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#### Abstract

U sing the previously developed canonical phase space approach applied to the noisy Burgers equation in one dim ension, we discuss in detail the grow th m orphology in term $s$ of nonlinear soliton $m$ odes and superim posed linear $m$ odes. $W$ e m oreover analyze the non $H$ em tian character of the linear $m$ ode spectrum and the associated dynam icalpinning and $m$ ode transm utation from di usive to propagating behavior induced by the solitons. We discuss the anom abous di usion of grow th $m$ odes, sw itching and pathways, correlations in the $m$ ulti-soliton sector, and in detail the correlations and scaling properties in the tw o-soliton sector.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

$T$ his is the fourth of a series of papers on the one dim ensional noisy B urgers equation for the slope eld of a grow ing interface. In paper I [ī1] we discussed as a prelude the noiseless Burgers equation $[\underline{2}$, , 1 form ed a linear stability analysis of the superim posed di usive m ode spectrum . T his analysis provided a heuristic picture of the dam ped transient pattem form ation. A s a continuation of previous work on the continuum lim it of a spin representation of a solid-on-solid m odel

 in the weak noise lim it the grow th m orphology and scaling properties in term s of nonlinear soliton excitations w ith superim posed linear di usive m odes. In paper III [12 canonicalphase space approach based on the weak noise saddle point approxim ation to the $M$ artin-Siggia-R ose functional or, altematively, the Freidlin-W entzel sym plectic approach to the Fokker-P lanck equation [1] $\overline{1}, 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}]$. This $m$ ethod provides a dynam ical system theory point of view to weak-noise stochastic processes and yields direct access to the probabillty distributions for the noisy Burgers equation; brief accounts of paper II and III appeared in


Far from equilibrium phenom ena are com m on, including turbulence, interface and grow th problem s, chem icalreactions, and a host ofother phenom ena bordering on biology, sociology and econom ics. Unlike equilibrium phenom ena the nonequilibrium cases are not very well understood and constitute a m a jor challenge in m odem statisticalphysics. H ere the B urgers equation provides in $m$ any respects the sim plest continuum description of a nonlinear initial value problem in the noiseless case and an open driven nonlinear system in the noisy case, exhibiting scaling and pattem form ation.

The noisy Burgers equation for the local slope $u(x ; t)$ of a grow ing interface analyzed in papers II and II has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} \quad \text { ur } u=r^{2} u+r \text {; } \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

here expressed as m anifestly invariant under the slope-dependent nonlinear G alilei transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x!x \quad u_{0} t ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}!\mathrm{u}+\mathrm{u}_{0} ; \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is equivalent to the much studied $K$ ardarP arisi-Zhang (K P Z) equation hī height $h(x ; t), u=r h(i n ~ a c o m o v i n g ~ f r a m e)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ h}{@ t}=r^{2} h+\frac{-}{2}(r h)^{2}+: \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The grow th equations ( noise determ ined by the correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h} i(\mathrm{xt})=(\mathrm{x})(\mathrm{t}) ; \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

 or viscosity $m$ easures the strength of the linear dam ping term, whereas control the nonlinear grow th or m ode coupling term .

From the analysis in papers II and III it follow s that the stochastic nonequilibrium prob-
 replaced by two coupled determ inistic $G$ alilean invariant $m$ ean eld equations coupling the slope eld $u$ to the canonically conjugate noise eld $p$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho}{@ t} \text { ur } u=r^{2} u \quad r^{2} p ;  \tag{1.6}\\
& \frac{@}{@ t} \text { ur } p=r^{2} p: \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In the path integral analysis in paper II Eqs. (1, the extrem al path in the classical lim it ! 0 ; in the canonical phase space approach in paper III they are classical canonical eld equations determ ining orbits in an associated phase space. This doubling of dynam ical variables in the determ inistic description was also
 the canonically conjugate $m$ om entum variable $p$ coupling to $u$.
 addition to linear di usive $m$ odes also support tw o distinct soliton $m$ odes or dom ain walls, in the static case of the kink-like form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{s}(x)=u \tanh k_{s}\left(x \quad x_{0}\right) ; k_{s}=u=2: \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ sets the inverse length scale, $=$ is a parity index and the soliton is centered at $\mathrm{x}_{0}$. For $=+1$ we have the right hand soliton which is also a solution of the noiseless

Burgers equation for $=0$ in Eq. (1) or for $p=0$ in Eq. (1). $1 \mathbf{1}$ ). For $=1$ we obtain the noise-induced left hand soliton, a new solution of the coupled equations. The associated noise eld is $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}}=0$ for the noiseless $=+1$ soliton; for the noisy soliton for $=1 \mathrm{we}$ have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}}=2 \mathrm{u} \tanh \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{x} ; \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

m odulus a constant.
In the noiseless B urgers equation the transient pattem form ation is described by G alileeboosted right hand solitons connected by ram p solutions w ith superim posed dam ped linear $m$ odes 畒, 1 to sm oothed dow nw ard cusps connected by parabolic segm ents w ith superim posed linear
 m orphology com pletely. H ere the am plitude-m atched G alibe-boosted right and left hand solitons provide a m any body description of a stationary grow ing interface. On the soliton gas is superim posed a gas of linear $m$ odes which in the linear Edw ards $W$ ilkinson case for $=0$ becom es the di usive $m$ odes of the noise-driven di usion equation.

The canonical phase space approach expounded in paper III m oreover provides a determ inistic dynam ical system theory description of a grow ing interface. W ith an orbit in canonicalphase space from an intitialcon guration $u^{i}$ to a nalcon guration $u^{f}$ traversed in tim e $T$, determ ined as a speci c intial nal value solution of the eld equations ( (I..I.), we thus associate the action $S$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(u^{f} ; u^{i} ; T\right)={\underset{u^{i} ; T}{Z} d t d x}_{Z_{i}^{f}} p \frac{@ u}{@ t} \quad H^{!}: \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ ore explicitly, the transition probability from an initialcon guration $u^{i}$ to a nalcon guration $u^{f}$ in time $T$ is determ ined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{T}\right)=(\mathrm{T})^{1} \exp \quad \underline{1}_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{T}\right) ;  \tag{1.11}\\
(\mathrm{T})={ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad \mathrm{du}^{\mathrm{f}} \exp \quad \underline{1} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{T}\right) ; \tag{1.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where we have introduced the dynam ical partition function (T), arising from the nom alization condition ${ }^{R} Q \quad$ dufe $^{f}\left(u^{f} ; u^{i} ; T\right)=1$. Likew ise, the stationary distribution is associated $w$ ith an in nite-tim e orbit from $u^{i}$ to $u^{f}$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s t}\left(u^{f}\right)=\lim _{T!1} P\left(u^{f} ; u^{i} ; T\right) ; \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for exam ple the slope correlation (the second $m$ om ent ofP) in the stationary regim e by the expression

$$
\text { huui }(x ; T)==^{z} \quad d u^{i} d u^{f} u^{f}(x) u^{i}(0) P\left(u^{f} ; u^{i} ; T\right) P_{s t}\left(u^{i}\right) \text { : }
$$

The action is a centralconcept in the weak noise canonicalphase space approach and provides a dynam ical weight function and selection criteria for a dynam ical nonequilibrium process in a sim ilarm anner as the energy $E$ in the B oltzm ann-G ibbs factor $P / \exp [E]$, ( is the inverse tem perature) for equilibrium processes. The action $m$ oreover im plies an underlying principle of least action and the $H$ am ilton entering $S$, yielding the eld equations (ī. $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ) and (1i.7.1), is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={ }^{z} d x p\left(r^{2} u+\operatorname{ur} u \quad(1=2) r^{2} p\right) \text {; } \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H={ }^{R} d x H$.
In addition to the conserved H am iltonian or energy the translational invariance of H (assum ing periodic boundary conditions for $u$ and for $p, m$ odulus a constant) im plies conservation ofm om entum . M oreover, the conserved noise in Eq. (1.1], corresponding to the term $r^{2} p$ in Eq. (İ. $\mathbf{I}_{1}$ ), yields the localconservation law $@ u=@ t+r j=0, j=r u+r p \quad(=2) u^{2}$, im plying the conservation of the integrated slope eld or height o set. The two additional conserved quantities are thus given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\quad{ }^{Z} d x \text { ur } p ; \\
M=\quad d x u \text {; } \tag{1.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

$W$ e note that the integrated noise eld $P$ is not conserved for 0 . A coording to Eq. (a) Pr evolves according to, see also [īill,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d P^{\sim}}{d t}=  \tag{1.18}\\
& \mathrm{P}^{\sim}=\quad \mathrm{dxp}: \tag{1.19}
\end{align*}
$$

The long tim e-large distance scaling properties of a grow ing interface is a fundam ental

 asserts that $w=L \quad G\left(t=L^{z}\right)$ which for the stationary slope correlations corresponds to the
asym ptotic scaling form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { huui }(x ; t)=x^{2} \quad{ }^{2} F^{r} \frac{t}{x^{2}} \quad ; \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th roughness exponent $=1=2$, dynam ical exponent $z$, and universal scaling function $F^{r}(w)$. In one dim ension the scaling exponents for the noisy Burgers equation are known exactly $[1 \overline{1} \overline{1}, 12 \overline{2} \overline{2}]$. The roughness exponent $=1=2$ follow s from the known stationary distribution, an e ective uctuation-dissipation theorem, $\overline{\text { Bin }} \overline{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s t}(u) / \exp -^{z} d x u^{2} \text {; } \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the dynam ic exponent $z=3=2$ is a consequence of the scaling law

$$
\begin{equation*}
+z=2 ; \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

im plied by $G$ alilean invariance $[1 \overline{1} 1,1$ iqu $]$. It was an im portant result of the analysis in papers II and III, see also [到], that the dynam icalexponent $z=3=2$ also enters in the dispersion law E / ${ }^{z}$ for the noise-induced left hand soliton and thus is a feature of the gapless nonlinear excitations providing the $m$ any body description of a grow ing interface.
$T$ he description of the stochastic nonequilibrium dynam ics of a grow ing interface can be
 P lanck level (or M aster equation level for discrete models) characterized by the Fokker$P$ lanck equation associated w th the Burgers equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ P}{@ t}=H P: \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $H$ am iltonian or Liouvillian $H$ is given by Eq. ( $\overline{1} .1$ $p$ interpreted as the functional derivative $p=\quad u$, see also [4].].

O n the Langevin level the grow th problem is de ned by a stochastic nonlinear di erential equation. A part from direct num erical sim ulations the standard analytical tool as regards scaling properties is a perturbative renorm alization group schem e based on an expansion in
 renorm alization group equations in an expansion about $d=2$, $=d \quad 2$, predicts a kinetic phase transition above $d=2$ from a sm ooth EdwardsW ilkinson phase ( $\left.=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & d\end{array}\right)=2 ; z=2\right)$ to a rough BurgersKPZ phase with nontrivial exponents. In $d=1$ the scheme yields (fortuitously) the exact exponents $=1=2$ and $z=3=2$. The lim tation of the Langevin
description is that it does not provide a sim ple physical picture of a grow ing interface and that the role of the noise can only be discussed and interpreted on a qualitative level.

O n the FokkerP lanck level the grow ing interface is determ ined by the determ in istic evo-
 is equivalent to a functionalSchrodinger equation in $W$ idk-rotated in aginary tim e and allow s via the $M$ artin-Siggia-Rose functional integral for a $m$ apping of the grow th problem onto a non $H$ erm itian quantum eld theory as discussed in paper II, see also $\overline{4} \overline{4}]$. The quantum eld formulation, in addition to also providing an altemative fram ew ork for perturbative dynam ical renorm alization group theory follow ing for exam ple the $C$ allen-Sym anzik schem e [T$M$ artin-Siggia-R ose path integral onto a directed polym er in a quenched random medium $[1]=-1$, $12 \overline{2} \overline{2}]$ the nonequilibrium problem becom es equivalent to a disorder problem a ording a di erent perspective on the grow th problem and yielding new insight. The second line of approach which we adhere to in the present context is to discuss the non equilibrium problem directly in term s of eld theoretical constructs. The original stochastic uctuations on the Langevin level are then interpreted as quantum uctuations on the Fokker-P landk level, where the noise strength in Eqs. (1- $2-23)^{2}$ ' serves the role of an e ective P lanck constant.

In the context of canonical quantization the quantum eld theory or quantum $m$ any body theory for the interface is de ned by Eq. (1.
$=u$ replaced by the $m$ om entum operator $\hat{p}=\quad i=u$ in a u-diagonal basis obeying the canonical comm utation relation $[\hat{人}(x) ; \hat{u}(x)]=$ i $\left(x \quad x^{0}\right)$. In the EdwardsWi ikinson case for $=0$ we are dealing $w$ th a free eld theory and the elem entary excitations or (undressed) quasi-particles are the linear non-propagating di usive modes $w$ th quadratic dispersion $!=k^{2}$, yielding according to spectral properties the dynam ic exponent $z=2$, de ning the EdwardsW ikinson universality class. As discussed in paper II it is also an easy task to evaluate e.g., the slope correlations (1.1 $\overline{1} \overline{1})$ as a purely quantum $m$ any body calculation. In the nonlinear B urgers case for $\in 0$ we obtain correction to the linearm ode dispersion law. M oreover, the quasi-classical analysis for ! 0 in papers II and III also identi es a nonlinear soliton excitation w ith dispersion law E / $\quad 1=2 \quad 3=2$. A detailed analysis of the non $H$ erm itian quantum eld theory has, how ever, not yet been achieved and w ill be considered elsew here.

In the present paper we continue our investigation of the noisy Burgers equation for the
nonequilibrium grow th of an interface. W em ake use of the weak noise canonicalphase space approach developed in paper III and consider the follow ing im portant issues: i) T he detailed grow th m onphology based on the $m$ ulti-soliton $m$ any body description, ii) the non H em itian properties of the superim posed linear $m$ ode spectrum and the phenom enon of dynam ical pinning and $m$ ode transm utation, and iii) the correlations in the Edwards $-W$ ikinson case, the anom alous di usion of grow th m odes and switching and pathw ays in the BurgersK P Z case, correlations in the multi-soliton sector, and correlations and scaling in the tw o-soliton sector. W ith respect to i) we stress that one of the advantages of the quasi-classical weak noise phase space approach propounded in papers II and III is that it provides a $m$ any body description of a grow ing interface in term s of solitons and linear m odes. The Landau quasiparticle picture em erging on the FokkerP lanck level was discussed heuristically in paper II. H ere we analyze in $m$ ore detail the tim e evolution of a grow ing interface in term $s$ of its elem entary excitations. Regarding ii) we note that superim posed on the nonlinear solitons
 soliton $m$ ode. A $n$ analysis of the linear m ode spectrum was initiated in paper I and II. In the present paper we com plete the analysis also for a multi-soliton state and dem onstrate am ong other properties that the linearm odes sub ject to the nonlinear soliton $m$ odes undergo a m ode transm utation from di usive non-propagating behavior in the absence of solitons to propagating behavior in the soliton case. Finally, with regard to iii) on the scaling properties of the slope correlations (1'1.1') we provided in paper II only a heuristic expression for the scaling function $F^{r}$ based on a general spectral representation. H ere we am end this situation and present an explicit expression for $F^{r}$ w thin the two-soliton approxim ation.
 [4̄-10] present a num erical analysis of the soliton-bearing $m$ ean eld equations and a tutorial review, respectively.

T he paper is organized in the follow ing $m$ anner. In Sec.'İt w e discuss the grow ing interface in term $s$ of soliton $m$ odes, in Sec. ini we consider the superim posed linear $m$ ode spectrum and discuss the $m$ ode transm utation alluded to above, in Sec.inji, we address the statistical properties, and consider the anom alous di usion of grow th m odes, sw itching and pathw ays, correlations in the $m$ ulti-soliton sector, and in detail the correlations in the tractable tw osoliton sector. In Sec. N Ī' we present a sum $m$ ary and a conclusion.

## II. A GROW ING IN TERFACE

A grow ing interface govemed by the noisy B urgers equation (1) in in a sim ple prototype of an intrinsically open and driven nonequilibrium system. In the noiseless case for $=0$ the interface is dam ped and the slope eld $u$ evolves subject to a transient pattem form ation consisting of propagating and merging right hand solitons connected by ram polutions,
 uctuational. At long tim es the solitons die out on a tim e scale set by $1=k^{2}$, where $k$ is the di usive m ode wavenum ber. In the noisy case for 0 the tim e evolution and pattem form ation change. T he noise balances the dam ping and drives after a transient period the slope eld into a stationary m orphology com posed of am plitude-m atched right and left hand solitons w ith superim posed linear m odes. T he noise strength is an essential param eter changing the qualitative $m$ onphology of an interface; this is re ected $m$ athem atically in $E q$. (1.11) for the transition probability which has an essential singularity for ! 0 .
 for a w avenum ber com ponent $u_{k}, u_{k}(t)={ }^{R} d x u(x ; t) \exp (i k x)$, of the slope eld driven by the noise w avenum ber com ponent $k$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}(t)=u_{k}^{i} e^{!_{k} t} \int_{0}^{z} d t^{0} i k e^{!_{k}\left(t t^{0}\right)}{ }_{k}\left(t^{0}\right): \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere $!_{k}=k^{2}$ is the di usive $m$ ode dispersion law and $u_{k}^{i}=u_{k}(t=0)$ the initialslope value. W e notioe that generally $1=!_{k}$ sets the tim e scale. In itially the $m$ otion is determ in istic and govemed by the noiseless di usion equation; at longer tim es for $!_{k} t \quad 1$ the noise gradually
 to uctuate and is driven into a stationary noisy state. $T$ his behavior is in accordance w ith the phase space behavior discussed in paper III on the FokkerP lanck level. In Fig. 1 İ' we have for a particular noise realization depicted the behavior of $u_{k}$. W e em phasize that the general aspects of the noise-induced tim e evolution also holds in the noisy B urgers case here sub ject to a soliton-induced pattem form ation. The transient regim $e$ is indicated by $I$, the long tim e stationary regim e by II.

A $s m$ entioned above the quantum $m$ echanical interpretation allow $s$ a discussion of the grow ing interface in term $s$ of a Landau quasi-particle picture. In the Edwardsfo ilkinson or noninteracting case the relevant quasi-particle is the di usive mode $u_{k} w$ ith quadratic
dispersion law $!=k^{2}$. In the Burgers case it is a general feature of the Landau quasiparticle picture that interactions usually give rise to a dressing e ect of the free (bare) quasi-particle, e. g., the inducem ent of an e ective $m$ ass. In the di usive $m$ ode case this corresponds to a dressing of the dam ping constant leaving the dynam ical exponent $\mathrm{z}=2$ in ! = $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ unaltered. H ow ever, as show n in papers II and III even forweak a new quasiparticle em erges, the nonlinear soliton excitation, with dispersion law ! / ( $\left.={ }^{1=2}\right) \mathrm{k}^{3=2}$.

From a heuristic point of view we can regard the soliton as a selflbound state ofdi usive m odes; in other words, the solitons condense or nucleate out of the di usive mode eld. We note, how ever, that while the form ation of localized soliton $m$ odes $w$ ith superim posed linear m odes is a well-known feature of determ inistic evolution equations, e.g, the sine-G ordon equation and the nonlinear Schrodinger equation $\left.{ }_{[1-2}^{2}\right]$, the underlying $m$ echanism of the doubling of soliton $m$ odes here is the noise. In the approach in [i] the soliton m ode was
 the lim it ! 0 from i) in [4] ] the underlying $H$ eisenberg eld equations pertaining to the quantum description and ii) in papens II and III from the classical eld equations arising from a principle of least action in the W K B lim it of the FokkerP land description. Below we tum to a discussion of the uctuating interface in term sof the quantum /classicalpicture discussed above.
A. The Edw ards-W ilkinson case - equilibrium interface
 the driven conserved di usion equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho u}{@ t}=r^{2} u+r \text {; } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is readily solved both on the Langevin level in Eq. (2, level. Since the dam ping term $r^{2} u$ in Eq. (2 2 energy $F=(1=2)^{R} d x u^{2}$ the driven di usive equation describes an interface in equilibrium at a tem perature $T==2$. In accordance with the quantum eld interpretation outlined above, this sim ple case, how ever, serves as an illustration of the quasi-particle representation.


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho u}{\varrho t}=r^{2} u \quad r^{2} p ; \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ p}{@ t}=r^{2} p: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a single wavenum ber com ponent Eq. (2َيَ) corresponds to a noise-driven overdam ped
 and (2. 2.4 ) were solved and discussed in paper III. W e nd, supplem enting the analysis in paper III, the solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{k}(t)=\frac{u_{k}^{f} \sinh !_{k} t+u_{k}^{i} \sinh !_{k}(T \quad t)}{\sinh !_{k} T} ;  \tag{2.5}\\
& p_{k}(t)=e^{!_{k} t \frac{u_{k}^{f} \quad u_{k}^{i} e!_{k} T}{\sinh !_{k} T} ;} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for an orbit from $u_{k}^{i}$ to $u_{k}^{f}$ in time $T, 0<t<T$. The noise eld $p_{k}(t)$ is slaved to the m otion of $u_{k}$ and determ ined by $u_{k}^{i}, u_{k}^{f}$, and T. D uring the tim e evolution it evolves from $\left.p_{k}^{i}=\quad u_{k}^{f} \quad प_{k}^{\dot{j}} \exp \left(\quad!_{k} T\right)\right]=\sinh !_{k} T$ to $p_{k}^{f}=\quad\left[u_{k}^{f} \exp \left(!{ }_{k} T\right) \quad \Psi_{k}^{\dot{j}}=\sinh !_{k} T\right.$.

W e note the correspondence betw een the physical interpretation on the Langevin level
 In the noiseless case for $=0$, corresponding to setting $p_{k}=0$, ie., $u_{k}^{f}=u_{k}^{i} \exp \left(\quad!_{k} T\right)$, the slope eld is dam ped according to $u_{k}(t)=u_{k}^{i} \exp \left(\quad!_{k} t\right)$ over a time scale $1=!_{k}$. In the presence of noise the grow ing noise eld $p_{k} / \exp \left(!{ }_{k} t\right)$ eventually drives $u_{k}$, ie., $u_{k} /$ $\exp \left(!{ }_{k} t\right)$. G enerally, $u_{k}$ is a linear com bination of a dam ped part $\exp \left(!_{k} t\right)$ and a grow ing part $\exp \left(!{ }_{k} t\right)$, analogous to the decom position of the eld in positive and negative frequency parts in quantum $m$ any body theory tīisil. Here the com ponents are decaying and grow ing according to the transient and stationary regim es I and II in F ig. in in respectively.
 classical lim it ! 0 , is con ned to a subm anifold in phase space delim ited by four global conservation law s: C onservation of energy $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{H}$, conservation ofm om entum, conservation of area, i.e., the integrated slope $M$ or height o set; and here also conservation of the integrated noise eld $P^{\sim}$ given by Eqs. (1.1] In w avenum ber space we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{\frac{\mathrm{dk}}{2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}={ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dk}}{4} \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 2 \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) ; ~ ; ~} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the energy decom poses in contributions $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ for each w avenum ber m ode k . Inserting Eqs .

and the energy only depends on the initial and nal con gurations $u_{k}^{i}, u_{k}^{f}$ and the time interval $T$. For xed $u_{k}^{i}$ and $u_{k}^{f}$ and in the long time lim it $T$ ! 1 the energy $E_{k}$ ! 0 and the orbit $m$ igrates to the zero energy $m$ anifolds: $p_{k}=0$, the transient noiseless subm anifold, and $p_{k}=2 u_{k}$, the stationary noisy subm anifold. The orbit thus asym ptotically passes through the saddle point $\left(u_{k} ; p_{k}\right)=(0 ; 0)$ where the diverging waiting tim e ensures ergodic behavior. In Fig. ${ }_{1}^{2}$ we have depicted the orbits in ( $u_{k} ; p_{k}$ ) phase space.

In a sim ilar $m$ anner, the $m$ om entum decom poses according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{2} \mathrm{dk}_{\mathrm{k}}={ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dk}}{2} \mathrm{iku}{ }_{k} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \text { : } \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note that vanishes on the zero-energy m anifolds $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}=0$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}=2 \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}$; in the latter case since the integral in Eq. (2. 2 . $\mathbf{d}$ ) becom es a total derivative. For a nite time orbit insertion of Eqs. (2-5) and (2-G) explicitly yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{k}=\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{k}^{i} u_{k}^{f}\right)}{\sinh !_{k} T} ; \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term sof $u_{k}^{i}, u_{k}^{f}$, and $T$; for $T!1$ we have $k!0$. Likew ise for the integrated slope and noise eld, $\mathrm{P}^{r}=2 \mathrm{M}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M={ }^{z} d x u^{i}(x)=u_{k=0}^{i}: \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the action associated with an orbit is obtained from Eq. (190). Inserting the equation of $m$ otion Eq. ( $\left.\overline{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ we have as an interm ediate result $S=(1=2)^{R} d k S_{k}, S_{k}=$ $(1=2)^{R} d t k^{2} \dot{p}_{k} \rho$ and using Eq. (2, 2 (a) the action
determ ined by the initial and nal con gurations $u_{k}^{i}$ and $u_{k}^{f}$ and the traversal time $T$. A coording to Eq. (İ:1בin) we subsequently obtain the transition probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(u_{k}^{f} ; u_{k}^{i} ; T\right) / \exp -^{Z} \frac{d k}{2} \frac{j_{k}^{f}}{1} \frac{u_{k}^{i} \exp \left(!_{k} T\right) f^{\#}}{\exp (2 k T)} ; \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 zero-energy manifolds and we arrive at the stationary G aussian distribution ( behavior in phase space is consistent w ith the qualitative behavior shown in $F$ ig. 'in'

Sum m arizing, in the linear Edw ards-W ikinson case the conserved noise-driven stochastic di usion equation is in the weak noise lim it equivalent to coupled eld equations adm itting
both dam ped and grow ing solutions for the slope eld. The stochastic noise is replaced by a noise eld canonically conjugate to the slope eld. B oth dam ped and grow ing solutions are required in order to describe the crossover from the transient regim e to the stationary regim e. The wavenum ber $k$ is a good quantum num ber and we can envisage the uctuating interface as a gas of grow ing and dam ped di usive m odes according to the decom position, see also paper II,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u(x ; t)=\frac{z}{2} \frac{d k}{A_{k}} e^{!k^{t}} e^{i k x}+B_{k} e^{!k t} e^{i k x}\right]: \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In eld theoretical term $\mathrm{su}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t})$ is a free eld and the elem entary m odes are noninteracting. A particular $m$ ode lies on the energy surface $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and is m oreover speci ed by the conserved $m$ om entum $k$. Furthem ore, under tim e evolution the integrated slope eld $M$ and noise eld $P^{〔}$ are also conserved. Finally, with the $m$ ode is associated an action $S_{k}$ yielding the transition probability P .

The description based on the eld equations ( structure is basically classical. Sub ject to canonicalquantization the di usive m odes becom e bona de elem entary excitations and a Landau quasi-particle picture of the interface em erges. The original noise uctuations are then interpreted as quantum uctuations em erging from the underlying operator algebra. F inally, we note that sub ject to $a \mathrm{~W}$ idk rotation $t$ ! it the di usive quasi-particles are transform ed to dispersive particle-like quasi-particles with mass $=2$.
B. The Burgers-K P Z case - nonequilibrium interface

In the case of a grow ing interface the situation is $m$ ore com plex. The general behavior depicted in Fig. in still holds in the sense that the interface evolves from a transient state to a stochastic stationary state. H ow ever, unlike the linear equilibrium case where the uctuations in $u$ are extended and di usive, the uctuations in the nonlinear nonequilibrium grow th case include localized propagating $m$ odes in order to account for a grow ing height pro le. This is also evident from e.g., the KPZ equation in Eq. (i. $1 . \mathbf{I n}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), where the dam ping and grow th term s transform di erently under time reversal. For $t!\quad t, h!h$, and
! the KPZ equation stays invariant. $T$ his is consistent with the fact that in the decom position of the irreversible linear $m$ odes in grow ing and decaying com ponents the
dam ping enters in the combination $t$, whereas the average nonlinear reversible grow th term in the stationary state hdh $=d_{t i}{ }_{s t}=(=2) h(r h)^{2} i$ is invariant. $C$ learly, the grow th term cannot be derived from a therm odynam ic free energy, the term $m$ oreover violates the
 into a stationary kinetic grow ing state.

The issue on the Fokker $P$ lanck level is again to establish a quasi-particle picture and to determ ine orbits in ( $u ; p$ ) phase space from an initialcon guration $u^{i}$ at timet=0 to a nal con guration $u^{f}$ at timet=T in order to, via the action associated $w$ ith the orbit, evaluate the transition probability $P\left(u^{f} ; u^{i} ; T\right)$. The onbit is in principle determ ined as an initial nal value problem, i.e., a boundary value problem in tim $e$, of the $m$ ean eld equations ( 1. (İ:-1). U nlike the linear case where we can expand $u$ on plane wave di usive m odes and thus achieve a com plete analysis, the nonlinear and presum ably nonintegrable character of Eqs.


Two new features distinguish the eld equations ( the nonlinear coupling strength setting togetherwith an intrinsic length scale $=$ and ii) the am plitude-dependent $G$ alilean invariance (1]. 1 sibility of localized nonlinear excitations and the $G$ alilean sym $m$ etry perm its the generation of a class of propagating particle-like excitations from a static solution, ie., an excitation at rest. The static excitations are the right and left hand solitons ( (1].d) characterized by the parity index $=1$. Boosting a static solton to the velocity $v$, denoting the boundary values $u_{+}$and $u$, and using the $G$ alilean sym $m$ etry in (1) we obtain the fundam ental soliton condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{+}+u=\underline{2 v}: \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Fig. ${ }^{-13} 1 \mathbf{1}$ we have depicted the fiundam ental \quarks" or solitons and the associated height pro les.
$T$ he right hand soliton for $=+1 \mathrm{~m}$ oves on the noiseless transient subm anifold $\mathrm{p}=0$
 the canonical fram ew ork the right hand solton does not contribute to the dynam ics of the interface; according to Eqs. (1-15) and (1] 1 m om entum . The left hand soliton for $=1$ is associated w ith the noisy or stationary subm anifold $p=2 u$ and it follows from the eld equations that it is a solution of the
grow ing noiseless Burgers equation for ! . N ote that due to the uneven boundary values $u_{+}$and $u$ the solitons are self-sustained dissipative structures driven by boundary currents as discussed in paper I. The left hand soliton is endow ed w ith dynam ical attributes and carries according to Eqs. (1,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E} & =\frac{2}{3} \quad\left(u_{+}^{3} u^{3}\right) ;  \tag{2.16}\\
& =\left(u_{+}^{2} u^{2}\right) ;  \tag{2.17}\\
S & =\frac{1}{6} j u_{+} u j^{3} T: \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $u_{+}<u$ for a left hand soliton its energy is negative. Expressing in the form $=(2 v=)(u+u) u \operatorname{sing}$ Eq. $\left(\underline{2} \overline{1} \bar{S}^{\prime}\right)$ it follows that points in the direction ofv. From $=m v$ we can also associate an amplitude-dependent $m$ ass $m=(2=) j u+u j w$ th the soliton. F inally, the action for a left hand soliton orbit over tim e $T$ is positive and $G$ alilean invariant.

In addition to the localized soliton $m$ odes the eld equations also support linear $m$ odes superim posed on the soliton. T hese $m$ odes are obtained by a linear stability analysis of the eld equations and will.be discussed in Sec. iñin. In the lim it ! 0 the soliton m odes vanish and the rem aining uctuations are the di usive $m$ odes of the $E d w a r d s W i k i n s o n ~ m o d e l . ~$

The eld equations ( stitute a com plete set in the sam em anner as the plane wave decom position $\overline{2}=14)$ in the EdwardsW ikkinson case. We shall nevertheless as a working hypothesis assum e that we can resolve a given initial interface slope pro $l e u$ in term s of a gas of right hand and left
 stant slope segm ents. A ssociated w ith the soliton representation of $u$ there is also a soliton representation of the associated noise eld p. From the form of the eld equations it follow s that a multi-solition con guration is an approxim ate solution provided we can control the overlap contribution $u^{i} r u^{1}$ arising from the nonlinear term ; here $i$ and 1 denote the $i$-th and l-th soliton. Since the sollton width is of order $=u$ the overlap only contributes in a region of order $=u$ about the soliton center and is sm all in the inviscid lim it ! 0 and for a dilute solition gas. O therw ise, we assume that at least for sm all we can absorb the correction term in a linear m ode contribution. In sum $m$ ary, we represent a slope con guration $u$ and the associated noise eld con guration $p$ in term $s$ of a gas of right hand and left

$T$ his representation of grow ing interface is in the spirit ofa Landau quasi-particle picture
 the interface at a given instant of tim e is characterized by a gas of localized soliton modes and extended linear modes. Since the soliton is not associated with a particle but is a nonlinear solution of classical eld equations the soliton num ber is not conserved; in other words, solitons are created and annihilated sub ject to collisions.

K eeping only the solitons, explicit expressions for $u$ and pare easily constructed in term $s$ of the G alilee-boosted soliton m odes ( $\overline{1} \mathbf{1} . \mathrm{d})$. Introducing the m ean am plitude $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and velocity $v_{p}$ in term $s$ of the boundary value $u_{p+1}$ and $u_{p}$ of the $p$-th soliton,

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{p}=\frac{-}{4}\left(u_{p+1} \quad u_{p}\right) ;  \tag{2.19}\\
& v_{p}=\frac{-}{2}\left(u_{p+1}+u_{p}\right) ; \tag{220}
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain for an $n$-soliton representation of the slope eld $u_{s}$ and associated noise eld $p_{s}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{s}(x ; t)=\int_{p=1}^{x^{n}} k_{p} \tanh j_{p} j\left(x \quad \text { yt } \quad x_{p}\right) ;  \tag{221}\\
& p_{s}(x ; t)=\underbrace{x^{n}}_{p=1 ; k_{p}<0} k_{p} \tanh j_{p} j\left(x \quad \text { yt } \quad x_{p}\right): \tag{222}
\end{align*}
$$

The solitons are arranged from left to right according to the increasing index $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}=1$; 2 ; $T$ he center of the $p$-th soliton is at $x_{p}$ and we have set $u_{1}=u_{n+1}=0$. In $F$ ig. ${ }_{1} \overline{1} /$ we have show $n$ an $n$-soliton con guration.

The soliton representation in Eq. (2-2 $\overline{2}^{\prime}$ ) of the interface evolves in tim e according to the eld equations. The motion corresponds to an orbit in ( $u ; p$ ) phase space lying on the $m$ anifold determ ined by the conservation of energy $E, m$ om entum , and area $M$ according
 eld $\mathrm{P}^{\ulcorner }$, since is conserved, develops linearly in tim e according to

$$
P^{r}=t+\text { const: }
$$

In the soliton representation the contributions to the energy, $m$ om entum, action, and area decom pose. N oting that only left hand solitons for $u_{p+1}<u_{p}$ contribute dynam ically we


$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{2}{3} \underset{p=1, u_{p+1}<u_{p}}{x^{n}}\left(u_{p+1}^{3} \quad u_{p}^{3}\right) ; \tag{224}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}} \underset{p=1 ; u_{p+1}<u_{p}}{\left(u_{p+1}^{2}\right.} U_{p}^{2}\right) \text {; }  \tag{2,25}\\
& S=\frac{1}{6} \quad T \underset{p=1 ; u_{p+1}<u_{p}}{x^{n}} \underset{u_{p+1}}{u_{p} j^{3} ; ~}  \tag{2,26}\\
& M=x_{p=1}^{x^{n}} u_{p+1}\left(x_{p+1} \quad x_{p}\right): \tag{2,27}
\end{align*}
$$

 and action are additive quantities (extensive) the soliton gas still represents a very intricate $m$ any body problem. This is due to the soliton $m$ atching condition in Eq. (2.15), ie., the horizontal segm ents connecting the solitons, and the dynam ical asym $m$ etry betw een left hand and right hand solitons. T he solitons in the representation $(\overline{2}-\overline{2} 1 \mathbf{1})$ propagate $w$ ith in general di erent velocities $v_{p}$ and are thus sub ject to collisions. Since we only have at our disposal single soliton solutions of the eld equations patched together to represent a slope con guration at a particular tim e instant and not a general solution we have lim ted control over soliton-soliton scattering. C learly, the expressions ( $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{4}-1 \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{6})$ only hold inbetw een soliton collisions. In particular, the tim e $T$ entering in the action $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{\underline{6}})$ refers to tim es betw een collisions, i.e., $T$ is typically of order $x_{p+1} \quad x_{p} j=v_{p}$. The working assum ption here is that in-betw een collisions the soliton (plus linear m odes) representation is valid and that energy, mom entum, and area are conserved during collisions. H ow ever, the num ber of solitons is not preserved, i.e., solitons are created and annihilated sub ject to collisions. Finally, we note that at long tim es the orbit from $u^{i}$ to $u^{f} m$ igrates to the zero-energy $m$ anifold as con jectured in paper III. This im plies that the nite energy solitons at long tim es are suppressed and that the system in this lim it is described by di usive $m$ odes yielding the stationary distribution (1-21). For further illustration we have in Fig. 'IV: depicted the slope eld $u$, height eld $h$, and noise eld p for a 4-soliton con guration. T he solitons are centered at $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, and $x_{4}$ and propagate $w$ th velocities $v_{1}=(=2) u_{2}, v_{2}=(=2)\left(u_{3}+u_{2}\right)$, $v_{3}=\quad(=2)\left(u_{4}+u_{3}\right)$, and $v_{4}=(=2) u_{2}$, where $u_{2}, u_{3}$, and $u_{4}$ are the plateau values. $T$ he con guration carries energy $E=(2=3) \quad\left(u_{2}^{3} \quad u_{3}^{3}\right), m$ om entum $=\left(u_{2}^{2} \quad u_{3}^{2}\right)$, action $S=$ (1=6) $T\left(j u_{2} j^{j}+j u_{4} \quad u_{3} j^{\beta}+j u_{4} \mathcal{j}^{\beta}\right)$, and area $M=u_{2}\left(x_{2} \quad x_{1}\right)+u_{3}\left(x_{3} \quad x_{2}\right)+u_{4}\left(x_{4} \quad x_{3}\right)$ at time $t=0$. By integration we note that the totalnoise eld $P^{\sim}$ evolves like $P^{\sim}=P_{0}^{r}+\quad t\left(u_{2}^{2} \quad u_{s}^{2}\right)$ in agreem ent w ith Eq. (2 $\left.2 \overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

It is clear that the nonequilibrium grow th of the interface is fundam entally related to the
existence of localized propagating soliton modes. Expressing the K P Z equation ( 1.4 . 4 ) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ h}{@ t}=r^{2} h+\frac{-}{2} u^{2}+; \tag{228}
\end{equation*}
$$

the linear dam ping term is associated w ith the linear modes, whereas the nonequilibrium grow th term is driven by the solitons. In the Edw ards-W ikinson case them odes are extended and di usive, $u /^{P}{ }_{k} f_{k}(t) \cos (k x+')$, where $f_{k}(t)$ is related to Eq. (2.- 2 ), and the height eld $h={ }^{R_{x}} u d x^{0}$ for a particular $k$ m ode behaves like $h / \sin \left(k x+{ }^{\prime}\right)$; consequently, hhi=0 and grow th is absent. On the contrary, in the Burgers case the localized soliton modes em erge and $h(x)={ }^{R_{x}} u\left(x^{0}\right) d x^{0}$ grow s ow ing to the propagation of solitons across the system .
 $w$ ith the passage of a soliton $w$ ith am plitude $u$ and velocity $j \dot{j} j=(=2) u$ at a given point $x$. $T$ his grow th behavior also follow $s$ from inspection of $F$ ig.

The constant slope and noise eld con guration $u=u_{0}$ and $p=p_{0}$ have according to Eqs. ( 1 of zero-energy states; note that the energy is not bounded from below, i.e., the zero-energy states are not ground states. T he right and left hand solitons in Eq. (ī. $\mathbf{R}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ ) lift the zero-energy degeneracy and connect a constant $u$ con guration to a constant $u_{+}$con guration. Unlike
 ground states ' 0 or degenerate ground states ' ${ }_{0}=\mathrm{p}$, where p is an integer, respectively, $w$ th $m$ assive gapfulexcitations, the B urgers solitons are gapless $m$ odes form ing a continuum of states with energy E/( $\left.u_{+}^{3} \quad u^{3}\right)$ and $m$ om entum / ( $\left.u_{+}^{2} \quad u^{2}\right)$.

In the discussion of a grow ing interface in term s of its slope eld we must introduce appropriate boundary conditions in order to describe the physical grow th state. In the instantaneous con guration in Figs. 'A'1 and ' the boundaries. H ow ever, ow ing to the soliton propagation this boundary condition cannot be $m$ aintained in the course of time as the solitons cross the boundaries of the system and it is $m$ ore appropriate to assum e periodic boundary conditions for the slope eld, ie., $u(x)=u(x+L)$ at all tim es, where $L$ is the size of the system. N ote that periodic boundary conditions for the slope eld in general does not im ply periodic boundary conditions for the associated height eld $h$, the integrated slope eld. W e have from $h(x)={ }^{R_{x}} u\left(x^{0}\right) d x^{0}$, $h(x)=h(x+L)+M$, where $M$ is the area under $u$, and only in the case of zero-area slope
con gurations does $h$ also satisfy periodic boundary conditions, corresponding to vanishing height o set at the boundaries.

W hereas periodic boundary conditions for the slope eld are consistent w ith the extended di usive $m$ odes in the E dw ardsW ikinson case, ie., the free elds, the elem entary right and left hand B urgers solitons violate the boundary conditions since they connect unequal zeroenergy states. In this sense we can regard the solitons as \quarks" in the present $m$ any body form ulation. A proper elem entary excitation or quasi-particle satisfying periodic boundary conditions is thus com posed of two orm ore \quarks" as illustrated in F igs.' ${ }^{\prime} \overline{4}$ and '

## 1. The two-soliton con guration

The sim plest con guration satisfying periodic boundary condition is com posed of two solitons of opposite parity, ie., a noisy and a noiseless kink. The solitons have the com m on am plitude $u$, are centered at $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ and propagate as a com posite entity, according to Eq. (2 $2 . \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{-1})$ w th velocity $v=u=2$. Speci cally, this pair-soliton $m$ ode has the form
$T$ he con guration $u_{2}$ together $w$ th the associated noise eld $p_{2}$ (for $u>0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{2}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{u} 1 \quad \tanh \frac{k_{s}}{2}(\mathrm{x} \quad \text { vt } \quad \mathrm{x}) ; \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the height eld $\mathrm{h}_{2}$

$$
h_{2}(x ; t)=\frac{u}{k_{s}} \log \frac{\cosh \frac{k_{\mathrm{s}}}{2}(x}{x} \begin{array}{ccc}
\cosh \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}}{2}(\mathrm{x} & \text { vt } & x) \tag{2.31}
\end{array}+\text { const. }
$$

are depicted at the initial tim e $t=0$ in $F$ ig.' ${ }^{\mathbf{6}}$
A coording to Eqs. $(\overline{2} 24-2 \overline{2}-1)$ the two-soliton con guration is endowed w ith the dynam ical attributes:

$$
\begin{align*}
E & =\frac{2}{3} j u j^{3} ;  \tag{2.32}\\
& =\operatorname{sign}(u) u^{2} ;  \tag{2.33}\\
S & =\frac{1}{6} \quad j u j^{3} T ;  \tag{2.34}\\
M & =u\left(x_{2} \quad x_{1}\right): \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

The two-soliton con guration corresponds to an orbit in (u;p) phase space. Choosing as initial con guration at $t=0\left(u^{i} ; p^{i}\right)=\left(u_{2}(x ; 0) ; p_{2}(x ; 0)\right)$ the nalcon guration at $t=T$ is then given by $\left(u^{f} ; \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{f}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{u}_{2}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{T}) ; \mathrm{p}_{2}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{T})\right)$. In a nite system of size L w ith periodic boundary conditions this ism oreover a periodic onb it $w$ ith period $L=v$; in the them odynam ic lim it L! 1 the period diverges.

By inspection of F ig. ${ }_{-1}$ 'G it follows that the two-soliton con guration propagates with a constant pro le preserving the area M, i.e., the height o set $2 \mathrm{u}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{X}_{2} & \mathrm{x}_{1}\end{array}\right)$. Sub ject to periodic boundary conditions in a system ofsize $L$ the soliton pair reappears after a period $L=v$. This $m$ otion corresponds to a sim ple grow th scenario where a layer of thickness $h=u\left(x_{2} \quad x_{1}\right)$ is added to $h$ per revolution of the pair. Sub ject to this particular soliton $m$ ode the interface thus grow sw th velocity $(1=2) u^{2}\left(x_{2} \quad x_{1}\right)=L$. This is consistent $w$ th the averaged form of Eq. (2 $\mathbf{2}^{2}$ ) in the stationary state, h@h=@ti $=(=2) h u^{2}{ }^{2}$, noting the spatialw eight $\left(x_{2} \quad x_{1}\right)=\mathrm{L}$ of the soliton pair in the average h@h=@ti over the interface. W e also rem ark that the local increase in $h, h=u `$, ow ing to the passage of a soltton pair of size ${ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{x}_{2} \quad \mathrm{x} j \mathrm{j}$ in time $t=\left\lfloor=j \dot{j}\right.$ where $j v j=u=2$, yields $h=t=(=2) u^{2}$, again is in accordance $w$ ith the averaged KPZ equation. Finally, the integrated noise eld $P^{\sim}={ }^{R} d x p$ decreases linearly w ith time as the soliton pair revolves like $P^{\sim}=P_{0}^{\sim} \quad 4$ ujut in agreem ent with Eq. .

A ccording to $v=u=2$ the velocity of the soliton pair is proportional to the am plitude u. Expressing the energy $E$ and $m$ om entum in term sofv we have $E=(16=3)\left(={ }^{2}\right) j j^{3}$ and $=4\left(={ }^{2}\right) v j j$ characterizing the nonlinear excitation. $M$ oreover, elim inating the velocity we arrive at the dispersion law

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{4}{3} \overline{1=2} j j^{3=2}: \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The soliton pair is thus a gapless quasi-particle $m$ ode $w$ th exponent $z=3=2$. A s discussed in paper II a general spectral representation for the slope correlations allow $s$ us to $m$ ake contact w th the scaling form in Eq. (11-20) and identify the $m$ ode exponent $z w$ th the dynam ic exponent.
$W$ ithin the present description the statistical weight of the soliton is determ ined by Eq. (11211). In the inviscid lim it for sm all we obtain for a pair of size 'and am plitude $u$ the norm alized stationary distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s t}\left(u ; ;^{\prime}\right)={ }_{s t}^{1}(L) \exp \quad-u^{2}, ; \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith norm alization factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { st }(L)=2(=)^{1=2} L^{1=2}: \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The distribution is param eterized by the amplitude $u$ and the size '. The norm alization factor or partition function st varies as $L^{1=2}$ and the distribution thus vanishes in the therm odynam ic lim it L! 1, characteristic of a localized excitation. The m ean size of a soliton pair is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h ` i=\int_{0}^{Z_{L}} d l^{Z} d u P_{s t}\left(u ;{ }^{\prime}\right): \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting $P_{\text {st }}$ we obtain $h ` i=(1=3) L$, ie., the $m$ ean size of the pair scales w ith the system size. This behavior is characteristic of a spatially extended or loosely bound excitation and we can envisage the soliton pair as a \string excitation" connecting right and left hand solitons (the fundam ental \quarks").

In a sim ilarm anner we can determ ine the transition probability associated w th a soliton pair using Eq. (1, 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {sol }}(u ; T)=\operatorname{sol}_{\operatorname{sol}}(T) \exp \quad \overline{6} j j^{3} T ; \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the norm alization factor or dynam ic partition function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sol}(T)=\frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{1}{3}_{3} \quad \frac{6}{T}{ }^{1=3}: \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $G$ amma function $(z)={ }^{R}{ }_{0} \exp (t) t^{1} d t$ arises from the nom alization of $P_{\text {soi }}$; $(1=3)=2: 68174$.

Before tuming to the linear uctuation spectrum in the next section we wish to brie $y$
 tions are of the di usive-advective type with the characteristic feature that the equation for p adm its exponentially grow ing solutions due to the negative di usion coe cient thus rendering direct forw ard integration in tim e num erically unfeasible. In order to resolve this instability problem we developed a \tim e loop" integration procedure based on integrating the equation for $u$ forward in tim efollowed by an integration backw ard in tim e of the equation for $p$. This num erical schem e thus requires an assignm ent ofboth initial and nal (u,p) con gurations and therefore $m$ ainly served as a tool to check whether a certain assignm ent actually constitutes a solution.

W e investigated num erically three propagating soliton con gurations: i) a propagating soliton pair, ii) two sym m etrical solitons colliding w ith a static soliton, and iii) the collison of two sym $m$ etrical soliton pairs. Referring to $[\underline{[19} 9]$ for details we sum $m$ arize our ndings below. W e found in case i) that the pair-soliton in the inviscid lim it for sm all is a long lived excitation thus justifying the quasi-particle interpretation above. In case ii) we considered sym $m$ etrical solitons propagating tow ards and colliding $w$ ith a static soliton at the center passing through the static soliton and reem erging w ith no phase shift or delay; this speci c m ode corresponds to lling in a dip and subsequently nucleating a tip at the sam e point in the height eld. In case iii) we nally considered tw o sym m etrical soliton pairs colliding and reappearing sub ject to a phase shift or delay where the incom ing trailing solitons becom e the leading outgoing solitons; this m ode correponds to lling in a trough and subsequent nucleation of a plateau in the height pro le. It w as characteristic of the soliton collisions in case ii) and iii) that the conservation ofE , , and M was satis ed during collision, a feature that seem $s$ to stabilize the integration.

## III. FLUCTUATIONS - MODE TRANSMUTATION

The soliton spectrum discussed in the previous section is a fiundam ental signature of the nonlinear character of the Burgers equation and at the sam e tim e essential in accounting for the grow th aspects of an interface. In the present section we address the uctuation spectrum or linear m ode spectrum superim posed on the soliton gas. In the linear Edw ardsW ilkinson case discussed in Sec. III the uctuations exhaust the mode spectrum and have a di usive character. In the nonlinear B urgers $K$ P Z case the uctuations are superim posed on the soliton modes and becom e propagating. The uctuation spectrum was discussed incom pletely in the noiseless B urgers case in paper I and in the noisy case in paper II. H ere we present a detailed analysis. A brief account of the w ork is given in $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\overline{3} \\ -1\end{array}\right] ;$ here we com plete the analysis.
A. The noiseless Burgers case
 from the eld equation (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} \text { ur } u=r^{2} u: \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation exhibits a transient pattem form ation com posed of right hand solitons connected by ram ps w ith superim posed linear m odes. A single right hand static soliton m ode is given by Eq. (픔) for $=1$, ie., $u_{s}=u \tanh k_{s} x, k_{s}=u=2$. The soliton has am plitude $u$ and $w$ idth $k_{s}{ }^{1}$. A spectnum of $m$ oving solitons is then generated by the $G$ alilean boost (1~3): x! x uot, u! u u.

In order to analyze the superim posed linear uctuations we expand $u$ about the soliton m ode $u_{s}, u=u_{s}+u$. To linear order in $u$ we obtain the equation ofm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} \quad u_{s} r \quad u=r^{2} u+\left(r u_{s}\right) u: \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the asym ptotic lim it for large jxj this equation is readily analyzed. Noting that $u_{s}$ ! usign ( $x$ ), $r u_{s}$ ! 0 , and seardhing for plane wave solutions of the form $u /$ $\exp \left(E_{k} t\right) \exp (i k x)$ we identify a spectrum of com plex eigenvalues,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}=k^{2} \quad \text { iuksign (x); } \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

show ing the non $H$ erm titian character of Eq. ( the im aginary part of the eigenvalue $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ com bines w th the plane $w$ ave part and yields the propagating dam ped wave form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u / e^{k^{2} t} e^{i k(x+v t \operatorname{sign}(x))}: \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he soliton mode thus gives rise to a m ode transm utation in the sense that the di usive m ode in the Edw ardsW ilkinson case $u / \exp \left(k^{2} t\right) \exp (i k x)$ is transm uted to a dam ped propagating mode in the Burgers case with a phase velocity $v$ depending on the soliton am plitude $u$. T he m ode transm utation, of course, also follow s from the $G$ alilean invariance
 transform ation to the $m$ oving fram ex! x ut. For large positive $x$ the $m$ ode propagates to the left, for large negative $x$ the propagation is to the right, i.e., the $m$ ode propagates
tow ards the soliton centerw hich thus acts like a sink. T he phenom ena ofm ode transm utation
 version of the noisy Burgers equation, in the context of analyzing the shocks, corresponding to the solitons in the present context.

A s noted in paper I the analysis of Eq. (3̄2) can be extended to the whole axis by introducing a nonuniform gauge function $g$ determ ined by the soliton pro le in Eq. (1. $\overline{1}$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=k_{s} \tanh k_{s} x \quad ; \quad k_{s}=u=2: \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $m$ eans of $g$ we can express Eq. (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ u}{@ t}=D(g) u ; \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $D(g)$ in the quantum $m$ echanical analogue is given by the $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(g)=\quad(r+g(x))^{2}+k_{s}^{2} 1 \frac{2}{\cosh ^{2} k_{s} x} \text { : } \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation of $m$ otion describes in im aginary $W$ idk-rotated tim e a particle $m$ oving in the potential $2=\cosh ^{2} k_{s} x$ subject to the im aginary gauge eld ig. Absorbing the gauge eld by $m$ eans of the gauge transform ation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& U(g)=\exp \quad g(x) d x=\cosh ^{1} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{x} \text {; } \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and using the identity $(r+g)^{2}=U(g) r^{2} U(g)^{1}$, the non $H$ erm itian equation of $m$ otion (3̄). $\overline{\mathrm{O}}$ ) ) takes the H em itian form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{a} \mathrm{w}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{D}(0) \mathrm{ar} ; \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{~g})^{1} \mathrm{u}: \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e also observe that the gauge transform ation in Eq. ( C ole $H$ opf transform ation I-III.

The presence of the gauge function changes the spatial behavior of the eigenm odes and thus their nom alizability. T he im position of physical constraints such as spatially localized
m odes or asym ptotic plane wave m odes obeying periodic boundary conditions consequently give rise to a com plex eigenvalue spectrum . Since we can \gauge" the mode problem in
 com plete the analysis.

Setting a/ $\exp (\mathrm{t})$, where is the frequency eigenvalue, the spectrum of the ensuing eigenvalue problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(0) a=a ; \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

 frequency $m$ ode and a band of phase-shifted extended scattering $m$ odes $w$ ith eigenvalue

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}\right): \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenm odes have the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { at } / \frac{1}{\cosh k_{s} x} ; & 0=0 ; \\
\text { at/ } \exp (i k x) S_{k}(x) ; & k=\quad\left(k^{2}+k_{s}^{2}\right) ; \\
S_{k}(x)=\frac{k+i k_{s} \tanh k_{s} x}{k} i k_{s} & \tag{3.15}
\end{array}
$$

The $x$-dependent $s m$ atrix $s_{k}(x)=j_{s_{k}}(x) j \exp \left[i_{k}(x)\right]$ gives rise to a spatial modulation $\dot{j}_{k}(x) j=\left[\left(k^{2}+k_{s}^{2} \tanh ^{2} k_{s} x\right)=\left(k^{2}+k_{s}^{2}\right)\right]^{1=2}$ of the plane wave near the soliton center over a range $k_{s}{ }^{1}$ and a phase shift $k(x)=\tan { }^{1}\left(\left(k_{s} \tanh k_{s} x\right)=k\right)+\tan ^{1}\left(k_{s}=k\right)$. For $x!1$, $s_{k}(x)!1$; for $x!1, s_{k}(x)!\left(k+i k_{s}\right)=\left(k \quad i k_{s}\right)=\exp \left(i_{k}\right)$, and $s_{k}(x)$ becom es the usual sm atrix. W e note that the bound state solution and its zero-frequency eigenvalue is contained in the scattering solution as a pole in the smatrix for $k!\mathrm{ik}_{\mathrm{s}}$.

Inserting the gauge transform ation in Eq. (3̄. $\overline{3})$ we obtain for the zerom ode $u /$ cosh ${ }^{2}\left(k_{s} x\right) / r u_{s}$ which thus corresponds to the translation or G oldstone m ode associated with the position of the soliton. For the extended states the gauge transform ation U provides an envelope of range $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{1}$, i.e., $\mathrm{u} / \exp (\mathrm{ikx}) \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x}) \cosh { }^{1}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{x}\right)$. The com plete solution of the m ode equation ( $\overline{3} \bar{Z}_{2}^{\prime}$ ) thus takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{A}{\cosh ^{2} k_{s} x}+{ }_{k}^{x} B_{k} e^{k^{t}} \frac{e^{i k x}}{\cosh k_{s} x} S_{k}(x) ; \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

expressing the uctuations of the slope eld about the static right hand soliton $u_{s} ; A$ and $B_{k}=B_{k}$ are expansion coe cients. The rst term in Eq. (3.16)' is the time independent
translation $m$ ode. The second term corresponds to a band of dam ped localized states $w$ ith a gap $k_{s}^{2}$ in the spectrum $k=\left(k^{2}+k_{s}^{2}\right)$. M oreover, the scattering $m$ odes are transparent and phase-shifted by $k=2 \tan ^{1}\left(k_{s}=k\right)$, im plying according to Levinson's theorem that the band is depleted by precisely one state corresponding to the translation mode.

In order to $m$ ake contact $w$ ith the asym ptotic analysis yielding the spectrum in Eq. (3, we observe that the uctuations given by Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \mathbf{= 1} \overline{1}_{1} \mathbf{\sigma}_{1}\right)$ do not exhaust the spectnum. Since the gauge factor $\mathrm{U}=$ cosh ${ }^{1} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{x}$ provides a fallo envelope we can extend the set of solutions by an analytical continuation in the wavenum ber $k$ in the sam em anner as the translation $m$ ode is retrieved by setting $k=i k_{s}$. Thus shifting $k!k+i$, where $j j k_{s}$, we obtain by insertion the com plex spectrum

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k ;}=\left(k^{2}+k_{s}^{2} \quad{ }^{2}\right)+2 i k ; j j \quad k_{s} ; \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and associated uctuation $m$ odes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u=\frac{A}{\cosh ^{2} k_{s} x}+{ }_{k ;}^{x} B_{k ;} e^{\left(k^{2} \quad{ }^{2}\right) t} e^{i k(x} 2 \quad t\right) \frac{e^{x}}{\cosh _{k_{s} x}} S_{k+i}(x) ; \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{k ;}=B{ }_{k}$; since $u$ is real.
 of the uctuation spectrum about the static soliton com patible w ith the im posed physical boundary conditions. For $=k_{s}$ we recover the spectrum ( $\overline{3} \mathbf{3}=\frac{1}{3}$ ) of right hand and left hand extended gapless m odes propagating tow ards the soliton center with velocity $v=2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{u}$. For $j j<k_{s}$ we obtain a band of gapfulm odes $w$ ith localized envelopes propagating $w$ ith velocity 2 towards the soliton œenter. Finally, for $=0$ the envelope is sym $m$ etric, the spectrum $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} ; 0}$ is real, and the m ode has no propagating com ponent; for $\mathrm{k}=0$ and $=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ we retrieve the tim e independent translation m ode. W ith the exception of the extended $m$ ode for $=k_{s}$, the envelope $m$ odes for $j j<k_{s}$ are dynam ically pinned to the soliton. $T$ his phenom enon of localization or dynam icalpinning of the $m$ odes is associated w the the
 problem. In allcases them odes are dam ped $w$ th a dam ping constant given by $\left(k^{2}+k_{s}^{2}{ }^{2}\right)$. W e mention that a non $H$ erm itian eigenvalue spectrum is also encountered in the context of ux pinning and the transverse $M$ eissner e ect in high $T_{c}$ superconductors the im aginary gauge eld is uniform and is given by the applied transverse magnetic eld; in the present case the gauge eld is spatially varying and given by the nonlinear soliton excitations.

In $F$ ig. 1 real part. In F ig. 'íl' we have shown the associated characteristic uctuation m ode pattems. Speci cally, in order to obtain a realextended $m$ ode propagating tow ards the soliton œenter w th velocity $\mathrm{v}=2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{u}$ from the left and w th velocity v from the right w th continuous derivative at $x=0$, thus extending the asym ptotic solution (3.4) to the whole axis we form
 assignm ents $k$ and $=k$. Ignoring here the $m$ odulation factor $s_{k+i}(x)$, which is easily incorporated, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u / e^{\left.k^{2} t \frac{e^{k_{s} x} \operatorname{cosk}(x}{} v t\right)+e^{k_{s} x} \operatorname{cosk}(x+v t)}\left(\operatorname{cosk}_{s} x \quad:\right. \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This m ode is depicted in Fig.

## B. The noisy Burgers case

In order to discuss the uctuation spectrum in the noisy case wem ust address the coupled

 case by Eqs. (2 $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ and $(\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$. A s also resulting from the analysis in paper III is convenient to $m$ ake use of a sym $m$ etrical form ulation and introduce the auxiliary noise eld ' by $m$ eans of the shift

$$
p=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{u} & \prime \tag{320}
\end{array}\right):
$$

The eld equations then assum $e$ the sym $m$ etrical form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho}{d t} \text { ur } u=r^{2 \prime} ;  \tag{321}\\
& \frac{\varrho}{@ t} \text { ur } \quad=r^{2} u: \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The single soliton solution $u_{s}$ is given by Eq. ( $\overline{1}, \bar{B}^{\prime}$ ) and the associated noise solution by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{s}}+\text { const: } \tag{323}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding about a generalmulti-soliton con guration ( $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{s}} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{s}}$ ), where $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is given by Eq. (2-2 21 ' $)$ and ' s by (m odulus a constant)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime_{s}(x ; t)=\frac{2}{p=1}_{x^{n}}^{k_{p} j \tanh j_{p} j\left(x \quad \text { yt } \quad x_{p}\right) ; ~ ; ~} \tag{324}
\end{equation*}
$$

by setting $u=u_{s}+u$ and ${ }^{\prime}={ }^{\prime}{ }_{s}+\prime$, we obtain the coupled linear equations ofm otion

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{d}{d t} & u_{s} r & u=r^{2}+\left(r u_{s}\right) u ; \\
\frac{\varrho}{d t} & u_{s} r & r \\
& r^{2} u+\left(r^{\prime}{ }_{s}\right) u ; \tag{326}
\end{array}
$$

determ ining the uctuation spectrum of superim posed linear m odes.
 that in the inter-soliton $m$ atching regions of constant slope and noise elds $r u_{s}=r^{\prime}{ }_{s}=0$.
 $u_{s}=u$ and looking for solutions of the plane wave form $u ; ~ / ~ \exp \left(E_{k} t\right) \exp (i k x) w e$ obtain u ' / $\exp \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{t}\right) \exp (\mathrm{ikx})$, ie., $\left.u / \mathbb{A} \exp \left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} \mathrm{t}\right)+\mathrm{B} \exp \left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{t}\right)\right] \exp (\mathrm{ikx})$, where the non $H$ erm itian com plex eigenvalue spectrum sim ilar to the noiseless case is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}=\quad k^{2} \quad \text { ivk; } v=u \text { : } \tag{327}
\end{equation*}
$$

The u m ode (and likew ise the ' m ode) thus corresponds to a propagating wave w ith both a grow ing and decaying com ponent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u} /\left(\mathrm{A} e^{k^{2} t}+B e^{k^{2} t}\right) e^{i k(x+v t)}: \tag{328}
\end{equation*}
$$

These aspects are consistent with the general phase space behavior depicted in $F$ ig. 'ī, whereas the propagating aspect as in the noiseless case is the $m$ anifestation of a mode transm utation from di usive $m$ odes in the Edw ards $W$ ilkinson case to propagating $m$ odes in the B urgers case. A s indicated in Fig.' $\bar{i}$ in the linear m ode propagates to the left for $u>0$ and to the right for $u<0$; for $u=0$ the propagation velocity vanishes and we retrieve the di usive $m$ odes in the Edwards-W ikinson case. W e note in partioular that for a static right hand soliton $w$ ith boundary values $u=u$, equivalent to the noiseless case discussed above, the m ode propagates tow ards the soliton øenter which acts like a sink; for a static noise-induced left hand soliton with boundary values $u=u$ the situation is reversed and the $m$ odes propagate aw ay from the soliton which in this case plays the role of a source.

In the soliton regions the slope and noise elds vary over a scale $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{1}$ and we m ust address the equations ( $3-2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{u} \quad \text { '; } \tag{329}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the general gauge function $g_{s}$ de ned by the slope pro $l e$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{s}(x ; t)=\frac{u^{2}}{}(x ; t) ; \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

the equations $(3)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ X}{@ t}=D(g) X \quad-\quad\left(r u_{s} \quad r^{\prime}{ }_{s}\right) X ; \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where D ( $\quad \underline{q}$ ) is the \gauged" Schrodinger operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(g)=\quad(r \quad g)^{2}+\frac{2}{4} u_{s}^{2} \quad \overline{2}^{\prime}{ }_{s} ; \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

describing the $m$ otion of a particle in the soliton-de ned potential ( $\left.{ }^{2}=4\right) u_{s}^{2} \quad(=2) r^{\prime}$ s sub ject to the gauge eld $g_{s}$. In the regions of constant slope and noise elds we have $r u_{s}=r^{\prime}{ }_{s}=0, u_{s}=u, g_{s}=u=2, D\left(g_{s}\right)!\quad(r \quad u=2)^{2}+\left({ }^{2}=4\right) u^{2}$, and searching for solutions of the form $X / \exp \left(E_{k} t\right) \exp (i k x)$ we recover the spectrum in
 have $r^{\prime}{ }_{s}=r u_{s}$, where $=1$ for the right and left hand solitons, respectively, and one of the equations ( $(3-2 \overline{2})$ decouple driving the other equation param etrically.

T he analysis proceeds in a $m$ anner analogous to the noiseless case. Introducing the C oleH opf transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x ; t)=\exp \quad{ }^{Z} g_{s}\left(x^{0} ; t\right) d x^{0} ; \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the identity $\left(r+g_{s}\right)^{2}=U r^{2} U{ }^{1}$ we arrive at the coupled $H$ erm itian equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ X}{@ t}=U^{1} D(0) U^{1} X \quad \frac{-}{2}\left(r u_{s} \quad r^{\prime}{ }_{s}\right) X ; \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $(3) 15)$. The exponent or generator in the gauge transform ation in Eq. ( area under the slope pro $l e u_{s}$ up to the point $x$. For $x!1, U!\exp (M=2)$, where M given by Eq. (IIM is the conserved total area. In term $s$ of the height eld $h, u=r h$, $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{h}(+\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{L})$ for a nite system and thus equal to the height o set across a system of size L, i.e., a conserved quantity under grow th. Inserting the soliton pro le $u_{s}$ in Eq. (2-21) the transform ation $U$ factorizes in contributions from the individual local solitons, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x ; t)=Y_{p=1}^{Y_{p}} U_{p}(x ; t)^{\operatorname{sign}\left(k_{p}\right)} ; U_{p}(x ; t)=\cosh { }^{1} k_{p}\left(x \quad \text { grt } \quad x_{p}\right): \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 1. The single soliton case


 G alilean invariant, we can in analyzing the p-th single soliton segm ent of the m ulti-soliton con guration in Eq. (2̄-1 $\left.\overline{1}_{1}\right)$ w thout loss of generality boost the soliton to a rest fram e $w$ th zero velocity. Thus shifting the slope eld of the p-th soliton by the am ount ( $u_{p+1}+$ $\left.u_{p}\right)$ corresponding to the propagation velocity $v_{p}=(=2)\left(\left(u_{p+1}+u_{p}\right)\right.$, and assum ing for convenience that $x_{p}=0$ the soliton pro le is given by $u_{s}$ in Eq. (í.8). H ence we obtain $r^{\prime}{ }_{s}=r u_{s}$ and $D(0)$ given by Eq. (3̄22). N oting that $U=U_{p}$ and $r u_{s}=u k_{s} U_{p}^{2}$ we nd for the uctuations

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \quad=U_{p} \quad X \quad ; \quad U_{p}=\cosh ^{1} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{x} ; \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $H$ erm itian $m$ ode equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ X^{\sim}}{@ t}=D(0) X \quad K_{s}^{2}(1) X^{\sim} \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which decouple and are readily analyzed by expanding $X \sim$ on the eigenstates ofD (0). We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& X=\frac{2 k_{s}^{2} A_{0}^{()} t+B_{0}^{()}}{\cosh ^{2} k_{s} x}+\left(A_{k}^{()} e^{k^{t}}+B_{k}^{()} e^{k^{t}}\right) \frac{e^{i k x} S_{k}(x)}{\cosh k_{S} x}  \tag{3.38}\\
& X=A_{0}^{()}+B_{k}^{()} \frac{k}{k_{s}^{2}} e^{k^{t}} e^{i k x} S_{k}(x) \cosh k_{s} x ; \tag{3.39}
\end{align*}
$$

describing the uctuations of the slope and noise elds $u=\left(X^{+}+X \quad\right)=2$ and ${ }^{\prime}=$ $\left(X^{+} X\right)=2$ or $p=X$ about the static right hand $(=+1)$ and left hand $(=1)$ solitons. $A_{0}^{()}, B_{0}^{()}, A_{k}^{()}$, and $B_{k}^{()}$are integration constants xed by the initial conditions.
 modes $X_{T M} / r u_{s} / \cosh ^{2} k_{s} x$ which propagate $w$ ith constant $m$ om entum $X_{T M} /$ $A_{0}$; we note that the soliton position and soliton m om entum are canonically con jugate variables. T he envelope m odulated plane w ave tem $s$ in $E q s$. ( uctuationsabout the soliton. Since the smatrix $s_{k}(x)!\left(k+i k_{s}\right)=\left(k \quad i k_{s}\right)=\exp \left(i_{k}\right)$, $k=$ $2 \tan ^{1}\left(k_{s}=k\right)$ for $x!1$ the soliton induced potentials are transparent and the uctuations pass through the soliton only sub ject to the phase shift $k$ and a spatialm odulation . W e also
note that Levinson's theorem im plies that the band is depleted by one m ode corresponding to the translation $m$ ode [5]
$C$ on ning the uctuations to the noiseless transient subm anifold $p=0$ we have $p=0$, i.e., $\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{I}$, and we obtain for the right hand soliton $(=+1) \mathrm{X}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ plying $\mathrm{A}_{0}^{(1)}=0$ and $B_{k}^{(1)}=0$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{+}=2 u=\frac{B_{0}^{(1)}}{\cosh ^{2} k_{s} x}+\frac{A_{k}^{(1)} e^{k^{t} e^{i k x} S_{k}(x)}}{\cosh k_{s} x} ; \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

in accordance w ith Eq. ( $\overline{3} \mathbf{1 - 1}$ ) in the noiseless B urgers case, i.e., a translation $m$ ode and a band of dam ped localized pinned modes.

Likew ise, on the noisy stationary subm anifold $p=2 u$ we require $p=2$ u, i.e., $u=$ ', and we obtain for the noise induced left hand soliton ( $=1$ ) $\mathrm{X}^{+}=0$ entailing $A^{()}=0$ and $B_{k}^{()}=0$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=2 u=\frac{B_{0}^{(1)}}{\cosh ^{2} k_{s} x}+\frac{A_{k}^{(1)} e^{k t} e^{i k x} S_{k}(x)}{\cosh ^{k} k_{s} x} ; \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

com posed of a translation $m$ ode and localized $m$ odes. H ow ever, unlike the uctuations about the noiseless right hand soliton which are dam ped, the uctuations associated w ith the noisy left hand soliton are grow ing in tim e. This behavior is consistent w ith the phase space plot in Fig. $\bar{Z}$, and the EdwardsW ikinson case discussed in Sec.' in .

In general there are also uctuations penpendicular to the subm anifolds and we are led
 the gauge factors cosh $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{x}$ and cosh ${ }^{1} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{x}$. A s in the noiseless case the spatialm odulation of the plane wave form allows us to extend the spectrum by an analytical continuation in the wavenum ber $k$ and in this $m$ anner $m$ atch the spectrum to the inter-soliton regions. In fact, noting that $X$ according to ( $\overline{3}-\overline{3} 4$ ) decouples for $r u_{s}, r^{\prime}{ }_{s}$ ! 0 in the intersoliton regions, we obtain by setting $k!k \quad i k_{s}$ the shift $k=\left(k^{2}+{ }_{s}^{2}\right)!\left(k^{2} \quad 2 i k k_{s}\right)$ and $\exp (i k x)$ cosh $k_{s} x$ ! const. and we achieve a $m$ atching to the extended propagating m odes. The gauge transform ation in Eq. (3̄-35) thus perm its a com plete analysis of the linear uctuation spectrum about a multi-solition con guration.

## 2. The two-soliton case

In order to ilhustrate how the uctuation spectrum is established across the soliton conguration and how the $m$ atching is im plem ented we consider the uctuations about the
tw o-soliton con guration in Eq. (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime_{2}(x ; t)=\frac{u^{\prime}}{2} \tanh \frac{k_{s}}{2}(x \text { vt } x)+\tanh \frac{k_{s}}{2}\left(x \text { vt } \quad x^{\#}:\right. \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Herm itian linear mode equations are given by ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{3} \mathbf{L}^{\prime}\right)$ w ith $u_{s}=u_{2} \prime^{\prime}{ }_{s}={ }^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ and the C ole H opf transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{2}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t})=\exp \overline{2}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{x}^{\#}: \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he soliton pair propagates $w$ ith velocity $v=\quad u=2 s o$ in order to render the gauge transform ation tim e independent and thus facilitate the analysis we boost the con guration to a rest fram $e_{1} u_{2}$ ! $u_{2} \quad u=2$. Inserting $u_{2}$ we then obtain the speci $c$ gauge transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{U}_{2}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{" \cosh \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}}{2}(\mathrm{x}}{\operatorname{x}} \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)^{\#} \cosh ^{\frac{k_{\mathrm{s}}}{2}(\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \quad e^{k_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{x}=2} ; \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$


In order to sim plify the discussion of the m ode equations ( $\left(\overline{3} \mathbf{3} \overline{3} \frac{1}{2}\right)$ w ith $D(0)$ given by ( we introduce the notation $u=(u=2) \tanh k_{s}=2\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & x\end{array}\right), x_{+}=x_{1}, x=x_{2}$, for the individual solitons contributing to $u_{2}$ and ${ }_{2}$, i.e., $u_{2}=u^{1} \quad u^{2} \quad u=2$ and ${ }_{2}=u^{1}+u^{2}$. A simple estim ate
 we have also introduced the notation $V=2=\cosh ^{2}\left(k_{s}=2\right)(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x})$, for the soliton-induced
 $m$ ode equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ X}{@ t}=U_{2}{ }^{1} D(0) U_{2}^{1} \mathrm{X} \quad\left(k_{\mathrm{s}}=2\right)^{2} \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{X} \text {; } \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

with D (0) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(0)=r^{2}+\left(k_{s}=2\right)^{2}\left(1 \quad V_{+} \quad V\right): \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the regions of constant slope eld $V \quad 0$ and $X$ decouple. To the right of the soliton pair for $x \quad x_{1} ; x_{2}$ we have $U_{2} / \exp \left(k_{s}\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right)=2\right) \exp \left(k_{s} x=2\right)$ and we obtain the envelope solutions $X / \exp \left[\quad\left(k^{2}+\left(k_{s}=2\right)^{2}\right) t\right] U_{2}{ }^{1} \exp (i k x)$ which are $m$ atched to the asym ptotic plane wave solution by setting $k!k \quad i k_{s}=2$, yielding $X \quad / \exp \left(k^{2} t\right) \exp \left(i k\left(x \quad k_{s} t\right)\right)$, i.e., a m ode propagating to the right $w$ th velocity $k_{s}=u=2=v$. To the left for $x \quad x_{1} ; x_{2}$, we have $U_{2} / \exp \left(k_{s}\left(x_{2} \quad x_{1}\right)=2\right) \exp \left(k_{s} x=2\right)$ and correspondingly the envelope solutions
$\mathrm{X} / \exp \left[\left(k^{2}+\left(k_{s}=2\right)^{2}\right) t\right] U_{2}{ }^{1} \exp (i k x) . M$ atching these solutions to the plane wave solutions by the analytic continuation $k!k \quad i k_{s}=2$, we obtain the sam e result as above. We note that the change in $U_{2}$ across the pair is given by $\left.\exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}k_{s}\left(x_{2}\right. & x_{1}\end{array}\right)\right)=\exp \left(\quad(=2) u\left(x_{2}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \exp \left((=2) M_{2}\right)$, where $M_{2}$ is the area enclosed by the soliton pair. In the region between the solitons for $\mathrm{x}_{1} \quad \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{U}_{2} \quad \exp \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=2\right) \exp \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{x}=2\right)$ or for k ! $k \quad i k_{s}=2$ the modes $x / \exp \left(k^{2} t\right) \exp \left(i k\left(x+k_{s} t\right)\right)$, corresponding to propagation to the left with velocity $k_{s}=u=2=v$. In the soliton region near $x$ we have V 0. Ignoring the translation $m$ ode and phase shift e ects we thus have from '( $(\overline{3}-\overline{-}-\bar{m})$
 $V_{2} \exp \left(k_{s} x=2\right) \quad 0$ and that $X^{+}$thus is decoupled from $X$,yielding the solution $X^{+} /$ $\exp \left(\quad\left(k^{2}+\left(k_{s}=2\right)^{2}\right) t\right) U_{2} \exp (i k x)$. A sim ilar analysis applies in the soliton region near $x_{2}$.

For the plane w ave com ponents alone we then obtain, interpolating to the whole axis and inconporating the sm atrices according to Eq. ( $\overline{3} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{1}-\overline{3})$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.x \quad e^{\left(k^{2}+\left(k_{s}=2\right)^{2}\right) t} \frac{\cosh \left(k_{s}=2\right)\left(x \quad x_{1}\right)}{\cosh \left(k_{s}=2\right)(x} \quad x_{2}\right) \quad e^{k_{s} x=2} e^{i k x} S_{k}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x & \left.x_{1}\right) S_{k}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & \left.x_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right): ~
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.47}
\end{align*}
$$

W e thus we pick up the phase shift $k=2 \tan ^{1}\left(k_{s}=k\right)$ across each soliton, the plane $w$ ave com ponents are $m$ oreover spatially $m$ odulated by the gauge transform ation $U_{2}=$ $\exp \left[\quad(=2)^{R} u_{2} d x\right]$ sam pling the area under $u_{2}$.
$F$ inally, we boost the $m$ ode to the velocity $v=k_{s}=u=2$, shift the wavenum ber $k!~ k \quad i k_{s}=2$ for $X$ and obtain them odulated plane wave associated $w$ ith the propagating tw o-soliton $m$ ode $w$ ith vanishing boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.X^{+} \quad e^{k^{2} t} \frac{\cosh \left(k_{s}=2\right)(x}{x} \begin{array}{lll}
\cosh \left(k_{s}=2\right)(x & v t & x
\end{array}\right) e^{i k x} S_{k+j k_{s}=2}(x \quad \text { vt } \quad x) S_{k+i k_{s}=2}(x \quad \text { vt } \quad x) ; \text { (3.49) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The interpretation of this result is straightforw ard. In the regions aw ay form the pair-soliton we obtain plane wave $m$ odes w ith both a grow ing and decaying tim e behavior. A cross the propagating tw o-soliton con guration the plane wave am plitude and form ism odi ed by the gauge factor and the sm atrix.

## 3. The multi-soliton case

In the multi-soliton case the slope con guration $u_{s}$ and the associated noise eld 's are given by Eqs. ( $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ ) and $\left(\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2}^{-1}\right)$. The linear m ode problem is de ned by Eqs. ( the gauge transform ation U given by Eq. (3, plane wave $m$ odes in the inter-soliton regions connecting the solitons are sub ject to a m ode transm utation to propagating waves with spectrum given by Eqs. ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{7})$. In the solton regions the analysis follow s from a generalization of the single and tw o-soliton cases discussed above.

A com plete analysis is achieved by rst noting that $U$ also can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x ; t)=\exp \overline{2}^{Z^{t}} d t^{0} \quad-u_{s}^{2}\left(x ; t^{0}\right)+r_{s}^{\prime}\left(x ; t^{0}\right)^{!\#} ; \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

derived by di erentiating $U$ in Eq. ( the multi-soliton pro le and solving the ensuing di erential equation. By insertion of Eq. (3

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} U^{1} X=\left(r^{2}+r^{\prime}{ }_{s}\right) U^{1} X+\frac{-}{2}\left(r u_{s} \quad r^{\prime}{ }_{s}\right) U^{1} X ; \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are readily discussed. In the at regions $r u_{s}=r^{\prime}{ }_{s}=0, X^{+}$and $X$ decouple and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X / e^{k^{2} t} e^{i k x} U{ }^{1}(x ; t) ; \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

describing a plane wave $m$ ode $m$ odulated across the soliton regions by the $C$ ole $H$ opf transform ation $U(x ; t)$ w the the explicit form given by Eq. ( $(\overline{3}-\overline{3} \overline{-})$. A trematively, we obtain a m ode transm utation to a propagating plane wave between solitons by the analytical continuation $k!k \quad i k$ thus absorbing the spatialm odulation in $U(x ; t)$ and corroborating the previous discussion. W e note that the form in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{5} \overline{5} \mathbf{N}_{1}\right)$ is in accordance w ith Eqs. ( $3-4$ according to Eqs. ( $\left.\overline{3}, \bar{S}^{-} \overline{2}^{\prime}\right)$. The analysis in the single solton case above applies and the plane wave m ode picks up the phase shift $k$ associated by Levinson's theorem w ith the form ation of the soliton translation $m$ odes.

IV . STATISTICALPROPERTIES - CORRELATIONS -SCALING

In this section we discuss the statistical properties of a grow ing interface on the basis of the canonicalphase space form ulation. G enerally, we can express the noisy B urgers equation in Eq. (1]. $\overline{1}$ ) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho u}{@ t}=r \frac{F}{u}+u r u+r ; \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the free energy $F$ driving the di usive term is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{1}{2}^{z} d x u^{2}(x): \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $=0$ we have the linear EdwardsW ilkinson equation describing the tem poral uctuations in a them odynam ic equilibrium state w ith tem perature $\mathrm{T}==2 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{B}$ w ith stationary distribution given by Eq. (İ른), i.e., $\mathrm{P}_{\text {st }} / \exp \left[\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}\right]$. In the presence of the nonlinear m ode coupling term ur u Eq. (A'I. $\overline{\underline{1}}$ ) does not describe a therm odynam ic equilibrium state but a stationary nonequilibrium state or kinetic grow th state. It is, how ever, a particular feature of the one dim ensional case that the stationary distribution is known and given by $P_{s t} / \exp \left[\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}\right]$, independent of . This property also follows from the potential


$$
\begin{equation*}
d x\left[r u \quad-\frac{F}{u} r\left(u^{2}\right)\right]=0 ; \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is readily satis ed since the integrand beoom es a total derivative. A nother way of noting that Eq. (4. $\overline{1}$ ) does not describe an equilibrium state is to express the equation in the form $@ u=@ t=r F^{0}=u+r \quad w$ th e ective free energy $F^{0}=(1=2)^{R} d x\left(u^{2}+(=3) u^{3}\right)$. A part from the fact that $\mathrm{F}^{0}$ includes an odd power in $u$ and thus, since $u=r h$, violates parity invariance it is also unbounded from below for u! 1 and thus cannot describe a stable them odynam ic state.
$T$ he stationary distribution $P_{\text {st }}(u)$ is obtained in the lim itt! 1 from the transition probability $P\left(u^{i}!u ; t\right)$ for a pathw ay from the initial con guration $u^{i}$ to the nalcon guration u. In this lim it only the linear di usive modes for $=0$ persist characterized by P st . This is consistent $w$ ith the fact that the soliton contribution yields $P\left(u^{i}!u ; t\right) / \exp [S(t)=$ ], where the action $S(t)$ associated w th the solitonic grow th m odes, e.g., the tw o-soliton conguration, typically grow s linearly w ith t im plying that the contribution to $P$ vanishes.

## A. C orrelations in the Edw ards-W ilkinson case

In the linear case the correlation function is easily evaluated on the Langevin level from
 averaging over the noise, see also paper II. In wavenum ber-frequency space we obtain the Lorentzian di usive form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { huui }(k ;!)=\frac{k^{2}}{!^{2}+\left(k^{2}\right)^{2}} \text {; } \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th di usive poles at $!=\quad \mathrm{i} \mathrm{k}^{2}$, strength $=(\mathrm{k})^{2}$ and linew idth $\mathrm{k}^{2} . \mathrm{W}$ e note that both grow ing, $u / \exp \left(k^{2} t\right)$, and decaying term $s, u / \exp \left(k^{2} t\right)$, contribute to the stationary correlations; this is in accordance w the decom position (2.14). In w avenum ber-tim e space we have correspondingly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { huui }(k ; t)=\frac{e^{2}}{} \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{tj}^{\prime} ; \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the di usive correlations decay on a tim e scale determ ined by $1=k^{2}$. For the equal-tim e correlations we obtain in particular huui $(k ; 0)=2$, show ing the spatially short ranged correlations in accordance with the stationary distribution (1 $\overline{1} 2 \overline{1} \overline{1})$. For later purposes we also need the spectral form, see paper II,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { huui }(x ; t)=\frac{\mathrm{dk}}{2} \frac{1}{2} e^{i k x} e^{k^{2} f j} \text { : } \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to ilhustrate the $m$ ethod to be used later in the soliton case we evaluate here huui in the linear case on the basis of the path integral form ulation Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \mathbf{1} \overline{1} \mathbf{I}_{1}\right)$. Since that the distributions ( system of size L

Changing variables in $P\left(u_{n}^{f} ; u_{n}^{i} ; t\right), u_{n}^{f} \quad \psi_{n}^{j} \exp \left(!_{n} t\right)!u_{n}$, it is an easy task to carry out the G aussian integrals over $u_{n}$ and $u_{n}^{i}$ and retrieve huui $(k ; t)$ in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4}-\overline{-}\right)$; the evaluation of huui in the corresponding harm onic oscillator quantum eld calculation was perform ed
 exponent $=1=2$, dynam ic exponent $z=2$, and scaling function, see also paper II,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F^{\sim}(w)=\frac{L^{2}}{4} \quad\right]^{1=2} w \quad{ }^{1=2} e^{1=4 w} ; w=t=x^{z} ; \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

de ning the Edwards $W$ ilkinson universality class.
Sum $m$ arizing, the Edwards-W ilkinson equation describes a therm odynam ic equilibrium state. T he dynam ical equilibrium uctuations are characterized by the gapless dispersion law ! = $\mathrm{k}^{2}$. The m odes are extensive and di usive and controlled by the characteristic decay time $1=\mathrm{k}^{2}$, depending on the wavenum ber k .
B. Sw itch ing and pathways in the Burgers-K P Z case

Before we tum to the correlations in the nonlinear BurgersK P Z case it is instructive to extract a couple ofsim ple qualitative consequences of the dynam ical approach. A s discussed in Sec.IInt the propagation of a two-soliton oon guration constitutes a sim ple grow th situation where at each passage of the soliton pair the interface grow s by a layer. C onsidering a pair con guration of amplitude $u$ and size ' the propagation velocity is $v=u=2$ and the associated action $S_{1}=(1=6) \quad j j^{3} T$. Across a system of size $L$ we have $j j=L=T$, where $T$ is the sw itching time, ie., $j \mu j=2 L=T$. For the action associated $w$ ith the transition pathw ay of adding a layer of thickness $h={ }^{R_{x}} u\left(x^{0} ; t\right) d t=j u j=2 L \simeq T$ we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}(T)=\frac{4}{3^{2}} \frac{L^{3}}{T^{2}}: \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note that the thickness h does also depend on the pair-soliton size ' which does not enter in the action. H ow ever, the multiplicity or density of soliton pair which enters in the prefactor of the transition probability $m$ ust depend on $1=$ ' and we obtain qualitatively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P} /{ }^{1} \exp \quad \frac{1}{3^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{2}}: \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the them odynam ic $\lim$ 止L! $1, P!0$ and the $s w i t c h i n g$ via a two-soliton pathway is suppressed. At long tim es the action falls $\circ$ as $1=T^{2}$.

In the case of a sw itching pathway by means of two equal am plitude pair-solitons we obtain, correspondingly, noting that the pairs propagate $w$ ith half the velocity, the action $S_{2}=(1=4) S_{1}$. Introducing heuristically a constant nucleation action $S_{\text {nucl }}$ associated $w$ ith the noise-induced form ation of a pair, i.e., the appropriate assignm ent of the noise eld $p$, we have the general expression for the action associated with $n$ pairs

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{nS}_{\mathrm{nucl}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}^{2}} \frac{4}{3^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{3}}{\mathrm{~T}^{2}}: \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $F$ ig. 1 il we infer that the sw itching at long tim es takes place via a single soliton pair. At shorter tim es a Sw itching takes place and the transition pathway proceeds by the excitation of multi-pair-solitons. This is clearly a nite size e ect.

A sim ilar analysis of the soliton sw itching pathways in the case of the noise driven G inzburg-Landau equation has recently been carried out. Here the analysis, corroborating recent num erical optim ization studies, is sim pler because the soliton excitations are

C. A nom alous di usion of grow th modes in the Burgers-K P Z case

O n the Langevin level the grow th of the interface is a stochastic phenom ena driven by noise. P aram eterizing the grow th in term sofgrow th $m$ odes corresponding to the propagation of a gas of independent pair-solitons in the slope eld the dynam ical approach allows a sim ple interpretation. N oting that the action associated w ith the pair mode is given by $S=(1=6) \quad j j^{3} t$ and denoting the center ofm ass of the pair m ode by $x=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=2$ we have $u=2 v==2 x=t$ and we obtain the transition probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x ; t) / \exp \frac{4}{3}-\frac{x^{3}}{2} \frac{t^{2}}{} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the random walk of independent pair-solitons or steps in the height pro le. Com paring (4 $d x=d t=; h i(t)=(t), P(x ; t) / \exp \left(x^{2}=2 t\right)$, we conclude that the grow th m ode perform s anom alous di usion. A ssum ing pairs of the sam e average size, the distribution (4.12) also im plies the soliton $m$ ean square displacem ent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{!} t^{1=z} ; \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith dynam ic exponent $z=3=2$, identical to the dynam ic exponent de ning the KPZ universality class. This result should be contrasted with the $m$ ean square displacem ent $h x^{2} i / t^{2=z}, z=2$, for ordinary random walk. The grow th $m$ odes thus perform superdiffusion. This result is also obtained using the $m$ apping of the KPZ equation to directed polym ers in a random $m$ edium $\left.{ }_{2} \underline{2} \overline{2}\right]$.
$T$ he di usion of soltions or grow th $m$ odes is another signature of the stationary nonequilibrium state. W hereas the extended di usive equilibrium modes for a particular wavenum ber $k$ are characterized by the stationary distribution $P_{s t} / \exp \left(\quad(=L) j \mu_{k} \jmath^{j}\right)$, the random walk distribution of the grow th $m$ odes $P(x ; t) / t^{2=3} \exp$ (const $x^{3}=t^{2}$ ) vanishes for $t!1$. The grow th m odes or solitons disperse di usively over the system and generate the stationary grow th.
D. Correlations in the Burgers-K PZ case - general

A s regard the correlations in the nonlinear B urgers K P Z case the situation is m ore com plex. The noisy Burgers equation ( noise averaged correlations and we lim it ourselves to a discussion of huui(x;t) w ithin the canonical phase space approach. In order to evaluate the slope correlations huui( $x ; t)$ by m eans of Eq. ( 1.1

$$
\text { huui }(x ; t)=\quad d u^{i} d u^{f} u^{f}(x) u^{i}(0) P\left(u^{f} ; u^{i} ; t\right) P_{s t}\left(u^{i}\right) ;
$$

we note that the basic ingredient is the transition probability $P\left(u^{f} ; u^{i} ; t\right)$ from an initial con guration $u^{i}$ at timet $=0$ to a nalcon guration $u^{f}$ at timet.

## 1. Sum rule

Before continuing we observe that in the short time lim it $t$ ! 0 it follows from the de nition that $P\left(u^{f} ; u^{i} ; t\right)!\left(u^{\mp} \quad u^{\dot{j}}\right)$. The equal tim e correlations are thus determ ined by the stationary distribution $P_{\text {st }}\left(u^{i}\right)$ given by Eq. (II $\left.\overline{2} \overline{1}^{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s t}\left(u^{i}\right) / \exp \quad-^{Z} d x u^{i}(x)^{2} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have in wavenum ber space huui $(\mathrm{k} ; 0)=2$. In wavenum ber-frequency space we thus infer the general sum rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{z} \frac{d!}{2} h u u i(k ;!)=\frac{}{2}: \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum rule is independent of the presence of the nonlinear grow th tem $u r u$ and thus is another consequence of the static uctuation dissipation theorem which holds for the Burgers K P Z equations $\stackrel{12}{2} \overline{2}$,

## 2. The transition probability

A s discussed in Sec.'İ the w orking hypothesis is that a grow ing interface at a particular tim e instant can be represented by a dilute gas of $m$ atched localized soliton excitations or grow th m odes w ith superim posed linear extended di usive modes. From the analysis in Sec.

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x ; t)=u_{s}(x ; t)+u(x ; t) ;  \tag{4.17}\\
& p(x ; t)=p_{s}(x ; t)+p(x ; t) \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{s}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (or's) are given by the multi-solyton representations in Eqs. (2 $\left.\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1} 1\right)$ and
 and $p=X$ are given by Eqs. (3̄근) (across the soliton regions $u$ and $p$ vary in $a$ $m$ ore com plicated $m$ anner as discussed in Sec.
 the action $S$ decom poses in a soliton contribution $S_{\text {sol }}$ and a linear contribution $S_{\text {lin }}, S=$ $S_{\text {sol }}+S_{\text {lin }}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\text {sol }}=\frac{1}{2}^{z} d x d t\left(r p_{s}\right)^{2}  \tag{4.19}\\
& S_{\text {lin }}=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d x d t\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & p)^{2}:
\end{array},\right.
\end{align*}
$$

This decom position im plies that the transition probability $P\left(u^{f} ; u^{i} ; t\right)$ accordingly factorizes like

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(u^{f} ; u^{i} ; t\right)=P_{s o l}\left(u_{s}^{f} ; u_{s}^{i} ; t\right) P_{\operatorname{lin}}\left(u^{f} ; u^{i} ; t\right) ; \tag{421}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{\text {sol }} / \exp \left(S_{\text {sol }}=\right)$ and $P \operatorname{lin} / \exp \left(S_{\text {lin }}=\right)$. Disregarding phase shift e ects and amplitude modulations due to the dihute soliton gas, $P_{\text {lin }}$ can be worked out as in the Edwardsw ilkinson case in Sec. 'İI, yielding the expression (in w avenum ber space)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(u^{i} ; u^{f} ; t\right) / \exp -\frac{Z}{2} \frac{d k}{j u_{k}^{f}} \frac{u_{k}^{i} \exp \left(!_{k} t\right) \jmath^{\#}}{1} \exp \left(2 \jmath_{k} t\right) \quad ; \tag{422}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $\lim$ iting distribution $P_{s t}\left(u^{f}\right) / \exp \left[(=)^{R}(d k=2) j u_{k}^{f} \jmath^{\rho}\right]$ for $t!1$.
For the soliton part we obtain inserting Eq. (2-2-2)

$$
P\left(u^{i} ; u^{f} ; t\right) / \exp ^{4} \frac{t}{6}_{p=1}^{X^{n}} j u_{p+1} \quad फ_{p} J^{3} \quad\left(u_{p} \quad u_{p+1}\right)^{5} ;
$$

in term sof the soliton boundary values $u_{p}$ as depicted in Fig. ${ }_{1}$ in . N ote also that only the noise induced left hand solitons contribute to the action. W e stress that the expression ( construction only holds in-betw een solition collisions. In fact, at long tim es the appropriate expression for $P\left(u^{i} ; u^{f} ; t\right) m$ ust approach $P_{s t} / \exp \left[(=)^{R} d x u_{s}^{f}(x)^{2}\right]$ in accordance with Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{-} \overline{\bar{l}} \overline{1})$. Likew ise, the correct expression for the m ulti-soliton energy m ust vanish in the long tim e lim it corresponding to the $m$ igration of the phase space orbit to the transient and stationary zero energy subm anifolds $p=0$ and $p=2 u$, as discussed in paper III.

## 3. M ulti-soliton correlations - Scaling properties

 linear (di usive) part,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { huui } \left.(x ; t)=h u_{s} u_{s} i(x ; t)+h u \text { ui( } x ; t\right): \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

A part from phase shift and am plitude m odulation e etcs due to the dihute soliton gas, the linear or di usive correlations h u ui basically have the form given by Eq. ('ि̄. $\overline{6}$ ). For the soliton contribution $h u_{s} u_{s} i$ we obtain, inserting $u_{s}$ from Eq. ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1}\right)$ ), $P\left(u_{s}^{f} ; u^{i} ; t\right)$ from Eq. (4)-23), and for the stationary distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s t} / \exp ^{4}-{ }_{p=1}^{x^{n}} u_{p}^{2} \dot{y}_{p} \quad x_{p} 1 J^{5}=\exp 4 \frac{2}{8^{3}}{\underset{p 6 p^{0}}{x} k_{p} k_{p^{0}} \dot{x}_{p}}_{x_{0} 0^{5} ; ~}^{3} \text {; } \tag{425}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $m$ oreover introducing the soliton amplitude $k_{p}=(=4)\left(u_{p+1} \quad u_{p}\right)$ from Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \cdot \overline{1} \bar{q}_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h u_{s} u_{s} i(x ; t) \quad(t)=x^{\quad X^{Z} Y} \quad d k_{1} d v_{1} d x_{1} k_{p} k_{q} \tanh k_{p} j\left(x_{p}+v_{p} t \quad x\right) \tanh j_{q} \dot{x}_{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

This form ula expresses the contribution to the slope correlations from a multi-soliton conguration. It follows from the derivation that the expression only holds for tim es short com pared to the soliton collision time. The initial con guration $u_{s}^{i}$ at timet=0 propagates during timet to the the nal con guration $u_{s}^{f}$. The associated transition probability is given by Eq. ( initial and nalcon gurations is e ectuated by integrating over the am plitudes $k_{p}$, the velocities $v_{p}$ and the soliton positions $x_{p}$ over a system of size $L$. $N$ ote that $k_{p}$ together $w$ ith
$v_{p}=\quad(=2)\left(u_{p+1}+u_{p}\right)$ determ ine the slope $u_{p}$. Likew ise the dynam ic partition function ( t ) is given by

The com plex form of Eqs. ( $4-2 \overline{2}$ ) and ( $4-2$ ) have so far precluded a m ore detailed analysis. W e can, how ever, in the lim it of sm all dam ping extract the general scaling properties. For
! 0 we have $k_{p}$ ! 1 and the soliton pro le given by tanh $k_{p} j\left(x\right.$ yt $\left.x_{p}\right)$ converges to the sign function sgn $\left(x\right.$ yt $\left.x_{p}\right)$, corresponding to a sharp shock wave. By inspection of Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{2}^{-} \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) we note that a change of the length scale by a factor, i.e., $\mathrm{x}!\mathrm{x}$ and $x_{p}!\quad x_{p}$, can be absorbed by a change of the integration variable $k_{p}, k_{p}!\quad{ }^{1=2} k_{p}$. In the action term this change of $k_{p}$ is nally absorbed by the scale transform ation $t$ ! ${ }^{3=2}$. C onsequently, for ! 0 we have $h u_{s} u_{s} i(x ; t)=F^{N}\left(t=x^{3=2}\right)$ in accordance $w$ th the general scaling form in Eq. (İ $1 \overline{-} \overline{0}$ ).
E. C orrelations in the Burgers-K P Z case - the tw o-soliton sector

In the weak noise lim it ! 0 the action in Eq. (1.11)' provides a general selection criterion determ ining the dom inant dynam ical con guration contributing to the distribution $P$. In the present section we propose that part of the leading grow th $m$ onphology is constituted by a gas of two-soliton or pair con gurations already analyzed in Sec. 'inh. In our num erical studies we have dem onstrated that in the lim it ! 0 the pair con guration does constitute


The evaluation of the contribution to the slope correlations from the tw o-soliton sector is straightforw ard and will perm it a m ore detailed scaling analysis. Specializing the general expression in Eqs. ( $\overline{4}-\overline{2} \overline{6})$ and ( $4-2)$ to the case oftwo solitons, i.e., a pair-soliton excitation, noting that $k_{1}=k_{2}=(=4) u, u_{2}=u \quad\left(u_{1}=u_{3}=0\right)$, and $v_{1}=v_{2}=v=(=2) u$, and $m$ oreover considering the lim it ofsm all, or, altematively, using the expressions pertaining to the two-soliton case discussed in Sec.' 1

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ! } 2 \mathrm{z} \\
& \text { huui }(x ; t)_{2}(t)=\overline{4} \quad \operatorname{dudx}_{1} \mathrm{dx}_{2} u^{2}\left[\operatorname{sign}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \quad \operatorname{sign}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)\right] \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
\operatorname{sign}_{n}\left(x_{1}\right. & x & \# t) & \left.\operatorname{sign}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x & x & v t
\end{array}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right.} \\
& \exp \overline{6} \operatorname{tju} \jmath^{3} \exp \quad-u^{2} \dot{x}_{2} \quad x_{1} j ; \tag{428}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith dynam ic partition function
$W$ e note that the nal con guration $u^{f}$ is simply the initial two-soliton con guration $u^{i}$ displaced vt along the axis w ithout change of shape. This dynam ical evolution is depicted in $F$ ig. $11 \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$. The integration over initial and nal con gurations is carried out by integrating over the soliton amplitude $u, \quad 1<u<1$ and the soliton positions $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ over a system of size L. The integration over the am plitude only contributes to integral when the pair-solitons overlap, as indicated in Fig. 'ī2, and we obtain by inspection of the overlap
 and $x_{1}>$. For $z>0$, ie., $x \quad$ vt $>0$ we have the overlap conditions $0<z<$ and z $\quad$ < $\mathrm{x}_{1}<0$; for $\mathrm{z}<0$ we obtain $\quad \mathrm{c}<\mathrm{z}<0$ and $\quad \mathrm{x}<\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{z}$. Finally, integrating over the soliton position $x_{1}$ and the soliton pair size ' we arrive at the expression
 follow from the overlap; explicitly they are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{u})=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{u}^{4}} 1 \quad 1+-\mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{~L} \quad \exp \quad-\mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{~L} \quad ;  \tag{4.31}\\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(2)}(\mathrm{u})=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{u}^{2}} 1 \quad \exp \quad-\mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{~L} \quad: \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

The overall factor $1=\mathrm{L}$ re ects the weight of a single pair-soliton contribution to the correlations function. In the them odynam ic $\lim$ it $\mathrm{L}!1$ this contribution vanishes. For a difute gas of pair-solitons of density $n$ we expect $1=\mathrm{L}$ to be replaced by $n$. On the other hand, the further L dependence of the cut-o functions, is a feature of the extended nature of the pair-soliton already discussed in Sec. 'iti. B oth $C^{(1)}$ and $C^{(2)}$ vanish as a function of u over a scale set by ${ }^{q}=L$. For $u!1 \quad C$ (1) $\quad 1=u^{4}$ and $C^{(2)} \quad 1=u^{2}$; for $u=0$ we have $C^{(1)}=L^{2}=2$ and $C^{(2)}=L$.

## F. G eneral scaling properties

$T$ he last issue we dealw ith is the scaling properties of a grow ing interface. T he dynam ical scaling hypothesis $[1 \overline{1} \overline{1}, r, 12 \overline{2} \overline{2}]$ and general argum ents based on the renom alization group xed-
 correlations in the stationary state:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { huui }(x ; t)=(=2) x^{2} \quad{ }^{1} F(x=(t)): \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $F$ is the scaling function and the roughness exponent follows from the explicitly known stationary distribution in Eq. (1̄11 $\overline{1} \overline{1})$, the uctuation dissipation theorem. W ithin the canonical phase space approach the stationary distribution follow sfrom the structure of the zero-energy $m$ anifolds which attract the phase space orbits in the long time lim it $t!1$, see paper III. The dynam ic exponent $z=3=2$ is inferred from the gapless soliton dispersion law in Eq. (2, $2=1$ the exponent $z$ also follow from the scaling law $+z=2$ in Eq. ( $\overline{1} \mathbf{1}=\mathbf{z})$.

The lateral grow th of uctuations along the interface is conveniently characterized by the tim e dependent correlation length $(t) . N$ ote that for a nite system ofsize $L$ the correlation length saturates at the crossover or saturation tim e $t_{\infty}$ determ ined by $\left(t_{\infty}\right)=L$. In the linear EdwardsW ilkinson case ( t ) characterizes the grow th of di usive $m$ odes and has the form $(t)=(t)^{1=2}$, consistent $w$ ith the spectral form in Eq. ( $\left.\bar{A} . \overline{\mathrm{A}}\right)$. In the BurgersK P Z case ( $t$ ) describes the propagation of soliton $m$ odes and is given by $(t)=(=)^{1=3}(t)^{2=3}$. The lim iting form ofthe scaling function $\lim _{w!1} F(w)=1$ forx $\quad(t)$. In the dynam icalregim $e$ for ( $t$ ) $x$ the correlation decay, ie., huui $(x ; t)$ ! huihui $=0$, and the scaling function vanishes like $F(w) / w^{2(1)}$ for w ! 0. In Fig. 1 ( $t$ ) as a function of tim e for a system of size $L$, indicating the crossover behavior in the EdwardsW ilkinson and Burgers K P Z cases. In Fig. 1 a function of system size indicating the various dynam ic regim es.

## G . Scaling properties in the two-soliton sector

In discussing the scaling properties associated with the two-soliton sector it is convenient to introduce the $m$ odel param eters ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o}$, setting the m icroscopic length scale, $\mathrm{t}_{0}$, setting the $m$ icroscopic tim e scale, $t_{c o}$ de ning the crossover or saturation tim e for a system of size $L$, and the correlation length $(t)$, note that $={ }^{\prime}{ }_{0}=t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\circ}=- \text {; } \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{0}=-;  \tag{4.35}\\
& t_{C O}=t_{0}\left(L={ }_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{3=2} ;  \tag{4.36}\\
& (t)=o_{0}\left(t=t_{0}\right)^{2=3}: \tag{4.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Rescaling the am plitude variable $u$ we can then express the pair correlations in the form
where the cut-o functions originating from the overlap are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}(u)=\frac{1}{4 u^{2}} \quad 1+\frac{1}{4 u^{2}} \quad \exp \left(4 u^{2}\right) ;  \tag{4.39}\\
& F_{2}(u)=\frac{1}{4 u^{2}} \quad 1 \quad \exp (\quad 4 \mathrm{u}): \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression (4. 4 - ${ }^{-1}$ ) holds fort $>0$ and is even in $x$ (seen by changing $u$ to $u$ ). It sam ples the soliton pair propagating $w$ ith velocity $u=2$ and is in general agreem ent $w$ ith spectral form discussed in the quantum treatm ent in paper II.

The weight of a single soliton pair is of order 1=L and the correlation function huui thus vanishes in the them odynam ic $\lim$ it $\mathrm{L}!1$. For a nite system $L$ enters setting a length scale together with the saturation time $t_{\infty}$ de ning a time scale, and huui is a function of $x=L$ and $t=t_{c 0}$ as is the case for the two-soliton expression ( $\left.4 \overline{4} \bar{d}\right)$. It is instructive to com pare this dependence w ith the w avenum ber decom position of huui in the linear di usive case for $=0$. Here huui $(x t) /(1=L)^{P}{ }_{n \in 0} \exp \left((2 n)^{2} t=L^{2}\right) \exp (i n x=L)$, depending on $x=L$ and $t=L^{2}$, corresponding to the saturation timetcon $t^{2}, z=2$. Keeping only one $m$ ode for $n=1$ the correlations huui has the sam e structure as in the soliton case. In the linear case we can, of course, sum over the totality of $m$ odes and in the therm odynam ic lim it L ! 1 replace ( $1=\mathrm{L})^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}$ by ${ }^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{dk}=2$ obtaining the intensive comelations huui $(\mathrm{xt})=$ $(=2)(4 \quad t){ }^{1=2} \exp \left(x^{2}=2 t\right)$. Sim ilarly, we expect the inchusion of $m u l t i-s o l i t o n ~ m o d e s$ to allow the therm odynam ic lim it to be carried out yielding an intensive correlation function in the Burgers case.
 scaling lim its: $G_{L}(x=L ; 0) /$ const. for $x \quad L, G_{L}(x=L ; 0) / L=x$ for $x \quad L$ and $G_{L}\left(0 ; t=L^{3=2}\right) /$ const. for $t \quad L^{3=2}, G_{L}\left(0 ; t=L^{3=2}\right) / L=L^{2=3}$ for $t \quad L^{3=2}$. For $L$ ! 1 we obtain $G_{L}\left(x=L ; t=L^{3=2}\right)!\quad(L=x) G\left(x=t^{2=3}\right)$ in conform ity w ith (

It is an im portant feature of the two-soliton expression ( (4َ- $\overline{\text { an }}$ ) that the dynam ical soliton interpretation directly im plies the correct dependence on the scaling variables $x=L$ and $t=t_{c o} / t=L^{3=2}$, independent of a renom alization group argum ent. H ow ever, the scaling lim its are at variance w ith $G_{\mathrm{L}}$. Setting, according to ( $F(x=L ; 0)$ assum es the value :47for $x \quad L$ and decreases $m$ onotonically to the value :08 for $\mathrm{x} L$, whereas $G_{L}$ diverges as $L=x$ for $x \quad L . L i k e w i s e, F\left(0 ; t=t_{c o}\right)$ decays from : 47 for $t \quad t_{\infty} / L^{3=2}$ to 0 for $t \quad t_{\infty}$; for $t \quad t_{\infty}$ we have $F_{2} \quad: 15$, whereas $G_{L}$ diverges as $L=t^{2=3}$ fort $t_{\infty}$.
$T$ his discrepancy from the scaling lim its is a feature of the two-soliton contribution which only sam ples the correlation from a single soliton pair. M oreover, at long tim es the soliton contribution vanishes and the scaling function is determ ined by the di usive mode contribution in accordance w ith the convergence of the phase space orbits to the stationary zero-energy m anifold. W e note, how ever, the general trend tow ards a divergence for sm all values of $x$ and $t$ is a feature of $F$.

Introducing the scaling variables $w=x=/ x=t^{2=3}$ and $=t=t_{s} / t=L^{3=2}$ we can also express ( $\overline{4}-\overline{3} \bar{d})$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { huui }(\mathrm{xt})=\left(\mathrm{K}_{0}=\mathrm{L}\right) \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{w} ;) \text {; } \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scaling function $F$ is now given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w ;)=\frac{R}{\text { due }^{\frac{4}{3} j u j^{3}} e^{4 u^{2} j v^{2=3}+u}{ }^{R} F_{1}(u)} \text { due }{ }^{\frac{4}{3} j u j^{3}} F_{2}(u) \quad ; \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sum $m$ arize our ndings in $F$ ig. 'ī̄íl where we have depicted $F(w ;$ ) for a range of values. For $x e d$ small $w=x=/ x=t^{2=3}$ we have $F!: 47$ for $=t=t_{s} / t=L^{3=2}$ ! 0 ; for large we obtain $F!\quad 0$. The weak $m$ axim um $m$ oving tow ards $s m$ aller values of $w$ for decreasing is a feature of the functional form of $F$ in ( $\overline{4}-\overline{4} 4 \overline{2}$ ) and thus due to the solyon approxim ation. The true scaling function is not expected to have any particular distinct

V. SUMMARYAND CONCLUSION

In the present paper we have continued our analysis of the noisy Burgers equation in one spatial dim ension within the weak noise canonical phase space approach developed in
previous papers. W ebelieve that the noisy B urgers equation or the equivalent K P Z equation, which have been studied intensively, is of fundam ental and paradigm atic signi cance in the context of a continuum eld theoreticaldescription ofnonlinear non equilibrium phenom ena. $T$ he advantage of the canonicalphase spacm ethod which is an elaboration and a dynam ical system theory interpretation of the saddle point equations originating from the M artinSiggia R ose functional form ulation or, equivalently, a phase space form ulation of the FreilinW entzel variational approach to the Fokker-P lanck equation, actually dating back to work
 coupled determ inistic eld equations, yielding on the one hand an intenpretation of the grow th $m$ orphology and pattem form ation and on the other hand a practicalschem $e$ for the evaluation of the statistical properties and correlations in the weak noise lim it.

Here we have in som e detail discussed i) the grow th monphology engendered by the propagation of dom ain walls or solitons, the grow th $m$ odes, ii) the superim posed linear $m$ odes and their transm utation to propagating $m$ odes in the presence of the grow th $m$ odes, and, nally, iii) the statical and scaling properties, particularly, in the tw o-soliton sector. The weak noise theory of the one dim ensionalB urgers or K P Z equation is, how ever, far from com plete and $m$ any open questions rem ain. We mention below a series of topics which it would be of considerable interest to investigate: i) the intenpretation of the solitoninc grow th picture in the context of them apping of the K P Z equation to them odelofdirected polym ers in a random $m$ edium, ii) a $m$ ore com plete analysis of the $m$ ulti-soliton correlations in the them odynam ic lim it w ith the purpose of making contact with other models in the KPZ universality class, e.g. the polynuclear grow th m odel [ anom alous di usion of grow th $m$ odes, iv) contact $w$ th other $m$ odels form any-body system $s$
 weak noise approach to higher dim ensions.
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FIG. 1: W e depict the noisy behavior of a wavenum ber com ponent of the slope eld, $u_{k}(t)$, in the EdwardsW ilkinson case for $=0$. A fter a transient period given by $1=!\mathrm{k}$ the noise on the sam e tim e scale gradually picks up the $m$ otion and drives $u_{k}(t)$ into a stationary noisy state. $T$ he transient regim e is denoted by $I$; the stationary regim e by II.


F IG . 2: C anonicalphase space plot in the linear case for $=0$ of a single wavenum ber com ponent. $T$ he solid lines indicate the transient subm anifold $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}=0$ (I) and the stationary subm anifold $p_{k}=2 u_{k}$ (II). The stationary saddle point (SP) is at the origin. For $t$ ! 1 the orbit from $u_{k}^{i}$ to $u_{k}^{f} m$ igrates to the zero-energy $m$ anifold. The in nite $w$ aiting tim $e$ at the saddle point corresponds to ergodic behavior.


FIG. 3: W e depict the right hand and left hand moving solitons form ing the \quarks" in the description of a grow ing interface. W e have, m oreover, show $n$ the associated height pro les.


FIG.4: W e depict an $n$-soliton slope con guration of a grow ing interface. Thep-th soliton m oves $w$ th velocity $v_{p}=(=2)\left(u_{p+1}+u_{p}\right)$, has boundary values $u_{+}$and $u$, and is centered at $x_{p}$. The arrow s on the horizontal inter-soliton segm ents indicate the propagation of linear $m$ odes.


FIG.5: W e depict the 4-soliton representation of the slope eld $u$, the noise eld p, and the height eld $h$. The shaded area in $u$, i.e., the integration of $u$ up to the point $x$ equals the height $h$ at $x$.


FIG. 6: W e depict the slope eld $u_{2}$, the associated noise eld $p_{2}$, and the resulting height pro le $h_{2}$ at timet $=0$ for a two-soliton con guration. The slope con guration has am plitude u, size $\checkmark=\dot{x}_{2} \quad x_{1} j$ and propagates $w$ ith velocity $v=u=2$. The arrow indicates the propagation of the superim posed linear m ode w ith phase velocity 2 v (discussed in Sec. inili) .


FIG . 7: The com plex eigenvahe spectrum for the uctuating linearm odes, $R e E_{k ;}=\left(k^{2}+k_{s}^{2} \quad{ }^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} ;=2 \mathrm{k}$. The bounding parabola for $=\mathrm{k} \mathrm{s}$ and $=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ corresponds to the left and right extended $m$ odes propagating tow ards the soliton center; they are denoted I and I', respectively. The shaded area bounded by the parabola corresponds to localized propagating $m$ odes for 0 . For $=0$ the spectrum is realcorresponding to a localized non-propagating sym $m$ etric $m$ ode. $T$ he point TM corresponds to the translation mode.


F IG.8: The uctuation pattems of the pinned dynam icalm odes corresponding to the sectors of the eigenvalue spectrum in F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1} \overline{17} . \mathrm{T}$ he arrow s indicate the propagation directions.


F IG . 9: W e depict the extended m ode propagating from right and left tow ards the soliton center which acts like a sink. The center point at $\mathrm{x}=0$ oscillates w th frequency $!=\mathrm{kv}$. T he arrow s indicate the propagation direction.


FIG. 10: The action given by Eq. (4.ín in plotted as a function of $T$ for transition pathw ays involving up to $n=5$ soliton pairs. The lowest action and thus the $m$ ost probable transition is associated w ith an increasing num ber of soliton pairs at shorter tim es, indicated by the heavy lim iting curve. The curves are plotted in arbitrary units.


FIG.11: W e depict the two-soliton con guration in the lim it ! 0 contributing to the slope correlations huui. The in itial pair $u^{i}$ propagates to the nalcon guration $u{ }^{f}$ in tim $e t w$ ith velocity $\mathrm{v}=\quad \mathrm{u}=2$.


FIG.12: Thetwo-soliton con guration of size ${ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{x}_{1} \quad \mathrm{x}_{2}$ jand amplitude $u$. T he shaded overlap area of size 2, $x$ yields a contribution to the slope correlation function.


FIG. 13: The correlation lengths $E W(t)=(t)^{1=2}$ and $B(t)=(=)^{1=3}(t)^{2=3}$ as functions of $t$. For a nite system of size $L$ the correlation lengths de ne the crossover tim es $t_{c o}^{d i} / L^{2}=$ and $t_{C o}^{501} /{ }^{1}(=)^{1=2} L^{3=2}$, determ in ing the transition from transient to stationary grow th.


FIG. 14: In the early tim e regim e for $T \quad t_{C 0}^{\text {sol }}$ the distribution is dom inated by solitons. In the interm ediate tim e regim e for $t_{c o}^{d i} \quad T \quad t_{c o}^{s o l}$ the solitons becom e suppressed and are replaced by the di usive $m$ odes. $F$ inally, for $T \quad t_{c o}^{\text {di }}$ the di usive $m$ odes also die out and we approach the stationary distribution.


F IG . 15: P lot of the scaling function $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{w} ;\right.$ ) as a function of the scaling variable $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{x}=/ \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{t}^{2=3}$ for a range of values of $=t=t_{\infty} / t=L^{3=2}$.
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