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#### Abstract

W e present a M onte C arlo approach to incorporating the e ect of therm al uctuations in eld theories of polym eric uids. This m ethod is applied to a eld-theoretic m odel of a temary blend of A B diblock copolym ens w ith A and B hom opolym ers. W e nd a shift in the line of order-disorder transitions from their mean-eld values, as well as strong signatures of the existence of a bicontinuous microem ulsion phase in the vicin ity of the $m$ ean-eld Lifshitz critical point. Th is is in qualitative agreem ent w ith a recent series of experim ents conducted w ith various three-dim ensional realizations of this $m$ odel system. Further, we also com pare our results and the perform ance of the presently proposed sim ulation $m$ ethod to that of an altemative $m$ ethod involving the integration of com plex Langevin dynam ical equations.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

A straightforw ard approach to im proving the properties of polym eric $m$ aterials, such as their sti ness, conductivity, toughness, is to blend two hom opolrym er species (A and B) into a single
 gation tendencies between $A$ and $B$ monom en $9^{1}{ }^{(6)}$ usually cause such a direct blend to $m$ acroscopically phase separate below a critical tem perature, or, equivalently, above a critical strength of interaction ${ }^{1 / 2}$. Such phase separation often leads to poor quality $m$ aterials $w$ th non-reproducible $m$ orphologies and weak interfaces. To circum vent this problem, one typically introduces com patibilizers into the system, m ost com m only m ulticom ponent polym ers like block, graft, or random copolym ers ${ }^{\prime 7}$. Such com patibilizers preferentially segregate tow ards the interfaces betw een the bulk $A$ and $B$ dom ains, thereby low ering interfacial tensions, stabi-
 and strengthening interfaces $\frac{1}{1} 151$. The com patibilizers so added are usually the $m$ ost expensive com ponent of a blend, and consequently, theoretical studies of the phase behavior of such blends possess im portant ram i cations in rendering such industrial applications m ore econom ically feasible.

H ere we exam ine the phase behavior of a temary blend of symm etric A and B hom opolym ers w ith an added A B block copolym er as a com patiblizer. Speci cally, we consider sym m etric diblock copoly$m$ ers wherein the volum e fractions of the two different blocks in the copolym er are identical. M oreover, the ratio of the hom opolym er and copolym er lengths is denoted as and is chosen as $=0.2$.

This is largely motivated by, a, recent series of experim ents by $B$ ates et all alizations of the temary $A B+A+B$ system $w$ th
$0: 2$. A combination of neutron scattering, dynam icalm echanicalspectroscopy, and transm ission electron m icroscopy (TEM) was used to exam ine the phase behavior. A $n$ exam ple of an experim ental phase diagram is shown in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{\prime 11}$. It w ill be discussed in detail further below.

Theoretically, one of the $m$ ost established approaches to studying such polym er blends is the self-consistent eld -theory (SCFT) , rst introduced by Edwards ${ }^{20}$ and Helfand ${ }^{11}$. and later used, am ong others, by Scheutjens and $F$ leent ${ }^{2} \mathbf{v i n}_{2}^{231}$, $N$ oolandi et all ${ }^{2} \stackrel{4}{r}$, and $M$ atsen et all ${ }^{10}$. In im ple$m$ enting SCFTI ${ }^{25}$, one typically chooses (a) a m odel for the polym ens and (b) a model for the interactions. M ost researches adopt the $G$ aussian chain model, wherein the polym-ers are represented as continuous paths in space ${ }^{261}$. It $m$ odels polym ers as being perfectly exible, i.e., there exists no free energy penalty for bending. Instead, it features a penalty for stretching. (In contexts where the localrigidity or orientation e ects are im portant, the worm like chain m odel? ${ }^{27!}$ serves as a popular alternative.) Such a m olecular $m$ odel for the polym ers is typically supplem ented by a model for the interactions betw een unlike polym ers, which is usually chosen as an incom pressibility constraint plus a generalization of the $F$ loryH uggins localcontact interaction term, whose strength is govemed by the product of the segregation param eter, , and the polym erization index, N .


FIG.1. Experim ental phase diagram $\bar{M}_{1}^{1 / 1}$ of a PDMSPEE/PDMS/PEE blend with 0:2.Reproduced by perm ission of $T$ he $R$ oyal Society of $C$ hem istry.

We have implem ented SCFT for the above m odel of polym ers, and investigated the phase behavior of the temary A + B + AB blend. Fig. $\underline{L}_{2}^{-2}$ displays the resulting $m$ ean- eld phase diagram in the coordinates of hom opolym er volum e fraction $H_{\text {i }}$ and segregation strength N . N ote that the phase diagram show $n$ is a slige along the \isopleth" w here the tw o hom opolym ers are blended in equal proportions. It can be observed that on the copolym er-rich side of the diagram shown in F ig. $\underline{L}_{1}$ a line of order-disorder transitions (ODT) separates the disordered region (which occurs at low interaction strengths, N ) from a periodically ordered (i.e., lam ellar) phase at higher N . For pure copolym ers $\left({ }_{H}=0\right)$, this transition is predicted $w$ ithin $m$ ean- eld theory to occur at $N=10: 495$, and the line of ODTs, often referred to as Leibler line, represents second-order transitions. On the hom opolym er-rich side of the diagram, we nd a line of second-order transitions from a disordered phase at low N to a region of tw o coexisting hom ogeneous liquid phases at high N . For the pure hom opolym er system ( ${ }_{\mathrm{H}}=1$ ), the upper critical consolute point is found by m ean- eld F loryHuggins theory to be at $N=2$. This line-af continuous transitions is referred to as Scott line ${ }^{281}$. $T$ he point where the Leibler and Scott lines $m$ eet is found, again w thin m ean- eld theory, to be an isotropic L ifsh itz criticalpoint (LP ) ${ }^{29}$, which in the case of $=1$ becom es a Lifshitz tricritical point (LT P ) according to B roseta and Fredrickson ${ }^{301}$, and is predicted to occur at a total hom opolym er fraction of $L=1=\left(1+2^{2}\right)$ and an incom patioility of $(N)_{L}=2\left(1+2^{2}\right)=$. The separation into $m$ icrophases (i.e., lam ellae) observed along the


FIG.2. M ean-eld phase diagram for a temary $A B+A+B$ blend $w$ ith $=0: 2$, as obtained from $a$ Fourier space im plem entation of SCFT. 2 denotes a region of two-phase coexistence betw een an $A$-rich and a B-rich phase, 3 one of three-phase coexistence betw een an A-rich, a B-rich, and a lam ellar phase. See text for $m$ ore explanation.
lam ellar periodicity until it nally diverges at the LP , giving rise to $m$ acrophase separation along the Scott line. Below the LP in the ordered regim e, the system undergoes a rst-order transition from the lam ellar ( $L$ ) to the two-phase ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ ) region along the axis of hom opolym er con@entration, н . C ontrary to a previous publicationtin in which this transition was displayed as continuous, a careful reexam ination of the $m$ ean- eld theory reveals that it is indeed rst-order with a three-phase ( $\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ ) coexistence region reaching all the way up to the LP for any. This is further corrobarated by recent results of N aughton and M atsen $\mathbf{1 3}^{111}$.

The $m$ ean-eld phase diagram displayed in $F$ ig.促 di ens substantially from the experim entalphase diagram, show in $F$ ig. $1 \mathbf{I} 1 \mathbf{1}$. . In the experim ents, the LP was destroyed by uctuations, giving rise to a bicontinuous $m$ icroem ulsion phase in its vicinity. At lower tem perature $T$, the $L$ and $A+B$ phases were con jectured to be separated by a narrow channelofthism icroem ulsion phase stretching dow $n$ from the Lifshitz region, while the Leibler and Scott lines were shifted from their $m$ ean-eld locations to low er tem peratures (i.e., higher N , which is proportional to $1=T$ ). Experim ental results are consistent $w$ ith the picture that as the lam ellar periodicity increases along the f axis, the persistence length of com position uctuations along the m icrodom ain boundaries decreases until at som e point the two becom e com parable in size and the lam ellae begin to rupture form ing a bicontinuous structure.

T he experim ental results discussed above, while in contradiction to the $m$ ean-eld SCFT calcu-
lations, are not entirely surprising when view ed in the context of theoretical studies pertaining to the e ect of uctuations on the $m$ ean-eld phase diagram s. Indeed, even in the context of the pure diblock copolym er (i.e. н $=0$ ), Leibler in ${ }^{32}$ speculated that the order-disorder transition (predicted to be second order w ithin the $m$ ean- eld theory) would becom e a weakly rst-order transition when the e ects of uctuations are accounted for. Such a reasoning w as con , m ed quantitatively by Fredrickson and Helfand ${ }^{33}$, who extended an earlier analysis by B razovskiil ${ }^{34}$ to show that uctuations change the order of the transition and also shiff the transition to higher incom patibilities, N . Further, they quanti ed such shifts in term s of a param eter that depends on the polym er density (or, equivalently in the context of a polym erm elt, theirm olecularm ass N ). Th is param eter, denoted generically- as C in this text, acts as a G inzburg param eter ${ }^{351}$, and in the $\lim$ it C! 1 , the $m$ eaneld solution is recovered.

The present study is $m$ otivated by the hypothesis that the uctuation e ects not accounted for w thin SCFT are responsible for the discrepancies noted betw een the experim ental results and the theoretical predictions for the temary-blend phase behavior. A sa partialcon $m$ ation of our hypothesis, the experim ental phase diagram s ( $F$ ig. ' $\overline{1}_{1}$ ) of $B$ ates and co-w orkers deviate $m$ ost clearly from the $m$ ean- eld diagram for the PEE/PDMS/PEEPDMS system, in situations where the molecular w eights w ere m uch low er than in the other system s (i.e., where the C param eter is small). This article presents a theoretical exam ination of the e ect of them al uctuations upon the phase behavior of temary blends. O ur prim ary goal is to understand and quantify the shiff in both the Leibler and Scott lines and to exam ine the form ation of the $m$ icroem ulsion phases in such system s. B icontinuous structures such as the ones observed in the above experim ents are particularly interesting from an application point of view in that they are able to im part $m$ any useful properties on polym er alloys. For exam ple, ifoneblend com ponent is conducting or gas-perm eable, these properties w ill be passed on to the entire alloy. A lso, m echanical properties such as the strain at break and the toughness index have been observed, to exhibit $m$ axim a $w$ ith co-continuous structures ${ }^{3}=$.

Previous sim ulation studies of uctuation effects in temanat, diblack copolym er / hom opoly-

$=1$ case. $W$, ith in a lattice polym er model, M uller and Schick ${ }^{37}$ ? have investigated the phase diagram of a corresponding symmetrical A + B + AB blend. A ccording to their results, the disordered phase extends to higher N than predicted by the
$m$ ean eld theory, and the LTP is replaced by a regular tricritical point. D ue to nite size e ects, the transition to the lam ellar phase could not be localized quantitatively.

Particle-based models have the disadvantage that one is restricted to studying relatively short polym ers. This m otivates the use of eld theories in sim ulations. $K$ ielhom and $M$ uthukum art ${ }^{-11}$ have studied the phase separation dynam ics in the vicinity of the LTP w ithin a G inzburg-Landau free energy functional, which they derived from the Edwards modet. w thin the random phase approxi$m$ ation (RPA), in the spirit of the theories forppune diblock copolym er system $s m$ entioned earlier ${ }^{3} 22^{3} 31$. $N$ aively, one should expect that such a description is adequate in the weak segregation lim it, where the correlation length for com position urguations is large. H ow ever, K udlay and Stepanow $\underline{4}^{42!}$ have recently questioned this assum ption. They pointed out that the uctuations in RPA -derived H am iltonians have signi cant short wavelength contributions which cannot be elim inated by renorm alization and $m$ ay lead to unphysical predictions.

In this work, we propose an altemative eldtheoretic approach which rests directly on the Edwards m odel, w thout resorting to the additional RPA approxim ation. The partition function is evaluated with a M onte Carlo algorithm. A related algorithm, the com plex Langevin $m$ ethad, has been presented recently by two of us 4314251. H ere we study two-dim ensional temary blends at
$=0: 2 \mathrm{w}$ th both m ethods and com pare the results.

The rem ainder of this article is organized as follow s. In section iII, we outline the eld-theoretic form ulation of our $m$ odel. $\underline{T}$ he sim ulation $\underline{m}$ ethod is presented in section 'IIT. In section 'IVI', we present and discuss our results before we conclude in section 'V.' w ith a sum mary and an outlook on fiuture work.

## II. THEFIELD-THEORETICMODEL

In this section, we present a eld-theoreticm odel for the system under consideration. To this e ect, we consider a m ixture ofn $n_{A}$ hom opolym ens oftype $A, n_{B}$ hom opolym ers oftype $B$, and $n_{A B}$ symmetric block copolym ers in a volum eV. T he polym erization index of the copolym er is denoted $N$, and the corresponding quantities for the hom opolym ens are denoted $N_{A}=N_{B}=N$. All chains are assum ed to be $m$ onodisperse. W e consider the case of sym $m$ etric copolym ers, where the fraction of $A$ $m$ onom ers in the copolym er is $f=1=2$. We re-
strict our attention to the concentration isopleth, where the hom opolym ers have equal volum e fractions нА $=$ нв $=$ н $=2$. The m onom eric volum es of both $A$ and $B$ segm ents are assum ed to be identically equal to $1=0$. In this notation, the incom pressibility constraint requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{OV}}{2 \mathrm{~N}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{AB}}=(1 \quad \text { н }) \frac{\mathrm{OV}}{\mathrm{~N}} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $V$ denotes the volum e of the system. In the $G$ aussian chain $m$ odel, the segm ents are assum ed to be perfectly exible and are assigned a com m on statisticalsegm ent length b. T he polym ens are represented as continuous space curves, $R{ }_{j}^{i}(s)$, where i denotes the polym er species ( $A$, $B$, or $A B$ ), j the di erent polym ers of a com ponent, and $s$ represents the chain length variable $m$ easured along the chain backbone such that $0 \quad s \quad j$ with ${ }_{A B}=1$ and $_{A}={ }_{B}=$. Conform ations ofnoninteracting polym ers are given a G aussian statisticalweight, $\exp \left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$, w ith a harm onic stretching energy given by (units of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H_{0} \mathbb{R}\right]={\frac{3}{2 N b^{2}}}_{i \quad X_{j=1}^{R_{j} Z_{i}^{i}} \quad \text { ds } \frac{d R_{j}^{i}(s)^{2}}{d s}: ~: ~}^{d s} \text { : } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{b}_{A B} \quad \mathrm{~b}$ is the statistical seg$m$ ent length. Interactions betw een $A$ and $B$ segm ents are m odeled as local contact interactions by a pseudopotential w ith a F lory $H$ uggins quadratic form in the $m$ icroscopic volum e fractions,

$$
\left.H_{I} \mathbb{R}\right]=0^{Z} \mathrm{dr}_{\mathrm{A}}(r) \hat{B}_{\mathrm{B}}(r)
$$

which w th the substitutions $\hat{n}=\hat{B} \quad \hat{A}, \hat{}=$ $\hat{A}_{A}+\hat{B}_{B}$ transform sinto

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H_{I} \mathbb{R}\right]=\frac{0}{4} \quad \operatorname{dr}\left(\wedge^{\wedge} \quad \hat{m}^{2}\right): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

denotes the F lory H uggins param eter, and the $m$ icroscopic density operators $\widehat{A}_{A}$ and $\widehat{B}$ are dened by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{A}_{A}(r)=\frac{N}{0}_{j=1}^{X A B_{A B}^{Z}} 0_{f}^{d s} \quad\left(r R_{j}^{A B}(s)\right) \\
& +\frac{N}{0}_{j=1}^{X^{A} Z} \quad \text { ds } \quad\left(r \quad R_{j}^{A}(s)\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{N}{0}_{j=1}^{X_{B}^{B} Z} \quad d s \quad\left(r \quad R_{j}^{B}(s)\right): \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Furtherm ore, the incom pressibility constraint is im plem ented by the insertion of a D irac delta functiona $1^{4}=$, and we obtain the canonical partition function of the blend, $z_{c}$ :

$$
Z_{C} / \mathrm{Z}_{i} \mathrm{DR}_{j}^{i}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad(\quad 1) \exp \left(\mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right) \text {; }
$$

where the ${ }^{R} D R$ denote functional integrations over chain conform ations. Representing the delta functional in exponential form and employing a $\mathrm{H} u$ ubard-Stratonovich transform ation on the $\mathrm{m}^{2}$

$Z_{C} /{ }_{1}^{Z} D W{ }_{\text {i1 }}^{Z} D W+\exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}H_{C}(\mathbb{W} & ; W+)\end{array}\right]$
w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{C}\left(W^{\prime} \quad ; W_{+}\right)=C \frac{1}{N}^{Z} d r W^{Z} \quad \operatorname{drW}+ \\
& V(1 \quad \text { H }) \ln Q_{A B} \quad \frac{V}{2}\left(\ln Q_{A}+\ln Q_{B}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& C=\frac{0}{N} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}_{0}}^{\mathrm{d}}: \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere and throughout th is article, all lengths are expressed in units of the unperturbed radius of gyration, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}_{0}}=\mathrm{b}(\mathbb{N}=(2 \mathrm{~d}))^{1=2}$, where d is the space di$m$ ension. The param eter $C$ in the above equations, which occurs as a globalprefactor to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$, acts as a G inzburg param eter such that in the lim it C ! 1 the partition function ( $(\overline{8})$ is dom inated by its saddle point and the $m$ ean- eld solution becom es exact. In $(\underline{\underline{1}})$, $i \quad 1$, and $Q_{A} ; Q_{B}$; and $Q_{A B}$ denote respectively the single-chain partition functions for the $A, B$, and $A B$ chains, in the potential elds $W \quad(r)$ and $W+(r)$. N ote that $W$ is con $j u-$ gate to the di erence in $A$ and $B$ densities, m , and $W$ + to the total density, ^. M oreover, $W$ is real, whereas $W+$ is im aginary, thereby rendering $H_{C}$ com plex.

The single-chain partition functions can be expressed in term s of the Feynm an $K$ ac form ulae ${ }^{211}$ as:

$$
Q_{i}={ }^{Z} d r q_{i}(r ; i) ;
$$

where the propagatorsqii satisfy the di usion equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ s} q_{i}(r ; s)=4 q_{i}(r ; s) \quad q_{i} U_{i} ; \quad q_{i}(r ; 0)=1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{W} ; 0 \mathrm{~s} \\
& \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{B}}=\underset{( }{\mathrm{W}}+\mathrm{W} ; 0 \mathrm{~S}  \tag{13}\\
& U_{A B}=\begin{array}{lll}
U_{A} ; & 0 & s< \\
U_{B} ; & f & \mathrm{f} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

A $n$ analogousdi usion equation applies to the conjugate propagators, $q_{i}^{y}$, which propagate from the opposite end of a polym er. D ue to their sym $m e-$ try, the propagators of the hom opolym ers are their own con jugates. Hence, we can calculate density operators, $A$ and $B$, from

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(r)=\frac{V\left(1 \quad \mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right)^{Z}}{Q_{A B}} \int_{0}^{f} d s q_{A B}(r ; s) q_{A B}^{y}(r ; 1 \\
& +\frac{V_{H}}{2 Q_{A}} \quad d s q_{A}(r ; s) q_{A}(r ; \quad s) ; \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{V_{H}}{2 Q_{B}}{ }_{0} d s q_{B}(r ; s) q_{B}(r ; \quad s):
\end{align*}
$$

These $d$ shown on these snapshots are not to be confused w ith realdensityensity operators depend on $W$ and $W+$ and are in general com plex. H ow ever, their ensemble averages yield real densities which correspond to the experim entally $m$ easurable quantities: $A ; B=h_{A ; B} i\left(=h_{A ; B}\right.$ i).

The above derivation assum ed a canonical ensem ble for the blend, wherein the average com positions of the di erent com positions are xed. For future reference, we also list a sim ilar set of form ulae obtained in the grand-canonicalensem ble:
where $z=\exp \left(\left(4 \quad=k_{B} T\right)\right.$, and $4 \quad$ A $\quad$ в $=$ B $\quad A B$ is the di erence in the chem ical potentials of hom opolym ers and copolym ers. T he density operators are given as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{f} \\
& A(r):=\quad d s q_{A B}(r ; s) q_{A B}^{y}(r ; 1 \quad s) \\
& { }^{0} \text { Z } \\
& +z \quad d s q_{A}(r ; s) q_{A}(r ; s) \text {; }  \tag{17}\\
& Z_{1}{ }^{0}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +z \quad d s q_{B}(r ; s) q_{B}(r ; \quad s): \\
& \text { s) : }
\end{aligned}
$$

(18)
III. FIELD-THEORETIC SIMULATIONS

## A. G eneral considerations

Field-theoretic models like the one introduced above have been used in $m$ any earlier researches, and the resulting functional integrals of the partition function have been analyzed using various approxim ate $m$ ethqds, In self-consistent $m$ ean-eld theory (SCFT) ${ }^{1}$ proxim ated by saddle points ( $\mathrm{W}_{+}$; W ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{~W}_{+}} \mathrm{W}_{+;}=0 ; \quad \frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{~W}_{W_{+} ; W}=0 \text { : }} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

A hom ogeneous saddle point then corresponds to the disordered phase, whereas every ordered phase has a unique inhom ogeneous saddle point. By exam ining (q), it is further apparent that C plays the role of a $G$ inzburg param eter in the sense that the $m$ ean-eld approxim ation, i.e. $Z$ ' $\exp \left(H\left[\begin{array}{l}+ \\ \mathbf{W} \\ ]\end{array}\right)\right.$, becom es exact in the form al $\lim$ it of C ! 1 , corresponding to $N,!1$ for $\mathrm{d}>2$. Implem entations of SCFTH ${ }^{101}$ can thus be viewed as num erical strategies for com puting the various saddle points. H ow ever, therm al uctuation e ects in the vicinity of phase boundaries invalidate the $m$ ean-eld results in these regions, and strategies for evaluating ( $\bar{l}_{1}$ ) m ust be found. A nalytical $m$ ethods are quite lim ited, especially for system $s$ dom inated by inhom ogeneous saddle points, and often involve weak segregation expansions ${ }^{341}$, In, contrast, earlier publications of two of us $4^{43}\left\{\frac{14}{12}=\right.$ have detailed a new approach term ed eld-theoretic sim ulations, which is a $m$ ethodology for num erically sam pling functional integrals in eld-theoretic $m$ odels of polym er solutions and $m$ elts. The underlying idea is to nu$m$ erically sam ple $Z$ (independently of the type of ensem ble em ployed) by generating a $M$ arkov chain of W ;W + states w ith a stationary distribution proportional to $\exp (H[W \quad ; W+])$. A verages of physicalquantities $f\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{W} \\ \text {; }\end{array}+\right.$ ] can then be approxim ated by \tim e" averages using the states of the $M$ arkov chain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hf } \mathbb{W} \quad ; \mathrm{W}+\mathrm{Ji} \quad 1=\mathrm{M} \underset{j=1}{\mathrm{X}^{M}} \mathrm{f}[\mathrm{~W} ; j ; \mathrm{W}+; j] ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j$ labels the states of the $M$ arkov chain. True equality of ensemble and time averages is established as usual for ergodic system $s$ in the lim it M ! 1 . Such a num ericalm ethod is nonperturbative in nature, allow ing for a m ore com plete account of uctuation e ects.

In this article, we undertake eld-theoretic sim ulations to address the e ect of uctuations upon
the m ean- eld phase diagram. N ote that to nu$m$ erically generate the M arkov chain of W ; W + states, one needs to account for the fact that $\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{W} ; \mathrm{W}+]$ ( $\left.\overline{\bar{q}_{1}}\right)$ and $(1 \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ ) in general possesses both real and im aginary parts, implying a non-positive-de nite statistical weight $\exp (\mathrm{H})$. In our previous researches we im plem ented a general \com plex Langevin dynam ics" technique that had been proposed earlier to deal.w, ith such non-
 the fact that this $m$ ethod has been discussed in detail in our previous publications, we restrict our discussion to a briefoutline of the technique which is presented in the A ppendix. It is to be noted that outstanding issues still rem ain as regards the theoretical foundations of this technique ${ }^{49}$. W hile in our earlier studies we encountered no problem s $w$ th the convergence or the uniqueness of the solutions, in the present study this $m$ ethod did encounter num erical di culties, especially in the regions close to the Lifshitz point. T hese di culties are associated with strong uctuations and complex phase oscillations and will be described more fiully in a subsequent section.

In an e ort to probe and overcom e the di culties encountered in prelim inary com plex Langevin sim ulations of the present temary blend and quantify the e ect of uctuations on the phase diagram of this system, we present an altemative, albeit equally rigorous technique for im plem enting eldtheoretic sim ulations, which we refer to as the eldtheoretic M onte C arlo m ethod. In the discussion of our results, we com pare explicitly the results obtained by the latter $m$ ethod $w$ ith that obtained through the complex Langevin $m$ ethod and nd good agreem ent in the range of their validities. It is pertinent to also note that there also exist real Langevin $m$ ethods in the literature that focus speci cally on the $W$ uctuations ${ }^{5 a}$.

## B. The eld-theoretic M onte C arlo m ethod

O ur approach to sam pling $(\bar{q})$ is based on the M onte Carlo m ethod. W e recall that W is real and $W+i m$ aginary, and that the uctuations in $\mathrm{W}+$ are con jugate to the total density. A though the incom pressibility constraint im plem ented in our model e ectively only constrains A + ${ }_{B}=h_{A}+{ }_{B}$ i, onem ight guess that the e ect of the $W+$ uctuations that im pose this constraint is rather sm all com pared to the com position uctuations govemed by W . Therefore, we shall tackle W and $W+$ in two distinct steps, after which we will be able to argue that the e ect of the $W$ uctuations is indeed the dom inant one.

To sim ulate the uctuations in $W$, we pick a starting density con-quration and use the SCFT m ean- eld equation $\mathrm{s}^{25}$ - to calculate a seed $W$ and W + . N ext, we proceed according to the follow ing schem e:
(a) M ake a globalm ove in $W$, i.e., tentatively add a random number 2 [ $1 ;+1$ ] tim es a step width param eter to every $W$ (r), and denote by $W^{0}$ the old $W$.
(b) C alculate a self-consistent $W+$ that is a partial saddle point w ith the tentative new W xed; to do this, we need to iteratively solve the equation

$$
\text { А }\left(r ;\left[\begin{array}{ll}
W+; W & ] \tag{21}
\end{array}\right)+\text { в }(r ; \mathbb{W}+; W \quad]\right)=1
$$

for $W+(r)$. The in itial guess for $W+$ in this iteration, $W_{+}^{0}$, is taken to be the old $W_{+}$from the last M onte C arlo cycle. T he iteration, which em ploys a tw o-step A nderson $m$ ixing schem $\mathrm{e}^{511}$, is ter$m$ inated once $4 \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{W} ; \mathrm{W}+] \quad \mathrm{H}\left[\mathrm{W}^{0}\right.$; $\left.\mathrm{W}_{+}^{0}\right]$ is determ ined to within 0.001 , or a few parts in $10^{4}$. Every step in this iteration requires the solution of the di usion equation (1) $\left.\overline{2} \mathbf{2}_{1}\right)$ on the entire $\sin u-$ lation lattice, and this is where practically all the com puting tim e is spent. T he num ber of iterations to nd $W+$ in $m$ ost cases is roughly around 10.
(c) A coept or reject the $m$ ove according to a standard M etropolis criterion. In other w ords, we alw ays accept the $m$ ove if the resulting di erence in $H$, i.e., 4 H , is negative, and otherw ise, we accept w th a probability $\exp (4 \mathrm{H})$.
(d) G o back to (a) unless the m axim um num ber of M onte C arlo steps has been reached.

Next, we tum to discuss the sam pling of $W+$ uctuations. A sa result from SCFT, we know that the saddle point $W+$ of $W+$ as well as the partial saddle point $W+\mathbb{W}$ ] are purely real, despite the fact that the integration path ofW + in ( $\bar{q}_{1}$ ) is along the im aginary axis. N eglecting surface term $s$ and because we do not cross any singularities, we can therefore deform the integration path and represent $W+$ as

$$
W+(r)=W+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
W & ](r)+i f_{+}(r) ; ~ \tag{22}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $t_{+}(r)$ is real. Furtherm ore, we split the argum ent of the integral in the partition function into a realpart and a com plex rew eighting factor, leading to the follow ing expression for the canonicalensem ble:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{C} / D W \quad D t_{+} \exp \quad H_{C}^{R} \quad d \text {; } \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h Z } \\
& I_{C}=\exp C \text { i drt }+ \\
& +i_{i}^{X} \underset{i}{\frac{V_{i}}{i}} \operatorname{Im} \ln Q_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ll} 
& \left.t_{+}\right]
\end{array} \quad\right. \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $V_{i}$ is the volum e occupied by species i. In the grand canonical ensem ble the corresponding expression is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{G C} / D W \quad D!_{+} \exp \quad H_{G C}^{R} \quad \Phi_{C} \text {; (26) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{i} \mathrm{~h} Z \\
& I_{G C}=\exp C \text { i drt+ } \\
& +i^{X} \quad z_{i} \operatorname{Im} Q_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ll} 
& \left.\quad t_{+}\right]
\end{array} \quad\right. \text {; } \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{where} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{A}}=1$ and $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{z}$.
$W$ e can thus in principle sim ulate both $W$ and $\mathrm{W}+$ uctuations, e.g., by altemating a m ove in W w ith a num ber of $m$ oves in $\downarrow_{+}$and replacing $4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$;GC w ith $4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{R}}$; C in theM etropolis criterion. Each con guration then needs to be w eighted w ith the factor $I_{C} ; G$ for the purpose of com puting averages. $W$ e note that the propagators and the eld term in the di usion equation (12 $\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) are now com plex, but the averages of all physical quantities will approach real values in equilibrium .

A this point, a rem ark conceming ournum erical $m$ ethods is due: the single $m$ ost tim e-consum ing part in doing our simulations is the solution of the di usion equation ( $\overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{1})$. In itially, we used either the C rank N icholson ( CN ) or, D,uFort Frankel (DF) nite di erencing schem es 2xi: $^{2}$ - to e ectuate this task. As it tumed out, how ever, a pseudospectral $m$ ethod that had been used successfully in the past to solve the $m$ athem atically very sim ilar Schrodinger equation and that had recently been applied to SCFTI, for our needs. Typically, it allow ed for an order of $m$ agnitude higher values of contour step ds than either CN or DF to achieve the sam e accuracy. Im plem enting the schem e w ith highly optim ized Fast Fourier Transform, -routines like the publicly available FFTW library ${ }^{50}$, the com puting tim e per contour step is only roughly double that needed for D F and half that needed for CN on a single processor. W e therefore regard it as the $m$ ethod of choice in the context of polym er eld theory. For the larger system sizes to be discussed in the next section, a parallel version of the code w as used.

$$
\text { C.Analysis of } W+\text { uctuations }
$$

Evidently, the $W+$ uctuations exert their in $u-$ ence on the simulation in two ways: (a) through the com plex rew eighting factor $I_{C}$ or $I_{G C}$ and (b) through the di erence of $4 \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{R}}$ from 4 H .
$W$ e consider rst $I_{G C}$ and to this end split the com plex di usion equation into tw o realequations for the real and im aginary parts of the propagator for polym ers of type $i$, denoted $q_{i}^{R}$ and $q_{i}^{T}$, respectively. $N$ ote the sym $m$ etry properties of this coupled set of equations $w$ ith respect to a sign change in $t_{+}$: for $W+=W+i t_{+}$we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\frac{@}{@ s} q_{i}^{R} & = & 4 q_{i}^{R} & U_{i} q_{i}^{R} & t_{1}^{R}+q_{i}^{T} \tag{29}
\end{array} ;
$$

$W$ e see that $q_{i}^{R}$ rem ains unchanged $w$ hereas $q_{i}^{T}$ goes to $q_{i}^{T}$. Thus, for the corresponding single-chain partition function $Q_{i}=Q_{i}^{R}+i Q_{i}^{I}, Q_{i}^{R}$ is sym $m$ etric in $t_{+}$, and $Q_{i}^{I}$ antisym $m$ etric. Furthem ore, the exponent of the rew eighting factor $I_{G C}$ is antisym m etric in $t_{+}$(cf. $\left(\overline{2} \bar{b}_{-1}^{\prime}\right)$ ). Since in the partition function ( $2 \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) we integrate over all possible con $g-$ urations of $\varphi_{+}$, the im aginary parts of $I_{G C}$ cancel out for each antisym $m$ etric pair, and consequently, we e ectively only need to apply the real part of $I_{G C}$ :

These sym $m$ etries reveal that in a functional expansion of $Q_{i}$ in $t_{+}$around the partial saddle point, $Q_{i} W$, the even-order term $s$ coincide $w$ ith the expansion of $Q_{i}^{R}$, and the odd-order term $s w$ th that of $Q_{i}^{I}$. M oreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Q_{i}}{W_{+}} \quad \frac{Q_{i}^{I}}{t_{+}^{I}} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
by virtue of the incom pressibility constraint. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i }
\end{aligned}
$$

and nally

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{G C}=\cos O\left(\stackrel{t}{+}_{+}^{3}\right)=1 \quad O\left(\stackrel{1}{+}_{+}^{6}\right) \text {; }  \tag{34}\\
& Q_{i}^{R}=Q_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
W
\end{array}\right]+O\left(\stackrel{\alpha_{+}^{2}}{+}\right): \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

B ased on these analytical results, we should expect $I_{G c}$ to deviate only very slightly from unity so that it can be neglected in $m$ ost practical cases. Hence, the im pact of the $W+$ uctuations would m aterialize solely as a (real) $O\left(t_{t}^{2}\right)$ contribution to $H_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{c}$. The above derivation of ( $3 \mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and ( $3 \mathrm{~S}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) was for the grand canonicalensem ble but holds true if one is to derive analogous relations in the canonical ensemble, as well, because the leading term of $\ln Q_{i}$ is linear in $t_{+}$, as in the grand canonical ensemble. It should how ever be noted that in the canonicalensem ble, the gauge invariance of the theory to a constant shift of the $t_{+}$eld allows the introduction of a spurious phase factor $e^{i}$ that preserves $H_{C}$ (and hence $\mathbb{Q}_{i} j$ and $H^{R}$ ). This eld will freely move in canonical sim ulations unless constrained, e.g., by dem anding that
$d r \digamma_{+}(r)=0$. In contrast, in the grand canonical ensem ble, $Q^{R}$ and hence $H^{R}$ are to low est approxim ation quadratic functions around $\vdots_{+}=0$. A s a result the shape of the $H^{R}\left(W_{+}\right)$energy landscape is $m$ uch steeper in the grand canonical ensemble than in the canonical, and no constraint is needed.

W hile the above considerations can only give us an indication of the insigni cance of the $W+u c^{-}$ tuations, we have also done test sim ulations to get m ore conclusive estim ates. W e therefore choose the grand canonicalensem ble for testing our sim ulation technique of $W+$ uctuations. B efore start ing a run at $z=2, N=12,=0: 2$, and $C=50$, we fully equilibrated a sim ulation of $W$ uctuations only w ith the sam e param eters, which started from a disordered con guration and ran a m illion $M$ onte $C$ arlo steps. $T$ his set of param eters is very close to the ( uctuation-corrected) order-disorder transition in the phase diagram, on the disordered side. The average hom opolym er fraction, $\boldsymbol{н}$, is $0: 65$. On a 3232 lattice $w$ th periodic boundary conditions, we used $d x=0: 78125$. W e then took this equilibrated con guration and sw itched on the $\mathrm{W}+$ uctuations, again $m$ aking ten (global) moves in $W+$ after each $m$ ove in $W$ so as to roughly spend equal am ounts of com puting time for the two types of moves. Note in Fig. how $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Gc}}$ quickly increases and then plateaus o, indicating that the $W+$ uctuations have becom e saturated.

For the same run, we recorded the quantity $4 \mathrm{H}=4 \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{R}} \quad 4 \mathrm{H}$ (cf. Eqs. (241) and (271)), which is djsplayed in the inset of F ig. $\mathrm{i}_{1}$. O ne can interpret $\overline{\mathrm{h}(4 \mathrm{H})^{2} \mathrm{i}}$ as a $m$ easure for the deviation of the simulation from a reference case in which only W uctuations are sim ulated. This simulation was done with a chain-contour discretization of ds $=0: 01$, which allows for the calculation of 4 H to within 0.01 . Typically, in sim ulations involving the $W$ eld alone, we used ds $=0: 05$ in order to save com puting time. The
discretization error of 4 H in this case is increased to $<0: 08$. C onsidering that typical values of 4 H are on the order of 3 , this appears like a very


FIG.3. $R e(H)$ in a sim ulation of $W$ and $W+$ vs. num ber of $M$ onte $C$ arlo steps in $W$ for $C=50$, $\mathrm{N}=12, \mathrm{z}=2$ (grand canonical ensemble), started from a con guration equilibrated in $W$. Each $W$ step altemates w ith ten steps in $\downarrow_{+}$. Inset show s 4 H (di erence of 4 H and a reference value at ${ }_{6}+$ uctuations sw itched $O$ ) in the sam e sim ulation.
$m$ oderate num erical error. At the sam e tim e, we realize that the e ect of the uctuations in $W+$ expressed through 4 H ispwell below our standard num erical accuracy: $\frac{\mathrm{h}(4 \mathrm{H})^{2} \mathrm{i}}{0: 022}$. Furtherm ore, the average of 4 H very closely approxim ates zero, so there is no drift of 4 H as a result. W e therefore conclude that contributions of the $\mathrm{W}+$ uctuations to $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{R}}$ cannot be distinguished as such from num erical inaccuracies.
$N$ ext, we exam ine the rew eighting factor, $I_{G}$, in the particular sim ulation discussed above. Nu u$m$ erically, we m ust evaluate:

$$
I_{G C}^{R}=\cos C "^{" Z} d r t_{+}+{ }_{i}^{X} z_{i} Q_{i}^{I} \quad \cos (A)
$$

$T$ he $t w o$ term $s$ in the argum ent, $A$, of the cosine in (36) have opposite signs and largely cancel out each other, as was dem onstrated theoretically above. H ow ever, in practice, the num erical application of $I_{G} C$ to the simulation is im peded greatly by the fact that the two contributions to A are extensive, so the uctuations in A are am pli ed. $I_{G C}$ is a non-positive-de nite weighting factor, echoing the well-known \sign problem " encountered in ferm ionic eld theories in elem entary particle physics $5^{57 .}$. F ig. '. $4 \frac{1}{1}$ displays part of a tim e series of $A$ in our above tést run. It perform $s$ fast oscillations around a very sm allabsolute $m$ ean value
which within num erical accuracy closely approxim tr


FIG.4. The argum ent, $A$, of the cosine in the rew eighting factor in the sam e sim ulation as in F ig. ${ }^{2}$ In,
is the essence of our sign problem : the statistical deviation from the $m$ ean com pletely dom inates A. At this point, we seem to have arrived at a dead end, having to concede that w e cannot num erically im plem ent the rew eighting factor.

H ow ever, we stillm ay get a sense of the im pact of the $t_{+}$uctuations from exam ining pairw ise correlation functions of $t_{+}, W$, and $I_{G}$. The correlation function is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C[A ; B ; t]=\frac{h A\left(t^{0}\right) B\left(t^{0}+t\right) i \quad h A \text { ihB } i}{2{ }_{A}^{2}} ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where denotes standard deviation, and $t$ and $t^{0}$ are num bers ofm oves in $W$. If A or $B$ is a eld, we average over all lattioe points $r$. $F$ ig. show $s$
 C [I; W ], and C [ $t_{+}$; W ] are displayed. O n comparing the various correlation tim es, an im portant qualitative di erence is observed: while the autocorrelation time of $W$ is on the order of $10^{5}$ M onte C arlo steps in W (subsequently denoted M CS), those of $t_{+}$and I are only on the order of a few hundred M C S, i.e., severalorders ofm agnitude sm allern ${ }^{5}$ 81. Furtherm ore, the correlation functions of $W$ w th both $\downarrow_{+}$and I are virtually at lines, indicating the absence of any cross-correlations. $T$ he sam $e$ is obtained for $C\left[\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+}_{+} ; \mathrm{W}^{2}\right]$.
$W$ ith this result in $m$ ind, consider again the partition function (2-6). We dem onstrated before that we m ay essentially replace $H^{R}\left[W \quad ; t_{+}\right]$w ith H [W ] and so rew rite $Z_{G c}$ as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Z} & \mathrm{Z}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z_{G C} / D W e^{H G C} \quad D t_{+} I_{G C} \mathbb{W} \quad ; t_{+}\right]: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, as we have seen, I and W are virtually uncorrelated, we argue that the results of the sim -
ulation of $W$ cannot possibly be altered by the rew eighting factor in a signi cant w ay.


FIG.5. A utocorrelation function of $W$ in the sam e sim ulation as in Fig. .


FIG.6. C orrelation functions C [I; I] (dashed), C [ $\left.t_{+} ; t_{+}\right]$(dashed-dotted), C [I;W ] (left inset), and C [ $\left.t_{+} ; \mathrm{W}\right]$ (right inset) in the same sim ulation as in


In sum, the results of these test sim ulations indicate that the $W+$ uctuations do not in uence the structure of the polym er blends substantially. $T$ herefore, the M onte C arlo sim ulations presented in the next section were restricted to $W$ elds only.

## IV.RESULTSAND D ISCUSSIO N

W e have studied the two-dim ensional temary $A+B+A B$ system at dim ensionless num ber density $C=50$. In evaluating these sim ulations, we must establish two phase boundaries: rst, the uctuation-shifted order-disorder transition, and second, the onset of the phase-separated region. For the form er, two param eters have been used which we shall de ne in the follow ing.

The D irection Persistence param eter: To de ne and determ ine th is param eter, a given distribution W ( $r$ ) is translated via (1-15) into a \density" pattem A (r). All sim ulations perform ed with the eld-theoretic M onte C arlo m ethod that are presented in this section took only W uctuations into account. Hence, ${ }_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{r})$ is real. Lattice points are denoted black if $A(r)<0: 5$, and white if A (r) $0: 5$. W e further de ne mm ax $\left(l_{a}\right)$ i as the $m$ axim al length of either black or white sections along a one-dim ensional cross section of the im age in direction a, averaged over all o sets along the X axis (or equivalently the Y axis). The direction persistence param eter, , is de ned for a two-dim ensionalblack-and-white im age as

$$
=\frac{\operatorname{lmax}\left(l_{k}\right) i}{\max \left(l_{Y}\right) i} \quad 1 ;
$$

(39)
where $l_{k}$ denotes the direction being averaged over, and $l_{?}$ the direction perpendicular to a given $l_{k}$. is 0 in a disordered con guration and positive definite in a lam ellar con guration, and thus constitutes a m easure for the \lam ellamess" of a con $g-$ uration. Furthem ore, it is dim ensionless and does not directly depend on the lattice size. $m$ easures lam ellamess from a local perspective.

A nisotropy Param eters: As a com plem entary $m$ easure of anisotropy, we de ne the param eters $F_{2}$ and $F_{4}$, which $m$ easure the anisotropy of the Fourier transform, $F(q)$, of a $A(r)$ im age to look at periodicity from a m ore $m$ acroscopic point of view :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q}):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} F(\mathrm{q}) \mathcal{J} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{in}}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

By de nition, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ are identically zero in a disordered (isotropic) con guration and are positive otherw ise. A m ore convenient way to analyze $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q})$ is to calculate a norm alized ratio of the integral and the standard deviation of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q})$, which yields a single dim ensionless num ber:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}: \frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{dqF}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q})}  \tag{41}\\
(\mathrm{q}){\dot{I_{n}}}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{42}
\end{gather*} ;
$$

$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$, like $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q})$, is 0 for disordered and nonzero for lam ellar con gurations. N ote that $F(q)$ is not the structure factor of the $m$ elt but sim ply the Fourier transform of $A$. In fully equilibrated sim ulations, the structure factor can be calculated from averaged expressions containing the elds $W$ and

## n. ${ }^{2}$.



FIG.7. Snapshots at $C=50, ~ н=0$, and $\mathrm{N}=11.1,112,11.3,11.4$, and 11.5. W hite points: $0<$ A $<0: 49$, gray points: $0: 49$ A $<0: 51$, black points: 0:51 A 1. All runs were started from disordered con gurations.

## A. M onte C arlo sim ulations

W e shall nst discuss the results of the $M$ onte C arlo sim ulations.

T he shift of the order-disorder transition w as exam ined in the canonical ensemble on a 3232 lattice $w$ ith $d x=0.625$ for $H=0$ and 0.2 , and $d x=0.78125$ for $н=0: 4,0: 6$, and $0: 7$. These $d x$ values were chosen such that a single lam ella w as severalpixels $w$ ide, which is a precondition for the evaluation of the param eter to work properly. For sim plicity, we shall subsequently denote runs that started from a disordered con guration \D -started" and those that started out of a lam ellar con guration, \L-started." In the latter, the lam ellar periodicities of the starting con gurations re ect the $m$ ean- eld values at the given param eters. M oreover, in L-started runs, dx was chosen to allow for an integer num ber of lam ellae to $t$ in the sim ulation box.

In the case of copolym ens only ( $\mathrm{H}=0$ ), $\mathrm{D}-$ started runs at various N yield the snapshots of F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1} 11$, w hich w ere taken after approxim ately 1 m illion $\bar{M}$ onte $C$ arlo steps and after the sim ulations w ere equilibrated. It is clearly visible from the im ages that above a certain threshold N , a lam ellar phase begins to form spontaneously. W e did not observe any hysteresis e ects. W e should stress that the pattems A (r) shown on these snapshots are not to be confused w ith real density pattems \{ they are $m$ erely visualizations of the eld distributions W (r).

To quantify the transition, we have plotted in F ig. ig and $\mathrm{F}_{2}=\mathrm{F}_{4}$ in F ig. íg. These plots also include curves for the other nuns described above, i.e., hom opolym er volum e fractions $н$ up to 0:7. In all cases, a jump is displayed in both the and $F_{2} / F_{4}$ param eters at the uctuation-corrected critical $N$. For $H_{H}=0$, we nd the transition to be shifted from the m ean- eld value of 10.495 to $11.3(1)$, which is in good agreem ent w ith a result obtained earlier by tw o of us w ith the com plex

Langevin $m$ ethod $\dot{4}^{-13}$. . It is also further evidence that the $W+$ uctuations contribute only a $m$ inor correction to the partition function.
For hom opolym er concentrations н above 0.7 the con gurations did not spontaneously assem ble into a lam ellar phase for any $N$ in $D$-started sim ulations on 3232 lattioes. T he con gurations can at best be described as very defective lam ellae. H ow ever, in L-started runs the con gurations only broke up at very low $N$ values. For exam ple, at ${ }_{\mathrm{H}}=0: 85, \mathrm{D}$-started runs were done for N 13, all of which stayed disordered, yet in L-started runs the lam ellae only broke up for $\mathrm{N}<12: 1$. T his obvious discrepancy can be $m$ ade plausible by tw o argum ents: $F$ irst, in the $D$-started runs, as we approach higher $N$ values (which correspond to low er tem peratures), we observe a freezing e ect, i.e., the sim ulations get trapped in con gurations w ith a certain degree of local periodicity yet the system s cannot $m$ ove through con guration space e ciently enough to achieve quasi-long-range


F IG . 8. D irection persistence as m easured by the param eter vs. $N$ for di erent hom opolym er volume fractions н at the order-disorder transition in sim ulations on 3232 lattices. For $\boldsymbol{\text { н }}=0$ and 02 , the discretization $\mathrm{dx}=0: 625 \mathrm{w}$ as used, otherw ise $\mathrm{dx}=0: 78125$.


FIG. 9. $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ (solid) and $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ (dashed) vs. N for di erent hom opolym er volume fractions $\boldsymbol{H}$ at the or-der-disorder transition in the same simulations as in Fig. .


FIG. 10. $\quad \mathrm{F}_{2}$ at hom opolym er volum e fraction ${ }_{\mathrm{H}}=0: 7$ (a) and $\mathrm{H}^{2}=0: 8$ (b) for di erent N in simulations on a 4848 lattice that started from lam ellar con gurations.
order. Second, in the L-started runs, in order to keep dx reasonably low (i.e., < $0: 8$ ), we can only put a sm all num ber of lam ellae in the box. In the case of ${ }_{H}=0: 85$, that number is 3 . But this in com bination w ith the periodic boundary conditions im posed on the box in tum arti cially stabilizes the lam ellar phase, which is why we do not see a breaking up. Evidently, we m ust use bigger sim ulation boxes for ${ }_{\mathrm{H}}>0: 7$.

Because of the freezing e ects at high N described above, we restrict ourselves to L-started runs in exam ining the order-disorder transition on 4848 lattices. Even so, we nd that the sim ulations take an increasingly greater num ber of M onte C arlo steps to equilibrate the higher N . For $H_{-}=0: 7$, a time series of $F_{2}$ is displayed in Fig. id (a). The plot indicates that the transition must be between $\mathrm{N}=12.3$ and 12.5: we see a substantial jum $p$ in both param eters, caused by a breaking-up of the initial lam ellar con guration. This is in good agreem ent w ith the result from the 3232 lattice. The $F_{2}$ graphs at the transition, especially for $\mathrm{N}=12.3$, display a special feature: a periodic oscillatory $m$ otion once the run is equilibrated. The tim e scale of this oscil-
lation can be explained as follow s. C lose to the transition, the lam ellae do not easily dissolve com pletely. Instead, defects develop which may open and close periodically, often altemating the continuation of a given contour length between two defective lam ellar branches. This oscillation is reected in $\mathrm{F}_{2}$.

For ${ }_{\mathrm{H}}=0: 8$, the corresponding tim e series is displayed in $F$ ig. 1 Id (b). At $N=12: 5$, the sys tem is clearly disordered, and the transition is inferred to occur below $\mathrm{N}=13$. A nother run for ${ }_{\mathrm{H}}=0: 85$ indicates that that transition is at approxim ately $\mathrm{N}=13$, although even after 3 m ilion $M$ onte C arlo steps the con gurations retained a strong correlation to the seed con guration. W e conclude that on the one hand, using a bigger lattioe has alleviated the arti cial stabilizing e ect of the periodic boundary conditions on the lam ellae. O n the other hand, how ever, we stillencounter num erical freezing e ects for $\mathrm{N}>13$ at $\mathrm{C}=50$, which prohibit the exam ination of this region of the phase diagram.

A s concems the order of the O D T , we did sw eeps in the grand canonical ensem ble across the ODT for relative hom opolym er fugacities $z=1$ and $z=$ 2 ( $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} \bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). B oth plots are consistent w ith a very weakly rist-order transition. In either case, the coexistence region has a width of no more than approxim ately 0.001 in н .

The onset of the ( m acro) phase-separated tw ophase region was exam ined in the grand canonical ensemble; a D-started run at param eters in the
phase-separated region w ill eventually undergo

(b)


F IG .11. H om opolym er concentration, н, vs. N at $z=1$ (a) and $z=2$ (b) across the order-disorder transition. The dotted line indicates the N coordinate of the ODT 0:1.


FIG.12. D i erence of $A$ and $B m$ onom er densities, 4 ,vs. N in a binary system of A and B hom opoly$m$ ers in the grand canonical ensem ble.


F IG.13. D i erence in $A$ and $B m$ onom er densities, 4 , vs. relative hom opolym er fugacity, $z$, in the grand canonical ensem ble.
a spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking and end up in a con guration dom inated by either A or B seg$m$ ents. M oreover, it is su cient to do these runs on 3232 lattices as no com plex $m$ onphologies are investigated here. To quantify this behavior, we analyze the totaldi erence of norm alized A and B densities:

$$
4:=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dr}\left({ }_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{r}) \quad \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{r})\right):
$$

First, we looked at the dem ixing in a system consisting only of A and B hom opolym ers ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}=1$ ). In this case, $F$ ig. 112 shows how the order param eter 4 grow s from zero above approxim ately 2:04=, shifted up from the miean-eld value of $2=$. For various N, Fig. '13'3 displays 4 for relative hom opolym er fugacities z (corresponding to ${ }_{H}<1$ in the canonical ensemble) around the transition. W hen translated into H values in the canonical ensemble, the num erical accuracy obtained in the present work did not allow for determ ining the order of the corrected disorder/tw ophase


F IG . 14. A nisotropy param eters obtained by C om plex Langevin Sim ulations. T he solid lines correspond to the $F_{2}$ values while the dotted lines correspond to the $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ values.


F IG.15. A veraged densities across the O D T, as obtained from $C$ om plex Langevin sim ulation runs. T he hom opolym er fraction is xed at $\quad$ н $=0.2$. The N values are: (a) $\mathrm{N}=11: 4$; (b) $\mathrm{N}=11: 7$; (c) $\mathrm{N}=11: 9$.
B. C om plex Langevin sim ulations

The same system has also been exam ined by com plex Langevin sim ulations for selected param eter values in the canonical ensemble. The sim ulations were in itiated from L-started con gurations (in the lam ellar phase) to exam ine the shift in the ODT. The transition points were identi ed by exam ining the values of the anisotropy param eters $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{4}$. The behavior of these quantities (displayed in Fig. ${ }^{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) is quite sim ilar to that observed in the eld-theoretic M onte C arlo approach, and exhibits a steep increase near the onset ofm icrophase separation. As an additional pictorial proofofsuch a transition, Fig. 1 " aged values of the realcom ponent of the uctuating density elds across the transition.

A $s \mathrm{~m}$ entioned earlier in the text, complex Langevin sim ulations were hindered by num erical constraints arising from extensive phase uctuations near the Lifshitz point, and required sm all tim e steps to $m$ aintain num erical stability. H ow ever, the use of such tim e steps
led to freezing e ects, and rendered equilibration di cult. C onsequently, we have displayed the results from CL simulations only for situations (i.e., H values) forwhich the uctuation-corrected transition tem peratures could be identi ed reliably. It is encouraging to note that the results obtained from the CL sim ulations, the basis of which is som ew hat distinct from the eld-theoreticM onte C arlo $m$ ethod presented in this article, do indicate very good agreem ent w th the results of the latter approach. The im plications of such results are threefold: (i) T his serves to corroborate the results presented in earlier sections which were obtained using the $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ ethod. (ii) It also suggests that the uctuation e ects in the $W+$ eld, which w ere neglected in the $M$ onte C arlo sim ulations (but which were included in the CL approach), have only a negligible in uence upon the majority of the phase diagram . (iii) Further, the coincidence of C L results w th the M onte C arlo approach sug-
gests that despite the tenuous foundations of com plex Langevin approach, such a m ethod does yield physically reliable results for a m ajor portion of the phase diagram, and thereby warrants further studies using such an approach.

> C. The uctuation-corrected phase diagram and the form ation of the $m$ icroem ulsion

The results of our sim ulation investigations are put together in the uctuation-corrected phase diagram for $C=50$ ( $F$ ig. 1$]$ ald , and lead to a slope of the resulting uctuation-corrected order-disorder transition which is in good qualitative agreem ent w ith the experim ental result of H ilm yer et al for a PEE/PDMS/PEEPRMS system of interm ediate $m$ olecular weighta ${ }^{99}$. In particular, the shape of the cusp-like region ofbicontinuous $m$ icroem ulsion identi ed therein is here reproduced com putationally for the rst time. It is im portant to note that to $m$ inim ize com putational expense our sim ulations were carried out in two dim ensions, while the experim ents are inherently three-dim ensional. In general, onew ould expect the uctuation e ects to be stronger in $2-d$ than in $3-d$ and, indeed, the m icroem ulsion \cusp" appears broader in Fig. I' $\overline{1}$ than in the experim ental phase diagram s. N evertheless, we believe that a m qualitative corresonndence hetw fen sim ilation and exnerim ent has


FIG.16. The uctuation-corrected phase diagram at $C=50$. T he circles show the results from the $M$ onte C arlo sim ulations, the squares those from the com plex Langevin sim ulations. The dotted lines indicate the m ean- eld result of F ig. $\underline{V}_{\mathbf{1}}^{1}$.


FIG.17. C urvature radius $D_{c}$ (circles) and preferential length scale, $L_{0}$, as obtained from the $m$ axim um of $S_{0}(q)$ (squares) at $N=12: 5 \mathrm{in}$ simulations on a 4848 lattice. F illed and em pty sym bols correspond to disordered and lam ellar initial conditions, respectively. D ashed lines are guides for the eye.

To understand better w hat happens at the onset of the $m$ icroem ulsion phase, we have calculated the $m$ ean curvature diam eter, $D_{c}$, of the boundaries of $A$ and $B$ microdom ains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{c}=2{\frac{1}{L_{c}}}^{Z} \mathrm{ds}_{\frac{d t}{}_{d s}^{2^{\#}}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $L_{c}$ is the sum ofallcontour lengths of them icrodom ain boundaries, and $t$ is the tangent vector at a given coordinate $s$ along the contour. D etails of the callulation of this ob ject $w i l l$ be presented elsew here ${ }^{159}$. Furthem ore, we exam ine the $m$ axi$\mathrm{mum}, \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{ax}, \circ \mathrm{fF} \mathrm{F}_{0}(\mathrm{q})$, which indicates the preferentialFourier w ave num ber of a con guration multiplied by 2 . In tum, $L=q_{n}$ ax $=: L_{0}$ indicates the preferential length scale of a con guration. Fig. "17 com pares $D_{c}$ and $L_{0}$ for various hom opoly-$\mathrm{m}^{-}$er conœentrations H at $\mathrm{N}=12: 5$, for both L started and D-started runs. W hereas for low er н , $D_{C}$ is larger than $L_{0}$, the two lengths cross each other as н is increased. Thus we have identi ed the $m$ echanism by which uctuations generate the $m$ icroem ulsion phase: it form $s$ when the width of the lam ellae grow s larger than the curvature radius of the uctuating boundaries, causing the lam ellae to break up. This type of $\backslash$ Lindem ann criterion" for production of a bicontinuous m icroem ulsion by $m$ elting of a lam ellarphase has discussed elsew-here in the context of oil/w ater/surfactant phases ${ }^{60!}$.
V.SUM MARYAND CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper, we have introduced a new way of evaluating the full partition function of a
system ofpolym eric blends subject to them al uctuations. The com plex Langevin approach which w as em ployed in our earlier researches, accounts forboth the $W$ and $W+$ uctuations, and is able to predict the shift in the order-disorder transition at low $H_{H}$ and in the two-phase region. For $0: 7<{ }_{\mathrm{H}}<0: 9$ it runs into num erical di culties. W e believe that the large system sizes necessitated in such regions as well as the concom itant strong uctuation e ects arising near the Lifshitz points restricts the applicability of the com plex Langevin $m$ ethod in such regim es. As an alternative, we have proposed a eld-theoretic M onte C arlo approach, which w as show n to be applicable to greater regions of the phase diagram. Sm all lattioes (32 32) su ced up to ${ }_{\mathrm{H}}=0: 7$, above which bigger (48 48) lattices had to be used. In the region where both $m$ ethods work, they are in good agreem ent, indicating that the $W$ + uctuations neglected in the latter sim ulations contribute only a $m$ inor correction. Further evidence for this circum stance was found in em pirical test runs. Such observations also suggest that one could have potentially em ployed a real Langevin schem e where the $W_{+}$uctuations are neglected! 1. . Regretfiully, how ever, a fullanalysis oftheW + uctuationswas rendered di cult by the occurrence of a non-positivede nite weighting factor (the sign problem). A uctuation-corrected phase diagram was presented for $C=50$, which corresponds well in qualitative term $s$ to the PEE/PDM S/PEE PDM S system of interm ediate polym erization indiaes exam ined in a series of recent experim ents ${ }^{1}\left\{_{1}\{\underline{1}-1\right.$, providing further corroboration of the existence of a region of bicontinuous $m$ icroem ulsion betw een the lam ellar and phase-separated regions. The mechanism responsible for the form ation ofth is phase w as show $n$ to be intim ately related to m atching length scales of the curvature of $m$ icrodom ain boundaries and of the lam ellar periodicity. In an upcom ing article, $w, e, w$ ill exam ine properties of the disordered phase ${ }^{59}$.

W e have bene ted from fruitfuldiscussions w ith M . Schick, R.N etz, M .M atsen, and S. Sides. This work was supported by the D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft (G em any). VG and GHF were partially supported by the N ational Science Foundation under A wards D M R -02-04199 and D M R -9870785, and the $P$ etroleum $R$ esearch Fund, adm inistered by the ACS. T he M onte C arlo sim ulations were carried out on the CRAY T 3E of the NIC institute in Julich.

## APPENDIX:COMPLEX LANGEVIN M ETHOD

In this appendix, we provide a brief outline of the com plex Langevin $m$ ethod. R eaders interested in $m$ ore details are referred to $R e f f i l_{4^{4}}$. The com plex Langevin technique involves generating $W$ and $W+$ states in the entire complex plane (despite the fact that the integrations in Eq. (\%ic) are restricted to the realaxis in $W$ and the im aginary axis in $W_{+}$) by $m$ eans of com plex Langevin equations. Speci cally, the equations used to generate the real and im aginary parts of the $W$ and $W+$ elds, $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{R}} ; \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{I}} ; \mathrm{W}_{+}^{\mathrm{R}}$; and $\mathrm{W}_{+}^{\mathrm{I}}$, are of the form :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left.@ W^{R}(r ; t)+i W^{I}(r ; t)\right]}{@ t}= & \frac{H[W ; W+]}{W(r ; t)}  \tag{45}\\
& +(r ; t) ;  \tag{46}\\
\frac{@\left[W_{+}^{I}(r ; t) i W_{+}^{R}(r ; t)\right]}{@ t}= & i \frac{H[W ; W+]}{W_{+}(r ; t)} \\
& +(r ; t) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where ( $r$; $t$ ) is a real G aussian white noise w ith m om ents satisfying the appropriate uctuationdissipation relation: $h i=0, h(r ; t) \quad\left(r, t^{0}\right) i=$ 2 (t e) (rr r). In the above equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{H[W \quad ; W+]}{W(r)}= & C \frac{2 W}{N} \quad A(r ; W \quad ; W+) \\
& +B(r ; W \quad ; W+)  \tag{47}\\
\frac{H[W ; W+]}{W+(r)}= & C[A(r ; W \quad ; W+) \\
& +B(r ; W \quad ; W+) \quad 1] \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

The evolution of the $W^{R}$; $W^{I}$ and $W_{+}^{R} ; W_{+}^{I}$ elds according to the above Langevin equations $\overline{4} \overline{5}-$ i4d) produces in the long-tim e lim it the generation of eld states such that the \tim e" averages acquire the sam e values as those com puted using the original non-positive-de nite Boltzm ann weight ${ }^{481}$. Equilibration of the sim ulation can be established by $m$ onitoring an appropriate quantity, such as the average of the im aginary com ponent of energy/densities which should identically vanish at equilibrium. W e note that w ith such an averaging procedure, physical observables such as the average A m onom er density, $A=h_{A}$ i, tum out to be real, while their uctuating analogs such as A are generally com plex.

It is pertinent to note a few special features of the com plex Langevin equations ( $4 \stackrel{-1}{4}-\mathbf{4})$. In the absence of the noise term $(r ; t)$, the dynam ics will
drive the system to the nearest saddle point. C onsequently, it is straightforw ard to probe the stability of the $m$ ean-eld solutions $w$ ithin the CL sim ulation schem e by setting the noise term identically to zero. Furtherm ore, this feature enables the sam pling in the presence of the noise to be autom atically con ned near a saddle point, avoiding a long equilibration period if the system were to be prepared in an initial con guration far from the saddle point.
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