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#### Abstract

The number of solid partitions of a positive integer is an unsolved problem in combinatorial num ber theory. In this paper, solid partitions are studied num erically by the $m$ ethod of exact enum eration for integers up to 50 and by $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations using $W$ ang-Landau sam pling $m$ ethod for integers up to 8000. It is shown that $\lim _{n!1} \frac{\ln \left(p_{3}(n)\right)}{n^{3=4}}=1: 79 \quad 0: 01$, where $p_{3}(n)$ is the num ber of solid partitions of the integer $n$. This result strongly suggests that the M adM ahon conjecture for solid partitions, though not exact, could still give the correct leading asym ptotic behaviour.


PACS num bers: $05.50 .+\mathrm{q}, 05.10 \mathrm{Ln}$
K eyw ords: solid partitions; exact enum eration; M onte C arlo

## I. INTRODUCTION

Com binatorial enum eration problem s arise naturally in $m$ any problem $s$ of statistical physics. The num ber of partitions of an integer (see [in , in for an introduction) is one such enum eration problem w ith a history dating back to Euler. E xam ples of applications to physical problem s include the $q$ ! 1 Potts model [J], com pact lattice anim als 酭, E instein statistics $[\underline{1}$, , lution to the integer partitioning problem is known for 1dim ensional and 2 -din ensional partitions. H ow ever, not much is known about higher dim ensional partitions. Nu$m$ erical estim ation of the asym ptotic behaviour of these higherdim ensionalpartitions could lead to theoretical insights. In this paper, we determ ine num erically the leading asym ptotic behaviour of 3 -dim ensional partitions by exact enum eration and M onte C arlo techniques.
A 1-dim ensional or linear partition of an integer is a decom position into a sum of positive integers in which the sum $m$ ands are ordered from largest to sm allest. A 2-din ensionalorplane partition of an integer is a decom position into a sum of sm aller positive integers which are arranged on a plane. The ordering property generalises to the sum $m$ ands being non-increasing along both the row s and the colum ns. Generalisation to d-dim ension is straightforw ard. C onsider a d-dim ensional hyper cubic lattice $w$ ith sites labelled by $i=\left(i_{1} ; i_{2} ;::: ; i_{d}\right)$, where $i_{k}=1 ; 2 ;:::$. An integer height $h$ (i) (corresponding to a sum $m$ and) is associated w ith site i. A d-dim ensionalpartition of a positive integer $n$ is a con guration of heights such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h (i) } 0 \text {; } \\
& \text { h(i) } \quad \max _{k} \operatorname{ax}\left(i_{1} ; i_{2} ;::: ; \dot{i}_{k}+1 ;::: ; i_{d}\right) \text {; }  \tag{1}\\
& \text { X } \\
& \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{n} \text { : } \\
& \text { i }
\end{align*}
$$

The second condition in Eq. (1I)) m eans that the heights $h$ (i) are non-increasing in each of the d lattioe directions.


F IG . 1: Partitions of the integer 4 in (a) one dim ension and (b) two dim ensions.

A s an illustration, the linear and plane partitions of 4 are show in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{\prime 1} 1$

Let $p_{d}(n)$ denote the num ber of partitions of $n$ in $d-$ dim ensions. The generating function $G_{d}(q)$ is then dened as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{d}(q)=X^{X^{1}} p_{d}(n) q^{n} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}(0) \quad$ 1. The generating function for linear partitions is due to Euler and is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}(q)=\mathbb{Y}_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1 \quad q^{k}\right)^{1} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p_{1}(n)$ for large $n$ varies as [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{1}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}_{-}^{3}} \exp \quad \frac{r}{\frac{2 n}{3}} ; \quad \mathrm{n} \quad 1: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he corresponding form ulae for plane partitions are $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2}(q)=\mathbb{Y}_{k=1}^{\ddagger}\left(1 \quad q^{k}\right)^{k} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
$\mathrm{p}_{2}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \frac{\mathrm{c}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}^{25=36}} \exp \quad 2 \mathrm{n}^{2=3} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{n} \quad 1$;
where $c_{2}=0: 40099:::$ and $2_{2}=2: 00945:::$ [12 $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$. W hile the generating functions for three and higher di$m$ ensional partitions are not known, it is known that $\lim _{n!1} \ln \left(p_{d}(n)\right)=n^{d=(d+1)}$ has a nite non-zero lim it $\left[\underline{L}_{1}^{1}\right]$. We de ne d to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}=\lim _{\mathrm{n}!} \frac{\ln \left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{n})\right)}{\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{d}=(\mathrm{d}+1)}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we num erically estim ate 3 for solid (3dim ensional) partitions to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
3=1: 79 \quad 0: 01: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$G$ eneralising the results for linear and plane partitions to higher dim ensions, M adM ahon suggested that the generating function ford-dim ensionalpartitions could be ["] ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{d}^{(m)}(q)=Y_{k=1}^{Y^{Z}}\left(1 \quad q^{k}\right)^{\left({ }_{d}^{k+d}{ }_{1}^{2}\right)}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation ( $\underset{\substack{9\$}}{(}\) is usually know n as the M adM ahon con jecture. $C$ learly, $G_{d}^{(m)}(q)$ is the correct result for $d=1 ; 2$. H ow ever, it is know $n$ that $G_{d}^{(m)}(q)$ is di erent from $G_{d}(q)$ for alld 3 [ [13, 14]. In particular, in 3-dim ensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{3}^{(m)}(q)=\sum_{k=1}^{\mathcal{Y}^{z}}\left(1 \quad q^{k}\right)^{k(k+1)=2} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives the w rong answ er for the num ber of solid partitions of 6;7;8;:::.

Let $p_{d}^{(m)}(n)$ denote the coe cient of $q^{n}$ in $G_{d}^{(m)}(q)$. The asym ptotic behaviour of $p_{3}^{(m)}(n)$ for large $n$ can be determ ined from Eq. (10 $\bar{O}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) by the $m$ ethod of steepest descent. In A ppendix A, we present a heuristic derivation of the large $n$ behaviour of the coe cient of $q^{n}$ in the expansion of the in nite product

$$
F(q)=\sum_{k=1}^{y^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & d^{k} \tag{11}
\end{array}\right)^{a_{1} k^{2}} \quad a_{2} k \quad a_{3}:
$$

Substituting $\mathrm{a}_{1}=1=2, \mathrm{a}_{2}=1=2$ and $\mathrm{a}_{3}=0$ in Eq. ( (A-1. $)$, we obtain
$\mathrm{p}_{3}^{(m)}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \frac{\mathrm{c}_{3}^{(\mathrm{m})}}{\mathrm{n}^{61=96}} \exp \quad 3^{(m)} \mathrm{n}^{3=4}+3^{(m)} \mathrm{n}^{1=2}+3_{3}^{(m)} \mathrm{n}^{1=4}$;
where $c_{3}^{(m)}$ is a constant and

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{3}^{(m)}=\frac{2^{7=4}}{\frac{15^{1=4} 3}{1}}=1: 7898::::  \tag{13}\\
& 3_{3}^{(m)}=\frac{15}{P^{2}}=0: 3335::: 7  \tag{14}\\
& 3^{(m)}=\frac{15^{5=4}(3)^{2}}{2^{7=4} 5}=0: 0414:::: \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing the values for $3_{3}^{(\mathrm{m})}$ in Eq. ( $\bar{q}_{1}$ ) and 3 in Eq. (1] 1 릉 $)$, we conclude that the M adM ahon conjecture,

TABLE I: Solid partitions for $n=26$ to $n=50$.

| n | $\mathrm{p}_{3}(\mathrm{n})$ | n | $\mathrm{p}_{3}(\mathrm{n})$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 29 | 714399381 | 40 | 352245710866 |
| 30 | 1281403841 | 41 | 605538866862 |
| 31 | 2287986987 | 42 | 1037668522922 |
| 32 | 4067428375 | 43 | 1772700955975 |
| 33 | 7200210523 | 44 | 3019333854177 |
| 34 | 12693890803 | 45 | 5127694484375 |
| 35 | 22290727268 | 46 | 8683676638832 |
| 36 | 38993410516 | 47 | 14665233966068 |
| 37 | 67959010130 | 48 | 24700752691832 |
| 38 | 118016656268 | 49 | 41495176877972 |
| 39 | 204233654229 | 50 | 69531305679518 |

though not exact, could still give the correct leading asym ptotic behaviour for solid partitions. T he value of $3^{(m)}$ is a function of only $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ in Eq. (11). Thus, if we assum e that the asym ptotic behaviour for solid partitions is correctly captured by a product form as in Eq. (111), then it should have the form ${ }_{k}\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & q^{k}\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}1=2 & 0: 012\end{array}\right) \mathrm{k}^{2}$.

T he rest of the paper is organised as follow s. In Sec.'TII, we present the results of the exact enum eration study. In Sec.iIII, w e describe the M onte C arlo algorithm and the sim ulation results for plane and solid partitions. F inally, we conclude $w$ ith a sum $m$ ary and conclusions in Sec. 'IIV'.

## II. EXACTENUMERATION

Previous attem pts at studying solid partitions on the com puter have been based on exact enum eration [13 1 [151]. The table is extended up to $n=50$ in this paper by using the standard back tracking algorithm [1\%']. T he algorithm is $m$ ade faster by the follow ing. P artitions that are related to each other by symm etry operations are counted only once and multiplied by the corresponding sym $m$ etry factor. A lso, parts of the partition that are restricted to planes are generated by using the known generating functions for plane partitions. In Table ${ }_{\underline{I}}$, we list the solid partitions from $n=29$ to $n=50$. For solid partitions up to $n=28$, we refer to $R$ ef. [12].

W e com pare the exact enum eration resultsw ith the answ er predicted by the M adM ahon con jecture. In Fig. $\overline{1}$, we show the variation of $\ln \left[p_{3}(n+1)=p_{3}(n)\right]$ w ith $n$ for both $p_{3}(n)$ as well asp $p_{3}^{(m)}(n) . W$ hile there seem $s$ to be a good agreem ent, we are unable to determ ine the precise asym ptotic behaviour of $p_{3}(n)$ from these 50 num bers. $T$ his is possibly due to the presence of strong corrections to the leading asym ptotic behaviour. It is di cult to further extend the table of solid partitions due to the large com puting tim es involved. O ne possible $m$ ethod ofprobing larger values of $n$ is to use $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations. $T$ hese are described in Sec. ${ }^{\text {IITH. }}$.


FIG. 2: The results from exact enum eration are com pared w ith $\mathrm{p}_{3}^{(\mathrm{m})}$ obtained from the M adM ahon con jecture.
III. M ONTECARLO SIMULATION
A. A lgorithm

W e use an algorithm proposed recently by $W$ ang and Landau for $m$ easuring density of states in spin system $s$ [19]. The algorithm is described below. C onsider a $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}^{-} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{z}}$ lattice w ith in itial height h (i) assigned to each lattioe point in such a way that the con guration is a valid solid partition. To each positive integer $n$ is associated a histogram H (n) and the num ber of solid partitions $p_{3}(n)$. The histogram $H(n)$ keeps track of the num ber of tim es solid partitions of $n$ have been visited during the sim ulations. $T$ he algorithm is based upon the fact that if the probability of transition to a solid partition $n$ is proportionalto $\left[p_{3}(n)\right]^{1}$, then a atdistribution is generated for the histogram $H(n)$. At the start of the program $H(n)=0$ and $p_{3}(n)=1$.

A site is chosen random ly and as a trialm ove the height $h$ (i) is increased or decreased by one w th equalprobability, provided that the new state is an allowed partition. If the new state is an allow ed partition, then the $m$ ove is accepted w ith probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Prob}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\text {old }}!\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{new}}\right)=m \text { in } \frac{\mathrm{p}_{3}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\text {old }}\right)}{\mathrm{p}_{3}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{new}}\right)} ; 1 ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $n_{\text {old }}$ and $n_{n e w}$ are the sum of heights for the old and new states respectively, i.e, $n_{\text {new }}=n_{\text {old }}+1, n_{\text {old }} \quad 1$ or $n_{\text {old }}$ depending on $w$ hether the height increased by one, decreased by one or rem ained the sam e. T he histogram $H(n)$ and $p_{3}(n)$ are updated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\text {new }}\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\text {new }}\right)+1 ;  \tag{17}\\
& \mathrm{p}_{3}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\text {new }}\right)=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{p}_{3}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\text {new }}\right) ; \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $f_{i}$ is a m odi cation factor greater than 1.
These steps are repeated until a at histogram is created; in practioe, this $m$ eans that $H(n)_{m}$ in $>c H(n)_{\text {ave }}$,
where $c$ is a atness criteria typically between 0:75 and $0: 9$ while $H(n)_{m}$ in is the $m$ inim um of the $H(n)$ 's and $H(n)$ ave is the average of the $H(n)$ 's. W hen the histogram becom es $a t$, the modi cation factor $f_{i}$ is changed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i+1}=f_{i}^{a} ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the histogram is reset to zero. The exponent $a$ is less than 1 and de nes the sm oothness of the iteration. The program runs until $f$ is less than a predeterm ined value $f_{f \text { in al }}$.
$N$ ote that the algorithm does not obey detailed balance during the simulations. H ow ever, in the $\lim$ it $f_{i}!1$ when $p_{3}(n)$ takes its correct value, the system does obey detailed balance $w$ th the weight of a solid partition of $n$ being proportional to $\left[p_{3}(n)\right]^{1}$.

The algorithm can be $m$ ade faster by adopting certain ideas from the $N$-fold $m$ ethod [201, 211]. In this $m$ odi cation, sites which cannot undergo a valid $m$ ove are never chosen. To do so, we de ne four classes. (i) c1: sites at which the height can only increase. (ii) C 2 : sites at which the height can either increase or decrease. (iii) c3: sites at which the height can only decrease. (iv) c4: an auxiliary class to help im plem entation of detailed balance.
$F$ irst, we update the histogram $H(n)$ and $p_{3}(n)$ by tim es w ith $\operatorname{Prob}(=k)=p^{\mathrm{ck}}(1 \quad \mathrm{p})$, where $\mathrm{p}^{0}=\left(\dot{j}_{4} j^{+}\right.$ $\left.\dot{\mathcal{c}}_{1} \dot{j} 2+\dot{\mathcal{j}}_{3} \dot{\mathfrak{j}} 2\right)=\left(\dot{\mathcal{c}}_{1} j+\dot{\mathcal{j}}_{2} j+\dot{\mathcal{j}}_{3} j+\dot{\mathcal{j}}_{4}\right)$ and $\dot{j}_{k} j$ denotes the num ber of elem ents in the class $q_{k}$. $W$ e then choose one of the classes c1, c2, c3 w ith probabilities $\dot{\mathcal{j}}_{1} \dot{=}\left(\dot{c}_{1} j+\right.$
 $\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{3} \supset$ respectively. A site is picked up random ly from the chosen class and a trialm ove is decided, for exam ple if site $i$ from class cl is chosen, the trial m ove is $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{i})=$ $h$ (i) +1 , while in the case of class c2 the height increases or decreases w th equal probability. F inally, we either accept or reject the trialm ove according to Eq. (1G) and update the histogram and $p_{3}(n)$ according to EqS. (171) and $\left(\overline{1} \overline{8}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cdot W$ ith this construction, only valid trialm oves are chosen at each tim e step and the algorithm becom es considerably faster. The role of the class c 4 is to m ake the algorithm obey detailed balance asym ptotically, i.e. when $f_{i}$ ! 1. We de ne $\dot{j} 4 j=C \quad\left(\dot{j} \mathfrak{j}+\dot{j}_{2} j+\dot{j}_{3}\right.$ ) , where $C$ is som e large enough constant.

Further speeding up can be done by dividing the interval 1 \{8000 to sm aller slightly overlapping intervals (14 in our case) and the sim ulation is done for each interval. A fter the sim ulations are over, these intervals can be joined together to produce $p_{3}(n)$. The distribution is nally norm alised by setting $p_{3}(1)=1$.

The param eters we have used for the sim ulations are $c=0: 85, a=1: 0=1: 4, C=2000, f_{0}=2: 5$ and $f_{f \text { inal }}=$ 1:0000099 (corresponding to 35 iterations). Changing these param eters slightly does not change the nal outcom e of the sim ulation. T he lattice sizes used for plane and solid partitions w ere $100 \quad 100 \quad 1$ and $50 \quad 50 \quad 50$ respectively. $R$ andom num bers were generated using standard RANMAR algorithm . W ith this setup, a typicalrun producing one $p_{3}(n)$ for $n$ between $1\{8000$ takes about


F IG . 3: The sim ulation results for plane partitions are com pared w th the exact answer. In the inset, the variation of the relative error $w$ ith $n$ is show $n$.

12 hours w ith a Pentium 4 processor. For statistics we perform ed 20 runs for plane partitions and 24 runs for solid partitions using di erent random num ber sequences. Since $p_{3}(n)$ is typically a very large num ber, the quantity that we keep track of in the sim ulations is $\ln \left(p_{3}(n)\right)$.

B . Sim ulation results for plane partitions

We rst test the algorithm against the known case of plane partitions. In F ig. ${ }_{1} \overline{3} 1$, we com pare the sim ulation results $w$ ith the exact answ er. T he tw o curves are alm ost indistinguishable. C onsider the relative error de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{n})=\frac{j \ln \left(\mathrm{p}_{2}^{(\mathrm{s})}(\mathrm{n})\right) \ln \left(\mathrm{p}_{2}(\mathrm{n})\right) j}{\ln \left(\mathrm{p}_{2}(\mathrm{n})\right)} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{2}^{(s)}(n)$ is the value obtained from sim ulations. W e show the variation of $(n) w$ ith $n$ in the inset of $F$ ig. it. The relative error goes to zero for large n. Thus, we conclude that the algorithm does give the correct leading asym ptotic behaviour. In principle, the sim ulations can be $m$ ade arbitrarily precise, and the correction term $s$ can be detem ined. H ow ever, in our case, the statistical errons are not sm all enough to allow a reliable determ ination of the correction term $s$ to the leading asym ptotic behaviour.

> C. Sim ulation results for solid partitions

For solid partitions, we calculated $\mathrm{p}_{3}(\mathrm{n})$ num erically by averaging over 24 di erent runs. In Fig. 4, we show the results from simulation while in the inset of F ig. 4, we show the relative error. $W$ e estim ate the asym ptotic behaviour by tting the data to an assum ed form by the $m$ ethod of least squares $t$. We $t$


FIG.4: Sim ulation results for solid partitions are com pared with $p_{3}^{(m)}$ obtained from the $M$ adM ahon con jecture. In the inset, we show the relative error $w$ ith respect to the answ er obtained from exact enum eration.

TABLE II: The results obtained from the least square $t$ are shown. $2, \quad 2^{(s)}, 3^{(m)}$ and $3_{3}^{(s)}$ correspond to $p_{(2 ; 3)}(\mathrm{n})$ obtained from the exact results for plane partitions Eq. ([G), $M$ onte $C$ arlo results for plane partitions, $M$ adM ahon con jé ture for solid partitions Eq. (10) and M onte C arlo results for solid partitions respectively.

|  |  | ${ }^{(s)}$ |  | $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | ${ }^{(\mathrm{s})}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2: 010$ | $0: 002$ | $2: 01$ | $0: 01$ | $1: 789$ | $0: 002$ | $1: 79$ | $0: 01$ |

$\ln \left[p_{3}^{(s)}(n+1)=p_{3}^{(s)}(n)\right]$ in the range $20\{8000$ to the form $0: 75{ }_{3} n^{1=4}+0: 5{ }_{3} n^{1=2}+0: 25{ }_{3} n^{3=4}+d_{3} n^{1}$, where $p_{3}^{(s)}(n)$ is the value for solid partitions obtained from sim ulations. W e choose this form since the $M$ adM ahon conjecture has the sam e fiunctional form. As a test for the tting routine, we test it against the sim ulation results as well as against the exact results for plane partitions using the tting form 0:667 $2^{n^{1=3}}+0: 333 \quad n^{2=3}+d_{2} n^{1}$. The results for $(2 ; 3)$ are presented in Table .

For plane partitions, the value of 2 obtained from the tting routine is in excellent agreem ent $w$ ith the exact answ er 2.00945 .. $\left[\begin{array}{l}\left.122_{1}^{\prime}\right] . ~ A ~ g r e e m ~ e n t ~ w ~ i t h ~ t h e ~ c o r r e c t ~\end{array}\right.$ answer is also seen for $3^{(m)}$ (see Eq. (1) (1) ) . H ence, we conclude that $3=1: 79 \quad 0: 01$.

## IV. SUMMARYAND CONCLUSIONS

In sum $m$ ary, we studied num erically the problem of solid partitions of an integer. U sing exact enum eration $m$ ethods, we extended the table of solid partitions for integens up to 50. H ow ever, we were unable to determ ine the precise asym ptotic behaviour of solid partitions from these 50 num bers. Solid partitions for larger values of $n$ were studied using $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations. From these
sim ulations, we showed that $\lim _{n!} 1^{n}{ }^{3=4} \ln \left(p_{3}(n)\right)=$ $1: 79 \quad 0: 01$. This value is consistent $w$ th the M adM ahon value for solid partitions. Thus, if we assum e that the asym ptotic behaviour for solid partitions is correctly captured by a product form as in Eq. ( $1 \bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), then it should have the form ${ }_{k}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \left.d^{k}\right) \quad(1=2 \quad 0: 012) \mathrm{k}^{2}\end{array}{ }^{2}\right.$.
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## APPENDIX A:ASYMPTOTICSFORTHE MACMAHON CONJECTURE

In this appendix, we present a heuristic derivation of the asym ptotic behaviour of the coe cient of $q^{n}$ in the expansion of the product

$$
F(q)=y_{k=1}^{y^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & q^{k} \tag{A1}
\end{array}\right) a_{1} k^{2} \quad a_{2} k \quad a_{3}:
$$

Let $q=e \quad$. Taking logarithm son both sides ofEq. (AB $\overline{-1})$ and converting the resulting sum $m$ ation into an integral by using the Euler-M aclaurin sum $m$ ation form ula (for ex-
am ple, see [2]id), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln F(e)= & \frac{2 a_{1}(4)}{3}+\frac{a_{2}(3)}{2}+\frac{a_{3}(2)}{12} \\
& +\frac{a_{2}+6 a_{3}}{12} \ln ()+O\left({ }^{0}\right) \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(n)$ is the $R$ iem ann zeta function. Let the coe cient of $q^{n}$ in $F(q)$ be denoted by $C(n)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(n)=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{I}} \frac{\mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{q})}{\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}+1}}: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large $n$, we evaluate $c(n)$ by the $m$ ethod of steepest descent. The saddle point is the $m$ axim um of $n+$ $\ln (F())$. This occurs at 0 , where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\frac{a_{1}^{1=4}}{15^{1=4}} n^{1=4}+\frac{p}{\overline{15} a_{2} \quad(3)} \\
&\left.+\frac{15^{1=4}\left(a_{1} a_{3}{ }^{6} n^{1=2}\right.}{24 a_{1}^{5=4}} 45 a_{2}^{2} \quad(3)^{2}\right) \\
& n^{3=4}+O\left(n^{1}\right)(A \operatorname{A} 4)
\end{aligned}
$$

E valuating the integralabout this saddle point, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln [c(n)]=\frac{4 a_{1}^{1=4}}{15^{1=4} 3} n^{3=4} \\
& +\frac{P}{P} \frac{(3)}{a_{1}} n^{1=2}+\frac{5^{1=4}\left(a_{1} a_{3}{ }^{6} \quad 45 a_{2}^{2}\right.}{\left.2 a_{1}^{5=4} 3^{3=4} \quad(3)^{2}\right)} n^{1=4} \\
& \frac{5}{8}+\frac{a_{2}}{48}+\frac{a_{3}}{8} \quad \ln (n)+O\left(n^{0}\right): \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$
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