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#### Abstract

We present an extension of the modular recursive $G$ reen's function method (MRGM) for ballistic quantum transport to include $m$ agnetic elds. Dividing the non-separable two-dim ensional scattering problem into separable substructures allows us to calculate transport coe cients and scattering wavefunctions very e ciently. P reviously unattainable energy and magnetic eld regions can thereby be covered w th high accuracy. The $m$ ethod is applied to $m$ agnetotransport through a circle and a stadium shaped quantum dot at strong $m$ agnetic elds and high energies. In the edge state regim e we observe strong $m$ ulti-frequency A haronov Bohm oscillations. By analyzing them in term $s$ of a $m$ ulti-channel interference $m$ odel, we classify these uctuations $w$ ith in the fram ew ork of Fano resonances and discuss their geom etry independence. For high energies (m ode num bers) we observe localization of the scattering w avefunction near classical tra jectories.


PACS num bers: $73.23 \mathrm{Ad}, 05.45 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{t}, 85.30 \mathrm{~V}$ w , 73.40 Hm

## I. INTRODUCTION

A ccurate sim ulations ofballistic transport through quantum dots have rem ained a com putationalchallenge, despite the conceptionalsim plicity of the problem. This is in part due to the fact that $m$ any of the $m$ ost interesting phenom ena occur in a param eter regim e of either high $m$ agnetic $p_{p}$ eld $B$ or high Ferm ienergy $E_{F}$. The regim e of strong $m$ agnetic eld $B$, where the $m$ agnetic length (in a.u.) $I_{B}=\overline{C=B}$ is $s m$ all compared to the linear dim ension $D$ of the dot, $l_{B} \quad D$, gizes rise to the $Q$ uantum $H$ alle ect, $n^{1}$ the $H$ ofstadterbutter $y, r_{2}^{2}$ and Aharonov-B ohm oscillations oftransport coe cients. ${ }_{3}{ }^{31} T$ he high energy dom ain, where the $D$ e $B$ roglie wavelength $D=\overline{2 E}_{F}$ satis es $D \quad D$, is of particular relevance for apprpaching the sem iclassicallim it of quantum transport and for investigations of quantum signatures of
 the expansion of the scattering w avefunction in plane or sphericalw aves becom e invalid at high elds since diam agnetic contributions are generally neglected $l_{1}^{\eta_{1}^{\prime}} \mathrm{M}$ ethods em ploying a discretization on a grid are lim ited by the, constraint that the $m$ agnetic ux per unit cellm ust be $s m a l l$, which, in tum, requires high grid densities for large $B{ }^{81} \mathrm{~T}$ T he sam e requirem ent has to be $m$ et for high $E_{F}$, where $m$ any grid points are needed to accurately describe the continuum lim it. $T$ his im plies how ever a large num ber of inversions of high-dim ensionalm atrioes and therefore lim its the applicability of these approaches for large $B$ and (or) large $E_{F}$.
In the current com $m$ unication we propose an approach that allow s accurate treatm ent of these regim es. W e present an extension of the previously ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ introduced M odular Recursive G reen's function M ethod (M R G M) to include an additional $m$ agnetic eld perpendicular to the tw o-dim ensional scattering surface. The underlying idfea for our approach goes
 the $G$ reen's function is propagated through the scattering region from one transverse strip to the next by repeated solutions of a $m$ atrix D yson equation. W e show that the e ciency of this conventionaldiscretization can be increased considerably by taking the sym $m$ etries of a scattering problem into account from the outset. Speci cally, when the two-dim ensional nonseparable open quantum dot can be built up out of sim pler separable substructures (referred to in the follow ing asm odules), the $G$ reen's fiunctions for each of these m odules can be calculated e ciently and virtually exactly. Calculation of the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix and of the scattering wavefiunction is thus reduced to \welding" together the $m$ odules by a very sm all num ber of recursions. $K$ ey to this approach are tight-binding grids which are sym $m$ etryadapted for each m odule. T his leads to the separability of the eigenfunctions in the $m$ odules and allow $s$ an $e$ cient inconporation of boundary conditions. A s a result, a m uch higher grid density can be easily handled, which, in tum, is prerequisite for treating short $m$ agnetic lengths $l_{B}$ and short wave lengths $D . M$ atrix $D$ yson equations have to be solved only for each junction betw een the $m$ odules. The total num ber of necessary recursions (i.e. high-dim ensional $m$ atrix inversions) is thereby reduced to the num ber ofm odules needed to reconstruct the quantum dot.
The e ciency of the M RGM will be dem onstrated by applying it to transport through a circular and a stadium shaped quantum dot. These system $s$ are, known $n$ as prototype structures for regular and chaotic dynam ics and have been studied thoroughly in the literature
 $m$ agnetic elds 1 $m$ ethods have been em ployed ${ }^{n} 5$ which attain a param eter range, to our know ledge not yet explored by other approaches. For sm all d we investigate
the localization of the scattering wavefunction near classical scattering tra jectories. C haracteristic di erences in the dynam ics of generically regular and chaotic system $s \mathrm{w}$ ill be highlighted. In the high magnetic eld regim e, which is govemed by edge states, di erences betw een the dynam ics in di erent geom etries disappear and are replaced by universalquasi-periodic conductance oscillations. A t a criticalm agnetic eld these oscillations break o and transport term inates entirely. In the regim ew here $m$ ore than one edge state is excied in the dot, we nd interference uctuations which we analyze in term s of a $m$ ulti-channelFano interference $m$ odeĺㄴㄴ․ T he key to the understanding of the observed uctuations is that inter-channel scattering betw een di erent edge states takes place only by di ractive scattering at the lead junctions.
$T$ his paper is organized as follow s. In section II the method for inclusion of a magnetic eld in the MRGM is presented. Section III is dedicated to a discussion of num erical results, ilhustrating the high magnetic eld and high energy behaviour in quantum dots. The paper concludes $w$ ith a short sum $m$ ary in section IV .

## II. M ETHOD

W e considerballistic nanostructuresw ith a constant electrostatic potentialinside the tw o-dim ensionalcavity, im pose hard-wallboundary conditions, and assum e a constant $m$ agnetic eld to be oriented penpendicular to the scattering plane. The shape of the quantum dot will be chosen to be either a circle or a stadium (see Figs. represent prototype system s for regular and chaotic classical dynam ics, respectively. Two sem i-in nite waveguides of $w i d t h d$ at di erent electrochem ical potentials $(1 ; 2)$ are attached. The aperture of the leads is chosen to be very $s m$ all $d=D=d=\overline{A^{d o t}}=0: 0935$, where $A^{\text {dot }}=4+\quad$ is the scaled area of all the cavities studied and $D$ is a characteristic linear dim ension of the cavily. At asym ptotic distances, i.e. far aw ay from the quantum dot, scattering boundary conditions are im posed. The asym ptotic scattering state can be factorized into a longitudinal ux-carrying plane w ave and a transverse standing wave. The latter is a simple sine w ave in the eld-free case and a com bination of K ummer functions when the m agnetic eld is tumed on $2_{2}^{20,1}$ In our local coordinate system the longitudinal (transverse) direction in the $i$-th lead is alw ays denoted by $x_{i}\left(y_{i}\right)$. The wavefunctions in the waveguides thus vanish at $y_{i}=d=2$. A tom ic units $\left(\sim=j \dot{j} j=m_{e}=1\right) w i l l$ be used from now on, unless explicitly stated otherw ise.
A. B rief review of the M R G M

In order to high light the technical di culties in inconporating a m agnetic eld we start by brie y review ing the M RGM for the eld-free case. Starting point is the observation that a large class of dot geom etries w ith non-separable boundaries can be decom posed into separable tw o-dim ensional substructures, referred to in the follow ing as m odules. For each of these $m$ odules the discretization of the corresponding tight-binding (tb) H am iltonian can be perform ed on a sym $m$ etry-adapted grid. The grid for each $m$ odule is chosen such that the eigenfiunctions of the tb $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}^{\text {tb }}={ }_{i}^{X} \text { " }_{i} j i \operatorname{ihij}+{ }_{i ; j}^{X} V_{i ; j} j i \operatorname{inj} j \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

separate into tw o generalized coordinates. $\hat{H}{ }^{\text {to }}$ contains hopping potentials $V_{i ; j}$ for nearest-neighbour coupling and site energies " $i$. B oth quantities are chosen such that the Schrodinger equation, $\hat{H}^{\text {tb }} j_{m} i=E_{m} j m i$, converges tow ards the continuum Schrodinger equation in the lim it of high grid point density. The most straightforw ard application of this approach refers to $m$ odules for whidh, the boundaries are nodal lines of $C$ artesian ( $x ; y$ ) or polar coordinates


$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{i ; i 1}^{X}=\frac{1}{2 x^{2}} ; \quad V_{j ; j 1}^{y}=\frac{1}{2 y^{2}{ }^{2}} ; \quad \quad_{i}=\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\frac{1}{y^{2}} ; \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $\%_{i}=\ddot{i} \quad 1=2 j \%$. For separable energy eigenfunctions of the general form $\Psi_{m} i=\Psi_{k} i \quad \exists_{k ; n} i$ the spectral representation of the retarded ( + ) and advanced ( ) G reen's function $G\left(r ; r^{0} ; B ; E_{F}\right)$ of the $m$ odule is sim ply given by
where ( ; ) stand for the (generalized) coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ) or ( ; ). The indiges ( $k ; n$ ) represent the quantum num bers of the separable eigenfunctions $\Psi_{k} i_{;} \Psi_{k ; m} i$ associated $w$ ith the degrees of freedom and respectively.

The G reen's functions of the separate $m$ odules are joined by solving a $m$ atrix $D$ yson equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=G^{0}+G^{0} V G ; \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G^{0}$ and $G$ denote $G$ reen's fiunctions of the disconnected and the connected $m$ odules, respectively. The $m$ atrix $V$ denotes the hopping potentialV multiplied by the size of the unit œlll $V=V \quad \mathrm{~V}$, which in a C artesian (polar) grid is $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{x} \mathrm{Y}\left(=\%_{\mathrm{i}} \%^{\prime}\right)$. The com plete scattering structure can thus be assem bled from the individualm odules ( $m$ uch like a j̈gsaw puzzle). The num ber ofnecessary recursions [ie. solutions of ( $(\underline{2} . \overline{4})$ ] is (approxim ately) equal to the num ber ofm odules. The exact num ber depends on the num ber of link $m$ odules required for di erent grid structures. For exam ple, in order to connect a half-circle w ith a rectangle we need one additional link module which is plugged in betw een [see Ref. ', ${ }^{\prime}$, for details]. The key property of these link $m$ odules is their adaption to tw o grid sym $m$ etries [see
 preserve the hem iticity of the tb H am iltonian at the junction. In the recursion the link module is connected to the $C$ artesian (polar) grid by $m$ eans of the hopping potential $V^{*}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$, respectively.
$O$ nce the $G$ reen's function $\mathrm{G}^{+}$for the com bination of allm odules is assem bled, the transm ission am plitudes $t_{n m}$ from entrance lead $m$ ode $m$ into exit lead $m$ ode $n$ can be calculated by projecting $G^{+}$onto the transverse wavefiunctions in the leads $n\left(y_{i}\right) . W$ ith the corresponding longitudinalw ave num bers $k_{x_{i} ; n}$ we have (at zero $m$ agnetic eld),

Together w th the re ection amplitudes $r_{n m}$ (for which an analogous relation holds) the $S m$ atrix is com pletely determ ined and satis es the unitarity condition im plied by current conservation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{4} \\
& \left(\dot{\eta}_{n m} \mathcal{J}+\dot{j}_{n m} \tilde{\jmath}\right)=1:  \tag{2.6}\\
& \mathrm{n}=1
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he integer $M$ denotes the num ber of open channels in the leads. A ccording to the Landauer form ula, the total conductance $g$ through the quantum dot is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
g=\frac{1}{m ; n=1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n} m}^{\mathrm{M}} \rho=\frac{1}{\rho} \mathrm{~T}^{\text {tot }} \text { with } \mathrm{T}^{\text {tot }}+\mathrm{R}^{\text {tot }}=\mathrm{M}:  \tag{2.7}\\
\text { B. Inclusion of the } m \text { agnetic eld }
\end{gather*}
$$

Incorporation of the $m$ agnetic eld into the M RGM poses a number of complications. The solutions of these di culties will be presented in this section. At the core of the problem is the preservation of separability of the Schrodinger equation. The usage of gauge transform ations as well as of $D$ yson equations for decom posing nonseparable structures into separable substructures plays a key role in accom plishing this goal. The eld B $=(0 ; 0$; B) enters the to H am iltonian $(2.1)$ by $m$ eans of a $P$ eierls phase factor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{r ; r^{0}} \quad!V_{r ; r^{0}} \quad \exp i=C_{r} Z_{r}^{0} \quad \text { A }(r) d r \text {; } \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith which the eld-free hopping potential $V_{r ; r^{0}}$ is $m u l t i p l i e d$. The vector potentialA ( $r$ ) satis es $r \quad A(r)=B$. The Peierls phase will, of course, in m ost cases destroy the separability of the eigenfunctions of $\hat{H}^{\text {tb }}$. The di culties can be, in part, circum vented by exploiting the gauge freedom of the vector potential, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A!A^{0}=A+r \quad ; \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $r$ ) is a scalar function. By an appropriate choige of the w avefunction rem ains separable on a given sym $m$ etry adapted grid. Speci cally, to preserve separability we em ploy the Landau gauge for a C artesian grid

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=(B y ; 0 ; 0) ; \tag{2.10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the \sym $m$ etric" or circular gauge for a polar grid

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=B=2(y ; x ; 0)=\quad B=2: \tag{2.10b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he scalar gauge potential generating the gauge transform ation from $(\overline{2} \cdot \overline{10} \bar{a})$ to $\left(\overline{2} \cdot \overline{10} \overline{0} \bar{b}_{1}\right)$ is $(x ; y)=B x y=2$. A $m$ a jor com plication results from the fact, that in the presence of them agnetic eld the separability on an unrestricted grid of given sym $m$ etry does not im ply the separability in the presence ofboundary conditions of the sam e sym $m$ etry. We ilhistrate this problem w ith the help of one typical exam ple, the sem i-in nite quantum wire with lead width $d$
 along the x direction. Because of the C artesian boundary conditions, the sym $m$ etry adapted gauge is the Landau gauge $A=B y$. $C$ onsider, for notational sim plicity, the Schrodinger equation in the continuum lim it,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \quad(y ; x)=\frac{1}{2} \quad p+\frac{1}{C} A \quad(x ; y)=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{@^{2}}{@ x^{2}} \quad \frac{@^{2}}{@ y^{2}} \quad \frac{i 2 B}{C} y \frac{@}{@ x}+\frac{B^{2} y^{2}}{c^{2}} \quad(x ; y)=E_{F} \quad(x ; y): \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the longitudinalm om entum $p_{x}=\quad i @=@ x$ com $m$ utes $w$ ith $H$, the separability of the wavefunction persists in the presence of the $m$ agnetic eld: $(x ; y)=f_{k}(x)(y) w$ th $f_{k}(x)=e^{i k x}$. If, how ever, one introduces an additional $C$ artesian boundary condition along the $y$-axis [i.e. $(x=0 ; y)=0$ for a sem i-in nite lead] the situation changes. In the absence of the $m$ agnetic eld, $B=0$, the linear term in $p_{x}$ vanishes and thus the choige $f(x)=\sin (k x)$ [i.e. a linear combination of $\left.f_{k}(x)\right]$ satis es the boundary condition and preserves the separability, even though $p_{x}$ is no longer conserved in the sem i-in nite lead. H ow ever, for $B \in 0$ and the sam eboundary condition $(x=0 ; y)=0$, the term linear in $B$ and $p_{x}$ destroys the separability. The $w$ avefiunction takes now the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)={ }_{m}^{X} e^{i k_{m} x}{ }_{n}^{X} G_{m n} m(y): \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The breakdown of separability by the introduction of an additional boundary condition indicates that the $G$ reen's function of con ned $m$ odules $w i l l$ be $m$ ore com plex than for extended system $s$ for the sam e sym $m$ etry adapted grid and the sam e gauge. Therefore, the program of the $m$ odular $m$ ethod of building-up extended com plex structures by \w elding together" sm aller m odules of higher sym $m$ etry $w$ ill be executed in reverse: non-separable con ned m odules willbe generated by \cutting in pieces" larger separable m odules. C on ning boundary conditions will be introduced rather than rem oved by the $m$ atrix $D$ yson equation. In the exam ple above, the sem $i$-in nite quantum $w$ ire is generated by cutting the in nite w ire at the line $\mathrm{x}=0$, thereby im posing the additionalboundary condition. Just as connecting $m$ odules, $s o$ is disconnecting a given $m$ odule equivalent to the application of a $m$ atrix $D$ yson equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{E}=G^{C}+G^{C} V G^{E}: \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this context $G^{E}\left(G^{C}\right.$ ) is the $G$ reen's fiunction of the extended (con ned) module and $V$ is the hopping potential that connects the $m$ odules. Solving ( $\left(2.13^{1}\right)$ in reversed $m$ ode (i.e. for $G^{C}$ rather than for $G^{\mathrm{E}}$ ) am ounts to dissecting the larger m odule.
P rovided that the G reen's functions of all the necessary m odules are available, we have to link them with each other to assem ble the entire scattering geom etry. H ow ever, in the presence of a m agnetic eld we have to take into account that the di erent $m$ odules $w$ illbe calculated in di erent sym $m$ etry-adapted gauges. Joining di erent m odules requires, therefore, in general a gauge transform ation. For the $G$ reen's function on the grid $G\left(r_{i} ; r_{j}^{0}\right)$ this transform ation is sim pli ed by the fact that the $m$ atrix of gauge transform ations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(r_{j}\right)\right]_{j \mathrm{k}}=\exp \left[i \quad\left(r_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{C}\right]_{\mathrm{jk}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is diagonal in the grid representation. C orrespondingly, the transform ation ofboth the hopping potential $V$ and the G reen's function is local, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& V\left(r_{i} ; r_{j}^{0}\right)!V^{0}\left(r_{i} ; r_{j}^{0}\right)=\left(r_{i}\right) V\left(r_{i} ; r_{j}^{0}\right) \quad\left(r_{j}^{0}\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
& G\left(r_{i} ; r_{j}^{0}\right)!G^{0}\left(r_{i} ; r_{j}^{0}\right)=\left(r_{i}\right) G\left(r_{i} ; r_{j}^{0}\right) \quad\left(r_{j}^{0}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

It is thus not necessary to transform gauges of di erent modules to one global gauge. Instead, it is su cient to perform a local gauge transform ation at the points of the junctions $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$, such that the gauges of the tw o m odules to be joined agree at these points.
$F$ inally, in order to extract the $S m$ atrix, i.e. the am plitudes $t_{n m}$ and $r_{n m}, m$ atrix elem ents of the current operator $m$ ust be of gauge-inpariant form. This requirem ent can be ful lled by em ploying a double-sided gradient operator which is de ned ast?

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \mathrm{f}^{s} g=f(x) D g(x) \quad g(x) D f(x)=\quad \Phi^{s} f \quad w \text { ith } \quad D=r \quad \underset{C}{i_{A}}(x): \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ith its help the transm ission am plitudes can be evaluated ass

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{n m}\left(E_{F} ; B\right)=4_{4}^{p} \frac{i}{Z_{n m}} \int_{d=2}^{d=2} d y_{2}^{Z}{ }_{d=2}^{Z=2} d y_{1}^{0}{ }_{n}\left(y_{2}\right) e^{i k_{n} x_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
(D) \\
\left.x_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \mathrm{G}^{+}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}} ; \mathrm{B}\right)\left(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{0} \hat{\mathrm{x}}_{1}^{0}\right) \mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}^{0}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}} \mathrm{x}_{1}^{0} \text { : } \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

The unit vectors $\hat{x}_{n}$ are assum ed to be pointing in outw ard direction of the $n$-th lead and $m$ denotes the outgoing particle ux carried by $m\left(y_{1}^{0}\right) e^{i k_{m}} \mathrm{x}_{1}^{0}$ through the lead cross section. D eterm ination of transverse states $m\left(y_{i}\right)$ and of the corresponding longitudinalm om entum $k_{m}$ as well as the norm alization factors $m$ will be discussed below. For re ection amplitudes $r_{n m}$, a relation sim ilar to (2.17) holds ${ }_{2}^{29}$ From $t_{n m}$ and $r_{n m}$ the conductance can be calculated by $m$ eans of the Landauer form ula $\mathbb{E q}$. (2, $\overline{-1})]$.

$$
\text { C. C alculation of } m \text { odules }
$$

This section is dedicated to the evaluation of the $G$ reen's functions for those $m$ odules, which we need to assem ble a circle and a stadium billiard: the half-in nite leads, the rectangle, the circle, and the half-circle. W ith the exception of the circle, for all these $m$ odules Eq. (2,3) is not applicable. T his is due to the non-separability for con ned geom etries as discussed above. M oreover the spectrum in open structures like the sem i-in nite lead is continuous rather than discrete. Unlike in the eld-free case, $\mathbf{1}^{111}$ the resulting integrals cannot be calculated analytically. H ow ever, both problem s can be overoom e by applying the $m$ atrix $D$ yson equation in a non-standard way.

## 1. Rectangular $m$ odule

A s ilhustrated above for the sem i-in nite wave guide, the D irichlet boundary condition for the con ned structure of a rectangle $w$ ith $m$ agnetic eld is not separable, no $m$ atter which gauge is chosen. T he separability can how ever be restored by im posing periodic boundary conditions on two opposing sides of the rectangle. Topologically, this corresponds to folding the rectangle to the surface of a cylinder ( $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime 2}, \mathrm{a}$ ). In this case we connect the rst ( P ) and the last ( $Q$ ) transverse grid slioe of a rectangular grid by a hopping potential $J_{P Q}^{x} j=J_{Q P}^{x} j=\frac{1}{2 x^{2}}$. The G reen's function ofthis \cylinder surface" (cs) w illlbe denoted by $\mathrm{G}^{\text {cs }}$ in the follow ing. The calculation of the rectangle $G$ reen's function $G^{r}$ w ill be obtained out of ${ }^{\text {cs }}$ by a D yson equation used here in \reversed" m ode, i.e. for disconnecting to grids. This $m$ ethod for calculating the rectangular $m$ odule $m$ ay seem like a detour, but it is num erically $m$ ore e cient than a strip-by-strip recursion. For com pleteness we mention that an altemative way to calculate $\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{r}}$ was proposed in $R$ ef. 3 22.
The $G$ reen's function for the cylinder surface $G^{c s}$ can be constructed from separable eigenfunctions, $\Psi_{m} i=\Psi_{k}^{x} i$ $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{kn}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i}$, according to Eq. (2,3). Solving the tight-binding Schrodinger equation for the cylinder surface, we obtain for the longitudinal eigenstates $h x_{j} F_{k}^{x} i=\left(\mathbb{N}_{x} x\right)^{1=2} \exp \left(i 2, k j=N_{x}\right)$, which results in a tridiagonal, symmetric $m$ atrix-eigenproblem of size $N_{y} \quad N_{y}$ for the transverse $m$ odest ${ }^{33}$ -

$$
\begin{array}{r}
E_{k n} h y_{l} \Psi_{k n}^{y} i=\frac{1}{x^{2}} \cos \frac{2 k}{N_{x}}+\frac{B}{C} y_{l} x \\
h_{y} \Psi_{k n}^{y} i \quad \frac{1}{2 y^{2}} h_{y_{l 1}} \Psi_{k n}^{Y} i \quad 2 h_{1} \mathcal{F}_{k n}^{y} i+h y_{l+1} \Psi_{k n}^{y} i: \tag{2.18}
\end{array}
$$

By \cutting the cylinder surface open" along a line of constant $x$, we obtain from $G^{\text {cs }}$ the desired $G$ reen's function $G^{r}$ for the rectangle ( $F$ ig. slice $P$ to any other slice $\bar{X}$. To determ ine $G \underset{P}{r} x$ we solve the follow ing system of $D$ yson equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{P X}^{r}=G_{P X}^{c s} \quad G_{P Q}^{r} V_{Q P} G_{P X}^{c s} \quad G_{P P}^{r} V_{P Q} G_{Q X}^{c s}  \tag{2.19}\\
& G_{P Q}^{c s}=G_{P Q}^{r}+G_{P_{Q}}^{r} V_{Q P} G_{P Q}^{c s}+G_{P P}^{r} V_{P Q} G_{Q Q}^{c s}  \tag{2.20}\\
& G_{P P}^{C S}=G_{P P}^{r}+G_{P Q}^{r} V_{Q P} G_{P P}^{C S}+G_{P P}^{r} V_{P Q} G_{Q P}^{C s} ; \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where the rst line is the \reversed" D yson equation. The three unknowns in the above equations are the $G$ reen's functions connecting the slices $(P ; X) ;(P ; Q)$ and $(P ; P) ;{\underset{P}{r}}_{r}^{r} ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{r}} ; \mathbf{~} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{r}} . \mathrm{By}$ solving these three equations, the unknow ns can be uniquely determ ined.

## 2. Circle and half-circle

In sym $m$ etric gauge, $A=B=2(y ; x ; 0)$, the $D$ irichlet boundary value problem for the circle $w$ ith $m$ agnetic eld is separable, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{i}=\Psi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}{ }^{i} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{kn}}^{\frac{2}{2}} i$. On a discrete to lattioe this statem ent rem ains true, provided that a circular grid is em ployed. $W$ ith the eigenstates for the azim uthal degree of freedom, $h^{\prime}{ }_{j} \Xi_{k}^{\prime} i=(N, \quad,)^{1=2} \exp (i 2 k j=N$, and radial eigenstates $g_{k n}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=P_{\sigma_{i}} \quad h_{\sigma_{i}}^{\circ} \Psi_{\mathrm{kn}}^{\circ} \mathrm{i}$, the nite di erence equation for the $g_{k n}\left(\%_{i}\right)$ results in a tridiagonal sym $m$ etric eigenproblem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{kn}} g_{\mathrm{kn}}\left(\circ_{\mathrm{o}}\right)=\frac{1}{{\frac{\circ}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}}^{2}{ }^{2}} \cos \frac{2 \mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{~N},} \frac{\frac{\circ}{\circ}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~B},}{2 \mathrm{C}} \quad 1 g_{\mathrm{kn}}\left(\circ_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The G reen's function for the circularm odule is then calculated by a straight-forw ard application of Eq. (2.3). For the $G$ reen's function of the half-circle we em ploy an analogous procedure as in the previous subsection: we dissect the circle $G$ reen's function into half-circles by m eans of a \reversed" D yson equation. $W$ e dem onstrate this by way of the exam ple depicted in Fig. $\overline{2} \mathbf{Z}$, where the \full circle" (fc) is split up into tw o \half-circles" (hc). The resulting tw o halves are alm ost identical, w ith the exception of the two additional radial grid sliges, by which the right half-circle is larger. For assem bling the stadium billiard we have to $m$ ake sure that the tb grid of the half-circle $m$ odule can be linked directly to a vertical grid [see F ig. . be used for this purpose.
C onsider as exam ple the $G$ reen's function $G{ }_{P}^{h c}$ describing the propagation from the grid slice $P$ at the junction of the tw o half-circles to any radial grid slige $X$ situated on the $\backslash$ left half-circle" (see $F$ ig. the follow ing system of y yson equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{P X}^{\mathrm{hc}}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{PX}}^{\mathrm{fc}} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{PP}}^{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{PQ} Q} \mathrm{G}_{Q \mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{fc}}  \tag{2.23}\\
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{PP}}^{\mathrm{fc}}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{PP}}^{\mathrm{hc}}+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{PP},}^{\mathrm{hc}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{PQ}} \mathrm{G}_{Q \mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{fc}} \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

which yields a unique solution for $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{hc}}$.

## 3. Sem i-in nite lead

Because of its continuous spectrum, the $G$ reen's function for the sem i-in nite lead poses an additional challenge beyond that of the non-separability of the wavefunction discussed above. We therefore apply one further \trick" to bypass this problem. O ur approach is based on the observation that adding a slice to a sem i-in nite quantum wire leaves th is $w$ ire (up to irrelevant phases) invariant (see Fig. and hard-wall boundary conditions at $x=x$ and $y=d=2$. To this object we add a slige consisting of just one transverse chain of th grid points which we place at $x=0$. The system of $G$ reen's functions for the propagation from the transverse chain at $x=0(P)$ back to itself $(P)$ or to the rst transverse slige of the sem i-in nite lead $(Q)$ at $x$ reads

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{P P}= & G_{P P}^{0}+G_{P P}^{0} V_{P Q} G_{Q P} ;  \tag{225}\\
& G_{Q P}=G_{Q Q}^{0} V_{Q P} G_{P P}: \tag{2,26}
\end{align*}
$$

Each m ultiplication involves a m atrix product with a dim ension equal to the num ber of transverse grid points. The
 sem i-in nite lead, i.e. $G_{P P}=G_{Q Q}^{0}$. In Landau gauge $A=(B y ; 0 ; 0)$ the latter relation does not involve additional gauge phases since these are already contained in the hopping $m$ atrix elem ent. $W$ e additiona, ly, note that an equivalent point of departure for the derivation of $G_{P}$ is the $B$ loch condition for states in the lead
Setting $Z=G_{P P} V_{Q P}$ and using the herm iticity condition $V_{Q P}=V_{P Q} \quad V$, Eqs. (2.25126) can be converted to a quadratic $m$ atrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ZZ} \quad \mathrm{~V}^{1}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P} P}^{0}\right)^{1} \mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{V}^{1} \mathrm{~V}=0: \tag{227}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solvents $Z$ of a quadratic $m$ atrix equation $Q(Z)=0$ can be constructed from the eigenpairs ( $i$; $i$ ) of the corre-


$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\text { M BM }^{1} \text { with } M=\left[1 ;::: ; N_{N}\right] ; B=\operatorname{diag}(i): \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quadratic eigenvalue equation is equivalent to a generalized eigenvalue problem $A \sim=C \sim$ of tw ice the original dim ension ${ }^{33}$. Its 2 N dim ensional eigenvectors $\sim(; ~)$ are solutions of the sym m etric eigenproblem

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{V} & 0 & & \left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P} \mathrm{P}}^{0}\right)^{1} & \mathrm{~V}  \tag{2,29}\\
0 & \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{~V} & 0
\end{array}
$$

where $G_{P P}^{0}{ }^{1}=E_{F} \quad \hat{H}_{1 D}^{\text {tb }}$ and $\hat{H}_{1 D}^{\text {tb }}$ is the $H$ am iltionian of the one-dim ensional transverse tb strip at $x=0$. The Ferm ienergy $E_{F}$ and the $m$ agnetic eld $B$ enter (2 $\mathbf{2 n}^{-1}$ ) as independent param eters at which the eigenstates $\sim_{m}$ and eigenvalues $m$ are evaluated. The longitudinalm om enta of the lead states $m(x ; y)=m(y) e^{i k_{m}} x^{x}=\frac{m}{r}$ are related to the eigenvalues by the relation $=\exp (i k x)$. The orthonorm alisation and the com pleteness relations of the 2 N eigenvectors $\sim_{m}$ can be form ulated in term $s$ of $m$ atrix relations, for the generalized eigenproblem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \frac{1}{m n} \sim_{m}^{T} C \sim_{n}=2 i \frac{k_{m}}{k_{m} j} j^{m n} \quad \text { and } \quad X_{m}^{N} \frac{\sim_{m} \sim_{m}^{T}}{m}=2 i \frac{k_{m}}{k_{m} j} C^{1}: \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ith this speci c choice ofnom alization the nom factors $m$ are determ ined such that every propagating state carries unit ux. W e note parenthetically that the quadratic eigenvalue equation could also be applied to the sem i-in nite,
 by com plex contour integration.

## 4. Scattering wave functions and $e$ ciency of the MRGM

The M RGM is particularly well-suited to determ ine transport coe cients as the G reen's function is then required only at the junctions betw een the $m$ odules and does not have to be evaluated throughout the interior of the entire quantum dot. Since for the calculation of the scattering $w$ avefunction the $G$ reen's function throughout the entire scattering region is needed, this particular advantage cannot be m ade use of here. H ow ever, also in this case, the MRGM is stillm ore e cient than the standard RGM, as will be explained below.
$T$ he w avefunction ( $x$ ) can be obtained at any point $x$ by projecting the retarded $G$ reen's function by $m$ eans of the operator ${ }^{(1)}$ ) on the incom ing wave (in m odem )

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(x)=\frac{1}{2}_{p^{1}}^{Z}{ }_{d=2}^{d=2} d y_{1}^{0} G^{+}\left(x ; x^{0} ; E_{F} ; B\right)\left(D^{S} \hat{x}_{1}^{0}\right) m\left(y_{1}^{0}\right) e^{i k_{m} x_{1}^{0}}: \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

 very e ciently results from tw o properties: $F$ irst the num ber of recursions (i.e., ofm atrix inversions) needed to obtain $\mathrm{G}^{+}$is given by the xed num ber ofm odules required to build up the scattering structure. T his num ber is independent of the De B roglie wavelength. The latter enters only in term $s$ of the size of the $m$ atrices involved in the recursion, since $w$ ith increasing $E_{F}$ (decreasing $D$ ) more grid points are required to represent the continuum lim it. C om pared to the standard RGM the num ericale ort is reduced since in that approach the num ber of recursions scales $w$ ith the grid density, i.e. / $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$. A second advantage of the M RGM concems the incorporation of the boundary conditions. In the $m$ odular $m$ ethod the boundaries follow by construction the nodal lines of the sym $m$ etry-adapted coordinate system for the $m$ odule. D ue to this reason the convergence tow ards the continuum lim it is enhanced as com pared to the slige-by-slice recursion. T he calculation of the transport coe cients as a function of the Ferm iw avenum berk $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}$ (or Ferm ienergy $E_{F}$ ) is sim pli ed by the fact that the solution of the eigenvalue problem ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) entering the $G$ reen's function for each module $\mathbb{E q}$. (2, 2 ) is independent of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}}$. For the evaluation of the G reen's fiunction at di erent values of $E_{F}$ the eigenproblem $\hat{H}^{\text {tb }} \mathcal{E}_{m} i=E_{m} E_{m}$ i therefore has to be solved only once. Unfortunately, this feature does not extend to the variation of the $m$ agnetic eld since both $\Psi_{m} i$ and $E_{m}$ are dependent on $B$. Because of this property a new solution of the tb eigenproblem is required for each value of the eld. T he $m$ ost severe restriction of the M RGM is, how ever, that its applicability is lim ited to those scattering structures which can be assem bled from or cut out of separable m odules. A lso random potentials and soft walls can only be included as long as they preserve the separability ofeach m odule. W em ention at this point, that a hybrid RGM " for dealing with arbitrary boundary geom etries was presented in the literature $1^{1-9}$
III. NUMERICALRESULTSFOR HIGH B FIELDSAND LARGE $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$

In this section we present rst $m$ agnetoconductance results which were calculated with in the M RGM at high $m$ agnetic elds B and large Ferm i wavenum bers $k_{F}$. As prototype shapes of the cavity we use the circle and the

B unim ovich stadium and consider di erent geom etries for the attached quantum wires.
A. A ccuracy checks

Several checks for the accuracy of the num erical results have been perform ed. E xact relationships for transport coe cients such as conservation of unitarity and the O nsager relations are ful led with an accuracy of better than $10^{10}$. The grid density is chosen such that the m agnetic ux per unit cell is B ${ }_{r}=\mathrm{C}<0: 01$ (as in Ref. $\mathrm{B}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{J}_{1}$ ). M oreover, the typical num ber of grid points per Ferm ihalf-w avelength is greater than 30 . O nly for very high energy calculations

 which is based on a wave function expansion in sphericalwaves. The agreem ent for the circle is very good although diam agnetic term $s$ are neglected in the approach of $R$ ef. $\overline{1} 1$. . For the stadium, the di erences betw een the tw o $m$ ethods are som ew hat larger. $T$ his is due to the fact that the expansion of the stadium w ave functions in sphericalw aves leads to a unitarity de ciency (see Fig. ${ }^{\prime}$ line shapes and statisticalm agnetoconductance properties in chaotic and regular cavities. These will not be treated again. O ur focus will be on the high $m$ agnetic eld and high energy regim $e$, where other $m$ ethods failed.

## B. W avefunctions

The starting point for the analysis of the scattering states ( $x$ ) for ballistic transport through quantum dots is
 we consider the wavefunctions at very high $k_{F}$ for both the circle and the stadium billiard, which are prototypical structures for regular and chaotic dynam ics respectively. Large $k_{F}$ corresponds to the regim e where the convergence tow ards classical scattering tra jectories is expected to em erge. Figures' for an in jection at high $(m=20)$ and at low mode number $(m=1)$, respectively. Since high mode num bers correspond classically to a large in jection angle, the wavefiunction condenses around a pentagon-shaped whispering gallery tra jectory. For low m ode in jection, a sm all circle representing the centrifugal barrier (or caustic) is seen, as
 m agnetic elds, the dynam ics is chaotic and a typical wavefunction features a quasi-random pattem w ith a m odest density enhancem ent near classically unstable periodic orbits (not shown). For special con gurations of $k_{F}$ and $B$ \scars" em erge in the scattering wavefunctions ( $F$ ig. . $\overline{1} / \mathrm{c}$ ). By contrast, for high $m$ agnetic elds the classicalm otion becom es regular. In the present exam ple the w avefunction condenses around a \bundle" of cyclotron onbits executing three bounces at the cavity w all.before exiting by the entrance lead ( F if. $\cdot \overline{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{d}$ ). T here has been an exten sive discussion in the literature as to the existence of scars in open quantum billiards ${ }^{151}$. 0 ur present results clearly underscore that scars, de ned here as the condensation of the w avefiunction near classical (not necessarily unstable) tra jectories, clearly exist for large $k_{F}$. $F$ igure' ${ }^{\prime}$ illustrates the form ation ofedge states at high elds. $W$ ith increasing $B$ few er edge states can be excited in the cavity. In $F$ ig. only a single edge state rem ains. For tw o edge states carrying ux across the quantum dot, interferences give rise to a stationary nodalpattem $w$ ith a xed num ber of antinodes along the boundary (see Fig. 'iga,b). W e note that we are not aw are of any other $m$ ethod that has so far been capable of investigating scattering states of open structures in this high-m agnetic eld regim e.

> C. Transport coe cients

The interference betw een di erent edge states gives rise to characteristic uctuations in the transport coe cients. $F$ igure $\bar{T}_{1}$ show sthe high $m$ agnetic eld regim e of the transm ission probability in the rst $m$ ode $m=n=1$ for both circle and stadium. D i erent orientations of the exiting quantum wire were chosen (oriented 90 and 180 relative to the incom ing lead). A few universaltrends are easily discemible: above a certain critical value of the $m$ agnetic eld (denoted by $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c}}^{1}$ ), the strongly uctuating transm ission probability gives way to very regular oscillations in all four cases [see insets of $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{17}$ form agni cation]. The threshold value $B{ }_{c}^{1}$ and the $m$ agnetic eld, at which transport is term inated (separately displayed in $F$ ig. . $\bar{l} \mathbf{l}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) are identical for all system $s$ investigated. Below $B_{c}^{1}$ the transport signal displays \beats", i.e. the Fourier transform of the signal is characterized by several frequencies. The \universality" (i.e. geom etry independence) of these features is related to the fact that in the high $m$ agnetic eld regim e transport is controlled by edge states (as depicted, in, $F$, ig. ${ }^{\prime}(\mathbf{G})$. T hese states play a very prom inent role in the $Q$ uantum $H$ alle ect

dim ensions, $l_{B} \quad D$, they are the only states coupling to the quantum $w$ ire since bulk Landau states cannot be excited by the leads. The edge states shown in Figs. 'ina to i 6 d correspond to the points in the transm ission spectrum also labeled by (a) to (d) in Fig. ${ }_{1}^{\prime} \overline{1}_{1}$. By com parison w ith the scattering wavefunctions (as in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime} \bar{W}_{1}$ ), we observe that in the $m$ agnetic eld region $B{ }_{c}^{n}<\bar{B}<B_{c}^{n}{ }^{1}$ edge states have up to $n \quad 1$ transverse nodes in the direction perpendicular to the boundary. Furtherm ore, the num ber of longitudinal antinodes from entrance to exit lead (see the corresponding num bers in $F$ ig. ' ${ }^{\prime}$ (Ga,b) can be directly $m$ apped onto successive $m$ axim a in $F$ ig..$_{1}^{\prime} \bar{\prime}$ (see num bers there). The range of $B$ depicted in $F$ ig. $\bar{l}_{1}$ corresponds to $B \& B_{c}^{2}$ at $k_{F}=1: 5=d$. The transm ission spectrum becom es increasingly com plex as $B$ is reduced or equivalently $k_{F}$ is increased (not shown).
To determ ine the positions of the values $B_{c}^{n}$ we consider the energy shift of Landau levels near the boundary. Bulk Landau levels are degenerate since their quantized energy $E_{n}=(n+1=2) B=C$ is independent of their positition in space. $T$ his degeneracy is lifted if a Landau state is placed in the vicinity of the cavity wall: with decreasing distance to this boundary the energy of the state increases. T herefore the energies of edge states associated w th the quantum num ber $n$ lie above the asym ptotic bulk value $E_{n}$. W hen the incom ing electron is di racted at the $m$ outh of the entrance lead, only those edge states whose energy is below the Ferm i energy can carry the ux. D ue to the sharp edges at the junction betw een lead and quantum dot, all energetically accessible edge channels are populated. T he blow -up of the scattering w avefunction near the lead m outh ( $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime}$ (9) highlights the di ractive edge scattering. This is a contrast to $s m$ ooth edges-where states in the lead could cross the lead junction adiabatically, i.e. w ithout changing their state of quantization ${ }^{39}, \mathrm{~W}$ ith shanp lead junctions how ever all edge states $w$ ith quantum num ber $n^{0} \quad n$ will participate in transport up to a magnetic eld where $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ touches the Ferm ienergy, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}}$, i.e. at the critical $m$ agnetic elds $B_{C}^{n}=C=E_{F}=(n+1=2)$. These threshold values are indicated by the dot-dashed verticalbars in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} 1,18,1$ for $B_{c}^{2} \quad 71: 1, B_{c}^{1} \quad 118: 4$ and $B_{c}^{0} \quad 355: 3$ for a lead $w$ idth $d=0: 25$ and $k=1: 5=\mathrm{d}$. In our num erical data, both the position of these threshold values as well as their independence of the geom etry are in excellent agreem ent w ith this prediction. The only exception is the criticalm agnetic eld $B_{-}^{0}$. Its value ( $355: 3$ ) lies slightly above the point where the transm ission spectrum ceases (at B $351: 8$ ) (see $F i g i$ the term ination point of the spectrum is not determ ined by the $m$ agnetic eld of the low est bulk Landau level in the cavity (i.e. $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c}}^{0}$ ), but by the highest eld at which the leads still carry ux. In the leads, how ever, the $m$ agnetic length does not satisfy the condition $l_{B} \quad d$ (at $k_{F}=1: 5=d: b_{B} d=4: 7$ ). C ontrary to the cavity, the lead wavefiunctions still \feel" the constriction by the opposing wall. For this reason the threshold $m$ agnetic eld values of the transverse lead states lie slightly below those of the bulk Landau levels, leading to a term ination already below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c}}^{0}$.
D. M ulti-channel interferences

The regular oscillations above $B_{c}^{1}$ as well as the com plex uctuating pattem below, $B{ }_{c}^{1}$ can be explained by a m, tuldtilichannel scattering description. This $m$ odel can be view ed as a generalization $2^{51,421}$ of a single-channel picture $4^{40,411}$ For this description to be applicable, the cavity of the dot has to have sm ooth boundaries and disorder $m$ ust be absent. U nder these circum stances the ux transported by edge states is conserved in the interior and changed only by di ractive scattering at lead junctions: At the junction, a fraction of the ux will exit through the lead while the rem aining portion of the ux will continue to propagate along the boundary. The stationary scattering state can be view ed as the coherent superposition of repeated loops around the billiard. In order to translate this picture into an analytic expression we de ne am plitudes for transm ission and re ection at the two lead junctions. We denote the am plitudes for transm ission from transverse $m$ ode $m$ in the entrance lead to the edge state in the dot $w$ ith quantum num ber $i$ by $\epsilon_{m i}$. The amplitudes $\mathrm{f}_{\text {in }}^{0}$ stand for transm ission from edge state $i$ in the dot to the transverse $m$ ode $n$ in the exit lead. The am plitudes $\mathfrak{r}_{i j}\left(\mathfrak{f}_{i j}^{0}\right)$ describe edge state re ection at the entrance (exit) lead from m ode $i$ to m ode $j$. (T he tilde signs serve to distinguish these am plifudes from the transport coe cients for the whole geom etry $t_{n m}$ and $r_{n m}$.) $W$ e further de ne the follow ing $m$ atrices

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[T^{2}\right]_{i j} } & =\mathbb{E}_{i j} e^{i k_{j} L_{j} \text { iBA }{ }_{j}=c} ; \quad\left[\mathbb{T}^{0}\right]_{i j}=\mathrm{E}_{i j}^{0}  \tag{3.1}\\
\left.\mathbb{R}^{0}\right]_{i j} & \left.=x_{i j}^{0} e^{i k_{j} L_{j}^{0} \text { iBA }{ }_{j}^{0}=c} ; \quad \mathbb{R}^{0}\right]_{i j}=x_{i j} e^{i k_{j} L_{j} \text { iBA }{ }_{j}=c} ; \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{j} ; A_{j}\left(L_{j}^{0} ; A_{j}^{0}\right)$ denote the lengths $L$ and areas $A$ the edge state $j$ covers from entrance to exit (from exit to entrance) of the dot. T he areas A , ean be determ ined in gauge-invariant form, although the corresponding classical orbits are not necessarily periodic ${ }^{43 \prime}$ : $T$ he transm ission through the whole cavity $t_{j i}=[T]_{i j}$ is then written as a
geom etric series of $m$ atrioes,

$$
\begin{align*}
T & =T^{\left(1+R^{0} R^{n}\left(1+R^{0} R^{\sim}(1+:::)\right)\right) T^{0}} \\
& =T^{X} \quad\left(R^{0} R^{0}\right)^{i} T^{0} \\
& =T^{i=0}\left(1 \quad R^{0} R^{0}\right)^{1} T^{0}:
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3.3) serves as a convenient starting point for the analysis of the transm ission uctuations. C onsider rst the regim, $\mathrm{m}_{1}>\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c}}^{1}$, where only the low est transverse edge state is excited. In this case Eq. (3, 3 version 401411
$w$ ith $=k_{1}\left(L_{1}+L_{1}^{0}\right) \quad B\left(A_{1}+A_{1}^{0}\right)=c$. A s expected, the uctuations of $t_{11}(B=C) f$ are determ ined by an A haronovBohm type phase. At xed $k_{F}$, the oscillation period is $B=2 c=A{ }_{1}^{\text {tot }}$. $B y A_{1}^{\text {tot }}=A_{1}+A_{1}^{0}$ we denote the area which the edge state acquires in one revolution around the dot. Taking into account that the dynam ically accessible area of the edge state is som ew hat sm aller than the geom etric area, $A_{1}^{\text {tot }}<A^{\text {dot }}=4+\quad$ (see $F i g .{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}(\hat{Q})$, the prediction for the oscillation period is in excellent agreem ent $w$ ith our num erical ndings. Equation ( 3.4 . $\mathbf{I}^{\prime}$ also explains why the oscillation period is increasing with increasing B (see Fig. .i, ${ }^{\prime}$ ). This explanation $m$ akes use of the som ew hat counterintuitive fact, that for increasing $m$ agnetic eld skipping orbits $w$ ith xed quantum num ber $n$ have an increasing $m$ ean distance from the boundary 411. and therefore a higher oscillation period. B. Furthem ore Eq. (3.4), accounts for the fact that form ost structures the successive $m$ axim a of $T^{\text {tot }}$ reach unity ${ }^{\text {mid }}$ ( $T$ he $s m$ all deviation from this rule of the stadium $w$ ith 90 lead orientaion w ill be explained below). In addition to unitarity, $\left[\mathbb{E}_{11}^{0} \jmath+j_{11}^{0} \jmath=1\right]$ we have for identical lead junctions $\mathbb{E}_{11}=\mathbb{E}_{11}^{0}$. ( W e calltw o junctions identical if the localenvironm ent of their lead m ouths is the sam e and their respective distance is larger than a few w avelenths.) A bove $B{ }_{c}^{1}$ scattering of an edge state at a junction is essentially a one-dim ensional process, for which the probability for transm ission from left to right has the sam em agnitude as vice versa. Identical lead junctions therefore also im ply $t_{11}^{0}=\epsilon_{11}$, provided that the tw o comers of the lead junction have the sam e shape. If and only if all of the three above conditions are fullled, Eq. (3. $\mathbf{I}_{3}$ ) yields $\mathrm{T}^{\text {tot }}=1$ at the resonance condition
$=2 \mathrm{n}$; n 2 Z . Since for the two circle geom etries and for the 180 -stadium the two lead junctions are identical, we indeed nd in these cases that $\left.J_{11}(B)\right)^{\circ}$ periodically reaches unity. On the other hand, when the leads are attached to the stadium at an angle of 90 , one lead is attached to the straight section while the other is attached to the sem icircle. The local environm ent of the two lead $m$ ouths is in this case di erent (i.e. the lead junctions are not identical), for which reason our num erical results do not quite reach $f_{11} f=1$, when the resonance condition is
 and the behaviour of edge states. In the closed cavity an edge channel alw ays encloses an integer num ber of ux quanta $\mathbb{B} A=(0 C)=m 2 N]$. Therefore, the resonance condition is $m$ et whenever the energy of an edge state in the closed cavity crosses the Ferm iedge: ${ }^{411}$
O ne interesting feature of the transm ission uctuations in the single-channel regim e of the circular dot $\left(B>B C_{c}^{1}\right)$ is their invariance w th respect to the lead orientation. The num erical results for the transm ission probabilities of the circle w ith 180 and 90 lead orientation di er only at the tenth (!) decim al digit. This fact, as well as the observation, that in the case of the stadium billard the two lead orientations give di erent results, can again be explained by Eq. (3.4). The im portant point to note is that the interference phase ( $\left.=k_{1} L_{1}^{\text {tot }} \quad B A_{1}^{\text {tot }}=\mathrm{C}\right)$ does not change when changing the positions of the leads around the circle. D ue to the rotational sym $m$ etry also the coe cients $\epsilon_{11} ; t_{11}^{0} ; \Vdash_{11}$ and $x_{11}^{0}$ are the sam efor di erent angles betw een the leads. The sam e is thus true for the total transm ission $T^{\text {tot }}$ through the circular dot. T he only exception to this rule occurs when the tw o leads are in close proxim ity to $w$ ithin a few wavelengths. In this case the transm ission probability changes as com pared to the results for the 180 and 90 lead orientation (not shown).
The uctuations in the regim eB < B ${ }_{c}^{1}$ displayed in $F$ ig. $\eta_{1}^{1}$ can be analyzed w ith the help of a m ulti-channel scattering description. In the interval $B_{c}^{2} \quad B \quad B_{c}^{1}$ tw o channels corresponding to two edge states are open in the cavity and one channel in each of the leads. From the entrance to the exit lead mouth the two edge channels acquire the phases $e^{i k_{1} L_{1}}$ iBA $A_{1}=c$ and $e^{i k_{2} L_{2}}$ iBA ${ }_{2}=c$ respectively. Their interference at the exit lead will therefore give an oscillatory contribution to the total transm ission $T^{\text {tot }}(\mathbb{B})=\mathrm{f}_{11}{ }^{3}$ of the form $\left.\cos ^{2} \mathbb{B}\left(A_{1} \quad A_{2}\right)=(2 c)\right]$. For closer analysis we need to evaluate Eq. (3, 3) which involves the inversion of 22 m atrioes. In the case of parallel lead orientation the corresponding expressions are sim pli ed due to the fact that the phases acquired from entrance to exit lead and vice
versa are the same $\left(A_{n}=A_{n}^{0}\right.$ and $\left.L_{n}=L_{n}^{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{11}=e^{i^{\prime}{ }^{1}} t_{11} t_{11}^{0}+e^{i^{\prime}{ }^{2}} t_{12} t_{21}^{0}+e^{i\left(2^{\prime}{ }_{1}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)}\left(x_{11} t_{12} \quad \Re_{2} t_{11}\right)\left(x_{21}^{0} t_{11}^{0} \quad \Re_{11} t_{21}^{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{j\left(\prime^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{2}\right)}\left(x_{21} x_{12}^{0}+x_{12} x_{21}^{0}\right) \quad e^{i 2\left(\prime_{1}+{ }^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)}\left(x_{11} x_{22} \quad \Re_{2} x_{21}\right)\left(x_{12}^{0} x_{21}^{0} \quad x_{1}^{0} x_{22}^{0}\right)^{i} ; \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the abbreviated notation ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{n}=k_{n} L_{n} \quad B A_{n}=C$. In $F$ ig. $1{ }^{\prime}$ we show one half-period of the beats in $T{ }^{\text {tot }}(B)=$ $\mathrm{J}_{11}(B){ }^{?}$ for $\left[\mathrm{n}<\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)=(2 \mathrm{c})<(\mathrm{n}+1)\right]$, as calculated w ith Eq. (3.5). The absolute square of the num erator (dashed line, $N$ ) and denom inator (dotted line D) of Eq. ( 3 and am plitude. H ow ever, since $T^{\text {tot }}=N=D$, a series of dips are superim posed on the term $\left.\cos ^{2} \mathbb{B}\left(A_{1} \quad A_{2}\right)=(2 c)\right]$ at the points where $N$ and D have their com $m$ on $m$ inim a. To classify these dips (i.e. antiresonances) we $m$ ake use of the fact $2^{251}$ that the unitarity of Eq. ( $(\underline{1})$ ) can be satis ed by $m$ apping the transport coe cients at the lead junctions (which are assum ed to be identical) onto six independent param eters ( $r$; ; ; \#; $1 ; 2$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{11}=x_{11}^{0}=\left[(1 \quad)+r j b^{1+\#)} ;\right.  \tag{3.6}\\
& \left.x_{12}=x_{12}^{0}=x_{21}=x_{21}^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & r
\end{array}\right) \frac{x^{\prime}}{(1}\right) e^{\left.\left({ }_{1}+{ }_{2}\right)=2+\#\right]} \\
& x_{22}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
+(1 & ) r j \ell^{2+\#}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

O ut of this set of param eters, only tw ( ; r) are physically relevant. The variable represents the coupling of the incom ing lead state to the edge state $n=1$ in the interior and $r 2 R$ is related to the re ection coe cient $x_{11}^{\infty}$ of an incident channel at the lead $m$ outh, $x_{11}^{0}=r e^{i(1+\#)}$. Both quantities ; jyjare mestricted to the interval $[0 ; 1]$. W ith

$1+r e^{i(+2 \#)} ;=1+r e^{2 i} ;=\arg (=)$. The linew idth 0 is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{1 \quad j^{0}=\frac{?}{j}}{2 r^{0}=}: \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the generic case of $r$, resonances occuring in Eq. 3

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\text {tot }} \quad \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{eg}} \rho \frac{\left(B=C \quad B_{n}=C\right)^{2}}{\left(B=C \quad B_{n}=C+\right)^{2}+{ }_{0}^{2}} \text {; } \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $t^{\text {bg }}$ being the coe cient for background scattering. ${ }^{-461} \mathrm{~T}$ The Fano resonances at $B=c=B_{n}=c \quad w$ ill have an asym $m$ etric lineshape unless $=0$ (i.e. $r=0$ ). This is how ever the case for the billiard system $s$ we consider, since alm ost no re ection of incom ing lead states takes place at the lead mouths, $x_{11}^{\infty} \quad 0$, and therefore $r \quad 0$. U nder this assum ption Eq. ( $(\overline{3}, \overline{-}, \overline{1})$ sim pli es to

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\text {tot }} \frac{\sin ^{2}\left(=2+\#_{0}\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(=2+\#_{0}\right)+{ }_{0}^{2}} \text {; } \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith linew idth $0=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & j^{0} \mathcal{J}\end{array}\right)=\left(2 j^{0} j\right)$. This equation describes sym $m$ etric resonance lineshapes which can be identi ed as window resonances (also called Breit-w igner dips/antiresonances) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\text {tot }} \frac{\left(B=C \quad B_{n}=C\right)^{2}}{\left(B=C \quad B_{n}=C\right)^{2}+{ }_{0}^{2}} \text { : } \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he physicalpicture resulting from this analysis is the follow ing: In them agnetic eld region $B_{c}^{2} \quad B \quad B_{c}^{1}$, where tw o edge states are present in the interior of the dot and one in each of the leads, the transm ission probability show $s$ largescale oscillations intersected by shanp w indow resonances. The large-scale envelope function is given by $1=\left(1+{ }_{0}^{2}\right)$.

Its $m$ axim a perfectly $m$ atch $w$ th the roughly estim ated term $\left.\cos ^{2} \mathbb{B}\left(A_{1} \quad A_{2}\right)=(2 c)\right]$ from above and can therefore be identi ed w ith the num bered points in $F$ ig. $\overline{7}_{1 \prime \prime}$ each of w hich corresponds to an integer num ber of longitudinal antinodes in the wavefunction along the boundary (see Fig. 'G) . The antiresonances superim posed on these oscillations occur at $m$ agnetic elds $B=B_{n}$ (where $=2+\#_{0}=n ; i 2 Z$ ) and their linew idth is given by 0 . As a result, resonances which are situated on $m$ axim a of the term $1=\left(1+\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$ are shanper than at its $m$ im im a [see num erical data in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1} \overline{7}_{1}$ for con m ation]. For an increasing num ber of edge states populated in the cavity ( $\mathrm{B}<\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$ ) our num erical results show that the density of antiresonances is rapidly grow ing. This behaviour nally leads to a resonance overlap for a large num ber ofedge states, which is prerequisite for the onset ofE ricson uctuations (i.e. universal conductance uctuations).
For com pleteness we rem ark that the above analysis for the $B$-dependence of $\mathrm{T}^{\text {tot }}$ can likew ise be carried out w ith $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ instead of $B$ as the variable param eter. W e can sim ilarly identify threshold values $k_{c}^{n}$ for $k_{F}$, below which a num ber of $n$ edge states survive. T he num erical results for the transm ission probablility $T_{-1}^{\text {tot }}\left(k_{F}\right)$ for the case of one or tw o participating edge states (not shown) can again be described by Eq. (3.4) or Eq. (3.7) respectively.

## E. C om parison w ith experim ents

A series ofexperim entst discussed here have been observed in ballistic transport $m$ easurem ents. The origin of the ABO $s$ in these experim ents is how ever tw of Id : In Refs. oscillations. In Refs. scattering wave function is con ned. The latter setup thus gives rise to ABOs already at low magnetic elds and has therefore been $m$ ore readily accessible to a theoretical description $4^{49} \mathrm{H}$ ow ever, to our know ledge, no quantitative description for $m$ agnetotransport through a quantum dot in the regim e of only one or two participating edge states has yet becom e available. W e therefore discuss in the follow ing sim ilarities and di erences betw een the experim ental data and our calculations in this eld. O ne im portant observation is that the $m$ agnetic elds where these quasi-regular transm ission uctuations appear in the experim ent are low er than in the present calculation. For exam ple, in the experim ent for circle and stadium shaped quantum dots in a GaA $s / A \operatorname{IG}$ aA $s$-heterostructure $1^{12}$ the threshold $m$ agnetic eld values would be (in SI-units)

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{c}^{n}=\frac{2 h}{(2 n+1)_{F}^{2} e} \quad \text { with } \quad F=\frac{r}{\frac{2}{n_{s}}}: \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith a given sheet density of $n_{s}=3: 6 \quad 10^{1} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ in the interior of the dot, the threshold $m$ agnetic elds are given by $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \quad 3$ Tesla and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c}}^{1} \quad 5$ Tesla. H ow ever, in the experim ent regular oscillations were already observed below 2 Tesla. At those eld values we nd highly irregular transm ission uctuations corresponding to a threshold $m$ agnetic eld $B{ }_{c}^{n} w$ ith $n$ 1, indicative of a high density of resonances and E ricson uctuations. W e expect the origin of this discrepancy to lie in the absence of sharp edges in the experim ent and, hence, of di ractive edge scattering. In the experim ental quantum dot, the edges should be fairly sm ooth, leading to near-adiabatic transitions to edge states at the entrance to the quantum dot. Therefore few er edge channels are excited than by di ractive edge scattering, where allenergetically accessible channels up to $n$ are populated. O ur present results suggest that the observed transm ission uctuations are a direct $m$ easure of the shanpness of the edges at the lead $m$ outh. T herefore, investigations of quantum dots w ith varying sharpness of edges would be desirable. Since these are, how ever, di cult to fabricate we point to a di erent experim ental approach, which is based on the analogy betw een transport in the edge state regim e and eld-free transport through pa fectangle where only few propagating modes participate. Such structures are accessible form icrow ave experim ents ${ }^{5145015} \mathrm{~T}$ he $m$ easured transm ission through such a $m$ icrow ave device could provide a stringent test for the $m$ ulti-channel interference $m$ odel presented above.

## IV. SUM M ARY AND OUTLOOK

W e have presented a new technique for calculating ballistic magnetotransport through open quantum dots. The $M$ odular Recursive G reen's Function M ethod (MRGM) is an extension of the widely used standard recursive G reen's function technique and is based on the decom position of non-separable scattering geom etries into separable substructures ( $m$ odules). A $n$ unprecedented energy and $m$ agnetic eld range can thereby be explored w ith high accuracy. W e applied the MRGM to transport coe cients and scattering wavefunctions in the tw o extrem e cases of high magnetic elds and short w avelengths. For very sm allcyclotron radiiw e found periodic oscillations in the transm ission spectrum and beating phenom ena, which are restricted to well de ned intervals (as a function of $B$ and $k_{F}$ likew ise). These
features could be explained by interferences betw een edge states, travelling along the boundary of the cavity. For these states scattering only takes place at the lead junctions, whose sharp edges play a crucial role for the dynam ics of the system. For a detailed analysis a m ulti-channel interference m odelw as em ployed. T his m odelallow sto classify the observed transm ission uctuations in the fram ew ork of Fano resonances. For only one edge state present in the circular dot transport is independent of the lead orientation provided that the lead m outh s are identical and separated from each other. Future envisioned applications, in $\in$ lude the investigation of A ndreev billiardstill quantum H am iltonian ratchets, ${ }^{521}$ fractal conductance uctuations, 5154 and shot noise1 ${ }^{59}$. Furtherm ore, the M RGM also seem s suitable to perform ab-initio calculations of the integer $Q$ uantum $H$ alle ect ${ }^{5 a} . T$ he challenge is in this case the inclusion of a disorder potential which is com patible w ith the separability conditions.
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FIG. 1: Joining and disconnecting of modules by application of a Dyson equation: two sem i-in nite leads. The hard wall boundary conditions at the sites on the border of the $m$ odu les are represented by em pty circles (accessible space by full circles). The gray shaded areas $P$ and $Q$ are those grid slices at which the G reen's functions are evaluated (see text).



FIG. 3: A pplying a D yson equation to construct $G$ reen's functions for (a) a sem i-in nite lead and (b) a stadium billiard out of $\backslash m$ odules". In (a) joining a transverse slice with a sem i-in nite lead schem atically leaves the $G$ reen's function of the lead invariant. In (b) an additional link m odule is added to facilitate the coupling betw een the half-circle and the rectangle $m$ odule. $N$ otation as in $F$ igs. 11


FIG. 4: Com parison between the present MRGM (solid line) and the wavefunction matching technique. ${ }^{-1 / 1}$ (dotted line) for the rst-m ode transm ission probability $\mathrm{J}_{11}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \mathrm{J}^{2}$ at $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{C}=1 \mathrm{in}$ a sm all window of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ : (a) circle with perpendicular leads, (b) stadium with perpendicular leads $\left(\mathrm{d}=0: 35 ; \mathrm{A}^{\text {dot }}=4+\right.$ ). In both cases also $\left.\mathrm{f}_{11}\left(k_{\mathrm{F}}\right) f+\dot{j}_{11}\left(k_{\mathrm{F}}\right)\right\}$ is shown. C ontrary to the MRGM (solid line), the wave function $m$ atching technique (dotted line) deviates from the unitarity lim it in (b).

FIG.5: (color online) Absolute square of the scattering wave functions j (x;y) ${ }^{2}$ at high $k_{F}\left[(a): k_{F}=25=d,(b): k_{F}=\right.$ $12: 5=\mathrm{d}$, (c), (d): $\left.\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}=6: 01=\mathrm{d}\right]$ for the four quantum dots considered: circle and stadium w ith relative lead orientation of 90 and 180 , area $A^{\text {dot }}=4+$ and lead width $d=0: 25$. The localization around classical tra jectories (see insets for com parison) is clearly visible. In $F$ igs. (a)-(c) the $m$ agnetic eld $B=0$. In $F$ ig. (d) the magnetic eld $B=c=30: 5$ allow sfor a whole bundle of equivalent trajectories with cyclotron radius $r_{C}=k_{F} C=B \quad 2: 48$ to contribute to transport.

FIG. 6: (color online) Absolute square of the scattering wave functions $j(x ; y) f$ in the edge state regim e. The area of all geom etries A dot $=4+$, lead width $\mathrm{d}=0: 25$, and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}=1: 5=\mathrm{d}$. The four plots correspond to the points in the transm ission spectra ( $F$ ig. $\vec{F}_{1}$ ), indicated by the letters (a)-(d). The num bers along the longitudinal direction of the edge states count the number of antinodes between entrance and exit lead (see corresponding num bers in $F$ ig. $i_{1}$ ). N ote that edge states in the $m$ agnetic eld region $B_{c}^{n+1}<B<B_{c}^{n}$ have up to $n$ transverse nodes: (a) circle, $180, n=2$, (b) circle, $90, n=2$, (c) stadium , $180, \mathrm{n}=3$, and (d) stadium, $90, \mathrm{n}=1$.


FIG.7: Transm ission probabilities $\mathrm{J}_{11}(\mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{C})$ 予 in the high magnetic eld lim it for circle and stadium billiard with 180 or 90 lead orientation. ( $\left.k_{F}=1: 5=d ; d=0: 25\right)$. $B_{c}^{1}$ and $B_{c}^{2}$ are the threshold magnetic elds $B_{c}^{n}=C=k_{F}^{2}=(2 n+1)$ (vertical dash-dotted lines). A bove $B_{c}^{1}$ regular oscillations appear (see insets form agni cation). For $B{ }_{c}^{2}<B<B{ }_{c}^{1}$ irregular uctuations set in. Their large-scale structure can be explained by the num ber of interference $m$ axim a the two edge states form along the boundary betw een entrance and exit lead (see indicated num bers). The points (a)-(d) correspond to the wavefiunctions show $n$ in $F$ ig.


FIG. 8: Transm ission probabilities $f_{11}(B=C) f$ in the high-eld lim it, near the point where transport term inates. The dotted line stands for the circle billiards in both lead geom etries (their transm ission probabilities are identical) and the dashed line for the stadium billiard with 90 lead geom etry. The solid curves represent the upper and lower bounds of the oscillations (o set for better visibility). T he tw o dash-dotted vertical lines $m$ ark the point where transport breaks o and the analytically determ ined threshold value $B_{c}^{0} \quad 355: 3$ (see text for details). T he inset show s that the transm ission probabilities for the circle reach the $m$ axim um value 1 which is only approxim ately true for the stadium $w$ ith 90 -lead geom etry.

FIG.9: (color online) Electron density $j(x ; y){ }^{2}$ for the circle billiard with di ractive scattering highlighted. (A $\quad$ dot $=4+$ lead width $d=0: 25$ and $k_{F}=1: 5=d$.) Them agnetic eld $B=118: 7$ is just above the threshold to the single-edge state regim e $B_{c}^{1}=118: 44$.


FIG. 10: O ne half-period of the beating $n<B\left(A_{1} \quad A_{2}\right) \equiv(2 c)<(n+1)$ in the transm ission probability $\mathrm{f}_{11}(\mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{C})$ f (solid line), as calculated with our interference $m$ odel [see Eq. ( 3.7 . 7 ). The nom inator (dashed line, N ) and denom inator (dotted line, D) of $\left.t_{11}(B=C)\right)^{2}$ show very sim ilar oscillations (with a smalloset). ( $N=D$ ) features sharp \dips", at the points $w h e r e n$ and $D$ have their com m on m inima. These dips are w indow resonances (also called $B$ reit-w igner antiresonances) and represent a sym $m$ etric lim it of the Fano resonance lineshape. See text for details.
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