Phase Transitions of a Bose G as in an Optical Lattice

M arch 22, 2024

K.Ziegler, Institut fur Physik, Universitat Augsburg, Germany Abstract:

A grand-canonical system of interacting bosons is considered to study phase transitions of ultracold atoms in an optical lattice. The phase diagram is discussed in term sofa matrix-like order parameter, representing a sym metric phase (M ott insulator) and a sym metry-broken phase (B ose-E instein condensate). An exact solution was found by introducing N colors and taking the lim it N ! 1.

1 Introduction

In this paper an interacting B ose gas in an optical lattice is studied. It is based on some previously published ideas for the hard-core B ose gas and its generalization to soluble models. The application to B ose E instein condensation, depletion of the condensate and the M ott insulating state in these systems is discussed.

A realBose gas, as has been studied in the case of trapped cold alkali atom s [1], is always subject to interparticle interaction. In a good approximation this interaction is a hard core, characterized by a scattering length a. Depending on the type of atom s and external conditions (e.g. absence or presence of an external magnetic eld), the scattering length can vary over a wide range. Typical values of a observed in experiments are a 5nm in ⁸⁷Rb [2] and up to a 500nm in a gas of ⁸⁵Rb atom s near the Feshbach resonance [3]. Negative scattering lengths are also known but will not be considered here. The e ect of the hard-core interaction can be characterized by the interaction parameter

 $I = \frac{\text{scattering length}}{\text{typical interparticle distance}}:$

If the typical interparticle distance in a Bose gas is large (e.g., 200nm in a very dilute gas that undergoes a Bose-E instein condensation [1]), I is small (I 0:01), and the interaction can be treated as a weak perturbation. This is the regime where the G ross-P itaevskii physics can be used [4, 5].

If the Bose gas is transferred to an optical lattice the characteristic length scale is given by the distance of the potential m in in a, the lattice constant a_1 . Typical experimental values are a_1 250:::500nm. Then the interaction is I 0:01:::1.

>From the theoretical standpoint the sim plest case is the non-interacting Bose gas (I = 0), where no qualitative e ect of the lattice on the Bose-E instein condensation is expected. Indeed, Bose-E instein condensation in a non-interacting Bose gas is not a phase transition, characterized by spontaneous symmetry breaking, but a macroscopic occupation of the groundstate. A grand-canonical ensemble of non-interacting bosons at inverse temperature , with quantum num bers l = 0;1;::: and energy l = 0 is given by the partition function

$$Z_0 = Tre^{i\hat{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} Y & X \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & 1 & 0 & n_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{n_1}$$
: (1)

The fugacity is chosen such that $_0 = 0$ and $_1 > 0$ for l > 0. It is assumed that the groundstate is unique. Then > 1 is not allowed here because this would lead to a divergent series in Eq. (1) for l = 0. The average number of particles with quantum number lis

$$N_1 = hn_1 i = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{2} \log Z = \frac{1}{e^{-1}} (2)$$

This quantity decreases with increasing $_1$ and has its maximum at l = 0. The probablity of nding a particle in the groundstate is

$$\frac{N_0}{N} = 1 \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{N_{1}} N_1:$$
(3)

The Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) is the macroscopically occupied groundstate, i.e., $\lim_{N \to 1} N_0 = N > 0$. A coording to the result (2) this can only happen if goes to 1 when N goes to in nity. Using the density of states () at energy

and keeping the ratio N =V (V: volume of the Bose gas) xed when N $!\,$ 1 , the second term in Eq. (3) becomes the integral

$$\frac{V}{N} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{(x=)}{e^{x} 1} dx:$$

There exists a BEC if the integral is smaller than 1. The latter is the case if (i) the density of states () cuts-o the pole of the integrand (this is usually the case for three-dimensional lattices) and if (ii) V = (N)) is su ciently small. Thus the presence of a lattice does not prohibit the formation of a BEC in a non-interacting Bose gas at su ciently low temperatures. More interesting physics is expected for I 1 when the lattice constant is of the same order as the scattering length because the interaction can block the tunneling processes and lead to an insulating state without phase coherence and with a locally xed num ber of bosons, the M ott insulator.

2 Random - Walk Representation

In order to discuss the interaction between bosons in an optical lattice it is convenient to derive a random -walk representation for the lattice Bose gas. This is related to the idea that the average overparticle uctuations in an equilibrium system is equivalent to the average of random walks. For this purpose one can start from non-interacting bosons, then develop the weakly (Bose-Hubbard-Like) and nally the strongly (hard-core) interacting gas.

2.1 Non-interacting Bose G as

Evaluation of the geometric series in the partition function of Eq. (1) gives

$$Z_0 = \frac{Y}{1} \frac{1}{1 e^{-1}}$$
:

A ssum ing that is an integer, the identity

1 e
$$^{1} = det(1 w_{1})$$

with the matrix

$$w_{1} = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 & u_{1} & 0 & ::: & 0 \\ B & 0 & 0 & u_{1} & \ddots & \vdots \\ B & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & ::: & 0 & 0 & u_{1} \\ u_{1} & 0 & ::: & 0 & 0 \end{cases} (u_{1} = e^{-1})$$
(4)

and the diagonal N N matrix $w = diag(w_1; w_2; :::; w_N)$ can be used to write the partition function as

$$Z_0 = \frac{1}{\det(1 \quad w)};$$
(5)

The matrix elements in the last equation are dened with respect to x = (l;t) (t = 1;2;:::; , l = 0;1;:::;N). The diagonal matrix w can be replaced by any other matrix to describe a non-interacting Bose gas. For instance, tunneling between sites $r;r^0$ of the three-dimensional optical lattice gives with x = (r;t) the matrix elements

$$w_{x;x^{0}} = \begin{array}{ccc} & J_{t^{0};t+1} = 6 & \text{for } r; r^{0} \text{ nearest neighbors} \\ & (1 \quad J)_{t^{0};t+1} & \text{for } r^{0} = r & : \\ & 0 & \text{otherw ise} \end{array}$$
(6)

This choice in plies that a particle either stays in a potential well of the optical lattice at r with probability 1 J (0 J 1) or tunnels from a well at r to one of the six neighboring wells with probability J=6. An expansion of Z₀ in powers of

$$Z_{0} = \sum_{n=0}^{X} \frac{(1)^{n} {}^{n} X}{n!} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \operatorname{Tr}(w^{-1})^{1_{n}}$$
(7)

has an interpretation in term s of random walks: the matrix elements w_{x,x^0} can be considered as (random) steps in positive time direction between the lattice sites at r and r^0 . Then the trace $Tr(w^{-1})$ consists of term swhich start at a site r, go 1 steps and eventually return to r. This is a consequence of the fact that w has non-zero matrix elements only with t;t+1. For 1 > 1 the walk is sent back to t = 1 after steps due to the periodic boundary conditions de ned by the matrix (4). A realization of random walks is shown in Fig1. The number 1 can be associated with the winding number of the corresponding walk.

2.2 Bose G as with Interm ediate Interaction

A weakly-interacting Bose G as is described by the Bose-Hubbard model [6, 7, 8]. This can be derived from the random -walk expansion of Eq. (7) by adding an interaction between the random walks. Since the interaction is local and repulsive, the statistical weight of intersecting walks must be reduced in comparison with their non-intersecting counterparts. This can be implemented in the random -walk representation of Eq. (7) by introducing a phase factor to the tunneling matrix element

$$w_{x;x^{0}}! w_{x;x^{0}}e^{2i'x} v_{x;x^{0}}:$$

and using for the partition function of the interacting system

$$Z_{I} = hdet(1 v)^{1}i$$

with the Gaussian distribution of 'x with zero mean, and h' $_x^2\dot{\nu} = U$. The expansion of Z_I in powers of yields term s of the type

$$\overset{Y}{\underset{x^{0}}{h}} (v_{x;x^{0}})^{l_{x^{0}}} \dot{\iota} = \overset{Y}{\underset{x^{0}}{h}} (w_{x;x^{0}})^{l_{e}} \overset{P}{\underset{x^{0}}{h}} (v_{x^{0}})^{2} :$$

For a singly occupied x (i.e. $\sum_{x^0} l_{x^0} = 1$) the averaging gives a rescaling of the fugacity $! e^{U}$. In the case of a single well (i.e., J = 0 in expression (4)) the partition function can be easily evaluated and gives with = e (being the chem ical potential)

The sum mation over n 0, here form ally obtained from a geometric series, corresponds with the sum mation over particle numbers, as one can see by comparing with Eq. (1). For large only the term with minimal value of (n =U) n contributes signi cantly. This is a (discrete) saddle point approximation:

$$\begin{array}{c} x \\ e \\ n \\ 0 \end{array}$$

The value of n that gives the maximum is also the average number of particles. To evaluate this quantity one has to determ ine the groundstate energy

$$E_0 (=U) = m in_0 U (n =U)n$$

for a given value of =U. The energy E(=U;n) = U(n) = U(n) as a function of =U is linear. This implies for the groundstate energy a piecewise linear function with slope n for 2n = U 2n + 1 (cf. Fig. 2). If one includes tunneling processes, e.g. using an expansion in small J, the phase diagram would give the lobe structure, discussed for the Bose-H ubbard m odel [6, 7, 8].

2.3 Hard-core Bose G as (I = 1)

In the case of hard-core interaction it is not just that random walks have to pay a penalty when they intersect but intersections are prohibited completely. This is a constraint to the random walks which can be included explicitly by using the functional integral representation. Starting from Z_0

$$Z_{0} = \frac{1}{\det(1 \ w)} = \exp \left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x_{x}^{0} & x \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y \\ x$$

the complex eld $_x$ is replaced by a nilpotent eld: $_x ! \frac{1}{x}, _x ! \frac{2}{x}$, where $(\frac{j}{x})^1 = 0$ for l > 1. W ith the integral over the nilpotent eld

Z Y Y _{HC x2 0} $_{2j_x}$ n 1 if $^0 =$, $j_x = 1;2$; 0 otherwise

where fi is the full lattice spanned by x, the partition function of the hard-core B ose gas reads

$$Z_{HC} = \exp \begin{bmatrix} 2 & h_X & i \\ exp & \frac{1}{x} (1 + w)_{x r^0} & \frac{2}{x^0} \end{bmatrix};$$

The expansion of Z_{HC} in powers of produces the non-intersecting random walks thanks to the nilpotent condition of the 's.

P hysical interesting quantities like the density of particles n are expressed directly in terms of $\rm Z_{\,H\,C}$:

$$n = -\frac{\frac{\theta \log Z_{HC}}{\theta}}{N} :$$
(8)

The groundstate of a Bose gas can exhibit o -diagonal long-range order that is characterized by a non-vanishing condensate density n_0 , obtained from a correlation function that is related to $Z_{\rm H\,C}$:

$$\lim_{jr j! 1} \frac{1}{Z_{HC}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{t} \frac{X}{t} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{(r;t)} \exp \left(\frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{x} (1 + w)_{x;x^{0}} \frac{1}{x^{0}} = n_{0} > 0 \right)$$

3 Ferm ion Representation of H ard-C ore B osons

The nilpotent variables $_{x}^{j}$ can be replaced by products of G rassmann variables as $_{x}$! (1) $_{x}$. This gives with the usual G rassmann integration [9] the partition function [14]

$$Z_{HC} = e^{S_{ferm}} d_{x}d_{x}$$

with

The advantage of this representation is that a quadratic form of G rassmannians in the exponent can be integrated out, leading to a determinant. Of course, in the case under consideration S_{ferm} is not a quadratic form. However, the non-quadratic part can be expressed by a coupling of a quadratic form to an Ising spin $S_{x,x^0} = 1$:

$$= 2^{N} \frac{X}{x_{x^{0}}} = \frac{X}{x_{x^{0}}} \frac{X}{x_{x^{0}}} \frac{X}{x_{x^{0}}} \frac{1}{x_{x^{0}}} \frac{$$

where N is the num ber of lattice sites. Now the G rassmann integration can be performed and gives

$$Z_{HC} = 2^{N} \frac{X}{fS_{x,x^{0}} = 1g} det(1 + U)^{2}$$

with the matrix elements

$$U_{x;x^{0}} = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ w_{x;x^{0}} \\ \end{bmatrix} S_{x;x^{0}}$$

The density of bosons n is the expectation value

$$n = \frac{1}{2N} \frac{P_{fS_{x,x}\circ g} det(1 + U)^{2} Tr((1 + U)^{-1}U)}{\int_{fS_{x,x}\circ g} det(1 + U)^{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2N}} hTr((1 + U)^{-1}U)i_{s};$$

with respect to the distribution of Ising spins

P(U) =
$$\frac{\det(1 + U)^2}{\int_{f_{x,y} \circ g} \det(1 + U)^2}$$
:

T his representation is a good starting point for a M onte C arlo simulation of the hard-core B ose gas [11, 12].

In one dimension the partition function can be evaluated exactly, since periodic boundary conditions are satis ed for each random walk individually (i.e. the winding number is zero) due to the hard-core condition. Then the determ inant is just a sum over all products along possible random walks with periodic boundary conditions in t:

$$det(1 + U) = 1 + g_r;$$

w ith

$$g_{r;} = \bigvee_{t}^{Y} U_{x; (x)}:$$

Each g_r ; contains a product of Ising spins. Now one takes the square of the determ inant and the sum with respect to the con gurations of the Ising spins. This gives

since g_{r}^2 does not depend on the Ising spins:

$$g_{r;}^2 = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} Y & Y \\ U_{x; (x)}^2 = & W_{x; (x)} : \\ t & t \end{array}}$$

Therefore, the partition function can also be written as a determ inat

$$Z_{HC} = det(1 + w)$$
:

For the occupation of the quantum number 1 follows

$$N_{1} = \frac{e^{-1}}{1 + e^{-1}} \qquad 1 \text{ for } e^{-1} > 1$$

$$0 \text{ for } e^{-1} < 1$$

for 1 . Thus a quantum state is either empty or singly occupied, a consequence of the hard-core interaction. This is also the property of a non-interacting ferm ion gas. It relects the equivalence of the hard-core Bose gas and the free ferm ion gas in one dimension [13].

4 Generalization to N States and N Colors

G eneralizations of the hard-core Bose gas have been proposed that lead to exactly soluble limits: the N state bosons (NSB) [14] and the N color bosons (NCB) [15]. In the rst case a boson can occupy one of N di erent states at each lattice site, where these states have the same energy. The hard-core interaction applies only to bosons in the same state. Therefore, bosons can avoid the interaction during the tunneling process by choosing an unoccupied state. If N is large the NSB are electively weakly interacting. Nevertheless, it turns out that the interaction plays an important role even in the lim it N ! 1 [14]. The other generalization uses colored bosons: the hard-core interaction applies only to bosons with the same color. In this situation the interaction is e ectively strong for all values of N. In particular, it will be shown that even in the lim it N ! 1 it can destroy the Bose-E instein condensate and create a M ott insulator, an e ect not possible in the lim it N ! 1 of the NSB.

4.1 E ective Field Theory of NSB

The extension of S_{ferm} to the NSB is given by

The Hubbard-Stratonovich transform ation

Х

leads to the partition function

$$Z_{NSB} = e^{NS_{NSB}^{0}} D[';]$$

with the action

$$S_{NSB}^{0} = ('; (1 + w)^{1}) + (;) \qquad X_{NSB} = ('; (1 + w)^{1})^{1} + (';)^{1} \log[^{1} + ('x + ix)('x + ix)]; (9)$$

 $S^0_{N\,S\,B}$ does not depend on N and has a U (1) symmetry: it is invariant under the transformation ' $_x$! e^i ' $_x$, $_x$! e^i , with a global phase .

4.2 E ective Field Theory of NCB

In the case of NCB the action ${\tt S_{ferm}}$ is extended to

The Hubbard-Stratonovich transform ation

X
(
$$\frac{1}{x}, \frac{2}{x^{0}}, + \frac{1}{x}, \frac{2}{x^{0}}$$
) ! $u_{x;x^{0}}$

leads to the partition function

$$Z_{NCB} = \exp N \frac{X}{x_{ix^{0}}} + 2N \log \det (1+u) D[u]; \quad (10)$$

W ith the choice of w given in Eq. (6) the action S can also be expressed, after a decomposition of the matrix eld $u = u_k + u_2$ (u_k is diagonal in space), as

$$S_{NCB}^{0} = \frac{1}{(1 J)} Tr(u_{k}u_{k}^{T}) + \frac{6}{J} Tr(u_{2}u_{2}^{T}) - 2 \log \det(1 + u_{k} + u_{2})$$

This is invariant under the orthogonal transform ation

u ! O uO^T:

5 N! 1 Limits

The new representations of the partition functions depend on N only through a prefactor in front of the N -independent action. Therefore, the functional integration can be performed for large values of N by the saddle-point (SP) approximation. That means we have to solve the extremal condition of the action S: S = 0. System atic corrections to the SP solution are obtained in a 1=N expansion.

5.1 Results for the NSB

There are two SP solutions, one is the trivial solution p = 0 which is valid for <1 and a non-trivial solution with $j = j \neq 2 = 1$ 1= which is valid for > 1. This im plies for total density of bosons at zero tem perature

n()
$$\begin{array}{c} 0 & \text{for } < 1 \\ 1 & {}^{1} & \text{for } > 1 \end{array}$$
:

M oreover, all bosons are in the condensate in the N ! 1 limit. However, the 1=N corrections indicate a depletion of the condensate, as shown in Fig. 3. This phenom enon rejects a partial destruction of the condensate due to interaction. It can be understood as a precursor for a new groundstate in the interacting Bose gas, visible in the NCB as the M ott insulator (s. next section). The critical point = 1 of the Bose-E instein condensation does not depend on the tunneling rate J and agrees with J = 0 for all N. It should be noticed that the limit N ! 1 of the NSB leads to the G ross-P itaevskii equation [10], at least in a close vicinity of the critical point (dilute regin e).

Quasiparticles are available from 1=N corrections. In the condensate (i.e.

1) they have a dispersion on large scales with respect to the three-dimensional wavevector k as r

$$(k) = \frac{J(1)}{3}k^{2} + (J=6)^{2}k^{4}:$$

D ue to the spontaneously broken U (1) sym m etry of the NSB there is a Goldstone mode for > 1 which satis as the equation

$$c^{2}k^{2}$$
 $!^{2}$ $(k;!) = 0;$

where $c = {p \over J}$ (1)=3 is the sound velocity.

5.2 Results for the NCB

For the SP equation of the action $S_{N CB}$ one can distinguish symmetric and symmetry-breaking solutions. Examples of the former are the trivial solution $u_k = u_2 = 0$ (empty phase) and $u_k \in 0$, $u_2 = 0$ with identical matrix elements

$$u_{x;x^0} =$$
 $\begin{pmatrix} n \\ u_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $r^0 = r, t^0 = t+1$:

This ansatz leads to the mean-eld action

$$S_{NCB} = N \frac{h}{(1 J)} \frac{u_k^2}{2} \log(1 + u_k)^{1}$$

which is minimized by the solution of the SP equation

$$u_k^2 = (1 \quad J) \frac{u_k}{1 + u_k}$$
:

For zero tem perature the value of u_k jumps from 0 to 1 at $= 1=(1 \ J)$. The density of bosons can be calculated with Eq. (8) from the mean-eld action and gives $n = ((1 \ J) \ 1)$. This is the behavior of the system without tunneling. The jump should be intercepted by an intermediate phase, most likely the Bose-E instein condensate. The o -diagonal long-range order of the NCB in the large-N lim it is related to a symmetry-breaking SP solution with $u_2 \notin 0$. This represents a Bose-E instein condensate with a Goldstone mode. It is anticipated that this solution agrees qualitatively with the result of the NSB, discussed in the previous section. The resulting phase diagram, obtained from the N ! 1 lim its of the NSB and the NCB, is shown in Fig. 4.

6 Conclusions

The hard-core Bose gas at zero tem perature has been studied in terms of the soluble N ! 1 limits of NSB and NCB.NCB describe three di erent phases of the hard-core Bose gas, characterized by a matrix-like order parameter $u = u_k + u_2$. There is an empty phase ($u_k = u_2 = 0$), a Bose-E instein condensate ($u_k = 0$; $u_2 \in 0$), and a Mott insulator ($u_k \notin 0$; $u_2 = 0$). In contrast to the NCB the complex scalar order parameter of the NSB describes only an empty phase and a Bose-E instein condensate but not the Mott insulator. Thus, the NSB has only one symmetric SP solution (empty phase), whereas the NCB has at least two (empty phase and Mott insulator). However, the depletion of the condensate in o(1=N) of the NSB indicates the partial destruction of the latter. The main result of this study is the elective action of the NCB of the matrix eld u

$$S_{NCB}^{0} = \frac{1}{(1 J)} Tr(u_{k}u_{k}^{T}) + \frac{6}{J} Tr(u_{2}u_{2}^{T}) - 2 \log \det(1 + u_{k} + u_{2});$$


where its m in in a represent di erent phases of the strongly interacting Bose gas.

A cknow ledgem ent


The author is grateful to Ch. M oseley for useful discussions.

References

- M. H. Anderson et al., 1995, Science 269, 198; C.C. Bradley et al., 1995, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1687; K.B. Davis et al., 1995, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3969.
- [2] N.R.Newbury et al, 1995, Phys. Rev. A 51, R2680.
- [3] Ph.Courteille et al, 1998, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 69.
- [4] V L. G inzburg and L P. P itaevskii, 1958, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 34, 1240
 [1958, Sov. Phys. JETP 7, 858]; E P. G ross, 1963, J. M ath. Phys. (N.Y.)
 4, 195.
- [5] F.Dalfovo et al., 1999, Rev. M od. Phys. 71, 463.
- [6] M PA.Fisher et al, 1989, Phys. Rev. B 40, 546.
- [7] S. Sachdev, 1999, Quantum Phase Transitions (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge)
- [8] D. van Oosten, P. van Straten, and H.T.C. Stoof, 2001, Phys. Rev. A 63, 053601.
- [9] J.W. Negele and H. Orland, 1988, Quantum Many Particle Systems (Addison - Wesley, New York).
- [10] K.Ziegler, 2002, Journ. Low. Tem p. Phys. 126, 1431.
- [11] J.Hirsch, 1987, Phys. Rev. B 35, 1851.
- [12] M. Hettler and K. Ziegler, 1995, Physica A 218, 461.
- [13] M.Girardeau, 1960, J.Math. Phys. 1, 516.
- [14] K.Ziegler, 1994, Physica A 208, 177.
- [15] K.Ziegler, 1991, J. Stat. Phys. 64, 277.
- [16] Ch.M oseley and K.Ziegler, in preperation



F igure 1: Contribution of the random -walk expansion to the partition function. Sites a and b are connected by the periodic boundary conditions, a consequence of the fact that the partition function is a trace (cf. text). The hard-core interaction does not allow intersecting random walks.



F igure 2: G roundstate energy and step-like density of particles of an interacting B ose gas in a single well as a function of =U .




Figure 3: 1=N corrections of N state bosons: enhancement of the total density n (upper three curves) and depletion of the condensate density n_0 (lower three curves) [16].




Figure 4: T = 0{phase diagram obtained from N ! 1 limits. The phase boundary to the M ott insulator was calculated with N color bosons, the phase boundary to the empty phase with N state bosons.