\W ater-free" com puter m odel for uid bilayer m em branes

Oded Farago¹'

¹M aterials Research Laboratory, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106^y

We use a simple and e cient computer model to investigate the physical properties of bilayer membranes. The amphiphilic molecules are modeled as short rigid trimers with nite range pair interactions between them. The pair potentials have been designed to minic the hydrophobic interactions, and to allow the simulation of the membranes without the embedding solvent as if the membrane is in vacuum. We nd that upon decreasing the area density of the molecules the membrane undergoes a solid-uid phase transition, where in the uid phase them olecules can di use within the membrane plane. The surface tension and the bending modulus of the uid membranes are extracted from the analysis of the spectrum of therm al undulations. At low area densities we observe the form ation of pores in the membrane through which molecules can di use from one layer to the other. The appearance of the pores is explained using a simple model relating it to the area dependence of the free energy.

PACS num bers:

I. IN TRODUCTION

W hen am phiphilic m olecules such as lipids are brought into contact with water they tend to arrange so as to shield their \oily" hydrocarbon tail from the aqueous environm ent while exposing their hydrophilic head to the water. One of the simplest structures form ed in this way is of a bilayer m em brane - a double sheet of surfactants separating two aqueous phases [1]. Bilayer membranes are com m on in biological system s [2]. Living cells are separated from their extra-cellular surroundings by plasm a m em branes that control the transport of m aterial into and out of the cell [3, 4]. M ost biologicalm em branes are found in the uid phase where the lipids com prising the bilayer can di use freely in them em brane plane. A nother characteristic feature of lipid bilayers is their high exibility which allows for large therm ally-excited undulations [5,6]. The uidity and low rigidity of mem branes are im portant for many of their biological properties, such as their ability to change their shape easily and the possibility of proteins to insert them selves into the m em brane [7].

The thickness of m embranes is comparable to the size of the constituting surfactant molecules (typically on the nanom eter scale), while their lateral extension can greatly exceed their thickness and reach up to several microm eters. Consequently, coarse-grain phenom enological models, such as G inzburg-Landau free energy functionals [8] or the elective surface H am iltonian [5, 6, 8, 9], have been used in order to study the physical properties of m embranes, as well as of other interfaces (like surfactants monolayer in microemulsions or vapor-uid interfaces). In those theories the bilayer membrane is treated as a smooth continuous surface, and its elastic energy is related to the membrane area and the local curvatures. These theories have been very successful in describing the shape and phase diagram s of bilayer membranes [10, 11].

Phenomenological models describe the mesoscopic physical behavior of interfaces and membranes, but do not allow one to approach these system s on the molecular level. M any theories have been developed in an attempt to understand how the mesoscopic behavior emerges from the microscopic entities and the interactions between them . These theories include lattice \Ising-like" models [8], m olecular theories of the hydrocarbon chain packing [12], theories including the e ect of electrostatic interactions [13], and density functional theories [14]. The most microscopic detailed approach is employed in some com puter simulations where the amphiphiles and water, and the interactions between them are modeled explicitly in full detail [15]. Since these simulations require an enorm ously large com puting time, they are restricted to fairly sm all system s consisting of 50-200 am phiphiles, and can be utilized to investigate phenom ena occurring on short time scales of a few nanoseconds. In order to study m esoscale phenom ena it is therefore necessary to dispense with some of the microscopic details in the simulations and use sim pli ed models [16]. A num ber of such sim pli ed com puter m odels have been devised by several groups. In these models the structure of the surfactant molecules is represented in a \coarse-grained" manner where a num ber of atom s are grouped together into a single site. The rst level of coarse-graining is obtained by replacing the water molecules and the CH₂ groups of the hydrocarbon chain by uni ed atom s [17, 18, 19]. This can reduce the num ber of atom sper lipids to about 50. M uch m ore sim pli ed m odels, in w hich the am phiphiles consists of only 5-10 atom s, were also presented [20, 21, 22]. In these latter models the electrostatic potentials are usu-

E lectronic address: farago@mrl.ucsb.edu

 $^{^{\}rm y}{\rm A}$ lso at D epartm ent of P hysics, K orea A dvanced Institute of Science and Technology (K A IST), 373-1 K usong-dong, Y usong-gu, Taejon 305-701, South K orea.

ally ignored and the potentials of the chem ical bonds are greatly sim pli ed. At this level of sim pli cation it is obviously im possible to address speci c lipids system s, but rather the more general properties of self-assem bling system s.

The size of am phiphilic systems which can be simulated using simplied models is constantly growing by virtue of the availability of inexpensive and powerful com modity PC hardware and due to the development of new simulation techniques such as Dissipative Particle Dynam ics (DPD) [23]. Simulations of model system s consisting of N & 1000 lipids have been recently reported in the literature [23, 24, 25]. The major restriction on the size of the systems in these simulation stems from the large number of atoms included in the simulation cell which is typically an order of magnitude larger than the number of am phiphilic molecules. The low ratio between the num ber of lipids and the total num ber of atom s is due to two factors. The rst one is the number of atom s com prising each lipid m olecule w hich, as discussed above, can vary from 50 to 5 depending on the level of simplication employed in the simulations. The second factor is the number of water molecules in the simulation cell. In bilayers simulations the typical number ratio of water to lipid molecules is in the range of 10 to 30 [15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. A great fraction of the com puting time is, thus, \wasted" on the simulations of the water even when the water molecules are represented by a single (unied) atom . Only very few models have so far been proposed in which the amphiphiles are sim ulated without the presence of water. The major di culty in establishing such \water-free" m odels is the need to m in ic the hydrophobic e ect that prevents the am phiphilic molecules from leaving the aggregate into the solvent. D rou e at al. [26] and Noguchi at al. [27] have used ad hoc multibody potentials to overcom e this problem. With the aid of these nonphysical potentials they have managed to observe the form ation of uid vesicles in their simulations. La Penna at al. [28] have studied a water-free at bilayer model with Lennard-Jones (LJ) potentials that depend on the relative orientation of the lipids (and which are closely related to the G ay-B eme [29] potentials used in liquid crystals simulations). With this m odel they have been able to sim ulate bilayerm em branes in both the solid and the gel phases. Fluid m em branes, how ever, were found unstable against lipids evaporation from the membrane plane.

In this paper we present an exceptionally simple computer model of a uid bilayer membrane. Our model has the following features: (a) It is a water-free model, i.e., we simulate the membrane without the presence of water. (b) The \lipids" forming the membranes consist of only three atoms, one representing the hydrophilic headgroup and the other two the hydrophobic tail. These three atoms are \glued" to each other to form a rigid linear trimer (the lipid), and have no additional interactions between them. (c) The di erent lipids interact through nite range (truncated) LJ interactions between

their three sites. The parameters of the LJ potentials are xed and do not depend neither on the relative orientation of the lipids (as in Ref. [28]), nor on their local density (i.e., there are no multibody interactions in our model). The above mentioned properties make our membrane model computationally very e cient (albeit a less \ exible" one in comparison to other simplied models with more interaction sites per amphiphile). To investigate the statistical mechanical properties of the membrane, we have performed a set of M onte Carlo (MC) simulations where for each MC run we have xed the tem perature, the num ber of lipids, and the projected area of the membrane. The projected area serves as the control parameter in our simulations, and we have investigated the phase behavior of the m em brane as a function of it. W e found that upon increasing the projected area (i.e., reducing the area density of the lipids) the membrane undergoes a solid-uid phase transition. In the solid phase the lipids are not mobile and they pack in a hexagonal order. In the uid phase the lipids are free to di use in the membrane plane. We have measured the spectrum of therm al undulations of the uid membranes from which we have extracted the surface tension and the bending m odulus that characterize the elastic behavior of the membrane. At low area densities we found another transition from negative to positive surface tension, accom panied by the form ation of pores in the m em branes. Such a behavior is indeed predicted by theoretical argum ents [30, 31, 32]

The paper is organized as follows: In section II we present our computer model, and discuss the details of the simulations. In section III we describe the physical properties of the system s as obtained by the simulations. The section is divided into three subsections dealing, respectively, with the phase diagram of the system, its spectrum of therm al undulations and elastic properties, and the appearance of holes in membranes with large projected area. We summarize and discuss the results in section IV

II. DETAILS OF THE MODEL AND THE SIM ULATIONS

The lipids in our model system consist of three spherical atoms connected to form a linear trimer. The lipid molecules are rigid - they do not bend and the distance

between the center of the atom s is xed (see g. 1). We set = 1 as our unit length scale throughout this paper. We shall label the three atom s form ing each lipid as 1, 2, and 3. Atom 1 represents the hydrophilic head of the lipid, while atom s 2 and 3 represent its hydrophobic tail. The di erent lipids interact with each other via spherically sym metric pair potentials between their constituting atom s. The pair potential $U_{ij}(r)$ depicts the interactions between atom i and atom j of two di erent molecules separated a distance r apart. The pair potentials U_{12} and U_{13} describe the interaction between



FIG.1: A schematic picture of a lipid molecule in our model system -a rigid linear trimer consisting of three atoms whose centers are separated a distance apart. The atom labeled 1 (solid circle) represents the hydrophilic head of the lipid, while the atoms labeled 2 and 3 (open circles) represent the hydrophobic tail.

hydrophobic and hydrophilic particles. They are given by the purely repulsive LJ potential

$$U_{12}^{LJ}(\mathbf{r}) = 4_{12} \frac{12}{\mathbf{r}}^{12};$$
 (1)

and

$$U_{13}^{LJ}(\mathbf{r}) = 4_{13} \frac{13}{r} = \frac{13}{r}$$
 (2)

The pair potentials U_{11} , U_{22} , and U_{33} describe the interactions between two sim ilar atom s, both either hydrophobic or hydrophilic. They are given by the attractive LJ potentials

$$U_{ii}^{LJ}(\mathbf{r}) = 4_{ii} - \frac{ii}{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{12}{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{ii}{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{6}{\mathbf{r}};$$
 (3)

where i = 1;2;3. Finally, the interaction between the hydrophobic particles 2 and 3 is also depicted by an attractive LJ potential, but of the form

$$U_{23}^{LJ}(\mathbf{r}) = 4_{23} \frac{23}{r} \frac{2}{r} \frac{23}{r}$$
 : (4)

All pair potentials are truncated at the same cut-o $r_c = 2.5$, and the discontinuity at r_c is avoided by adding extra terms to the LJ potentials that ensure the vanishing of the potential, as well as of its rst and second derivative, at $r = r_c$. The nal form of the pair potentials is thus given by

$$U_{ij}(\mathbf{r}) = U_{ij}^{LJ}(\mathbf{r}) \qquad U_{ij}^{LJ}(\mathbf{r}_{c}) \qquad \frac{\partial U_{ij}^{LJ}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \qquad (\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{r}_{c})$$
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} U_{ij}^{LJ}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{r}^{2}} \qquad (\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{r}_{c})^{2}: \qquad (5)$$

The di erent pair potentials are depicted in g.2. The values of the parameters $_{ij}$ (in units of) and $_{ij}$ (in kT units, where T is the temperature and k the Boltzm ann constant) used in the simulations are summarized in the caption on g.2.



FIG.2: The di erent pair potentials U $_{\rm ij}$ (r) used in ourm odel: U $_{11}$ (solid line), U $_{22}$ (dashed line), U $_{33}$ (dotted-dashed line), U $_{12}$ (bold solid line), U $_{13}$ (bold dashed line), and U $_{23}$ (bold dotted-dashed line). The distance r is in units of (see definition in text), while the potentials U $_{\rm ij}$ are in kT units. The parameters $_{\rm ij}$ and $_{\rm ij}$ [see Eqs.(1){(5)] are as follows: 11 = 1:1, 22 = 1:05, 33 = , 12 = 1:15, 13 = 1:4, 23 = 0:525, 11 = 0:1875 {\rm kT}, 22 = 1:75 {\rm kT}, 33 = 1:875 {\rm kT}, 12 = 1:1375 {\rm kT}, 13 = 200 {\rm kT}, and 23 = 375 {\rm kT}.

The pair potentials in our computer model have been designed to allow, on the one hand, the diusion of m olecules in the plane of the mem brane but to restrict, on the other hand, their motion in the third direction. W e have tested various m odels before we arrived to the one that we have used in the simulations. The original idea was to use dimers with one hydrophilic and one hydrophobic particles, and to describe the interactions between them by 6-12 LJ potentials [Eq.(3)] and a 12power repulsive potential Eq.(1)], depending on whether the atom s are of the sam e or di erent species. It turned out that the m em branes depicted by such a m odel were unstable against the extraction of molecules from the m em brane plane. To increase the m em brane stability w e added a third hydrophobic atom to the lipids. The pair interactions between this atom and the other two atoms are described by di erent form s of LJ potentials: For the interaction with the hydrophilic atom labeled 1 we use the more repulsive 18-power LJ potential U_{13} [Eq.(2)], while for the interaction with the hydrophobic atom labeled 2 we use the 1-2 LJ potential U_{23} [Eq.(4)]. The form er potential establishes a strong repulsion between the hydrophobic and the hydrophilic parts of the lipids, thus reducing signi cantly (elim inating on the tim e scale of the simulations) the escape probability of molecules (m ore on this point in the next paragraph). The latter has a very shallow minimum which allows a greater mobility of the lipids in the membrane plane (by making sm all the energy changes due to a relative m otion of the lipids with respect to each other). We have gone through a rather lengthy \trial and error" process of ne tuning the parameters in and in which control the range

of pair repulsion and the depth of the attractive potential wells. Their values have been set to (a) make favorable the alignment of molecules next to each other at a distance slightly larger than , and (b) to make the attraction between molecules su ciently strong to support the stability of the mem brane, but not too strong to the extent that would entirely prevent the di usion of the lipids.

It is not an easy task to form a uid bilayer sheet in a model system that does not contain water. Membranes become uid at low area densities and high tem peratures, and under these conditions the lipids tend to escape quite easily from the membrane plane. It is the water that con nes the lipids to the membrane. In the absence of water m olecules this role has to be played by the hydrophobic heads which must form some sort of geometric or dynamic constraint for the extraction of lipids. In our model we establish such a constraint by making the excluded volum e part of the pair potentials U ii nonadditive, namely we make the size of a particle i \seen" by another particle ism aller than its size as seen by a particle j of a di erent species. W e can de ne the distance a_{ij} at which the pair potential between them $U_{ij} = kT$ as a m easure for the range of hard core repulsion between the two particles i and j. (It is unlikely to nd a pair i and j separated by a sm aller distance.) It is custom ary to regard a_{ii} as the diam eter of atom i and, with this interpretation, to expect for the additivity of the hard core diam eters, i.e., to have a_{ij} ' $(a_{ii} + a_{jj})=2$ for $i \neq j$. In our model we do not nd this property (see g. 2). The pair potentials in our system describe the e ective interactions between the di erent atom s and they include the e ect of the waterm olecules which are not simulated explicitly. Therefore, there is no a priory reason why the e ective diam eters associated with di erent particles should be strictly additive. The increased range of hard core repulsion between the hydrophilic atom 1 and the hydrophobic atom s 2 and 3 is designed to compensate for the absence of water from the simulation cell.

The simulations were performed with membranes consisting of N = 1000 lipids (500 lipids in each layer) with periodic boundary conditions in the membrane (x;y)plane, and with no boundaries in the normalz-direction. Subsequent MC con gurations were generated by two types of move attempts: translations of lipids and rotations around the mid (second) atom. The MC unit time is de ned as the time (measured in number of MC con gurations) in which, on the average, we attempt to move and rotate each molecule once. The acceptance probabil-

ity of both types of m oves was approxim ately half. W e performed a set of simulations of membranes with the same temperature T and number of lipids N, and with varying projected areas. For each value of the projected area we studied 8 di erent m em branes starting at di erent initial con gurations. The initial con gurations were created by random ly placing 500 lipids in two layers with a vertical (along the norm alz direction) separation between the atom s labeled 3 in the two layers, and with all the lipids oriented norm alto the membrane plane. The initial con gurations were then \therm alized" over a pe-150M C time units, followed by a longer period riod of 5 10 time units during which quantities of interest of 6 were evaluated. The duration of the M C runs is substantially larger than the relaxation time which we estimated in various ways: As a rst approximation for the relaxation time we used the time it took the potential energy of the membrane to saturate from its high initial value (resulting from overlap of particles in the random initial con quration) to a nal \typical" value. This time was of the order of 10^4 MC time units. An independent estimate of the relaxation time was obtained from a study of the spectrum of therm al undulations of the m em branes (see m ore details, later in the text). Inspection of the autocorrelation function of the amplitude of the longest wavelength mode led to a sim ilar estim ate of 10⁴ time units for the relaxation time. A more conservative estimate can be obtained from measurements of the self-di usion constant of the lipids in the uid phase (see, again, later in the text). The relaxation time can be associated with the time it takes a molecule to di use a distance equal to the pair potentials cut-o (2:5). The relaxation time obtained using this criterion was an order of m agnitude larger (10° M C time units), still sm aller than the equilibration time, and much smaller than the total length of the simulations.

III. SIM ULATION RESULTS

A. Phase Diagram

The projected area of the mem branes in the simulations ranges from $A_p = L_p^2 = (26.875)^2 \text{ to } A_p = (30.625)^2$ with intervals of L $_p = 0.625$. For all area densities we measured the self-di usion constant of the molecules relative to the di usion of the center of mass, de ned by

$$D = \lim_{t \le 1} \frac{r^{0}(t)^{2}}{4t} = \lim_{t \le 1} \frac{1}{4N} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{1}} [(x_{i}(t) - x_{i}(t)) - (x_{i}(0) - x_{i}(0))]^{2};$$
(6)

where r_i (t) denotes the position of the i-th lipid (de ned by the position of its m id atom) at time t, while r_{CM} (t) denotes the position of the center of m ass of lipids [33]. We have also measured the self-di usion coe cient in the

m em brane plane, de ned by:

$$D_{x y} \lim_{t! = 1} \frac{1}{4N t} \frac{1}{4N t} \left[(x_{i}(t) x_{e_{M}}(t)) (x_{i}(0) x_{e_{M}}(0)) \right]^{2} + [(y_{i}(t) y_{e_{M}}(t)) (y_{i}(0) y_{e_{M}}(0))]^{2}; (7)$$

where x and y denote Cartesian coordinates. (In all the simulations the membranes lied in the (x;y) plane, while uctuating in the norm alz-direction.) As the lipids can only di use within the plane of the membrane, we found no di erence between D and D x y.



FIG. 3: (a) Equilibrium con guration of a solid membrane with $A_p = (26.875)^2$. The atom s labeled 1 (the \hydrophilic" atom s) are shown as black spheres of diameter , while the grey shaded spheres depict the (hydrophobic) atom s labeled 2 and 3, and are of diameter as well. (b) A top view of the plane of mid (labeled 2) atom s of the membrane upper layer.

At low projected area (high area density) we found the membrane in a solid phase characterized by two features: (a) The di usion constant of the lipids is vanishingly small. [The root mean square displacement \Pr^{12} has barely changed during the course of the simulations, and it has never exceeded the typical distance between neighbor molecules ().] (b) The lipids arrange them selves in a hexagonal order in the membrane plane. A typical equilibrium con guration of a membrane with $A_p = (26.875)^2$ is shown in g. 3 (a). A top view of the plane of mid (labeled 2) atoms of the membrane upper layer, revealing the hexagonal order of the lipids, is shown in g. 3 (b). The lattice in perfections observed at g. 3 (b) should be mainly attributed to the incom - m ensurability of the 500 sites hexagonal lattice with the square simulation cell.

At larger values of the projected area $[A_p]$ $(28:125)^2$] we found the membranes in a uid phase. The main feature that distinguishes uid from solid membranes is the di usion of the lipids. In g.4 we plot lipids mean square displacement r^{0^2} [see de nition in Eq.(6)] as a function of the simulation time t for uid membranes with dierent area densities. The slope of the asymptotically linear curves is four times larger than the self-di usion constant D. One can observe the growth of D with the increase of the projected area - a rather expected observation as the increase of the projected area means more room for the molecules to move. A typical equilibrium con guration of a uid membrane with $A_p = (28.75)^2$ is depicted in g.5 (a). A nother characteristic feature of the uid membranes is the loss of in-plane hexagonal order, as demonstrated in g.5 (b) [compare with g.3 (b)].

The mem branes with $A_p = (30.0)^2$ exhibited an interesting feature - they developed pores, as dem onstrated in the con guration shown in g. 6. These pores tended to appear irregularly in the membrane with a characteristic time scale & 2 10 for the form ation of a pore, and a typical pore life time of a few thousand time units. Another interesting phenom enon which we observed for this value of A_p and did not observe at lower projected areas was the occurrence of $\ ip-ops"$ - the transition of lipids from one layer to the other. In g. 7 we look at the same membrane depicted in g.6. In this gure, however, we plot only the 500 lipids that were located in the upper layer in the initial con guration. About 30 of them have managed to diuse from the upper to the lower layer during the course of the simulations. A sim ilar (although not necessarily identical) number of lipids have m oved in the opposite direction. Trans-bilayer diffusion is an important process in real bilayer membranes [34]. To allow for uniform bilayer growth, some of the lipids must be transfered from one lea et to the other during the self-assembly process. W hen a at bilayer is bent to form a spherical vesicle, the area of the inner layer becom es sm aller than the area of the outer layer, and it is the transition of lipids from the former to the latter that balances their area densities. It has been suggested, based on experim ents [35] and computer simulations [36], that that the form ation of pores and the ip-op motion are closely interconnected. A coording to these studies, the pores provide a transverse di usion conduit for the lipids, through which their hydrophilic headgroups cross



FIG.4: The lipidsm ean square displacement r⁰² (m easured in ² units) as a function of the time (m easured in MC time units) for uid membranes with (from bottom to top) $A_p = (28.125)^2$, $A_p = (28.75)^2$, $A_p = (29.375)^2$, and $A_p = (30.0)^2$.

the hydrophobic region of the m em brane. Our study supports the conjecture about the possible relation between pores and ip- ops. In section IIIC we discuss the origin of the form ation of the pores. We show that it is associated with the change in the sign of the m em brane surface tension - from a negative to a positive value. Once the surface tension attains a su ciently large positive value, the energy involved with the form ation of the pores is com pensated by the reduction in elastic energy.

W hile the form ation of the pores allowed the di usion of m olecules between the two layers, we did not observe [in m em branes with $A_p = (30.0)^2$] that pores also lead to the extraction of m olecules from the m em brane. It is, how ever, possible that the disassociation of pore-form ing m em branes occurs on tim e scales larger than the duration of our simulation. Fast disintegration of the m em brane was observed when the projected area was increased to $A_p = (30.625)^2$, which was, therefore, the largest projected area set for the m em branes in our study.

B. Elasticity and Therm al Undulations

On length scales larger than the membrane thickness, the bilayer can be modeled as a smooth continuous sheet. The therm al undulations of the bilayer can be studied with Helfrich Ham iltonian [9] relating the elastic energy to the shape of the membrane:

$$H = \int_{S}^{Z} dA + \frac{1}{2} (c_1 + c_2 - 2q_2)^2 + {}_{G} c_1 c_2 : (8)$$

The integration in the above equation is carried over the whole surface of the membrane. Three elastic moduli are involved with the Helfrich Ham iltonian: the surface tension , the bending modulus , and the saddle-splay modulus $_{\rm G}$. The quantities c_1 and c_2 appearing in the



FIG.5: (a) Equilibrium con guration of a uid membrane with $A_p = (28:75)^2$. Black and grey atoms (of diameter) depict hydrophilic (labeled 1) and hydrophobic (labeled 2 and 3) atoms, respectively. (b) A top view of the plane of mid (labeled 2) atoms of the membrane upper layer.

above equation are the local principle curvatures of the surface (see a rigorous de nition in Ref.[37]) which are surface invariants with respect to sim ilarity transform ations (translations and rotations), while c_0 is the spontaneous curvature of the surface. For at bilayers $c_0 = 0$. It is custom any to dispense with the use of the local curvatures in favor of two other (local) invariants: the m ean curvature H ($q + c_2$)=2, and the G aussian curvature K qc_2 . If one only considers uctuations which do not change the topology of the mem brane, then the total energy associated with the last term in Eq.(8) is a constant[9]. We, thus, arrive to the following more sim – pli ed form of Eq.(8):

$$H = dA + 2 H^{2} :$$
 (9)

There are various ways to parameterize the surface. One of them is the M onge representation, where the surface is represented by a height function, z = h(x;y), above a reference x y plane. For a nearly at surface, i.e., when the derivatives of the height function with respect to x and y are small { h_x ; h_y 1, one obtains the



FIG.6: Equilibrium con guration of a uid membrane with $A_p = (30.0)^2$ having a pore on its upper right corner.

following approximation for Eq.(9):

$$H = dxdy \frac{1}{2} h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 h^2 :$$
 (10)

N ote that unlike Eq.(9), the integral in Eq.(10) runs over the reference (x;y) surface rather than over the actual surface of the m em brane.

Equations (8) { (10) are expected to be valid only on length scales larger than the thickness of the m embrane. The undulatory m otion on smaller length scales (which we did not investigate in this study) is dominated by the so called \protrusion m odes" [38]. In our simulations the prole of the bilayers was de ned by m apping the system with linear size (of the projected area) L onto an 8 8 grid whose m esh size l = L = 8 is indeed larger than the typical width of the m embrane. The local height of the bilayer was then de ned as the average of the local heights of the two layers. The latter were evaluated by the m ean height of the lipids (whose positions were identied with the coordinates of their m id atom s) belonging to each layer, which were instantaneously located inside the local grid cell. The discretized form of H am iltonian (10) is

$$H = a_0 \frac{1}{2} h_x^2 + h_y^2 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 h^2 ; \quad (11)$$

where sum mation goes over the discrete grid coordinates, and $a_0 = l^2$ is the area of the grid cells. In Fourier coordinates we de ne

$$h(r) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{q}^{K} h_{q} e^{iq r};$$
 (12)

and

$$h_{q} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{x}^{X} h(x) e^{iq x}; \qquad (13)$$



FIG. 7: Another view at the membrane depicted in g. 6. Here we show only half of the lipids which were originally located on the upper lea et of the bilayer.

where the two-dimensional wavevector q has $8^2 = 64$ discrete values satisfying fq_x;q_y = 2 n=L, n = 4; 3;:::;2;3g. In Fourier space the diment modes decouple:

$$H = \frac{a_0}{2} X \qquad jqj^2 + jqj^4 j_q j; \qquad (14)$$

and, by invoking the equipartition theorem , we $\$ nd that the m ean square amplitude of the m ode q (the \spectral intensity")

$$a_0 h h_q \dot{f} i = \frac{kT}{(jq f + jq j^4)}$$
: (15)

The instantaneous am plitudes of the di erent q-m odes were evaluated using Eq.(13) once in every 100 M C time units, and were averaged over the course of the simulations. To extract the values of and we used the inverse from of Eq.(15)

$$\frac{1}{a_0h_{n_q}f_i} = \frac{\dot{n}f_i + \dot{n}j^4}{kT}; \quad (16)$$

and plotted $1=a_0hh_q fi$ and a function of gf f. The results of the spectral analysis of the undulations for uid membranes with $(A_p = 28.75)^2$ (squares) and $A_p = (29.375)^2$ (circles) are presented in g.8. The error bars represent one standard deviation in the estimates of the averages. The curves depict the best t of the num erical data to Eq.(16), obtained when and take the follow ing values:

$$= 1.4 \quad 0.2 \frac{kT}{2}$$
$$= 54 \quad 2 \ kT; \tag{17}$$

for $A_p = (28.75)^2$, and,

$$= 0.6 \quad 0.2 \frac{kT}{2}$$
$$= 42 \quad 2 kT; \quad (18)$$

for $A_p = (29375)^2$. We verified the validity of Eq.(16) by attempting to tour data to other polynomial functional forms, including a constants and a jgj^6 terms. The contributions of these terms to the twere small, and did not result a significant change in our estimates of and , based on Eq.(16).



FIG.8: The inverse of the spectral intensity for undulatory modes $1=a_0 hh_q f i$ as a function of the square wave number q^2 for membranes with $A_p = (28.75)^2$ (squares) and $A_p = (29.375)^2$ (circles).

The above values of the bending modulus are somewhat larger than the values commonly reported in experiments in phospholipids: 10 20 kT [0]. We should, in principle, correct our values of by considering the reduction in the electively measured bending modulus due to long-wavelength therm all undulations. The correction term depends logarithm ically on the size of the system [5, 39]:

$$--=\frac{3kT}{4}\ln \frac{L}{a}; \qquad (19)$$

where a is som e m icroscopic length. Setting a l = L=8 (the m esh size in our simulations), and using the values of in Eqs.(17) and (18), we nd that this correction amounts to about 1% of the value of and, therefore, falls within the uncertainty in our estimates of the bending m odulus.

The fact that the surface tension is negative has an interesting implication: It means that the size of the membrane cannot grow inde nitely, but an upper bound exists

$$L_{c} \cdot 2 \frac{r}{jj}$$
(20)

above which there are small q modes with $jq j < q_c = 2 = L_c$ that make the system unstable [see Eq.(14)]. One can also understand the origin of this instability in \real" space, rather in q space: W hen < 0 the elastic energy of the mem brane decreases by increasing its area, and it is the bending energy that stabilizes the system. M odes with larger wavelength (sm aller jq) require sm aller curvatures to increase the area of the mem brane and, thus, cost less bending energy. Mem branes with linear size L > L_c have long wavelength modes that reduce the

elastic area energy more than they increase the elastic bending energy. For system s whose linear size is smaller than, but close to, L_c the amplitude of the small qm odes become large [see Eq.(15)], and the approximation in Eqs.(11) and (14) is no longer valid. It is then necessary to include higher order terms in the Ham iltonian (14), and to consider their in uence on the spectrum of thermal undulations and on the stability of the mem brane.

C. Pore Form ation

The projected area $\tilde{K_p}$ at which the surface tension vanishes is called the saturated (Schulm an) area [40, 41]. One can evaluate the saturated area of our model mem – branes using a linear approximation for the relation between the surface tension and the excess area $A_p = A_p = A_p = \tilde{K_p}$ [42]

$$= K_{A} \frac{A_{p}}{\tilde{K}_{p}} : \qquad (21)$$

The coe cient K $_{\rm A}$ appearing in the above equations is the area compressibility modulus of the membrane. Using the values of obtained from the simulations for A $_{\rm p}$ = (28:75)² ' 826:6, and A $_{\rm p}$ = (29:375)² ' 862:9 [Eqs.(17) and (18)] in Eq.(21), we derive the following estimates:

$$K_{A} = 19:6 \quad 6:6 \frac{kT}{2};$$
 (22)

and,

$$A_{p}^{\prime} = 890 \quad 17;$$
 (23)

for K_A and A_p . Equation (23) suggests that our m embrane with $A_p = (30.0)^2 = 900$ might be found above the saturated area A_p and, therefore, may have a positive surface tension. The attempt to verify this conjecture by analyzing the spectrum of the membranes, as done for the uid membranes with low erprojected areas, is ham pered by two technical di culties. The rst one is related to the

ip-opmotion of lipids between the two lea ets. The trans-bilayer di usion m akes it com putationally com plicated to determ ine which lipids are related to which layer of the m em brane and, therefore, it becom es di cult to calculate the pro le of the layers. The other di culty results from the holes which are created in the membrane. These pores m ay have an area larger than a_0 , the area of the grid cells. In such a case we nd an empty cell with no lipids inside, and the height of the mem brane at the corresponding grid point cannot the evaluated (unless one interpolates this value using the height of the m em brane at the adjacent grid points). W e have taken advantage of the fact that the typical time for the appearance of the pores and for the ip-opmotion which accom panies their form ation, is larger than the relaxation time of the spectrum, and used short MC runs (during

which pores were not observed) to estim ate the surface tension of the membrane. We found a positive surface tension with a magnitude of the order of $1kT = {}^{2}$, which is roughly half an order of magnitude larger than the value anticipated by Eqs.(21)-(23). While the spectralanalysis supports our conjecture that is positive for $A_p = (30:0)^2$, one should not attempt to use many independent short runs to achieve a more accurate estim ate of . It is unclear how well equilibrated the membranes in these short MC runs are. Moreover, it is incorrect to base such an estimate on statistical averaging restricted to membranes without pores. The creation of the pores tends to reduce the surface tension since they make the e ective area of the membrane smaller.

M embranes with a positive surface tension can reduce their elastic energy by decreasing their area, and the form ation of pores is obviously one of the m echanism s to achieve that. O ther ways of reducing the m embrane area which are not possible in ourm odel is to decrease the projected area or to increase the area density by adsorbing lipids from the solvent. For the case of a pore form ation, one has to consider the line tension energy price involved with the creation of the hole. The sim plest theoretical m odel discussing pore form ation was suggested by Litster [30]. In this zero-tem perature m odel, the contribution of a circular hole of radius R_{pore} to the free energy of a m embrane with a positive surface tension is given by

$$F_{pore} = R_{pore}^2 + 2 R_{pore}; \qquad (24)$$

where is the line tension of the hole. A coording to this m odel a pore with a radius larger than the critical value of = is predicted to grow without bound. Such a therm odynam ically large circular hole can be created only if the critical energy barrier ²= is accessible by them al uctuations. At a nite tem perature it is necessary to take into account the entropy of the pores and the picture becom es m ore com plicated. R ecent com puter sin ulations [43] have dem onstrated that the typical shape of therm ally induced pores is non-circular but rather of a self-avoiding ring or a branched polym er. Them ost striking feature predicted by this study was, how ever, the fact that pores can appear at zero, and even at sm all negative surface tension.

The major drawback of the above model is the fact that while it predicts the expansion of the pore without limit, the rst term in Eq.(24), assuming a linear relation between the reduction in elastic energy and the area of the pore, applies to smallpores only. An improved model can be obtained by assuming other forms of the free energy dependence on the pore area. We rst consider a zero temperature model where the membrane does not uctuate in the normal direction. The free energy of the membrane (which at zero temperature coincides with the potential energy) has a minimum at the saturated area which we shall now denote by A^E [compare this notation with the one used in Eq.(21)] to indicate that it is determ ined by energy consideration. The subscript p has been om itted since the projected area is also the total area of the membrane in this case. Close to A^E we can use the quadratic approximation to describe the dependence of the free energy density f on the excess area $A^E = A \quad A^E$

$$f \quad \frac{F}{A^{E}} = \frac{1}{2} K_{A}^{E} \quad \frac{A^{E}}{A^{E}} \quad ^{2}; \qquad (25)$$

where, as in the case of the saturated area, we use the superscript E in the notation of the area compressibility K $_{\rm A}^{\rm E}$. If a pore of area A_{pore} is form ed then the area of them embrane is reduced by A_{pore} and, consequently, the pore contribution to the free energy density is given by:

$$f_{\text{pore}} (A; A_{\text{pore}}) = \frac{1}{2} K_{A}^{E} - \frac{A^{E}}{A^{E}} A_{\text{pore}}^{2}$$
$$- \frac{1}{2} K_{A}^{E} - \frac{A^{E}}{A^{E}}^{2}$$
$$+ \frac{2^{P} - p}{x^{E}} A_{\text{pore}}^{E}; \qquad (26)$$

As in Eq.(24), we consider a circular hole and, thus, its perimeter and area are related by $= \frac{P}{4 \text{ A}}$. The equilibrium size of the pore A_{pore} is found by solving the equation $(f_{\text{pore}}=(A_{\text{pore}}=0, \text{ and in addition by verifying that <math>f_{\text{pore}} = A_{\text{A}} + A_{\text{pore}} < f_{\text{pore}} (A;0) = 0$. While in Litster's model a membrane with positive surface tension can be only metastable against the formation of a pore, them odel presented here yields a di erent scenario: Pores are therm odynam ically unfavorable as long as the line tension satis es

>
$$^{0} = \frac{r}{\frac{2}{27}} \frac{(A^{E})^{3=2}}{A^{E}} K_{A}^{E}$$
: (27)

At this value a rst order rst transition occurs, and a pore of size $A_{pore} = 2=3 \ A^E$ is created. The pore grows gradually as is decreased below this value. When ! $0, A_{pore}$! A^E , and the electrice area of membrane attains the optim al (Schulm an) value A^E . As in Litster's model, there exists a free energy barrier for the form ation of the pore. At the transition (= ⁰) the height of the barrier is

F
$$^{4=3}(A^{E})^{1=3}=K_{A}^{E}$$
: (28)

A theory for the entropic contribution to the free energy of the pore has been recently presented by Sens and Safran [44]. A coording to this theory, hole form ation is one of the mechanism s to \redeem " the degrees of freedom associated with the long wavelength modes in the uctuation spectrum which are eliminated by the surface tension. To a rst approximation, the elect of this entropic surface tension can be easily incorporated into Eqs.(25) and (26). Let us assume for a moment that $K_A^E = 0$, and that the projected area of the membrane A_p is xed. Because of the therm all uctuations, the total area of the membrane will be larger than A_p . A s has

been explained in Ref. [44], there exists an optim altotal area at which the membrane is tensionless

$$A^{S}$$
 ' A_{p} 1 + $\frac{kT}{8}$ ln $\frac{A_{p}}{l^{2}}$; (29)

where is the bending modulus, and l is some molecular cut-o length. The superscript S denotes the fact that the optimalarea discussed here is entropic in nature, and does not need to be equal to A^{E} in Eq.(25). A membrane with A $\in A^{S}$ will experience a surface tension. The free energy associated with this entropic surface tension can be calculated analytically. Here, however, we shall use the quadratic approximation in A^{S} A A^{S}

$$f = \frac{F}{A_p} = \frac{1}{2} K_A^S = \frac{A^S}{A_p}^2;$$
 (30)

which is valid only close to the m inimum of the free energy at A^{S} . The entropic area compressibility in Eq.(30) is given by [44]

$$K_{A}^{S} = \frac{32^{3} 2^{2}}{A_{p}kT};$$
 (31)

C om bining the energetic (25) and the entropic (30) contributions to the free energy, we nd another quadratic form for the total free energy of the mem brane

f (A)
$$\frac{F}{A_{p}} = \frac{1}{2}K_{A} \frac{A}{A_{p}}^{2}$$
; (32)

where the excess area A = A A is defined with respect to the minimum at

$$\mathcal{A}' = \frac{K_{A}^{E} A_{p} + K_{A}^{S} \mathcal{A}'^{S}}{\frac{A_{p}}{\mathcal{K}^{E}} K_{A}^{E} + K_{A}^{S}};$$
(33)

and the e ective area com pressibility is equal to

$$K_{A} = K_{A}^{E} \frac{A_{p}}{A^{E}} + K_{A}^{S} :$$
(34)

The optim alarea and the area com pressibility appearing in the above two equations (and which include both energetic and entropic contributions) should replace their purely energetic counterparts in Eq. (26) for the pore free energy density and in Eqs.(27) and (28) for the critical line tension and the free energy barrier. For typical values of phospholipids: = 10kT 5 10^{13} ergs, and $A_p = (10 \text{ m})^2 = 10^6 \text{ cm}^2$, we get upon substitution in Eq.(31), K_A^S 5 10³ ergs=cm². This value of K_A^S is several orders of m agnitude sm aller than the area com pressibility typically found in stretching experin ents K $_{\rm A}$ & 10² ergs=cm² [10] and, therefore, the entropic contribution to $K_{\rm A}$ and ${\rm A}^{\sim}$ can be neglected [45]. The e ect of the thermal uctuations can be felt only in small membranes (such as in this paper), as K_{n}^{S} increases by decreasing the projected area. Unfortunately,

the membranes in our computer study are too small to allow the description of this e ect by Eqs.(29) { (31). The derivation of these equations is based on the assumption that the long wavelength behavior of the membrane is dompinated by the surface tension. This requires that $(2 = \frac{1}{A_p})^2$ — a criterion which is not satis ed in our case. The long wavelength uctuations in our membranes are mainly controlled by the curvature elasticity.

Is the appearance of pores in the simulations in accord with the model described by Eq.(26)? In order to answer this question we need to evaluate the line tension of the pore. The line tension has the dimensions of energy per unit length. Its magnitude can be estimated by noting that the lipids on the rim of the pore have 1-2 less neighbors com pared to the other lipids. Therefore, the energy cost associated with each such lipid is of the order of the interaction energy between two adjacent m olecules which is roughly kT. The length occupied by each lipid along the perim eter of the hole is of the order kT = .This value of should be smallerof , and so than the critical value 0 given by Eq.(27). Using the values of K $_{\rm A}$ and A $\,$ provided by Eqs.(22) and (23), we arrive at the estimate 0 kT = for the mem brane with $A_p = 30^2 = 900$ (A 10). This means that and ⁰ are of the sam e order of m agnitude and, thus, m ay obey the criterion given by Eq.(27) for the therm odynam ic stability of m em branes with holes. The fact the pores in our simulations appear for only short time intervals, before they close up, may indicate that is, in fact, slightly larger than 0 , and that the pores are only metastable. In addition to to the values of and ⁰, we also need to check the free energy barrier for the form ation of the pores, as given by Eq.(28). We nd F kT, and so the opening of a pore can be nucleated by therm al uctuations.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have introduced a new simple computer model for bilayerm em branesw hosem ain feature is the fact that the system is simulated in vacuum rather than in aqueous environm ent. The elim ination of the solvent from the sim ulations greatly in proves com putational e ciency. Devising a \water-free" m odel is a great challenge as the water molecules, via the electrostatic interactions between them and the lipids, play a central role in the aggregation and the stabilization of the mem brane through the resulting hydrophobic e ects. The self-assem bly of the system has not been investigated in this paper. (The reader is referred to the simulations presented in Refs. [26] and [27] in which this issue has been addressed). We did, however, dem onstrated that bilayers, once they are form ed, can be stable without the surrounding solvent. One only needs to modify the interactions between the lipids, and use e ective potentials that com pensate for the absence of water by producing a barrier against the disintegration of the membrane. In this model we have been able to stabilize the membrane using pairwise short range interactions only -another feature that reduces the com putational e ort involved with the simulations. To the best of our knowledge, this is the rst water-free com puterm odel in which uid membranes are being observed without the need of multibody interactions.

W e have found that our sim plem odel reproduces m any known features of bilayer m em branes, such as the transition from a high density solid phase to a low density uid phase. We have inspected the spectrum of therm al uctuations of the uid membranes, and found it to be well described by the Helfrich Hamiltonian. From the analysis of the spectral intensity of the di erent m odes, we have extracted the surface tension and bending modulus of the system . Based on our num erical results for the surface tension, we have attempted to determ ine the optim al area of the m em brane at which the surface tension vanishes. Indeed, for areas larger than the saturated area, we have found evidences that the surface tension becom es positive. Fluid m em branes with positive surface tension can develop pores, and the creation of pores allows the diusion of lipids from one layer to the other (ip-ops). The opening of holes in our mem branes is in agreem ent with a sim ple m odel that takes into account

the contributions to the area com pressibility of both the inter-particle forces and the therm al uctuations.

In order to make a closer contact with biological systems, it is necessary to extend the model presented here to include the other elements found in biomemebranes such as the membranes proteins and the cytoskeleton. It would be interesting to see whether these additional components can also be modeled in a coarse-grain manner that would minimize both the computational and the conceptual complexity. Such a model may shed light on an abundance of challenging problems like the e ect of the cytoskeleton on the elastic properties of the bilayer, or the role played by the membrane proteins in transport processes across the membrane.

W e thank D avid A ndelm an, G race B rannigan, D aan Frenkel, and T hom as Powers for useful discussions, and to N iels G r nbech Jensen and K urt K rem er for their com – m ents on them anuscript. Specialthanks to P hilip P incus and C laus Jeppesen for their substantial contribution to the work, and to Je rey Barteet for technical support. T his work was supported by the N ational Science Foundation under A ward N o. DM R-0203755. The M aterials R esearch Laboratory at UC Santa Barbara is supported by N SF N o. DM R-0080034.

- [1] J. Israelachvili, Interm olecular and Surface Forces (A cadem ic P ress, London, 1985).
- [2] B. Alberts, D. Bray, J. Lewis, M. Ra, K. Roberts, and J. D. Watson, Molecular Biology of the Cell (Garland, New York, 1989).
- [3] E. Sackmann, H. P. Duwe, and H. Engelhardt, Faraday Discuss. Chem. Soc. 81, 7136 (1986).
- [4] M. Bloom, E. Evans, and O. G. Mouritsen, Q. Rev. Biophys. 24, 293 (1991).
- [5] S.A. Safran, Statistical Therm odynam ics of Surfaces, Interfaces, and M em branes (Addison-W esley, New York, 1994).
- [6] Structure and D ynam ics of M em branes, eds.R. Lipowsky and E. Sackm ann (E lævier, A m sterdam, 1995).
- [7] R.B.Gennis, Biomem branes: Molecular Structure and Function (Springer, New York, 1989).
- [8] G.G om pper and M.Schick, Self-A seem bling Am phiphilic Systems, Vol. 16 in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenom ena, eds. C.D om b and J.L.Lebow itz (A cadem ic Press, London 1994).
- [9] W .Helfrich, Z.Naturforsch. 28c, 693 (1973).
- [10] U. Seifert and R. Lipowsky, in Ref. [6].
- [11] M.W ortis, M. Jaric, and U. Seifert, J. Mol. Liquids 71, 195 (1997).
- [12] I.Szleifer, D.K ram er, A.Ben-Shaul, W.M.Gelbart, and S.A.Safran, J.Chem. Phys. 92, 6800 (1990).
- [13] D.Andelman, in Ref. [6].
- [14] E. Chacon, A. M. Som oza, and P. Tarazona, J. Chem. Phys. 109, 2371 (1998).
- [15] H.E.Alper, D.Bassolino, and T.R.Stouch, J.Chem. Phys. 98, 9798 (1993); K.T.Douglas, J.Tobias, and M. L.Klein, Biophys.J. 69, 2558 (1995); T.Husslein, D.M. Newns, P.C.Pattnaik, Q.Zhong, P.B.Moore, and M.L.

K lein, J.Chem . Phys. 109, 2826 (1998); I.Z.Zubrzycki, Y.Xu, M.M adrid, and P.Tang, J.Chem . Phys. 112, 3437 (2000).

- [16] See review article: J.C. Shelley and M.Y. Shelley, Curr. Opin.Coll. Interface Sci. 5, 101 (2000).
- [17] H.Heller, M. Schaefer, and K. Schulten, J. Phys. Chem. 97, 8343 (1993).
- [18] E. Lindahl and O. Edholn, J. Chem. Phys. 113, 3882 (2000); Biophys. J. 79, 426 (2000).
- [19] S. J. M arrink and A. E. M ark, J. Phys. Chem. B 105, 6122 (2001).
- [20] B. Smit, P.A.J.Hilbers, K. Esselink, L.A.M. Rupert, N.M. van Os, and A.G. Schliper, J. Phys. Chem. 95 6361 (1991).
- [21] R. Goetz and R. Lipowsky, J. Chem. Phys. 108, 7397 (1998); R. Goetz, G. Gompper, and R. Lipowsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 221 (1999).
- [22] J. C. Shelley, M. Y. Shelley, R. C. Reeder, S. Bandyopadhyay, and M. L. Klein, J. Phys. Chem B 105, 4464 (2001).
- [23] D. R. G root and K. L. Rabone, Biophys. J. 81, 725 (2001); S. Yam am oto, Y. M anuyam a, and S-a. Hyodo, J. Chem. Phys. 116, 5842 (2002); J. C. Shillcock and R. Lipowsky, J. Chem. Phys. 117, 5048 (2002).
- [24] C.F.Lopez, P.B.M oore, J.C.Shelley, M.Y.Shelley, and M.L.Klein, Comp.Phys.Comm.147,1 (2002).
- [25] M. Muller, K. Katsov, and M. Schick, J. Chem. Phys. 116,2342 (2002).
- [26] J.M. Drou e, A.C. Maggs, and S.Leibler, Science 254, 1353 (1991).
- [27] H. Noguchi and M. Takasu, Phys. Rev. E 64, 041913 (2001); H. Noguuchi, J. Chem. Phys. 117, 8130 (2002).
- [28] G. La Penna, S. Letardi, V. Minicozzi, S. Morante, G. C.

Rossi, and G. Salina, Eur. Phys. J. E 5, 259 (2001).

- [29] J. G. Gay and B. J. Berne, J. Chem. Phys. 74, 3316 (1981).
- [30] J.D.Litster, Phys.Lett.A 53, 193 (1975).
- [31] R.Netz and M.Schick, Phys.Rev.E 53, 3875 (1996).
- [32] M. Muller and M. Schick, J. Chem. Phys. 105, 8282 (1996).
- [33] Note that we use the denition of the division constant in two-dimensional systems (D $r^{0}(t)^{2}=4t$), rather than it's three-dimensional counterpart (D $r^{0}(t)^{2}=6t$). The reason for this choice of a denition is the lack of division in the direction normal to the membrane plane (as discussed in the paragraph).
- [34] E.Sackmann, in Ref. [6].
- [35] K.Matsuzaki, Biochem.Soc.Trans.29,598 (2001), and references therein.
- [36] M. Okazaki, T. Watanabe, N. Urakami, and T. Yam am oto, cond-m at/0204546.
- [37] F.D avid in, Statistical Mechanics of Mem branes and Surfaces, Proceedings of the Fifth Jerusalem W inter School for Theoretical Physics, eds. D.R. Nelson, T.Piran and

S.W einberg (W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1989).

- [38] R. Lipowsky and S. G rotehans, Europhys. Lett. 23, 599 (1993).
- [39] L.Pelitiand S.Leibler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 1690 (1985).
- [40] J. H. Schulm an and J. B. M ontagne, Ann. N. Y. A cad. Sci. 92, 366 (1961).
- [41] P.G. de Gennes and C. Taupin, J. Phys. Chem. 86, 2294 (1982).
- [42] The surface tension is the intensive therm odynam ic variable conjugate to the projected area, rather than to the total area of the mem brane. See discussion in: F.D avid and S.Leibler, J.Phys. II France 1, 959 (1991).
- [43] J. C. Schillcock and D. H. Boal, Biophys. J. 71, 317 (1996); J. C. Schillcock and U. Seifert, Biophys. J. 74, 1754 (1998).
- [44] P.Sens and S.A.Safran, Europhys.Lett. 43, 95 (1998).
- [45] For $A < A^{S}$ the entropic contribution to the free energy grows exponentially with A^{S} (see Ref. [44]) and, thus, far from A^{S} it may not be ignored.