## Optical absorption spectra in $SrCu_2O_3$ two-leg spin ladder

Nobuyasu Haga and Sei-ichiro Suga

Department of Applied Physics, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

We calculate the phonon-assisted optical-absorption spectra in SrC  $u_2O_3$  two-leg spin-ladder systems. The results for two models proposed for SrC  $u_2O_3$  are compared. In the model including the e ects of a cyclic four-spin interaction, the shoulder structure appears at 978 cm <sup>1</sup> and the peak appears at 1975 cm <sup>1</sup> in the spectrum for polarization of the electric eld parallel to the legs. In the other model which describes a pure two-leg ladder, the peak appears around the lower edge of the spectrum at 1344 cm <sup>1</sup>. The feature can be e ective in determining the proper model for SrC  $u_2O_3$ .

PACS numbers: 75.40.Gb, 78.30.-j, 74.72.Jt, 75.40 Mg

Intensive studies for S = 1=2 two-leg spin-ladder system s have revealed fascinating aspects of elementary excitations as well as therm odynam ic properties of the system [1]. Using several theoretical methods, it was show n that S = 0 and S = 1 two-triplet bound states lie below the two-triplet continuum in addition to the one-triplet mode [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Furtherm ore, the e ects of a cyclic four-spin interaction on the S = 1=2 two-leg spin-ladder system s have attracted a great am ount of attention recently [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. From the analysis of the experimental results for La<sub>6</sub>C a<sub>8</sub>C u<sub>24</sub>O <sub>41</sub> observed by inelastic neutron-scattering experiments, it was corroborated that a cyclic four-spin interaction is necessary to explain the observed dispersion relation of the one-triplet mode [14].

For  $SrCu_2O_3$ , which is considered to be a typical S = 1=2 two-leg spin-ladder material, two models were proposed to reproduce the tem perature dependence of the susceptibility. One model includes the e ects of a cyclic four-spin interaction [11], while the otherm odel describes a pure two-leg ladder [22]. It seems, thus, di cult to decide the proper m in im alm odel only from therm odynam ic quantities such as the susceptibility. On the basis of the observations, dynam ical structure factors have been investigated for the two models [15, 18]. A lthough the dispersion relation for the model including the effects of a cyclic four-spin interaction becomes atter, the di erence in the distribution of the weights between the two models may be dicult to be observed by inelastic neutron-scattering experim ents [18]. O ther m ethods to detect characteristics of dynam ical properties are required to decide the proper m odel for  $SrCu_2O_3$ .

The midinfrared optical-absorption spectra measured, e.g., by optical conductivity in low-dimensional cuprates can be successfully analyzed in terms of the phononassisted absorption mechanism [23-31, 9, 10]. In this mechanism, an absorbed photon simultaneously creates a Cu O bond-stretching phonon and a multitriplet excitation with a singlet coupling. This simultaneous excitation enables us to probe a phonon-weighted sum of the S = 0 multitriplet excitation from the entire Brillouin zone. In fact, it was con rm ed from the opticalconductivity measurements that the S = 0 two-triplet bound state exists below the two-triplet continuum in a  $S = 1=2 \text{ two-leg spin-ladder m aterial } (Ca;La)_{14}Cu_{24}O_{41}$  [31, 9, 10].

In this paper, we investigate the optical-absorption spectra caused by the phonon-assisted two-triplet excitation in  $SrCu_2O_3$  two-leg spin-ladder systems on the basis of the proposed two m in im alm odels. U sing a continued fraction m ethod based on the Lanczos algorithm [32], we rst calculate the wave-num ber-resolved S = 0 two-triplet excitation spectra . We then calculate the optical-absorption spectra and discuss characteristics of the results for the two m odels of  $SrCu_2O_3$ .

W e start our discussion with the following H am iltonian:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & & & & & \\ \mathbb{X}^{=2} \\ \mathbb{H} & = & J_{k} & (\mathbb{S}_{1;i} & \mathbb{S}_{j;i+1} + \mathbb{S}_{2;i} & \mathbb{S}_{j;i+1}) \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

where S<sub>lfi</sub> denotes the S = 1=2 spin operator in the ith rung of the l = 1;2 chain, N is the total number of spins, and J<sub>k</sub> and J<sub>?</sub> are the coupling constants along the leg and rung, respectively. The coupling constants for a cyclic four-spin interaction and a diagonal interaction are denoted as  $J_{\rm cyc}$  and  $J_{\rm diag}$ , respectively. The coupling constants used in the two models for SrC u<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are (i) J<sub>?</sub> = 150m eV; J<sub>k</sub> = 195m eV; J<sub>cyc</sub> = 18m eV; J<sub>diag</sub> = 3m eV (Ref. 11) and (ii) J<sub>?</sub> = 86m eV; J<sub>k</sub> = 172m eV; J<sub>cyc</sub> =  $J_{\rm diag}$  = 0 (Ref. 22). The periodic boundary condition is applied along the chain.

The wave-number-resolved S = 0 two-triplet excitation spectra for polarization of the electric eld parallel to the legs (E k legs) can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{R}^{\text{leg}}(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{x}};\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{y}};!) = \frac{1}{z} = h_{0} \mathcal{A}^{\text{leg}_{Y}} \frac{1}{z_{\mathbf{x}},\mathbf{q}_{y}} \frac{1}{z_{\mathbf{H}}} \mathbb{A}^{\text{leg}}_{\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{x}};\mathbf{q}_{y}} j_{0} i$$

$$= F^{\text{leg}}(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{x}};\mathbf{q}_{y}) \mathbb{C}^{\text{leg}}(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{x}};\mathbf{q}_{y};!); \qquad (2)$$

where  $q_x$  and  $q_y (= 0; )$  are the wave numbers along the leg and rung, respectively, j oi is the eigenfunction of the lowest eigenvalue  $E_0$ , and  $z = ! + i'' + E_0$ . The operator  $A_{q_x,q_y}^{\text{leg}} = (1 = \frac{N}{N})^{-1} \downarrow_{jj} e^{i(q_x j + q_y l)} S_{l,j} \quad S_{j+1}$  is the Fourier transform of the operator for the locally exciting S = 0 two-triplet state on the neighboring sites in a chain. We set h = 1 and " = 3.0 10<sup>2</sup>. The energy is measured in units of Jk. In the expression (2),  $C^{\text{leg}}(q_x;q_y;!)$  can be represented in the form of the continued fraction, which can be calculated num erically by a Lanczos algorithm [32]. The total contribution of  $C^{\log}(q_x;q_y;!)$  for xed  $q_x$  and  $q_y$  is normalized to unity, because the following sum rule has to be satis ed:  $F^{\text{leg}}(q_x;q_y) = \int_0^{1/2} d! R^{\text{leg}}(q_x;q_y;!)$ . We use the two sets of coupling constants corresponding to the two models for SrC  $u_2O_3$ : (i)  $J_2 = J_k = 0.769$ ,  $J_{cyc} = J_k = 0.0923$ , and  $J_{diag} = J_k = 0.0153$  (Ref. 11) and (ii)  $J_2 = J_k = 0.5$  and  $J_{cyc} = J_{diag} = 0$  (Ref. 22).

In Fig. 1, R <sup>leg</sup> ( $q_x$ ;0;!) and R <sup>leg</sup> ( $q_x$ ; ;!) in the two m odels are shown for N = 28. The weight is proportional to the area of the full circle. In m odel (i) the convergences have the relative errors of about 0 (10<sup>-10</sup>) for ! < 3 and 0 (10<sup>-2</sup>) 0 (10<sup>-5</sup>) for ! > 4, while in m odel (ii) they have the relative errors of about 0 (10<sup>-12</sup>) for ! < 3 and 0 (10<sup>-3</sup>) 0 (10<sup>-5</sup>) for ! > 4. In both m odels, the large weights for given  $q_x$  lie in the lowest excited states in  $q_x = 0.4$ .



FIG.1: (a)  $R^{leg}(q_x;0;!)$  and (b)  $R^{leg}(q_x;;!)$  in model (i) and model (ii) for N = 28. The weight is proportional to the area of the full circle.

To discuss whether such lowest excited states form a lower edge of the excitation continuum or an isolated mode of the bound state, we next investigate the nitesize e ects of the poles and their residues [33] of the continued fraction  $C^{leg}(q_x;q_y;!)$  [34, 35]. As reported in Refs. 34-36 and 18, a pole which belongs to an excitation continuum tends to have an appreciable size dependence of at least either its position or its residue. Since the size dependence of the position of the pole is hardly seen, we only show the size dependence of the residue in the follow inq. In Fig. 2, we rst show  $\mathbb{R}^{\log}(q_x;0;!)$  and the size dependence of the lowest excited states of  $C^{\text{leg}}(q_x;0;!)$ for  $J_{?}$  =J\_k = 1 and J\_{cyc} = J\_diag = 0. In  $q_k$  > 0.5 , the size dependence is scarcely seen. Thus, the lowest excited states in  $q_x > 0.5$  form an isolated mode of the S = 0 two-triplet bound state, while those in  $q_x < 0.5$ form the lower edge of the excitation continuum. The results are consistent with those obtained for the other twomethods [8, 10].



FIG.2:  $R^{\text{leg}}(q_k;0;!)$  for N = 28 and the size dependence of the residues of the lowest excited states for  $C^{\text{leg}}(q_k;0;!)$  in  $J_2 = J_k = 1$  and  $J_{\text{cyc}} = J_{\text{diag}} = 0$ .

In Fig. 3, we show the size dependence of the residue for the lowest excited states. In C  $^{
m leg}$  (qx; ;!), the residues for both models have a noticeable size depen-, indicating that the lowest excited dence in 0  $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{x}}$ states of the  $q_v = m$  ode become the lower edges of the excitation continuum in the therm odynamic limit. In  $C^{\text{leg}}(q_x;0;!)$  for model (ii), on the other hand, the size dependence in  $q_x > 0.5$  is very weak, while the residue in  $q_x < 0.5$  decreases with increasing N. Therefore, the lowest excited states of the  $q_v = 0$  mode in model (ii) form an isolated mode in  $q_{\!_{\rm X}}$  > 0.5 , and those in  $q_k < 0.5$  become the lower edge of the continuum. In  $C^{leg}(q_x;0;!)$  form odel (i), the residues around  $q_x$ 0:6 and 0:8 decrease slightly with increasing N . A though it is di cult to draw a de nite conclusion, the observations m ay indicate that the lowest excited states of the  $q_v = 0$ mode in model (i) become the lower edge of the excitation continuum in  $q_x > 0$ :6 . In fact, the size dependence of the residue in  $q_x > 0$ :6 is quite similar to that for the S = 1=2 isotropic Heisenberg chain in q > 0:6 [35], where the lowest excited states form the lower edge of the two-spinon continuum. Note that in Ref. 10, it is argued that the S = 0 two-triplet bound state exists in a larger J<sub>cvc</sub>. To develop m ore de nite nite-size analysis, a larger system has to be calculated.

The optical-absorption coe cient for E k legs is given



FIG.3: The nite-size e ects of the residues of the lowest excited states for (a) C  $^{\rm leg}$  (q\_k;0;!) and (b) C  $^{\rm leg}$  (q\_k;;!) in m odel (i) and m odel (ii).

by [23, 26, 28, 29]

$$^{\text{leg}}(!) = _{\circ}! I^{\text{leg}}(! !_{\circ});$$
(3)

where  $_{\rm o}$  is a constant depending on the m aterial,  $!_{\rm o}$  is the frequency of the C u  $\,$  O bond-stretching phonon, and  $I^{\rm leg}$  (!) =  $_{\rm q_{x},q_{y}}f_{\rm q_{x},q_{y}}^{\rm leg}R^{\rm leg}(q_{x};q_{y};!)$  with the phonon form factors  $f_{\rm q_{x},0}^{\rm leg}$  =  $\sin^{4}(q_{\rm k}=2)$  and  $f_{\rm q_{x}}^{\rm leg}$ ; =  $\sin^{2}(q_{\rm k}=2)$  +  $\sin^{4}(q_{\rm k}=2)$ . To calculate  $I^{\rm leg}$ (!  $!_{\rm o}$ ), we apply the spline interpolation to the low est excited states of R  $^{\rm leg}(q_{\rm x};q_{\rm y};!)$  in the  $q_{\rm x}$  direction.

In Fig. 4, we show  $I^{leg}$  (! !<sub>o</sub>) thus obtained for the two models in N = 28. The dashed line and dotted line represent the spectra from the  $q_v = 0$  mode and mode, respectively, and the solid line indicates  $q_v =$ their sum . A noticeable di erence between the results for the two models appears. In  $I^{leg}$  (!  $!_{\circ}$ ) of model (i), the shoulder appears at ! !. 0:7 and the peak appears at ! !. 12. In  $I^{leg}$  (! !.) of model (ii), appears at  $!_{\circ}$  12. In I<sup>leg</sup> (! on the other hand, the peak appears at the low er edge of the spectrum : !  $!_{0}$  1:0. In model (i), the dispersion relation for the lowest excited states of the  $q_v = 0$  mode becomes atter, which yields the peak at ! !。 12. The shoulder structure is caused by the lowest excited states of the  $q_v =$ mode, which lies below those of the  $q_v = 0 \mod a_x$ 0:8 . Note that when we set  $J_{cyc} = 0$  in model (i), the lowest excited states of the  $q_v = m$  ode lie above those of the  $q_v = 0$  m ode and no shoulder structure em erges. Therefore, such shoulder and peak structures in m odel (i) are caused by the cyclic fourspin interaction. In m odel (ii), the dispersion relation of the lowest excited states in the  $q_v = 0$  mode lies below that of the  $q_v = m$  ode. Thus, in model (ii) no should er appears and the peak structure is seen at the low er edge of the spectrum .

In Fig. 5(a) we show the size dependence of the excitation energies for the shoulder and peak, and in Fig.



FIG.4: The optical-absorption spectra for E k legs in m odel (i) and m odel (ii) for N = 28. The dashed lines and dotted lines represent the spectra from the  $q_y = 0$  m ode and  $q_y =$ m ode, respectively, and the solid lines indicate their sum.

5 (b) we show the size dependence of their weights. As mentioned above, the shoulder is caused by the  $q_y = m$  ode (see the dotted lines in Fig. 4) and the peak is caused by the  $q_y = 0 m$  ode (see the dashed lines in Fig. 4). In m odel (i), the extrapolated excitation energies for the shoulder and peak at N ! 1 are ! !<sub>o</sub> 0.240 and ! !<sub>o</sub> 0.874, respectively. The extrapolated weights of the shoulder and peak at N ! 1 are 0.0996 and

0:474, respectively. Therefore, in model (i) the shoulder and peak structures in the optical-absorption spectrum are intrinsic. In model (ii), the extrapolated excitation energies for the shoulder and peak at N ! 1 almost coincide and take the values !  $!_{\circ}$  0:540 and 0:536 with corresponding weights of 0:0553 and 0:876. Thus, in model (ii) only one peak appears around the lower edge in the optical-absorption spectrum.

U sing  $J_k = 195m \text{ eV}$  in m odel (i) [11],  $J_k = 172m \text{ eV}$  in m odel (ii) [22], and  $!_o = 600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  commonly evaluated in cuprates [31], the excitation energies for the shoulder and peak in the m odel (i) can be evaluated as 978 cm^{-1} and 1975 cm^{-1}, respectively, while the peak in the m odel (ii) can be evaluated as 1344 cm^{-1}. Since^{-\log} (!) can be observed experimentally by the optical conductivity, such di erent characteristics between the optical-absorption spectra in the two m odels are probably detectable. The observed ndings can be e ective in determining the ap-



FIG. 5: The size dependence of (a) the excitation energies for the peaks (see the dashed lines in Fig. 4) and shoulders (see the dotted lines in Fig. 4), and of (b) their weights.

- [L] E.D agotto, Rep. Prog. Phys. 62, 1525 (1999), and references therein.
- [2] O. P. Sushkov and V. N. Kotov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1941 (1998).
- [3] K.Dam le and S.Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 57, 8307 (1998).
- [4] V.N.Kotov, O.P.Sushkov and R.Eder, Phys. Rev. B 59, 6266 (1999).
- [5] C. Jurecka and W. Brenig, Phys. Rev. B 61, 14307 (2000).
- [6] S.Trebst, H.Monien, C.J.Hamer, Z.W eihong, and R. R.P.Singh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4373 (2000).
- [7] W. Zheng, C. J. Hamer, R. R. P. Singh, S. Trebst, and H. Monien, Phys. Rev. B 63, 144410 (2001).
- [8] C. Knetter, K. P. Schmidt, M. Gruninger, and G. S. Uhrig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 167204 (2001).
- [9] M. Gruninger, M. W indt, T. Nunner, C. Knetter, K. P. Schmidt, G. Uhrig, T. Kopp, A. Freimuth, U. Ammerahl, B. Buchner, and A. Revcolevschi, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 63, 2167 (2002).
- [10] T.S.Nunner, P.Brune, T.Kopp, M.W indt, and M. Gruninger, Phys.Rev.B 66, 180404 (2002).
- [11] Y.M izuno, T.Tohyam a, and S.M aekawa, J.Low Temp. Phys117, 389 (1999).
- [12] T.Sakaiand Y.Hasegawa, Phys.Rev.B 60,48 (1999).
- [13] S.Brehmer, H.-J.Mikeska, M.Muller, N.Nagaosa, and S.Uchida, Phys. Rev. B 60, 329 (1999).
- [14] M.Matsuda, K.Katsum ata, R.S.Eccleston, S.Brehm er, and H.J.Mikeska, Phys. Rev. B 62, 8903 (2000).
- [15] Y. M izuno, T. Tohyama, and S. Maekawa, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 62, 273 (2001).
- [16] Y.Honda and T.Horiguchi, cond-m at/0106426.
- [17] K.Hijiand K.Nomura, Phys.Rev.B 65, 104413 (2002).
- [18] N.Haga and S.Suga, Phys.Rev.B 66, 132415 (2002).
- [19] M . Muller, T . Vekua, and H .-J. M ikeska, Phys. Rev. B

propriate m in im alm odel for SrC  $u_2 O_3$  .

In sum m ary, we have investigated the phonon-assisted optical-absorption spectra on the basis of the two m odels proposed for SrC  $u_2O_3$ . Characteristics of the lowest excited states have been discussed in connection with the shoulder and peak structures in the optical-absorption spectra. In the model including the e ects of a cyclic four-spin interaction, the shoulder and peak structures appear at 978cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1975cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, in the optical-absorption spectrum for E k legs. In the other m odel which describes a pure two-leg ladder, no shoulder appears and the peak appears around the lower edge of the optical-absorption spectrum for E k legs at 1344cm<sup>-1</sup>.

O ur com putational program s are based on T IT PACK version 2 by H.N ishim ori. N um erical com putations were carried out at the Yukawa Institute C om puter Facility, K yoto U niversity, and the Supercom puter C enter, the Institute for Solid State P hysics, U niversity of Tokyo. This work was supported by a G rant-in-A id for Scienti c Research from the M inistry of E ducation, C ulture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan.

66,134423 (2002).

- [20] T. Hikihara, T. M om oi, and X. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 087204 (2003).
- [21] A.Lauchli, G.Schm id, and M.Troyer, Phys. Rev. B 67, 100409 (R) (2003).
- [22] D.C.Johnston, Phys. Rev. B 54, 13009 (1996).
- [23] J. Lorenzana and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1867 (1995); Phys. Rev. B 52, 9576 (1995).
- [24] H. Suzuura, H. Yasuhara, A. Furusaki, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2579 (1996).
- [25] M. Gruninger, J. Munzel, A. Gaymann, A. Zibold, H. P. Geserich, and T. Kopp, Europhys. Lett. 35, 55 (1996).
- [26] J. Lorenzana and R. Eder, Phys. Rev. B 55, R3358 (1997).
- [27] J. D. Perkins, R. J. Birgeneau, J. M. Graybeal, M. A. Kastner, and D. S. Kleinberg, Phys. Rev. B 58, 9390 (1998).
- [28] D.Garcia, J.Eroles, and J.Lorenzana, Phys. Rev. B 58, 13574 (1998).
- [29] J. Lorenzana, J. Eroles, and S. Sorella, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5122 (1999).
- [30] M. Gruninger, D. van der Marel, A. Damascelli, A. Erb, T. Nunner, and T. Kopp, Phys. Rev. B 62, 12422 (2000).
- [31] M.W indt, M.Gruninger, T.Nunner, C.K netter, K.P. Schmidt, G.S.Uhrig, T.Kopp, A.Freimuth, U.Ammerahl, B.Buchner, and A.Revcolevschi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 127002 (2001).
- [32] E.R.G agliano and C.A.Balseiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2999 (1987).
- [33] For nite system s, C <sup>leg</sup>  $(q_x;q_y;!)$  consists of a nite num ber of Lorenzians. The pole and residue depict the position of the Lorenzian and the integrated value of each Lorenzian with respect to !.
- [34] M. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. B 50, 3045 (1994).

[35] H.Yokoyam a and Y.Saiga, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn 66, 3617 (1997). [36] N.Haga and S.Suga, Phys.Rev.B 65, 014414 (2002).