D i usion-lim ited aggregation in channel geom etry

Ellak Som fai and Robin C.Ball

Department of Physics, University of W arwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

Jason P. DeVita and Leonard M. Sander M ichigan Center for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics, University of M ichigan, Ann Arbor, M ichigan, 48109–1120 (D ated: M arch 22, 2022)

W e perform ed extensive num erical sin ulation of di usion-lim ited aggregation in two dim ensional channel geom etry. Contrary to earlier claim s, the measured fractal dim ension $D = 1.712 \quad 0.002$ and its leading correction to scaling are the same as in the radial case. The average cluster, de ned as the average conform alm ap, is sim ilar but not identical to Sa m an-Taylor ngers.

PACS num bers: 61.43 H v

Diusion-limited aggregation (DLA) has attracted considerable attention since its introduction by W itten and Sander in 1981 [1]. In this model an aggregate or cluster grows by capturing di using particles which irreversibly attach to it on st contact. This is the discrete m odel of a wide variety of physical system s in the Laplacian grow th class. This class can be modeled with a eld, satisfying the Laplace equation outside of a growing cluster, where the cluster grows in proportion to the gradient of the eld at the boundary. A generalization of DLA, known as the dielectric breakdown m odel (DBM) [2], allows grow th proportional to the eld gradient to the exponent . DLA is regained for = 1. The majority of our current know ledge about DLA is num erical (mostly in two dim ensions and radial geom etry), although progress has been made in the theoretical front as well (see eg. [3, 4]).

O ne of the controversies surrounding DLA in channel geom etry has been that the fractal dimension m ight dier from that in the radial case. This claim has been based on small size simulations [5, 6, 7] or small size calculations [8, 9]. In this paper, based on extensive numerical simulations of o -lattice DLA in channel, we show that the fractal dimension is asymptotically the same in the two geometries.

O ne of the m ost important di erences between the two geometries is that for the channel the continuum version of the problem (Laplacian growth) has a stable solution without tip splitting instability and nger competition. These stationary translating solutions | called Sa m an-Taylor ngers [10] | have been studied in viscous ngering experiments in Hele{Shaw cells [11]. In this paper we compare them with average proles of DLA clusters.

Our rst method for generating DBM in a channel is to use iterated conform alm aps. The conform alm apping method for the radial case is described in [12] and [13]. For radial DLA, a map is created from the unit circle in the w (\mathematical") plane to the unit circle with a bump at a random ly chosen angle in the physical plane; the composition of such maps is a map from the unit circle the DLA cluster. To produce DBM clusters, instead of choosing the angles at random, we use a monte-carlo m ethod to select bum p sites with the correct distribution [13].

To adapt this method to a channel, we modify the map of Stepanov and Levitov [14] for both periodic and re ective boundary conditions by requiring the map to be symmetric about the real axis. The map is given by

$$f; (w) = \ln g^{\perp} (f'(g(w)));$$
 (1)

where $g(w) = \frac{w-1}{w+1}$ is a map from the unit circle to the imaginary axis, and from the exterior of the unit circle to the positive-real half-plane. The function

$$f'(w) = \frac{w + p}{1 + p} \frac{(w - xi)^2 + 2}{(1 - xi)^2 + 2} + p \frac{(w + xi)^2 + 2}{(1 + xi)^2 + 2}$$

adds two bumps at symmetric points $x^{0}i$ and $x^{0}i$. The denominator in Eq. (2) forces f (w) to map w = 1 to 1, so that f; (w) maps in nity to in nity. The parameter controls the size of the bump, and controls the aspect ratio of the bump. Since g(w) maps 1 to in nity, we choose at random between 0 and ; and for $> \frac{1}{2}$, f; (w) becomes

$$f ; (w) = g^{1}(f'(g(w)))$$
 (3)

where the bar denotes com plex conjugation.

The actual bump positions are not at xi, but are o by a small factor determ ined by x and . The bump size is also dependent on x and . In order to get bumps at angle , f' must place bumps at $g(e^{i}) = \frac{\sin}{1+\cos}i$. We do this by an approximation method. To keep all the particles in the cluster the same size, the bump size on the unit circle is varied according to the rst derivative of the composite conform alm ap in the original version of the conform alm ap technique [12] This assumes that the higher order derivatives are negligible, which is not true deep inside a fjord. Thus particles added in a fjord can end up being very large, and som etim es can partially

Il the channel. To com bat this e ect, we measure the bump area at each step, and iteratively correct the size parameter if the area is outside of a preset tolerance (10% for the results in this paper); com pare [14].

W hile conform alm apping allows one to grow DBM for any , and directly produces a conform alm ap for the cluster boundary, it is computationally intensive. A more e cient num erical algorithm for generating o - lattice DLA (that is, = 1) is a simple adaptation of hierarchicalm aps [15] to channel geom etry. Thism ethod enables close to linear dependence of computing resources on cluster size. W e used in total1:7 10^{11} particles for the dim ension calculations, and (including probes) 4 10^{11} particles for the average pro le.

B oth periodic and re ective boundary conditions have been in plemented on the sides of the channel (the periodic boundary condition is sometimes referred to as \cylindrical"). The re ective boundary conditions is achieved as above: the cluster is grown in a channel of double width and periodic boundary conditions, and for each deposited particle we deposit also its m irror in age. At the end one of the in ages was discarded. By the conventions used in this paper the channel is given by the range w=2 < y < w=2 and the clusters grow (m acroscopically) in the positive x direction.

Fractaldim ension of channelDLA. The fractaldim ension is measured through the density. The average density scales with the width w of the channel, with exponent given by the co-dimension:

(w)
$$w^{D}$$
 (4)

To avoid transients, we discarded the rst and last 3w long section of the clusters, and measured the density (number of particle centers per area) on the remaining middle section.

W e generated clusters of 8 10^6 to 32 10^6 particles in channels of w idth w = 50;100;200;500;1000;2000;5000 particle diam eters. For each w idth the num ber of clusters grown ranged from a few hundred to a few thousand, w ith m ore and larger clusters necessary for large w idths, to achieve com parable statistical con dence in the average density.

Figure 1 shows the width (w) dependence of the effective fractal dimension $D_e = 2 + d \ln = d \ln w$. The fractal dimension tends to D = 1.712 = 0.002, independent of the choice of boundary conditions. O -lattice noise reduction [16] does not change the dimension, but accelerates the convergence to its asymptotic value.

A verage pro le. A nalytical solutions for unbranched Laplacian growth in a channel with re ective boundary conditions have been known for a long time. One can nd solutions which translate a xed pro le along the channel in time. In the absence of surface tension, these



FIG.1: a) The (e ective) fractal dimension as a function of channel width w.C incles correspond to the original model with periodic or relecting boundary conditions, the triangles are made with o -lattice noise reduction [16]. For the three cases the ensemble size (and therefore the statistical uncertainty) was diment. The curves correspond to the tted lines in the b) panel. b) The nite size scaling plot of the same quantities, see Ref. [17]. In set: All data is consistent in the w ! 1 extrapolation with the dimension D = 1:712 = 0:002. (In the inset the data is shifted horizontally for clarity.)

solutions form a one-parameter family, called Saman-Taylor (ST) ngers [10]. They are parametrized by the asymptotic ratio of the widths of the nger (w $_{nger}$) and the channel (w), and have the prole:

$$x(y) = \frac{w(1)}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} + \cos \frac{2y}{w}$$
 (5)

O fthese solutions = 1=2 is the m ost in portant, because in related experiments [10] this promethas been observed in the limit of vanishing surface tension. Analytical calculations [18, 19, 20] show that surface tension | a singular perturbation | selects a discrete set of nger solutions (only one of which is linearly stable), which all converge to the = 1=2 ST - nger in the limit of zero surface tension.

It has been suggested [21] that the = 1=2 ST - nger solution also models the average prodeb both of the unstable (highly branched) Hele{Shaw ngering and of the DLA growth in a channel with the corresponding re ective boundary conditions. The prole was dened as a level set of the ensemble averaged mass density, and for the experimental Hele{Shaw proles half the maximum level was used. For DLA growth it was later shown [22] that the level set at 0.5 maximum matches the width of = 0.56, whilst the best match to the = 1=2 prole came from the level set at 0.6 maximum. Outside that range the authors of Ref. [22] concluded they could match level sets only to nger widths but not to the full shape of any ST - nger.

Here we use a di erent kind of nger averaging, which does not have any tting param eter (eg. height of level set), as follows. In the conform alm ap method, we directly average them ap. That is, we choose a set of points on the unit circle in the mathematical plane, and repeatedly map to the physical plane. The position of the image points averaged over the di erent maps, that is, over the di erent clusters that we have generated, is a reasonable alternative to the ensem ble average of [21, 22].

In Fig. 2(a) we show the average conform alm ap generated this way. We see that the average m ap for DLA, = 1, does not correspond to the ST result for = 1=2, but we get a good m atch to it for the DBM growth at = 12. This is an interesting result, especially in the context of recently proposed equivalences between DBM m odels with generalized local spatial cuto . In that fram ew ork [3, 4] a higly ram i ed viscous nger with sim – ple surface tension cuto corresponds to standard (xed size cuto) DBM with 12. Here we observe that the non-branching ST – nger solution is very sim ilar to the conform al average of DBM clusters of the same .

To use DLA grown with random walking particles in a channel, we need only construct the conform alm ap from the com plex unit circle to the perimeter of each cluster, and take the average of these maps, as above. The conformal map is obtained numerically by the following method [17]. We send M probe particles to the frozen cluster, record their in pact position, and discard them. These points correspond to M uniform ly distributed points on the unit circle. The landing positions of the probe particles are labeled topologically as one encounters them when tracking the perimeter of the cluster. Finally the m-th point is assigned to the angle 2 m =M of the unit circle. This angle has an error of the order of M $^{1=2}$, which vanishes for large M.

W e m easured the average conform alm ap on channels with re ective boundary conditions, widths ranging from 10 to 2000 particle diam eters. For each width, we grew 10^5 short clusters (only about 10w long), and probed each with 10^5 test particles. In addition, for a few selected widths we probed 10^4 clusters with 10^6 probes each.

The average map for a wide channel generated this way is shown in Fig. 2(b). The curvature of the tip is consistent with that of the = 1=2 ST - nger (the mea-



FIG.2: a) The average conform alm ap, generated with iterated conform alm aps for = 1, 12 and 15. The prole for = 12 comes the closest to the ST-nger solution for = 1=2. b) The average map of DLA clusters grown with random walking particles in a 1000 particle-diam eter wide reective channel. The prole does not follow any ST-nger solution.

sured curvature of the DLA pro le for w = 1000 or 2000 corresponds to $= 0.51 \quad 0.03$). The asym ptotic width, how ever, is larger. The average m ap signi cantly di ers also from the ST – nger of m atching asym ptotic width.

The average conform alm ap, rescaled onto a unit wide channel, show s strong dependence on the channel width. This is shown on F ig.3 as a function of reduced x position relative to the tip, = $(x \quad x_{tip})=w$. Details of the tip and tail regions show that these are clearly not consistent with a common asymptotic ST – nger shape.

We performed a nite size scaling on the w dependence of the nger width. The lling ratio of the nger w $_{nger}=w = [y_{+}(x) y (x)]=w$ was measured at selected values: = 0.5, 1, and 1.5, and is plotted on Fig. 4. The nite size scaling exponent was found to be = 0.33, same as for other quantities [16, 17]. The most interesting is the second extrapolation: ! 1. We only have 3 points for this, so it is only reasonable to give a lower bound: w $_{nger}=w > 0.62$.

In summary, using large scale random walker based simulations we have shown that the fractal dimension of DLA in a channel with either periodic or re ective



FIG. 3: The w dependence of the average conform alm ap, rescaled onto a unit wide channel. The tip and the tail are m agni ed on the bottom panels.



FIG. 4: Finite size scaling of the nger lling ratio w $_{nger}()=w$, measured at a few xed values. Only channels 50 w 2000 were included in the ts. The nite size scaling gives the extrapolation w ! 1 . For the second extrapolation, ! 1, we can only state that w $_{nger}=w^{>}$ 0:62.

boundary conditions is the same as in radial geom etry. This is a great simplication compared to earlier claims of boundary condition (geom etry) dependent fractal dimension. Second, using both iterated conformal maps and random walker based simulations, we measured the average prole of the clusters, de ned by the average conformal map, and compared them to ST - nger solutions of the corresponding continuum problem. The averaged DLA prole is reminiscent but distinct from the ST - ngers, while the average prole of DBM clusters with = 12 are rather similar to the ST - nger with = 1=2.

W e are indebted to D ave K essler for the suggestion of m easuring the average conform alm ap. This research has been supported by the EC under Contract No. HPM F-CT-2000-00800. The computing facilities were provided by the Centre for Scienti c C on puting of the U niversity of W arw ick, with support from the JREI.

E lectronic address: e.som fai@ warwick.ac.uk

- [1] T.A.W itten and L.M. Sander, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 1400 (1981).
- [2] L.N iem eyer, L.P ietronero, and H.J.W iesm ann, Phys. Rev.Lett. 52, 1033 (1984).
- [3] R.C. Ball and E. Som fai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 135503 (2002), cond-m at/0205660.
- [4] R. C. Ball and E. Som fai, Phys. Rev. E 67, 021401 (2003), cond-m at/0210598.
- [5] P.M eakin and F.Fam ily, Phys. Rev. A 34, 2558 (1986).
- [6] F.Argoul, A.Ameodo, G.Grasseau, and H.L.Swinney, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2558 (1988).
- [7] C. Evertsz, Phys. Rev. A 41, 1830 (1990).
- [8] B.Koland A.Aharony, Phys. Rev. E 62, 2531 (2000).
- [9] B.Koland A.Aharony, Phys. Rev. E 63, 046117 (2001).
- [10] P.G.Sa m an and G.Taylor, Proc.R.Soc.Lond.A 245, 312 (1958).
- [11] H.Thom e, M.Rabaud, V.Hakim, and Y.Couder, Phys. Fluids A 1, 224 (1989).
- [12] M.B.Hastings and L.S.Levitov, Physica D 116, 244 (1998).
- [13] M. B. Hastings, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 175502 (2001), cond-m at/0103312.
- [14] M.G. Stepanov and L.S. Levitov, Phys. Rev. E 63, 061102 (2001), cond-m at/0005456.
- [15] R.C.Balland R.M.Brady, J.Phys.A 18, L809 (1985).
- [16] R.C.Ball, N.E.Bow ler, L.M. Sander, and E.Som fai, Phys. Rev. E 66, 026109 (2002), cond-m at/0108252.
- [17] E.Som fai, L.M. Sander, and R.C.Ball, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5523 (1999), cond-m at/9909040.
- [18] R.Combescot, T.Dombre, V.Hakin, Y.Pomeau, and A.Pumir, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2036 (1986).
- [19] B.I.Shraim an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2028 (1986).
- [20] D.C.Hong and J.S.Langer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2032 (1986).
- [21] A. A meodo, Y. Couder, G. Grasseau, V. Hakim, and M. Rabaud, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 984 (1989).
- [22] A. Ameodo, J. Elezgaray, M. Tabard, and F. Tallet, Phys. Rev. E 53, 6200 (1996).