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#### Abstract

W hen a colloidal particle adheres to a uid membrane, it induces elastic deform ations in the $m$ em brane which oppose its own binding. The structural and energetic aspects of this balance are theoretically studied within the fram ew ork of a H elfrich H am iltonian. B ased on the full nonlinear shape equations for the $m$ em brane pro le, a line of continuous binding transitions and a second line of discontinuous envelopm ent transitions are found, which meet at an unusual triple point. The regim e of low tension is studied analytically using a sm all gradient expansion, while in the lim it of large tension scaling argum ents are derived which quantify the asym ptotic behavior of phase boundary, degree of w rapping, and energy barrier. T he $m$ aturation of anim al viruses by budding is discussed as a biological exam ple of such colloid-m em brane interaction events.


PACS num bers: 87.16.D g, 87.15 K g, 46.70 H g

## I. IN TRODUCTION

A rguably the $m$ ost im portant structural com ponent of all living cells is the phospholipid bilayer. It com bines the two diam etrical tasks of partitioning \{ thereby organizing the com plex hierarchy of intracellular biochem ical environm ents \{ while at the sam e tim e providing controlled transport $m$ echanism $s$ betw een neighboring com partm ents $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$. The size of particles being transported spans several orders of $m$ agnitude, ranging all the way from sub-nanom eter ions, whose passage through the bilayer is facilitated by protein channels, up to $m$ icron-sized ob jects engulfed by the large scale $m$ em brane deform ations occurring during phagocytosis.

Since cell survival depends on a m eticulous balance of these processes, they are actively controlled and require $m$ etabolic energy to proceed. Still, there are cases where they happen passively as a result of generic physical interactions, for instance, a su ciently strong adhesion betw een the particle about to be transported and the $m$ em brane. A n exam ple that has been studied in extensive detail is the route along which $m$ any anim al viruses leave their host cell [2]l. A fter entering the cell (typically via receptor $m$ ediated endocytosis or other active processes [31]) and com pletion of the viral replication steps, the new virions have to get out again. $M$ any virus fam ilies accom plish this by the plasm a $m$ em brane wrapping their nucleoprotein capsid and pinching o (\budding")| a step which not only sets them free but by which they also acquire their nal coating. A particularly clean $m$ odel case is provided by Sem liki Forest V inus, in which case the binding betw een capsid and $m$ em brane is prom oted


A di erent realization of such a w rapping event is presum ably exploited by an e cient gene transfection sys-

[^0]tem proposed a few years ago by B oussifet al $[\overline{[ }]$ DNA is complexed by the cationic branched polym er polyethylenim ine into a globular com plex, which then enters the cell (as deduced from reporter gene expression). $R$ ather than particular targeting signals, the slight net positive charge of the com plex is believed to trigger adhesion and $m$ em brane penetration via an electrostatic interaction w ith negatively charged regions of the plasm a $m$ em brane.

Finally, a great deal of biophysical experim ental techniques involve the attachm ent of $m$ icrobeads to $m e m-$ branes. For instance, one way to measure cellular tensions involves pulling a thin tether with an optical tw eezer which grabs a bead adhering to the $m$ em brane [8]1. C ell m em branes and subcellular organelles are routinely probed w ith an atom ic force $m$ icroscope [ 1 ]. A nd a classical experim ent on surface dynam ics and locom otion of cells involves $m$ onitoring the centripetal $m$ otion of a surface adherent particle [11 1 adhering to the cell will locally deform its plasm a membrane, which can be crucial for interpreting the experi$m$ ental results. For exam ple, in the case of centripetal bead m otion it has been noted that adhering particles $m$ ay actually becom e engulfed by the clllw ithout involve$m$ ent of the endocytosism achinery, ifonly the $m$ em brane tension is low enough [ $\left.\overline{11}_{1}^{1}\right]$.

W rapping and budding processes occur very frequently in cells, but even though they are extensively studied experim entally, the high com plexity of the real biological situation renders a clear extraction of underlying physical principles very di cult; it is not even obvious or undisputed whether an explanation in term sofsuch principles is possible. A better look at the physics is therefore provided by m ore easily controllable experim ents on the adsonption of colloids onto $m$ odel lipid bilayers. T he degree of w rapping of a colloid by a giant phospholipid vesicle has for instance been studied by D ietrich et al [121]. These authors show ed that it can be quantitatively understood in term s of a balance betw een adhesion and elastic energy. C olloids w ill change the shape of the vesi-
cle they adhere to, and this m ay give rise to attractive interactions betw een them [13].]. If the $m$ em brane tension is low enough or the colloid su ciently sm all, bending of the $m$ em brane $w$ ill becom $e$ an im portant contribution which can be strong enough to com pletely suppress adhesion $[141,1]$ any \kink" in the $m$ em brane pro le at the line of contact, such that the notion of a contact angle only rem ains $m$ eaningful in an asym ptotic sense and is replaced by the concept of contact curvature $\left[17_{1}, 12,11_{2}^{\prime}, 1,2 d\right]$. For vesicles adhering on at surfaces, this can be $m$ easured by visualizing the contact zone via re ection interference contrast $m$ icroscopy [ [2in in, but for adhesion ofm em branes on strongly curved substrates \{ in particular, sm all colloids \{ this option is not available. H ere, com puter sim ulations can provide $m$ eans for a close-up study [ $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \bar{Z}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, but to date it is stilldi cult to perform a quantitative analysis relating the observed geom etry to the underlying $m$ esoscopic elastic properties.

Instead of directly $m$ easuring the local deform ations, one can study their indirect consequences and from there attem pt to deduce some of their properties. This of course requires theoreticalm odeling forbridging the gap. For instance, lateral $m$ em brane tensions can be in ferred from the force required to pull a thin tether from the m em brane [ show sthat the force as a function of tether length is quite subtle and shows an initial oscillatory structure before exponentially settling dow $n$ to the asym ptotic value [23']. Very useful in form ation $m$ ight be obtained from this, for exam ple an independent estim ate of the tetherw idth. For less strongly bound beads B oulbitch has related the unbinding force to the adhesion energy, $m$ em brane bending sti ness and the elasticity of an underlying elastic netw ork (like the cytoskeleton) by describing the associated m em brane_deform ation $w$ thin a sm all gradient approxim ation $\left[{ }^{[2} 4_{1}^{1}\right]$. A nd for the case of colloids adhering to quasispherical vesicles a sim ple ansatz for the $m$ em brane shape hasbeen show n to yield a structuralphase diagram indicating w hen the colloid is free, partially w rapped and fully enveloped [1] [1].

This paper extends the work of Ref. $\left.{ }^{2} \mathbf{2}_{1}^{-1}\right]$ in developing a detailed theory of the local w rapping behavior in the case of a constant prescribed lateralm em brane tension. This \ensemble" is particularly relevant for the biological situation, since alm ost all cells constantly adjust the am ount of lipids in their plasm a m em brane in order to $m$ aintain its lateral tension at som e speci c set point [2]. $]$. The situation to be discussed here is sim ilar to the one treated by B oulbitch [2] [2], but it will neither be restricted to $s m$ all $m$ em brane deform ations nor to a tw o-dim ensionalm odeling of the geom etry (which would be appropriate for long cylindrical colloids). A fter setting up the problem and identifying the relevant energies in Sec. II, the full nonlinear shape equations of the m em brane pro le are studied in Sec. "in lin leading to the structural w rapping phase diagram. Sec. 'ivi', then treats the $s m$ allgradient expansion (i.e., the linearized theory),


F IG . 1: Ilhustration of the w rapping geom etry and mem brane param eterization. A $m$ em brane adheres partially to a spherical colloid of radius a w ith a degree of wrapping given by $z=1$ cos . Cylindrical sym $m$ etry around the $e_{h}$ axis is as sum ed throughout the paper. The possibility of \overhangs" requires going beyond a $M$ onge-like param eterization, which would gives the height $h$ as a function of radial distance $r$. The choice followed in this work is to specify the angle $w$ ith respect to the horizontal as a function of arc-length $s$.
identi es its range of validity as the regim e of low mem brane tension, and derives various asym ptotically exact results. For the opposite regim e of large tension several scaling predictions are deduced in Sec. 'V' and validated against the num erical results from Sec . In the nal Section discussed in the fram ew ork of the biological exam ple of virus budding.

## II. GENERALENERGYCONSIDERATIONS

The aim of this paper is to describe the localdeform ationsofa at uidmembrane upon adsonption ofa sphericalcolloid, as depicted schem atically in F ig. . assum ed that th is process can be understood as a balance of the follow ing three energy contributions: (i) A dhesion is driven by a contact energy per unit area, $w$, and opposed by (ii) the requirem ent to bend the $m$ em brane as well as (iii) the w ork of pulling excess $m$ em brane tow ard the w rapping site against a prescribed lateral tension, . Since the description w illnot aim at a $m$ icroscopic understanding, continuum elasticity theory is taken as a basis; in particular, the bending energy per unit area will be described using the standard $H$ elfrich expression $\left[\bar{Z}_{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ebend }=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} \quad \emptyset\right)^{2}+c_{1} c_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are the local principal curvatures of the tw o-dim ensionalm em brane surface [ $[2 \overline{2}], c_{0}$ is the spontaneous curvature of the $m$ em brane and and are elastic constants (w ith units of energy). In the follow ing a sym m etric m em brane is assum ed, i.e. $c_{0}=0$; and since no topologicalchangesw illle considered, the second term in

Eqn. [1]) can be dropped [2]. The tension energy is given by the lateraltension, , tim es the excess area. $N$ ote that from tension and bending constant one can construct a length $\{$ speci $c$ to the $m$ em brane $\{$ according to

$$
=\begin{array}{r}
r- \\
= \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

M em brane deform ations on a length scale sm aller than predom inantly cost bending energy, while deform ations on a larger scale pay $m$ ostly in tension. A $n$ interesting situation occurs when the two intrinsic lengths of the problem \{ the colloid radius a and the $m$ em brane length
\{ are of the sam e order, because then bending and tension contributions are com parable. In fact, for a typical cellular $m$ em brane tension of $\quad 0: 02 \mathrm{dyn} / \mathrm{cm}$ [-6] and a typicalbending m odulus of $\quad 20 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ (where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ is the therm alenergy) one obtains $\quad 64 \mathrm{~nm} . \mathrm{V}$ iralcapsids are about this big $\overline{[1}]$ ], therefore this biological situation sits squarely in the crossover regim e.

A ssum ing that the adhering $m$ em brane rem ains in its uid state, its energy can be calculated easily once the degree of w rapping, $z=1$ cos , is speci ed, because the shape of the $m$ em brane is know $n$. The area of the colloid covered by $m$ em brane is given by $A_{a d}=2 a^{2} z$, which gives an adhesion energy of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ad}}=\mathrm{w} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ad}}=$
$2 \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{zw} . \mathrm{U}$ sing Eqn. (11) , the bending energy is found to be $\mathrm{E}_{\text {bend }}=\frac{1}{2} \quad(1=\mathrm{a}+1=\mathrm{a})^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{ad}}=4 \mathrm{z}$. Finally, the work done against a lateral tension is proportional through to the excess area pulled tow ard the w rapping site, which is $A$ ad $=a^{2} z^{2}$, giving the tension energy $E_{\text {ten }}=a^{2} z^{2}$.

It tums out to be advantageous to $m$ easure energies in units of the bending constant and lengths in units of the colloid radius a. T his suggests the de nition of the follow ing three dim ensionless variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{r}=\frac{E}{-} \quad ; \quad=\frac{2 \mathrm{wa}^{2}}{} \quad \text {; and } \sim:=\frac{\mathrm{a}^{2}}{} \text {; } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where num erical factors of and 2 have been introduced for later convenience. N ote that the crossover relation
' a corresponds to $\sim$ ' 1 . In tem $s$ of these reduced variables the totalenergy of the colloid-m em branecom plex is given by
$w$ here $\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}^{r}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}=$ is the (dim ensionless) energy of the free part of the $m$ em brane. $T$ his term is not so easy to calculate, since the $m$ em brane shape is not know $n a$ priori. Even though the $m$ ain purpose of this paper is to determ ine $\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}$ and understand its im plications on the w rapping process, it stillproves in structive to have a brief look at the problem while ignoring $\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}$. M inim izing Eqn. ( $4_{1}^{\top}$ ) $w$ ith respect to $z$ (and noting that the $m$ in $m u m$ m ay also be located at the boundaries $\mathrm{z}=0$ or $\mathrm{z}=2$ ) it is found that colloids do not adhere if w < 4, since they cannot pay the bending price. O nce $\gg 4$, they
start to adhere by rst being partially w rapped. Full envelopm ent occurs only if wo $>4+4 \sim$. In between, the degree of partial w rapping is $z=\left(\begin{array}{ll}w^{*} & 4\end{array}\right)=2 \sim$, and both transitions from free to partially w rapped as well as from partially w rapped to fully enveloped are continuous.
$T$ here is one case in which the simpli cation $\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}=0$ in fact holds rigorously, and that is the case of zero tension, $=0$ [14, $\left.{ }^{1} 15_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. This can be seen as follow $s$ : C onsider the tw o-param eter fam ily of cylindrically sym $m$ etric surfaces $r(h)=a_{1} \cosh \left[\left(h=a \quad a_{2}\right)=a_{1}\right]$, which are called catenoids. It is easily veri ed that the particular choice $a_{1}=z(2 \quad z)$ and $a_{2}=z \quad 1+\frac{z(2 \quad z)}{2} \ln \frac{z}{2} z$ im plies that this surface sm oothly touches the colloid w ith a degree ofw rapping equalto $z$. The im portant point is that such catenoids are $m$ iním al surfaces which have zero $m$ ean curvature at every point [2] ${ }^{1}$ ], and hence no bending energy. Since (i) for $=0$ the only possible energy of the free $m$ em brane com es from bending, and (ii) the bending energy is positive de nite, the catenoid is in fact the $m$ inim um energy shape. The above sim pli ed description of the problem is thus exact in the case $=0$, i.e., for wo $<4$ the colloid is not w rapped, and for wo $>4$ it is com pletely wrapped, w ith no energy barrier im peding the transition

## III. THE FULLSOLUTION OF THE SHAPE PROFILE

By setting $\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}=0$ one neglects in particular any potentiale ect that the strongly curved part of the $m$ em brane close to the line of contact $m$ ay have on the $w$ rapping behavior. A s a next step it is therefore tem pting to approxim ate $E_{\text {free }}$ by a phenom enologicalline energy $\mathrm{B}_{2} 0_{1}^{\prime}$. H ow ever, neither the relation betw een the line tension constant and the $m$ em brane properties and would be known, nor is the im plied dependency on the degree of wrapping \{ nam ely $\sin =\overline{z(2} \quad \mathrm{z})$ \{ supported by m ore carefiul studies. ( O n a scaling level and in the high tension lim it this question is revisited in Sec. Ni.

In order to draw sound conclusions, an explicit treat$m$ ent of the $m$ em brane deform ation is needed. Three approaches are discussed in the follow ing: In the present section the equilibrium m embrane shape is determ ined by $m$ in im izing its energy. Since this leads to com plicated nonlinear di erential equations, one largely has to rely on num erical solutions here. In the second approach, discussed in Sec. 'IVI' deform ations renders these di erential equations linear, and they can be solved exactly then. H ow ever, the latter approach per construction is lim ited to fairly sm all degrees of wrapping. H ence, its range of validity is not obvious and has to be checked against the nonlinear results. F inally, in Sec. 'V.' a scaling analysis is used to shed light onto the high tension regim e.

## A. Energy functional and shape equations

The energy of the free $m$ embrane is the surface integral over the local bending and tension contributions and is thus a functional of the shape. $U$ sing the cylindrically symm etric angle\{arc-length param eterization from Fig. 'I 11 , the two principal curvatures are found to be ( $\sin$ ) $=\mathrm{r}$ and $\neg$ where the dot indicates a derivative w ith respect to the arc-length s . T he energy functional can then be w ritten as [31]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {free }}^{Z_{1}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1} L\left(; i r ; \underline{r_{i} h ; r} ; h\right) ; ~ ; ~} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Lagrange function $L$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
L= & r^{( }-+\frac{\sin }{r}{ }^{2}+\frac{2 \sim}{a^{2}}(1 \cos ) \\
& +r(\underline{r} \cos )+h_{h}\left(\begin{array}{l}
h \\
\sin ):
\end{array}\right. \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression in curly brackets contains the bending and tension contributions, while the tw o additionalterm $s$ enforce the nonholonom ic param eterization constraints $\underline{\underline{r}}=\cos$ and $h=\sin$ by $m$ eans of the Lagrange para$m$ eter functions ${ }_{r}(s)$ and $h^{(s)}$. M easuring all lengths in units of a, it can be veri ed that $E_{\text {free }}$ depends para$m$ etrically on ~ (and through the boundary conditions on $z$ ) but on nothing else. This is im portant, because it ensures that wo and ~ rem ain the relevant axes for a structural phase diagram and no new independent variable is introduced by the free part of the $m$ em brane. It also im plies that at given $\sim$ and $z$ the $m$ em brane shape scales w ith the colloid size a.

The Lagrangian $L$ is independent of the arc-length $s$, therefore the corresponding H am iltonian is conserved. $M$ oreover, num erically one usually integrates system $s$ of rst order di erential equations. B oth observations suggest to sw itch to a H am iltonian description. A fter de ning the con jugate $m$ om enta

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=\frac{@ L}{@-}=2 r-+\frac{\sin }{r} ;  \tag{7a}\\
& p_{r}=\frac{@ L}{@ r}=r ; \text { and }  \tag{7b}\\
& p_{h}=\frac{@ L}{@ h}=r ; \tag{7c}
\end{align*}
$$

a Legendre transform yields

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & p+r p_{r}+h p_{h} \quad L \\
= & \frac{p^{2}}{4 r} \frac{p \sin }{r} \frac{2 \sim r}{a^{2}}(1 \quad \cos ) \\
& +p_{r} \cos +p_{h} \sin : \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The shape equations are the associated $H$ am ilton equa-
tions:

$$
\begin{align*}
- & =\frac{p}{2 r} \frac{\sin }{r} ;  \tag{9a}\\
\underline{r} & =\cos ;  \tag{9b}\\
p & =\sin ;  \tag{9c}\\
\underline{p} & =\frac{p}{r} \quad \operatorname{p} \quad \cos +\frac{2 \sim r}{a^{2}}+p_{r} \sin ; \\
p_{r} & =\frac{p}{r} \frac{p}{4 r} \frac{\sin }{r}+\frac{2 \sim}{a^{2}}(1 \quad \cos ) ; \text { and }(9 e) \\
p_{h} & =0: \tag{9f}
\end{align*}
$$

Shape equations of this kind have been studied extensively in the past, leading, am ong $m$ any other things, to a very detailed understanding ofvesicle conform ations (in which case one also needs to $x$ surface and volum e by additional Lagrange multipliers). For a detailed review on this sub ject see Ref. [32'1].

## B. Boundary conditions

The situation to be studied is a colloid w rapped by an initially at $m$ em brane. The boundary conditions thus have to ensure that the $m$ embrane touches the colloid sm oothly and becom es asym ptotically at at large radial distances. At contact, $s=0$, the follow ing $m$ ust evidently hold (see again Fig. ${ }^{\prime}$ '11) :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{r}(0) & =\mathrm{a} \sin ;  \tag{10a}\\
\mathrm{h}(0) & =\mathrm{a} \cos ; \text { and }  \tag{10b}\\
(0) & =: \tag{10c}
\end{align*}
$$

The notion of asym ptotic atness can be enforced by requiring the angle (s)_and all of its derivatives to vanish in the lim its! 1 [33]. H ow ever, it su ces to dem and this for the angle and the meridinal curvature $\neg$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{s!1}(s)=0 \text { and }  \tag{11a}\\
& \lim _{s!1}-(s)=0 ; \tag{11b}
\end{align*}
$$

which im plies that curvature and tension energy density vanish if one $m$ oves aw ay from the site where the $m$ em brane shape is perturbed by the adhering colloid. If (s) vanishes su ciently rapidly (as it does for $>0$, see Sec. ( ${ }^{-} \overline{-1} \bar{V}_{-1}^{1}$ ), all contributions beyond som e large distance $S$ in arc-length will be negligible. A convenient way to exploit this is the follow ing: C hoose an upper arc-length $S$ and im pose the zero angle condition there. H ence, variations of $S$ and (S) are not perm itted during functional m inim ization, but $r(S)$ and $h(S)$ are still free. $T$ his im plies the additionalboundary conditions [3]

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\frac{@ L}{@ \underline{r}}_{s=s}=p_{r}(S) \text { and }  \tag{12a}\\
& 0=\frac{@ L}{@ h}_{s=s}=p_{h}(S): \tag{12b}
\end{align*}
$$

The $H$ am ilton equation $\overline{9} \dot{f})$ show $s$ that $p_{h}$ is an integral of $\backslash m$ otion", and the boundary condition (12b) xes its value to zero. H ence, $p_{h}$ drops out of the problem everyw here. The condition on $p_{r}$ can be $m$ ade very useful by a little $m$ ore thought. Since for $\sim>0$ the angle converges to zero in an essentially exponentialway (see Sec. 'i $\overline{I V}_{-1}^{\prime \prime}$ ), the expression for the H am iltonian w ill converge tow ard $p_{r}$. Thus, the requirem ent of a at pro le im plies $H=H(S)!p_{r}(S)=0$, or in other words, the prole is at if the H am iltonian vanishes. U sing Eqns. (Taí) and $(\bar{q})$, this can be tumed into a condition for $p_{r}$ at the contact boundary:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a p_{r}(0)={\frac{p}{z_{(2(z)}}}_{1} 1+2 \sim z \quad a 0^{2^{0}}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only rem aining variable for which the contact value is not yet known is $p$, or altematively - . At this point a bit of care is required. It is well known that the balance betw een adhesion energy and elastic $m$ em brane deform ation results in a boundary condition on the contact curvature [ [1] ${ }^{2}$. For curved substrates th is becom es [18

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \sigma_{0}:=a-(0)=1 \quad p \bar{w}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, it is crucial to understand that this condition only holds for the nalequilibrium shape of the com plex. In the present case the situation is di erent, because the aim is to calculate the energy $\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}$ at any given value of the penetration z . Therefore the adhesion balance is restricted and Eqn. (14 $1_{1}^{\prime}$ ) generally w ill not hold. N evertheless, by later im posing $@ \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{C} \mathrm{z}=0$ for identifying the equilibrium degree of penetration, Eqn . (14) is recovered. In fact, this would be one way to derive it.
$R$ ather than Eqn. (144)), it is the condition of asym ptotic atness that will determ ine -0 . In practice, this can be done via a shooting $m$ ethod: For a trial value of $\rightarrow$ integrate the pro $l^{l}$, nd the arc-length $s_{0}$ at which the angle vanishes, i.e. $\left(s_{0}\right)=0$, and observe at which radial distance $r\left(s_{0}\right)$ this happens. Now adjust -0 iteratively and search for the value (s) at which $r\left(s_{0}\right)$ diverges. O ne thereby nds the contact curvature as a function of penetration, $\rightarrow(z)$, in what am ounts to a nonlineareigenvalue problem.

At this point a side-note seem $s$ appropriate. It tums out that at $\sim=\sim_{c}=4: 721139:::$ the nature of this eigenvalue problem changes qualitatively, since a region of $z$ values em erges (at $z_{C}=1: 86289:::$ ) for which there exist three contact curvatures which yield pro les satisfying allboundary conditions (the function $\rightarrow(z)$ develops an S-shape). The correct solution has to be identi ed based on the criterion of low est energy. For som ew hat larger values of ~ the low est energy solution cannot even be realized physically: T he corresponding curvature -o $m$ ay becom e larger than $1=a$, which is geom etrically $\mathrm{im}-$ possible because the $m$ em brane cannot bend into the colloid it adheres to. This, how ever, is not a problem in the present case of an adhesion balance, since the curvature


F IG . 2: Structural w rapping phase diagram in the plane of reduced adhesion constant w and reduced lateral tension ~, in the low tension regim $e \sim<1$ close to the triple point \T " $\left(w^{*}=4 ; \sim=0\right)$. The dashed line $\backslash W$ " marks the continuous transition at which partialw rapping sets in, the bold solid line $\backslash E "$ indicates the discontinuous transition betw een partially w rapped and fully enveloped, and the short dashed lines $\backslash \mathrm{S}_{1}$ " and $\backslash S_{2} "$ are the spinodals belonging to $\backslash E$ ". T he ne dotted line $w^{*}=4+2 \sim$ close to $\backslash E$ " indicates w here the fully w rapped state has zero energy.
boundary condition from Eqn. (1414) im plies that for any w rapping geom etry in which the point of detachm ent is not xed the contact curvature has to be sm aller than $1=a$. In fact, it tums out that the accessible range of m ultivalued contact curvatures alw ays lies inbetw een the transition from partially to fully w rapped, hence it has no direct consequences on the phase diagram [ [3'넉]. But the $m$ athem atical properties of this bifurcation $m$ ay perm it som e insight into the general nature of the solution, which, how ever, will not be pursued in the present paper.

## C. Structural phase diagram

Num erically perform ing the calculations indicated above yields the shape pro le and hence, via Eqn. (프) , the free $m$ em brane energy for any given value of $\sim$ and z. From Eqn. ( $\overline{4}_{1}^{-1}$ ) one then determ ines the total energy as a function of $\sim$, w, and $z$. Them in im um in $z w i t h i n$ the range $[0 ; 2]$ corresponds to the equilibrium state and one obtains the phase diagram as depicted in Fig. $\overline{2} 1$

The transition from free to partially w rapped (see dashed line (W ") rem ains unchanged com pared to the case where $\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}$ w as neglected. The reason for this is that \{ just like the tension term \{ the energy of the free $m$ em brane is of higher than linear order for sm all $z$ (this


F IG . 3: H eight of the energy barrier $\mathrm{E}_{\text {barrier }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {barrier }}=$ as a function of reduced tension in the low tension regim $\mathrm{e} \sim<1$, at the value of where the transition from partialw rapping to full envelopm ent occurs. T he inset ilhustrates the shape of the function $\mathrm{E}^{r}(z)$ and de nes the concom itant energy barrier ( $\sim=0: 5$ in this exam ple).
again follow s analytically from a sm all gradient expan-
 balance betw een bending and adhesion alone. H ow ever, the transition from partialw rapping to fill envelopm ent (the solid line \E ") changes signi cantly: A s can be seen in $F$ ig. ${ }^{2} \underline{1}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, an energy barrier separates the fully and partially w rapped states, rendering the transition discontinuous. This energy barrier tums out to be mostly tension (not bending) energy stored in the free $m$ em brane ofpartially w rapped colloids, and its height can be quite substantial. For instance, w ith $=0: 02$ dyn/am (a typ-
 radius of Sem liki Forest $V$ inus, as an exam ple for a colloidalparticle to be w rapped) and $=20 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$, one nds ~ 0.22 and from that Ebarrier $22 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$. This barrier is too large to be overcom e by therm al uctuations alone [36]. H ow ever, upon increasing the adhesion energy w and thereby going deeper into the region of full envelopm ent, the energy barrier separating the partially and the fully w rapped state decreases, ultim ately vanishing at the spinodal line $\backslash S_{1}$ ". C onversely, once the colloid is fully w rapped, the sam e energy barrier prevents the unw rapping transition, and one has to decrease the value of wiurther in order to rem ove this barrier| see the second spinodal $\backslash S_{2}$ " in $F$ ig. R. Cycling across the envelopm ent transition \E " thus gives rise to hysteresis, as is illustrated for the particular case $\sim=1$ in F ig. 14, for which the energy barrier is Ebarrier $66 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ using the sam e system properties as above. Interestingly, this hysteresis is so pronounced that one \skips" entirely the partially w rapped region upon unbinding.

B oth $w$ and ~ are proportional to $a^{2}$; therefore, a scan of the particle radius a at xed values of, , and $w$


F IG . 4: H ysteresis loop of crossing the envelopm ent transition by changing the adhesion energy wor the particular tension $\sim=1$. At $w=4$ binding sets in, at $w$ w:1 the fully enveloped state becom es stable. H ow ever, only at w $7: 5$ does the huge energy barrier of $66 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ separating it from the partially w rapped state vanish. On the unbinding branch the system again rem ains $m$ etastable beyond the actualtransition, the stable partially w rapped branch of low bindings is entirely skipped and \replaced" by a m etastable partially $w$ rapped branch below $w=4$, featuring large values of $z$. At w 2:7 the energy barrier for unbinding vanishes.
yields lines in the phase diagram which pass through the origin. T he shape of the envelopm ent boundary $\backslash \mathrm{E}$ " then im plies that for $w=$. 1:37 particles $w$ ill not becom e fiully enveloped, irrespective of their size, while for $\mathrm{w}=\quad 2$ all su ciently large particles are enveloped. In the sm all region inbetw een, 1:37. w= 2, particles are only enveloped if they are neither too sm all nor too large. The asym ptotic envelopm ent condition for sm alla coincides w ith the boundary at which w rapping sets in, which is $a=\overline{2=w}$ or $w=2(=a)^{2}$ [14, "15]. At the onset of the possibility of full envelopm ent, $\mathrm{w}=11: 37$, the rst particles to be enveloped have a radiusa' 4:4. $F$ ig.
$T$ he energy of the free $m$ em brane, $E_{\text {free, }}$ vanishes not only in the lim it z! 0 but also in the full-w rapping lim it $z!2$. $T$ his is rem in iscent of the case of an ideal neck connecting two vesicles [ $\left[37_{1}\right]$. The reason is essentially that the m em brane shape locally approaches a catenoid [ ${ }^{3}$-1]. This fact is very convenient, because know ledge of the exact energy of the enveloped state greatly sim plies the discussion of the structural transitions. This will be further exploited in Sec. $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}} \overline{\mathrm{V}} \overline{\mathrm{V}}_{1}$. A s an im m ediate consequence, it becom es possible to estim ate the point of envelopm ent by com paring the know $n$ energy of the fiully enveloped state not w th the partially w rapped state but sim ply w ith the free state, nam ely, $\mathrm{E}=0$. This gives the boundary wo $4+2 \sim$, which is also plotted in Fig. and which actually becom es asym ptotic to the realphase boundary in the lim it ~! 0 (in a com plicated logarith-


FIG. 5: In uence of the particle radius a on the wrapping behavior. Su ciently large particles $w$ ill alw ays at least partially wrap. In the range 1:37. w $=2$ particles only becom e enveloped if they are neither to sm all nor too large.
$m$ ic fashion). N ote that this line di ens from the phase boundary of the case where $E$ free had been neglected by a factor 2 in the slope (i.e., the prefactor of $\sim$ ) $\mid$ and in a $m$ aybe unexpected w ay: The region in the phase diagram belonging to fully enveloped states grows at the expense of partially w rapped states. Even though bending and tension energy work against adhesion, they can actually prom ote $w$ rapping. T he reason is that partially w rapped states w th a large penetration can lower their energy by com pleting the w rapping, which provides another m eans to understand why the transition is discontinuous [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathbf{3}_{2}^{\prime}\right]$. $T$ he sam e has been found for colloids adhering to quasispherical vesicles [1] [].

For increasing ~ the bending energy should ultim ately becom e negligible com pared to the tension. Indeed, in the lim it ! 0 the term $\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}$ vanishes, because the mem brane is at im $m$ ediately after detaching ( $s m$ oothness of the slope is no longer required). The equilibrium penetration of partially wrapped colloids, as deduced from Eqn. ( $\overline{4}^{-1}$ ), is then $z=w=2 \sim$. This equation can be rew ritten as $w=[1+\cos (\quad)]$ and is thereby recognized as the Y oung-D upre equation $\left[\overline{4}-\overline{0} 0^{\prime}\right]$, which relates adhesion and tension to the contact angle, here $T$ he envelopm ent boundary $\backslash \mathrm{E}$ " consequently occurs at $w=4 \sim$, i.e., where the penetration is $z=2$, or, equivalently, where the contact angle vanishes and the $m$ em brane com pletely \w ets" the colloid.

On the basis of these results one expects a cross-over from the sm allgradient asym ptotic phase boundary wo $4+2 \sim$, valid below ~' 1, to a large tension lim it wo 4~ ' $4+4 \sim$. Fig. ${ }^{-1 / 1}$ con m s this. H ow ever, it is quite rem arkable how $m$ any orders of $m$ agnitude of variation of the reduced tension it takes to establish the transition tow ard the high tension asym ptotic: At ~ = 1 the curve is about 0.142 aw ay from the zero tension asym ptotic; getting as close as that to the high tension asym ptotics


F IG . 6: P hase boundary betw een partially and fully w rapped state plotted against ten decades of reduced tension, ~. T he com bination ( $w_{0} 4$ ) $=\sim$ is seen to cross over from the value 2 at sm all ~, which follow s from the sm all gradient expansion, to the Y oung-D upre-lim it 4,which results when the energy Efree of the free $m$ em brane is negligible. T he two dotted curves at sm all and large ~ corresp ond to the sm all gradient estim ate (271) and the scaling prediction (351), respectively.
requires ~' $210^{5}$. O ver the intervening ve orders of $m$ agnitude of reduced tension, the in uence of bending and tension cannot be easily disentangled. In Sec. NiN' it w ill be shown that the Young-D upre-lim it is reached in a power law fashion with a sm all exponent $1=3$, which partly explains this slow crossover.

It $m$ ay be worth pointing out that the large range of values of $\sim$ is not experim entally unreasonable, because each of the three variables entering the reduced tension can vary by a few orders of $m$ agnitude: $M$ em brane tensions betw een $0: 01 \mathrm{dyn} / \mathrm{cm}$ and $1 \mathrm{dyn} / \mathrm{cm}$ are typical [2]. $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$, as are bending constants betw een $1 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ and $100 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ [411]. A ssum ing colloidal radii betw een 20 nm and 2 m yields a range for $\sim$ from about $10^{2}$ up to $10^{6}$.

## IV. SMALLGRADIENTEXPANSION

O ne particular result from the num erical solution of the nonlinear problem is the follow ing: For su ciently sm all tension the equilibrium penetration shortly before envelopm ent ensues is quite sm all (see the inset in $F$ ig . as is the concom itant perturbation of the at $m$ em brane. Therefore, this region of the phase diagram should be am enable to an approxim ate treatm ent of the di erential equations which corresponds to a low est order expansion around the at pro $l e$.
A. Functional and linear shape equations

If the shape of the $m$ em brane is only w eakly perturbed, a M onge representation giving the pro le height $h$ as a function of the position $r=(x ; y)$ in the reference plane is applicable. Bending plus tension energy can then be w ritten as
where $r$ is the two-dim ensional nabla operator in the reference plane. Expanding the two term $s$ in the integrand up to low est order in $r$ h gives the sm all gradient expansion of the energy functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=d^{Z} r^{n} \frac{r^{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(r h)^{2}:}{0}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he functional variation $E=0$ nally yields the linear shape equation [4] ["]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} r^{2} \quad{ }^{2} h=0 ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the length introduced in Eqn. (2).

> B . Equilibrium pro le and energy

The di erential equation (17 $\overline{7}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) is solved by eigenfunctions of the Laplacian corresponding to the eigenvalues 0 and $\quad{ }^{2}$. In the present cylindricalsym $m$ etry the general solution can therefore be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(r)=h_{1}+h_{2} \ln (r=)+h_{3} K_{0}(r=)+h_{4} I_{0}(r=) ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $K_{0}$ and $I_{0}$ are $m$ odi ed Bessel functions. Since $I_{0}(r)$ diverges as $r!1$, the condition of a at pro le requires $h_{4}=0$. And the coe cient $h_{2}$ has to vanish since otherw ise the energy density is not integrable for $r!1$. The two rem aining coe cients are obtained by
xing height and slope of the pro le at the point where it touches the colloid. A straightforw ard calculation then gives the sm all gradient pro le

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h(r)}{a}=z \quad 1+\frac{-}{a} \frac{k}{1} \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{0}(k a=) \quad K_{0}(r=)}{\mathrm{K}_{1}(k a=)} ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the abbreviation $k=\sin =p \overline{z(2 r r)}$ has been used. Fig. ${ }_{1}$ lin gives an exam ple how the sm all gradient prediction of the pro le com pares to the full solution. If the detachm ent angle is su ciently sm all, the overall $m$ em brane deform ation rem ains also sm all, and the pro-
le from the linearized theory follow $s$ the full solution quite accurately. H ow ever, for a som ew hat larger signi cant deviations appear: the $m$ em brane deform ation is predicted to be substantially larger than it actually is. It


FIG.7: Exact membrane pro les (solid curves) and sm all gradient approxim ation (dashed curves) for two xed detachm ent angles $=30$ and $=60$. The reduced tension is $\sim=0: 1$.
is worth pointing out that a good understanding of the pro le is im portant ifone attem pts to in fer physicalproperties of the $m$ em brane or the com plex by $m$ easuring the $m$ em brane deform ation and working backw ards. U sing the linearized prediction of the pro le then would lead to incorrect conclusions, for instance to an underestim ation of the degree of w rapping.
$T$ he energy corresponding to the optim ized $m$ em brane shape is obtained_by inserting the pro le (1-19) back into the functional (1-1). The necessary integration can be perform ed analytically, yielding $\left.{ }^{-2} \overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}^{\sim}=\frac{a}{1} \frac{\mathrm{k}^{3}}{1 \mathrm{k}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{0}(\mathrm{ka}=)}{\mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{ka}=)}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

> C. Exact asym ptotic results

The sm all gradient expansion becom es asym ptotically exact in the lim it of vanishing penetration, $z!\underline{0}$. It is then also perm issible to replace the expression (2d) by its $s m$ all $z$ expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}=2 \sim z^{2} 2+\ln \frac{\sim z}{2}+O\left(z^{3}\right) ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=0: 5772:::$ is the Euler M ascheroni constant. $N$ ote that up to an (im portant) logarithm ic correction this has the form of a tension energy. Inserting this into Eqn. (4, $\mathbf{I}^{1}$ ) one obtains the sm allgradient free energy, up to quadratic order in the penetration $z$, and one can discuss the phase behavior.

A $s$ already $m$ entioned in Sec. 'ITIC', the energy of the free $m$ em brane is of higher than linear order for $s m$ all $z$, hence the transition from free to partially w rapped is dictated by the balance between bending and adhesion alone, giving the phase boundary $=4$. The equilibrium penetration follow s from $@_{\mathrm{E}}=@ \mathrm{z}=0$, which can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w e^{W}=\frac{w^{*} e^{2}}{8} e^{w} \text { ith } w:=2+\ln \frac{\sim z}{2}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of this transcendentalequation is know $n$ as the Lam bertW function [ $\left.433_{1}^{3}\right]$, and in the present situation
it is the branch 1 which is needed. O ne thus obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{2 e^{2}}{\sim} e^{W}=\frac{2 e^{2}}{\sim W} W e^{W}=\frac{W}{4 \sim W} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=W \quad(w)=W \quad 1 \quad \frac{W}{8} e^{4} \quad: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

E quation $\left[\underline{2}_{2} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$ thus gives the penetration as a function of wo and $\sim$. For x ! 0 the fiunction $W \quad 1(x)$ diverges to

1 in a logarithm ic way $[[4] 4]$, therefore the penetration $z\left(w^{*}\right)$ increases at $w^{*}=4$ for all values of the tension linearly up to a logarithm ic correction. Note also that its dependence on the tension is very simple, nam ely, just inversely proportional.

The expression (23) is of course not valid for all wo $>4$, because the system m ust also cross the transition tow ard the enveloped state. A $t$ this point one has to $m$ ake use of a piece of inform ation know $n$ from the nonlinear studies, nam ely, the energy of the fully enveloped state. It was found that the energy of the free $m$ em brane vanishes as $z$ approaches 2, hence the full envelopm ent boundary is given by the sim ultaneous solution of $@ E^{\circ}=@ z=0$ and the additional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{N}(z)=E^{N}(2)=2\left(w^{N} \quad 4\right)+4 \sim: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By elim inating the logarithm ic term betw een those two equations one obtains a quadratic equation for $z$. A fter inserting its solution into Eqn. (231), the nal expression can be solved for $\sim$, and one arrives at the phase boundary

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim=\frac{w}{4}_{4}^{4} 1+\bar{s}_{1+\frac{1}{2 W}+\frac{1}{(2 W)^{2}}} \quad: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rem em bering the divergence ofW asw! $4^{+}$, Eqn. (2G) show sthat in the lim it ofw eak binding the phase boundary approaches $w^{\sigma}=4+2 \sim$, as has been anticipated from
 ing the lowest order approxim ation $W$ ( x ) in jujat $x!0 \quad\left[44_{1}^{1}\right]$ and expanding the square root in E qn. (261), on gets the approxim ate asym ptotic phase boundary

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim^{\prime} \frac{w}{2} 1+\frac{1}{8} 2+\ln \frac{w}{8}{ }^{w} ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is indicated by the left dotted curve in $F$ ig. $\cdot \overline{\text { IG }}$. T The expression (2G) is signi cantly $m$ ore accurate, but it requires the function $W \quad 1$ to be evaluated. In any case, since $W \quad 1(\underset{\sim}{x})$ is only real for $\quad 1=e \quad x<0$, even the fill expression (2G) exists only up to wo $\overline{\mathrm{p}} \frac{4}{4}+8 \mathrm{e}^{1} \quad 2 \quad 4: 928$ or, equivalently, $\sim=e^{1}{ }^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & \mathrm{P} \\ 3\end{array}\right) \quad 0: 433$. At the upper boundary for $\sim$ one nds $z=2\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & \mathrm{P}\end{array}\right) \quad 0: 536$. Larger penetrations than this cannot be described w ithin the quadratic approxim ation (2 $2 \bar{I}_{1}$ ) to the sm all gradient energy ( $\mathbf{1 2}_{1}^{2} \mathbf{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ).
$F$ inally, the value of the penetration $z$ on the discontinuous phase boundary can be obtained by elim inating

Wetw een the two de ning equations $@ E^{\sim}=@ z=0$ and (251). Solving the rem aining equation for $\sim$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim=\frac{2}{z} \exp \frac{4 z^{2}}{2 z(4 \quad z)} \quad 2 \quad z, 1 \frac{2}{z} \exp \quad \frac{1}{2 z}^{\circ}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second approxim ate relation can also be solved in term $s$ of the Lam bert $W$ function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\sim} r^{1} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~W} \quad 1(\sim=4)}{ }^{\left.-\frac{[4]}{4}\right]} \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\sim}{4} \quad \ln \ln \frac{\sim}{4} \quad 1: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the lim it $\sim$ ! 0, i.e., when approaching the triple point, the penetration on the discontinuous phase boundary vanishes. Hence, the jum $p$ in order param eter approaches 2, i.e., the transition becom es increasingly discontinuous at sm aller ~ . H ow ever, Fig. 'i=1, dem onstrates that the barrier vanishes in the lim it $\sim^{-1}$ ! 0 , so from this point of view the transition becom es $m$ ore continu-
 usual. A nother peculiarity is that along the phase boundary z does not approach 0 in an algebraic way; rather, Eqn. ( $2 \overline{2} \bar{\prime})$ shows that $z(\sim)$ has an essential singularity at $\sim=\overline{0}$. A $l l$ this is related to the fact that the sm all gradient expression for the energy is not a conventional Landau expansion in powers of the order param eter, $z$, since the quadratic term has an additional logarithm ic factor. This lies at the heart of all logarithm ic corrections encountered above ( $m$ anifest also in the occurrence of the function $W$ ), and it renders the standard classi cation schem es for critical points inapplicable here.

The exact asym ptotic phaseboundary can be obtained, because inform ation about the energy of the fully wrapped state is available. H ow ever, for the barrier the situation is di erent: Even if the equilibrium penetration is very sm all, the location of the barrier (i.e., the penetration $z_{\text {barrier }}$ at which the energy is largest) occurs at large $z$ (see e.g. the inset of $F$ ig. 'tri). In fact, num erical evidence suggests that $\lim \sim!0 \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{b}}$ arrier $=1$ from above. It is therefore im possible to obtain the height of the barrier by extending the above sm all gradient analysis.

> V. SCALING LAW S $\mathbb{I N}$ THE H IG H TENSION LIM IT

A s the tension grow S , so does the equilibrium penetration on the phase boundary tow ard the fully enveloped state. The location of this transition can then no longer be obtained $w$ thin the $s m$ all gradient fram ew ork of the previous section. Still, the num erical results in Sec. 'ITIC' strongly suggested that the system displays a w ellde ned and sim ple asym ptotic behavior in the high tension lim it (see, e.g., Fig.', (G) . U nfortunately, treating the curvature as a sm all pertunbation to the tension is tricky, because this leads to a so-called \boundary layer problem ": T he
solution features a nite variation over a rangew hich vanishes in the perturbative lim it [43]. In the present case, the $m$ em brane has to bend aw ay from the colloid tow ard the at plane (i.e., (s) has to change from to 0 ) in a region of vanishing arc-length. Typically, such problem s are dealt $w$ ith by a subtle $m$ atching procedure (an exam ple is provided by the treatm ent of an ideal neck in R ef. $\left.\left.\left.{ }^{3}\right]_{1}\right]\right)$. Som ew hat less am bitious, the current section show show the asym ptotic behavior can be quanti ed by starting $w$ th reasonable scaling assum ptions about the boundary layer. Still, the resulting form ulasw illtum out to be rem arkably robust.

A usefulobservation to start $w$ ith is that for large $\sim$ the equilibrium penetration approaches $z=w=2 \sim$ (see the discussion of the Y oung-D upre-lim it ! 0 in Sec.'IIIC(1). U sing the contact curvature boundary condition (14.), this would predict the asym ptotic relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{-0}=1 \quad \mathrm{p} \overline{W \sim} \sim 1 \quad \mathrm{p} \overline{2 z \sim}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This suspicion is indeed con $m$ ed by a check with the num erical results (data not shown). The proportionalty to $\bar{\sim}=a=$ is not surprising, since is the typical length on whichthe $m$ em brane bends. H ow ever, the proportionality to $\overline{2 z}=2 \sin \overline{2}$ is not obvious [4] [].

Equation ( $3 \overline{0}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) can be used to infer the asym ptotic behavior of severalm ore variables, by virtue of the follow ing scaling argum ent. Its aim is to estim ate the energy of the free part of the $m$ em brane, which for large $\sim$ is largely stored in a sm all toroidal rim at contact (this is the boundary layer). This toroid has the axial radius a sin and a typical meridinal radius which scales like $1=0$. Its area is thus proportional to $(a \sin )=0$, and the tension contribution becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}^{\text {ten }} \quad \frac{\mathrm{a} \sin }{\sim} \quad \stackrel{p-\mathrm{p}}{\sim} \bar{z}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two principal curvatures are $1=a$ and -0 , where the second one clearly dom inates in the high tension lim it. H ence, the bending energy of this torus scales like

where in the last step the prefactor $z$ has been dropped, since for high tension the equilibrium penetration at the transition is close to 2 . Eqns. (312) and (32) show that in the lim it of large tension and close to full w rapping the energy of the free $m$ em brane can be written in the follow ing w ay

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {free }}^{\sim}, 2 \mathrm{~A} \stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{\sim} \mathrm{P} \overline{2} \quad \mathrm{z} \quad(\sim \quad 1 ; \mathrm{z} \quad 2) ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the proportionality factor A does not depend on ~ or $z$, and the additional factor 2 is included for convenience.

It is worth pointing out that the $z$ \{dependence of the scaling form in Eqn. (33) can also be understood in the


F IG . 8: Scaling plot for the penetration z on the phase boundary (solid) and the location of the barrier, $z_{b a r r i e r}$ (dashed). A ccording to Eqns. (3) and (3) should approach a constant value, which should be the sam e for both cases if the latter is $m$ ultiplied by the additional factor $2=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 3\end{array}\right)$. The dotted line indicates the asym ptotic lim it $A^{2=3} \quad 3: 17$.
follow ing intuitive way: If one conceives of the strongly curved region at detachm ent as giving rise to a line energy, it follow s that $E$ free ought pto be proportional to the length of this line, which is a $\overline{z(2} \quad \mathrm{z})$. For z close to 2 this has the same characteristic variation $P \frac{z}{2}$ as Eqn. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$. H ow ever, it $m$ ust be noted that this form holds only in the double lim it of large tension and large penetration. G enerally, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {free }}$ is not w ell represented by a sim ple line energy alone.

O ne can now insert the expression ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ into Eqn. (4i) and discuss the phase behavior. Elim inating wetw een the tw o equations $E^{N}(z)=E^{N}(2)$ and $@ E^{N}(z)=@ z=0$ gives the penetration $z$ at the transition as a function of reduced tension:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\sim},^{1} 2 \quad A^{2=3} \sim{ }^{1=3}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

iT he high tension lim it of the penetration is thus reached in an algebraic way with an exponent $1=3$; see Fig id. Elim inating $z$ instead of gives the envelopm ent boundary:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w}{\sim} \quad 4 \sim{ }^{1} 4 \quad 3 A^{2=3} \sim{ }^{1=3} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

show ing that its asym ptotic value is also reached algebraically w ith an exponent $1=3$; see F ig $\overline{2}$

Inform ation on the barrier can be obtained by further studying the scaling energy. A fter inserting the phase boundary (3) back into the energy one determ ines the location of the $m$ axim um via $@ E^{2}(z)=@ z=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\text {barrier }} \sim^{1} 2 \quad \frac{2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}}{2} A^{2=3} \sim{ }^{1=3}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG. 9: B arrier height for the transition betw een partially wrapped and fully enveloped as a function of reduced tension ~ on a double logarithm ic scale. T he tw o dotted lines indicate the tw o di erent regim es: For high tension the barrier height scales w ith an exponent 1=3, while an em piricalpow er-law $t$ to the low tension regim e gives the value $0: 86$ [47].].

T he location of the barrier thus reaches the asym ptotic value 2 in the sam e way as the location of the transition, only the prefactor is di erent by $(2 \quad \overline{3})=2$. Fig. ${ }^{1} 1$ shows a scaling plot of the location of the barrier, in which this additional factor has been explicitly included. $T$ he fact that both curves in Fig. ig approach the sam e lim it indicates that the present scaling argum ent predicts $m$ ore than the exponent: 正 correctly predicts the ratio of the prefactors as well.

F inally, the barrier height is determ ined as the di erence betw een $E^{N}$ ( $Z_{b a r r i e r}$ ) and $E^{N}$ (2), for which one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {barrier }}^{\sim}{ }^{1} \frac{3}{4} 2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3} \quad 3 A^{4=3} \sim^{1=3}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is illustrated in Fig. law $s m$ eet at the crossover point $\sim_{\text {cross }}$ 4:72. The nu$m$ erical value is intriguingly close to the critical tension $\sim_{c} m$ entioned at the end ofSec.'IIIB'.', but this is probably coincidental.

T hat the above scaling argum ent gives the correct relation betw een the prefactors can also be checked in the
 ( 3 극) describes a scaling relation for a di erent variable, but the prefactors all involve A. N um erically one can determ ine A by an asym ptotic $t$ to the high tension values of these four variables, determ ined from the nonlinear studies of Sec.'IIII. In all cases one nds the sam e result: A 5:650. The scatter am ong the four results relative to the average value is very sm all, only about $6 \quad 10{ }^{4}$.

```
V I. D ISCUSSION OF A B IO LOG IC A L
    EXAM PLE
```

In the previous three Sections a theoretical description of the adhesion and w rapping behavior betw een a colloid and a uid $m$ embrane in term $s$ of continuum elasticity theory has been developed, using the fillnonlinear shape equations, their sm allgradient expansion, and scaling argum ents. In this nal section the results obtained are used to again $m$ ake contact $w$ ith a biological application of such w rapping events $m$ entioned in the Introduction, nam ely, the $m$ aturation of anim al viruses by budding.

W hile the reduced tension ~ used throughout the paper can span $m$ any orders of $m$ agnitude, it is im portant to realize that in a biological context the variation is $m$ ore restricted. Tensions of cellular $m$ em branes reported in the literature vary betw een 0:003 dyn/om and 1 dyn/om [2].]. Larger values soon result in a structural failure of the bilayer. On the other hand, typical bending constants of $m$ em branes are in the range of a few tens up to about a hundred $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$. From these num bers one nds that the characteristic $m$ em brane length from Eqn. (2]) varies roughly betw een ten and at most a few hundred nanom eters. Interestingly, this coincides w ith the range of particle sizes for which the scenario treated in this paper is biologically $m$ eaningful, for quite di erent reasons: $P$ articles $m$ uch sm aller than 10 nm are $m$ ore likely to be transported across a biom em brane by $m$ eans of channels, while w rapping of particles m uch bigger than a few hundred nanom eters can no longer be described w ithout considering the concom itant signi cant rearrangem ents of the cytoskeleton. H ence, if w rapping events of the kind discussed in this paper take place on cellular $m$ em branes, they are bound to occur in the regim e in which the reduced tension $\sim=(a=)^{2}$ is of order 1 .

A prom inent class of colloidal particles exactly w ithin the right range, forw hich such w rapping events occur and have been studied in great detail, are the nucleoprotein capsids of $m$ any anim al viruses| belonging for instance to the fam ilies of Togaviridae, C oronaviridae, R etroviridae, R habdoviridae, O rtho- and P aram yxoviridae, and H epadnaviridae $\left.{ }_{2}\right]$. D uring their nal $m$ aturation step the viral capsids are enveloped by a cellular $m$ em brane (e.g. the plasm a $m$ em brane or the endoplasm ic reticulum ) in an event which is believed to be independent of active cell processes and by which they ultim ately leave their host. In the sim plest case adhesion is due to a direct interaction betw een the capsid and the m embrane (for instance in the case of type D retroviruses $m$ ore com $m$ on is an adhesion $m$ ediated by viral transmem brane proteins (usually called \spikes") which can
 and for which Sem likiForest V irus (SFV) is the classical exam ple. SFV has a capsid radius ofabout 30 nm and 80 spikes. A ssum ing a typical $m$ em brane bending sti ness of 20 kB , one nds that the wrapping boundary $\backslash W$ " at w $=4$ corresponds to a binding (free) energy per spike of about $6 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$, which is physically reasonable.

The above estim ates indicate that viral wrapping events can be expected to take place in the low tension regim e of the phase diagram, close to the tw o phase boundaries. $T$ his pattem is found tim e and again in biology: System s often seem to have evolved to lie close to phase boundaries, because this perm its large \e ects" to be triggered by com paratively sm all param eter changes. Recall, how ever, that the envelopm ent transition has been found to be discontinuous and associated $w$ ith a substantialenergy barrier, which nature som ehow has to overcom e. A conceivable solution of this problem would be provided by a coupling between curvature and com -
 result of enhancing the concentration of lipid species in the highly curved rim which actually prefers a high curvature. This would low er the rim energy and thereby the w rapping barrier.

It is crucial to realize that it is biologically feasible to actually $m$ ove in the phase diagram of $F i g$. $\bar{L}_{1}^{1}$. For instance, cells actively control and adjust their surface tension for the purpose of surface area regulation [2]. Even $m$ ore dram atic changes in tension can occur when one sw itches betw een adhering $m$ em branes. If viral capsids get spontaneously w rapped, they evidently m ust be in a region of the phase diagram in which the w rapped state is stable (and, $m$ oreover, in which it is not rendered inaccessible by a large barrier). B ut the virus cannot stay w rapped forever. A s it infects a new host cell, it typically becom es intemalized via receptorm ediated endocytosis and ends up in an endosom e, which it again has to leave in order to avoid ultim ately being digested by oellular toxins. M any viruses leave the endosom e by fusing their outer envelope w ith the endosom al bilayer, but if the capsid were too strongly attached to the $m$ em brane, it could not be freed this way. It is usually assum ed that the biochem icalchanges w thin the endosom ewhich lead to the fiusion event (in particular, a low ering of pH) also dim in ish the strength of adhesion. H ow ever, w ith in the theoretical fram ew ork established in this paper it is tem pting to speculate about an altemative $m$ echanism : If the bilayer tension of the endosom $e$ is larger than the tension of the $m$ em brane at which the capsid becam e enveloped, unw rapping can be e ciently prom oted by vertically crossing the phase boundary $\backslash \mathrm{E}$ " from enveloped to partially w rapped, as can be seen in Fig. $\overline{\text { In }}$. M ore-
over, the horizontal adhesion axis of the phase diagram can not only be changed by chem ically modifying the spikes, but also by controlling their density in the mem brane [52]. This m ay not only be relevant in the initial w rapping event, in which an increasing density of spikes in the $m$ embrane can push the system over the envelopm ent boundary, but also in the unw rapping process, when after fusion the spikes can readily di use into the essentially spike-free endosom alm em brane and thus reduce the binding free energy.

The above exam ple ilhustrates how the physical principles discussed in this paper can be directly relevant in a biological context. Unfortunately it is often hard to disentangle them from other biological processes or secondary e ects of the experim ental set-up. H ence, a quantitative test of the present $w$ ork appears $m$ ore practicable in w ell controlled m odel system s, e.g., sim ilar to the ones studied in Refs. [ $[2,1,1]$ ical results presented here can provide valuable insight into biological problem $s$ which $m$ ay com plem ent other approaches. A s an exam ple one $m$ ight think of a way to $m$ easuring cellular tension $w$ hich is an altemative to the current $m$ ethod of pulling a tether [ analysis has show $n$ how the degree of w rapping of a colloid depends on the applied tension | in the regim e accessible by the sm all gradient expansion it is sim ply inversely proportional, see Eqn. (23). O ne can thus use suitably coated colloids as tension probes. Unlike the tether approach this $m$ ethod is in principle also applicable to intracellular $m$ em branes, even though a noninvasive determ ination of the degree ofw rapping $w$ illbe very di cult for sm all beads. The theory developed in this work should then be useful for analyzing the results of such $m$ easurem ents.
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