac{c^{2}}{2} \gamma\left(\delta_{j n} \delta_{\ell m}+\delta_{j m} \delta_{\ell n}\right) \\
& \left\langle b_{j \ell}\left(b^{\dagger}\right)_{m n}\right\rangle=\frac{c^{2}}{2}\left(\delta_{j n} \delta_{\ell m}+\delta_{j m} \delta_{\ell n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $1 \leq j, \ell, m, n \leq N$, where

$$
c^{2}=\frac{t(2 T-t)}{T}, \quad \gamma=\frac{t}{2 T-t}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{N}(j, \ell ; m, n)=\delta_{j n} \delta_{\ell m}-\delta_{j+N m} \delta_{\ell+N n}-\delta_{j-N m} \delta_{\ell-N n} \\
& \quad+\delta_{j+N m} \delta_{\ell-N n}+\delta_{j-N m} \delta_{\ell+N n} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have the variance of the BdG-type Hamiltonian in our time-dependent ensemble as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{H}_{j \ell} \mathcal{H}_{m n}\right\rangle=\frac{c^{2}}{2}\left\{\delta_{N}(j, \ell ; m, n)+\gamma \delta_{N}(j, \ell ; n, m)\right\} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq j, \ell, m, n \leq 2 N$. The Wick formula for (44) is thus

$$
\begin{array}{r}
m_{N, T}(t, 2 k)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{2 k}} \sum_{\pi \in S_{2 k}: \mathrm{R}}\left\langle\mathcal{H}_{j_{\pi(1)} j_{\pi(1)+1}} \mathcal{H}_{j_{\pi(2)} j_{\pi(2)+1}}\right\rangle\left\langle\mathcal{H}_{j_{\pi(3)} j_{\pi(3)+1}} \mathcal{H}_{j_{\pi(4)} j_{\pi(4)+1}}\right\rangle \\
\cdots\left\langle\mathcal{H}_{j_{\pi(2 k-1)} j_{\pi(2 k-1)+1}} \mathcal{H}_{j_{\pi(2 k)} j_{\pi(2 k)+1}}\right\rangle \tag{47}
\end{array}
$$

with the identification $j_{2 k+1}=j_{1}$, where the first sum is
taken over all $N^{2 k}$ combinations of indices $j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{2 k}$,


FIG. 3: Ten kinds of ribbons with and without arrows. Weights are also listed.
and the second one over the set of permutations $S_{2 k}$ of $\{1,2, \cdots, 2 k\}$ with the restriction

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R}: & \pi(1)<\pi(3)<\cdots<\pi(2 k-1) \\
& \pi(2 j-1)<\pi(2 j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq k
\end{array}
$$

The total number of the terms in the second summation is $(2 k-1)!!$.

## B. Möbius graph expansion

There are ten terms in the variance (46) with (45). We will represent each of them by a pair of lines as shown in Fig.3, by expressing Kronecker's delta $\delta_{j n}$ by a line without arrow connecting $j$ and $n, \delta_{j+N m}$ by a line with arrow in the direction from $j$ to $m$, and $\delta_{j-N m}$ by a line with arrow in the direction from $m$ to $j$, respectively. The weights, which should be multiplied to the factor $c^{2} / 2$, are also listed. We regard these pairs of lines as the hems of ribbons. As shown in Fig.3, in ten kinds of ribbons, half of them are twisted and others are untwisted. Two kinds of ribbons do not have any arrows on hems, and other eight kinds of ribbons have arrows on hems. We will call the ribbons having arrows in the same direction current ribbons (c-ribbons for short), the ribbons having arrows in the opposite directions exchange ribbons (eribbons), and those not having any arrows normal ribbons (n-ribbons), respectively.

Inserting (46) into (47) gives a sum of the $K=$ $(2 k-1)!!\times 10^{k}$ terms in the form, $m_{N, T}(t, 2 k)=(1 / 2)$. $\left(c^{2} / 2\right)^{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} L_{\ell}$ with $L_{\ell}=\sum_{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{2 k}} L_{\ell}\left(j_{1}, \cdots, j_{2 k}\right)$. As explained in 13], each term $L_{\ell}\left(j_{1}, \cdots, j_{2 k}\right)$ is represented by a graph which consists of a $2 k$-gon with its edges $\overline{j_{1} j_{2}}, \overline{j_{2} j_{3}}, \cdots, \overline{j_{2 k} j_{1}}$ connected by $k$ ribbons to make $k$ pairs (Wick pairs). Such graphs may be called Möbius graphs having ribbons with and without arrows. If we assume that there are $k_{n}$ n-ribbons, $k_{c}$ c-ribbons and $k_{e}$ e-ribbons, and among them $\varphi_{n}$ n-ribbons, $\varphi_{c}$ c-


FIG. 4: An example of allowed ways of putting arrows on ribbons. The 0 -vertices are marked by circles.
ribbons and $\varphi_{e}$ e-ribbons are twisted, the weight of $L_{\ell}\left(j_{1}, \cdots, j_{2 k}\right)$ is $\gamma^{\varphi_{n}} \times(-\gamma)^{k_{c}-\varphi_{c}} \times(-1)^{\varphi_{c}} \times \gamma^{k_{e}-\varphi_{e}}=$ $(-1)^{k_{c}} \gamma^{k-k_{n}-\varphi+2 \varphi_{n}}$, where $k=k_{n}+k_{c}+k_{e}$ and $\varphi \equiv$ $\varphi_{n}+\varphi_{c}+\varphi_{e}$ (the total number of twisted ribbons). For each vertex $j_{s}, 1 \leq s \leq 2 k$, we take the summation of the index over $1 \leq j_{s} \leq 2 N$ to calculate $L_{\ell}$ from $\left\{L_{\ell}\left(j_{1}, \cdots, j_{2 k}\right)\right\}$. Since each ribbon represents a product of two Kronecker's deltas in (45), any pairs of indices $j_{s}$ and $j_{s}^{\prime}$ connected by a line (a hem of ribbon) should be identified, or identified in modulus $\pm N$, and the free indices remaining after this "identification" of indices give $N$ dependence to $L_{\ell}$. We will find the following rules for the $N$ dependence, where it should be noted that each vertex is the endpoint of two lines (two hems of two ribbons) with or without arrows. (i) If both of the lines connected to a vertex have no arrows, then we will call such a vertex a 0 -vertex. The summation over a free index on a 0 -vertex gives $2 N$. (ii) If at least one of the two lines connected to a vertex has an arrow and they are not a pair of lines with inward and outward arrows, then the summation over a free index on such a vertex gives $N$. (iii) Otherwise, the sum over free index becomes zero. Consider the equivalence classes of the Möbius graphs with n -, $\mathrm{c}-$, and e-ribbons. If a class is expressed by a representative graph, say $\Gamma$, the number of elements of the class $\Gamma$ (i.e. the number of graphs topologically equivalent with $\Gamma$ ) is denoted by $|\Gamma|$. Let $V(\Gamma)$ be the total numbers of free indices of $\Gamma$ and $V_{0}(\Gamma)$ be the number of free indices on 0 -vertices. Set $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(k)$ be the collection of all such graphs $\{\Gamma\}$, then we have the combinatorial expression for the moments as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{N, T}(t, 2 k)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{c^{2}}{2}\right)^{k} \sum_{\Gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}(k)}|\Gamma| 2^{V_{0}(\Gamma)} N^{V(\Gamma)} \\
& \quad \times(-1)^{k_{c}(\Gamma)} \gamma^{k-k_{n}(\Gamma)-\varphi(\Gamma)+2 \varphi_{n}(\Gamma)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In [13] the collection $\mathcal{G}$ of topologically distinct Möbius graphs, which consist of $2 k$-gons and $k$ normal ribbons,
was introduced and the fact was used that each graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ having only untwisted ribbons (resp. having some twisted ribbons) defines an orientable (resp. nonorientable) surface $S_{\Gamma}$ by a map 34, 35] to derive the $1 / N^{2}$ (resp. $1 / N$ ) expansion. The number of distinct orientable (resp. non-orientable) surfaces with genus $g$ obtained from the graphs without any twisted ribbons (resp. with $m$ twisted ribbons) in $\mathcal{G}$ was denoted by $\varepsilon_{g}(k)$ 36] (resp. $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{g, m}(k)$ 13]). We notice that the Möbius graphs in $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(k)$ introduced here are obtained by putting arrows on hems of some of the normal ribbons in $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(k)$. There only allowed ways to put them are such that there is no pairs of lines with inward and outward arrows connected to a vertex (see Fig.4). We now introduce the following multiplicative factors to $\varepsilon_{g}(k)$ and $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{g, m}(k), A_{v_{0}, \kappa_{n}, \kappa_{c}}^{g}(k) \equiv$ the number of allowed ways to put arrows on the hems of ribbons in a Möbius graph without twisted ribbons, which is mapped to a surface with genus $g$, so that the graph becomes to have $\kappa_{n}$ nribbons, $\kappa_{c}$ c-ribbons, and $v_{0}$ free indices on 0 -vertices, and $\widetilde{A}_{v_{0}, \kappa_{n}, \kappa_{c}, m_{n}}^{g, m}(k) \equiv$ the number of allowed ways to put arrows on the hems of ribbons in a Möbius graph with $m$ twisted ribbons, which is mapped to a surface with genus $g$, so that the graph becomes to have $\kappa_{n}$ n-ribbons in which $m_{n}$ are twisted, $\kappa_{c}$ c-ribbons, and $v_{0}$ free indices on 0 -vertices. Here remark that the total number of ribbons is fixed to be $k$, the number of e-ribbons should be $k-\kappa_{n}-\kappa_{c}$, and that the total number of free vertices $V(\Gamma)$ is determined by $k$ and $g$ through the relations with the Euler characteristics $\chi \equiv V-k+1$ as $\chi=2-2 g$ for $m=0$ and $\chi=2-g$ for $m \geq 1$, respectively. Then we have the following $1 / N$ expansion formula for the moments,

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{N, T}(t, 2 k)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{c^{2}}{2}\right)^{k} N^{k+1} \sum_{g=0}^{[k / 2]} \varepsilon_{g}(k)\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}}\right)^{g} \\
\times & \sum_{v_{0}=0}^{k+1-2 g} \sum_{\kappa_{n}=0}^{k} \sum_{\kappa_{c}}(-1)^{\kappa_{c}} 2^{v_{0}} A_{v_{0}, \kappa_{n}, \kappa_{c}}^{g}(k) \gamma^{k-\kappa_{n}} \\
+ & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{c^{2}}{2}\right)^{k} N^{k+1} \sum_{g=1}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{g} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{g, m}(k) \sum_{v_{0}=0}^{k+1-g} 2^{v_{0}} \\
\times & \sum_{\kappa_{n}=0}^{k} \sum_{\kappa_{c}} \sum_{m_{n}=0}^{m}(-1)^{\kappa_{c}} \widetilde{A}_{v_{0}, \kappa_{n}, \kappa_{c}, m_{n}}^{g, m}(k) \gamma^{k-\kappa_{n}-m+2 m_{n}} . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we may prove that $\widetilde{A}_{v_{0}, \kappa_{n}, \kappa_{c}, m_{n}}^{g, m_{n}}(k)=0$ for $k-\kappa_{n}-$ $m+2 m_{n}<0$, and (48) will give a series with nonnegative powers of $\gamma$.

As an example, we consider the fourth moment. For $k=2$, we found [13]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{0}(2)=2, \quad \varepsilon_{1}(2)=1 \\
& \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{1,1}(2)=4, \quad \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{1,2}(2)=1, \quad \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{2,1}(2)=2, \quad \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{2,2}(2)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

As we can confirm easily that the factors $A_{v_{0}, \kappa_{n}, \kappa_{c}}^{g}(2)$ and $\left.\widetilde{A_{v_{0}, \kappa_{n}}, \kappa_{c}, m_{n}} \boldsymbol{g}, 2^{2}\right)$ have non-zero values only in the following
cases,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
A_{3,2,0}^{0}(2)=1, & A_{1,2,0}^{1}(2)=1 \\
A_{0,1,1}^{1}(2)=4, & A_{0,0,0}^{1}(2)=2 \\
\widetilde{A}_{1,1,0,0}^{1,1}(2)=2, & \widetilde{A}_{2,2,0,1}^{1,1}(2)=1, & \widetilde{A}_{0,0,1,0}^{1,2}(2)=4 \\
\widetilde{A}_{2,2,0,2}^{1,2}(2)=1, & \widetilde{A}_{0,1,1,0}^{2,1}(2)=2, & \widetilde{A}_{1,2,0,1}^{2,1}(2)=1 \\
\widetilde{A}_{0,0,1,0}^{2,1}(2)=2, & \widetilde{A}_{0,1,0,1}^{2,1}(2)=2, & \widetilde{A}_{0,0,0,0}^{2,2}(2)=2, \\
\widetilde{A}_{0,1,0,1}^{2,2}(2)=4, & \widetilde{A}_{1,2,0,2}^{2,2}(2)=1 &
\end{array}
$$

Then (48) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{N, T}(t, 4) & =\frac{c^{4}}{4}\left\{8 N^{3}+\left(6+8 \gamma+2 \gamma^{2}\right) N^{2}\right. \\
+ & \left.\left(1+2 \gamma+5 \gamma^{2}\right) N\right\} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

By definition we will see $A_{v_{0}, \kappa_{n}, \kappa_{c}}^{0}(k)=$ $\delta_{v_{0} k+1} \delta_{\kappa_{n} k} \delta_{\kappa_{c} 0}$, and thus the leading term in (48) for large $N$ is

$$
m_{N, T}(t, 2 k)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{c^{2}}{2}\right)^{k}(2 N)^{k+1} \varepsilon_{0}(k)+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{k}\right)
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{0}(k)$ is the Catalan number, Wigner's semicircle law will hold in $N \rightarrow \infty$ also in the BdG random matrices.

## C. Calculation by density function

By (12) with (7) and (11), it is easy to see that $\widehat{g}_{N, T}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{x})$ is symmetric in $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N}$. Then we can define the density function as

$$
\widehat{\rho}(t, \mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{(N-1)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=2}^{N} d x_{j} \widehat{g}_{N, T}(0, \mathbf{0} ; t, \mathbf{x})
$$

and the even moments (44) are calculated by it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{N, T}(t, 2 k)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2 k} \widehat{\rho}(t, x) d x \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L_{j}^{(a)}(z)$ be the Laguerre polynomials with a parameter $a$ defined as

$$
L_{j}^{(a)}(z)=\frac{e^{z} z^{-a}}{j!} \frac{d^{j}}{d z^{j}}\left(e^{-z} z^{j+a}\right)
$$

Using them with $a=1 / 2$, we can define the monic polynomials $C_{j}(z)=(-1)^{j} j!L_{j}^{(1 / 2)}(z)$, which satisfy the orthogonality $\int_{0}^{\infty} z^{1 / 2} e^{-z} C_{j}(z) C_{\ell}(z) d z=h_{j} \delta_{j \ell}$ with $h_{j}=$ $\Gamma(j+3 / 2) j$ !. Quite recently Nagao gave the general expressions of dynamical correlations for the present system using $C_{j}(z)[24]$. From his result, we can read the density function $\widehat{\rho}(t, x)$ as

$$
\widehat{\rho}(t, x)=\frac{2 x^{2}}{c^{3}} e^{-(x / c)^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{C_{j}\left((x / c)^{2}\right)^{2}}{h_{j}}+\frac{2 x^{2}}{c^{3}} e^{-(x / c)^{2}} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\ell} \beta_{j \ell} \alpha_{\ell m} \frac{C_{j}\left((x / c)^{2}\right) C_{m}\left((x / c)^{2}\right)}{h_{j}} \gamma^{j-m}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{2 j \ell}=(-1)^{\ell} \frac{(2 j)!}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(2 j-\ell+1 / 2)}{(2 j-\ell)!\ell!}, \quad \alpha_{2 j+1 \ell}=(-1)^{\ell} \frac{(2 j+1)!}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left(2 j-\ell+\frac{1}{4}\right) \frac{\Gamma(2 j-\ell-1 / 2)}{(2 j-\ell+1)!\ell!} \\
& \beta_{j 2 \ell}=\frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(j-2 \ell-1 / 2)}{(j-2 \ell)!} \frac{j!}{(2 \ell)!}, \quad \beta_{j 2 \ell+1}=\frac{(-1)^{j}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{j!}{(2 \ell+1)!} \sum_{m=0}^{[(j-2 \ell-1) / 2]} \frac{\Gamma(j-2 \ell-2 m-3 / 2)}{(j-2 \ell-2 m-1)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $H_{j}(z)$ be the $j$-th Hermite polynomial, $H_{j}(z)=(-1)^{j} e^{z^{2}}(d / d z)^{j} e^{-z^{2}}$. If we use the following equalities 37, 38],

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{j}^{(a-1)}(z)=L_{j}^{(a)}(z)-L_{j-1}^{(a)}(z), \quad z L_{j}^{(a+1)}(z)=(j+a+1) L_{j}^{(a)}(z)-(j+1) L_{j+1}^{(a)}(z) \\
& \sum_{m=0}^{j} \frac{m!}{\Gamma(m+a+1)}\left(L_{m}^{(a)}(z)\right)^{2}=\frac{(j+1)!}{\Gamma(j+a+1)}\left\{L_{j}^{(a)}(z) L_{j}^{(a+1)}(z)-L_{j+1}^{(a)}(z) L_{j-1}^{(a+1)}(z)\right\} \\
& L_{j}^{(-1 / 2)}(z)=\frac{(-1)^{j}}{2^{2 j} j!} H_{2 j}(\sqrt{z}), \quad \sqrt{z} L_{j}^{(1 / 2)}(z)=\frac{(-1)^{j}}{2^{2 j+1} j!} H_{2 j+1}(\sqrt{z}) \quad \text { for } z \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

we will have the following expression for the density function,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{\rho}(t, x)=\frac{N}{2^{4 N-3}(N-1)!\Gamma(N+1 / 2)} \frac{1}{c} e^{-(x / c)^{2}} \\
& \times\left\{\left(H_{2 N-1}(x / c)\right)^{2}-\frac{(2 N-1)(N-1)}{N} \frac{c}{x} H_{2 N}(x / c) H_{2 N-3}(x / c)-\frac{N-1}{2 N} \frac{c}{x} H_{2 N}(x / c) H_{2 N-1}(x / c)\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{c} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\ell} \beta_{j \ell} \alpha_{\ell m} \gamma^{j-m} \frac{1}{2^{2 m}(2 j+1)!\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-(x / c)^{2}} H_{2 j+1}(x / c) H_{2 m+1}(x / c) . \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (51) into (50) and using the integral formulae of Hermite polynomials used in (13) and the relation $\sum_{\ell=m}^{n} \beta_{n \ell} \alpha_{\ell m}=\delta_{n m}$ for $n \geq m$ [24], we will arrive at the expression

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{N, T}(t, 2 k)=\left(\frac{c^{2}}{2}\right)^{k} N(2 k-1)!!\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{2 N-1}{k-j} 2^{k-j}\left[\binom{k}{j}-\binom{k-1}{j}\left\{\frac{k-j-1}{2(k-j+1)}+\frac{N-1}{2 N-k+j}\right\}\right] \\
-\left(\frac{c^{2}}{2}\right)^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=\ell}^{k-j-1} \gamma^{m+1} \sum_{n=N}^{m-\ell+N} \beta_{m-\ell+N n} \alpha_{n} N-\ell-1 \\
\quad \times \frac{(2 k)!(2 N-2 \ell-1)!2^{m-j+1}}{j!(j+2 N-2 \ell+m-k)!(k-j-m-1)!(k-j+m+1)!} \tag{52}
\end{gather*}
$$

As a matter of course, when we set $k=2$, (52) gives the fourth moment (49). The large- $N$ behavior discussed below (49) is obtained also from (52).

## VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the present paper we have considered the solvability of one-dimensional vicious walker models, which are generalizations of the model studied in earlier papers [10, 12]. We are interested in these systems as
the nonequilibrium statistical models, since they provide in general spatially and temporally inhomogeneous systems. The temporally inhomogeneous one-particle systems have been extensively studied by Yor by constructing them from Brownian motions and Bessel processes [17]. Our present work may be regarded as a new attempt to construct many-particle systems in one-dimension using such temporally inhomogeneous processes as elementary processes, so that we can discuss also the spatial inhomogeneity.

We have imposed the noncolliding condition between
particles, since we have thought them as vicious walkers. This condition introduces the effective long-ranged repulsive interactions among particles and it may make the models be nontrivial many-particle systems. Our strategy to analyze the models is to map these interacting particle systems to multimatrix models defined in the appropriate matrix spaces, which have in general higher dimensions than the original phase spaces of particle systems. The particle positions are expressed by the statistics of eigenvalues [28] or the "radial coordinates" 18, 19, 20, 21, 30] of random matrices.

We have proved the following equivalences in probability distributions.
(i) The noncolliding system of Brownian motions with a wall, defined as the continuum limit of the vicious walker model with a wall, and the noncolliding system of Brownian meanders, which are constructed from the three-dimensional Bessel process.
(ii) The noncolliding $N$ generalized meanders constructed from the $d$-dimensional Bessel processes with $d=2,4,6, \cdots$ and the "radial coordinate" of the $\{N+(d-2) / 2\} \times N$ rectangular random matrices in the Gaussian ensemble with time-dependent variances (a two-matrix model of the chiral GUE and chiral GOE.)
(iii) The noncolliding $N$ Brownian meanders and the nonnegative eigenvalues of $2 N \times 2 N$ BdG-type random Hamiltonians in the Gaussian ensemble with time-dependent variances (a two-matrix model of the BdG-type random matrices in the classes $C$ and $C$ I of Altland and Zirnbauer).

We have discussed the noncolliding system of generalized meanders made from the general odd-dimensional Bessel processes. So a natural question is what is the corresponding random matrix theory for the noncolliding system of generalized meanders associated with the $d$-dimensional Bessel processes with $d=5,7,9, \cdots$. As far as we know, it is an open problem.

We have claimed that the above equivalence between the interacting particle systems and the Gaussian multimatrix models implies the solvability of the systems. This statement may be true, but to obtain exact expressions of general correlation functions for the multimatrix models [39, 40] is far from trivial and one have to use a
series of techniques developed in the random matrix theory. Exact expressions of general dynamical correlation functions enable us to discuss the infinite particle limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ of the nonequilibrium system as reported in [12, 41] for the vicious walker model (noncolliding Brownian motions) without wall, and in [24] for the system with a wall. We expect that the infinite particle limits of dynamical correlations for the noncolliding generalized meanders can be generally evaluated by following the strategy employed in [24, 42].

As a simplest case of the correlation functions, the density function can be determined. As reported in 13], calculation of the moments of the positions of vicious walkers is related with an enumeration problem of orientable and non-orientable surfaces with a fixed number of genus, which are obtained from the Möbius graphs with a fixed number of twisted ribbons by map 34, 35]. In the present paper, we showed that a new graphical problem arises from the vicious walker model with wall restriction; an enumeration problem of the ways of assigning arrows on the ribbons of Möbius graphs following some rules. It should be noted that, roughly speaking, there were two kinds of ribbons in our expansion formula, with and without arrows. These ribbons are thinned into lines and they are drawn on surfaces. Then this enumeration problem may provide, if we consider the lines as "world lines" of particles, the statistical mechanics of composite particles on random surfaces.

Recently a variety of problems associated with the conditional random walks/Brownian motions have been proposed and intensively studied in statistical physics, e.g. first passage problem 43], "lion-lamb" problem 44, 45], diffusion particle systems with mobile traps 46], families of vicious walkers 7], leader and laggard problem 47], system of stochastic Loewner evolutions [48], friendly walker models 49, 50, 51, 52, 53] and so on. Solvability and unsolvability of these models will be important topics in statistical physics far from equilibrium.
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