# Superconducting nanobridges under magnetic elds

J.G. Rodrigo, H. Suderow, and S. Vieira Laboratorio de Bajas Temperaturas, Departamento de Fisica de la Materia Condensada Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales Nicolas Cabrera, Facultad de Ciencias Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

## Abstract

We report on the study of superconducting nanotips and nanobridges of lead with a Scanning Tunnelling M icroscope in tunneland point contact regimes. We dealwith three diment structures. A nanotip that remains superconducting under a eld of 2 Tesla. For this case we present model calculations of the order parameter, which are in good agreement with the experiments. An asymmetric nanobridge of lead showing a two steps loss of the Andreev excess current due to dimensional dissipation phenomena in each side of the structure. A study of the eld of the thermal uctuations on the Josephson coupling between the two sides of a superconducting nanobridge submitted to magnetic elds. The dimensioners were made under magnetic elds up to twenty we times the volume critical eld of lead, and in a temperature range between 0.6 K and 7.2 K.

PACS num bers: 61.16.Ch, 62.20 Fe, 73.40.Cg

Corresponding author: S.Vieira

e-m ail: sebastian.vieira@ uam .es

Fax: + 34 91 397 3961

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

Twenty years after the invention by G.B innig and H.Rohrer of the Scanning Tunneling M icroscope (STM)[1], the scienti c community has found a large diversity of interesting applications to this instrument. In addition to its capability of obtaining topographical information about conducting surfaces, the STM can also be used to make local vacuum tunneling spectroscopy measurements. It is frequent to nd the acronym STM/S which indicates an experimental set-up that is optimized to accomplish both, microscopic and spectroscopic functions[2].

One of the most remarkable applications is the manipulation of atoms. The capability to have a nger in the atom ic realm has permitted to a selected group of skilled scientists to build atom by atom well de ned structures on well characterized conducting surfaces[3]. A fascinating world of new physical phenom ena and technological developments is born, as envisioned by the gifted mind of R P. Feynman already in 1959[4].

The unprecedented control on the approach between m acroscopic size objects that the STM has introduced, has made possible experiments going from the jump to the one atom contact, towards the evolution of connective necks of very small sizes [5, 6, 7]. The observed conductance steps and its relationship to the quantization of the conductance has triggered a considerable number of experimental and theoretical works. In some superconducting elements, as Pb, Nb or Al, measured at liquid helium temperatures, it has been possible, using the highly non linear form of the subgap conductance due to Andreev relation processes, to relate the electronic conduction through a one atom contact to the number of transm it-ting channels[8]. This number turns out to be characteristic of the electronic structure of the connecting atom , in agreement with previous experiments and calculations for several norm alm etals [9].

A breakthrough in the world of nano-engineering has been the construction of chains of atom s of gold and the characterization of its mechanical and electrical properties [10, 11]. The forces involved in the process of form ation and the dissipation mechanism s have been investigated in detail in this unique one-dimensional system . Recently, atom ic chains of gold atom s connecting superconducting electrodes were also created an studied [12]. In this case, the electrodes were superconducting lead, on top of which a thin lm (of thickness 20nm) of gold was evaporated, where superconductivity was induced by the proximity electrodes.

In this paper we are going to focus on a superconducting nanostructure that has been experimentally studied in our group for the st time, and which consists of a superconducting nanobridge connected to two normal bulky electrodes [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. As we will show later on, the work of Prof. J.T. Devreese, to which this volume is devoted, and his group in Antwerp have contributed signic cantly to the understanding of its physical behavior.

#### II. SUPERCONDUCTING LEAD NANOBRIDGES

### A. Fabrication and general behavior under m agnetic elds

Approaching carefully the tip and sample of an STM by measuring the tunneling current for an applied bias voltage, it is possible to observe a clear jump in the conductance when the mechanical contact between tip and sample is attained. Pushing further on the tip into the sample, a neck of variable cross-section is form ed. The area of the minim alcross-section can be estimated if the electronic mean free path is larger than the typical dimensions of the contact. In this case, transport is ballistic and Sharvin's form ula applies. The neck can subsequently be elongated using the displacement capabilities of the piezo element connected to the tip, creating a nanobridge (NB) between both electrodes.

We have shown in previous papers that it is possible to induce order in the bridge by means of a mechanical annealing procedure [7, 14]. A sequence of pushing and pulling processes of small amplitude are superposed to a large, continuous pulling that de nes the length of the nanobridge.

During the form ation of the NB bias voltage is kept constant, and the current follows a staircase pattern that can be measured neatly during the small amplitude pushing and pulling cycles. Offen, very reproducible patterns are measured, indicating that the neck evolves through very similar atom ic congurations. Simultaneous measurements of the conductance and the force have shown that this staircase behavior of the conductance is due to a sequence of plastic and elastic deform ations occurring within the neck [19].

Simple models have been proposed to obtain good information about the shape of the NB [14, 20]. As it is known, lead is a very ductile material which permits to obtain easily nanobridges as long as several hundreds nanometers. The nanostructure resulting from

the fabrication process, which can be followed until the rupture of the neck, consists of two sim ilar opposed cone-like sides. The NB can separated into two parts, and we obtain two tips of nanoscopic dimensions with an apex of atom ic size. Due to the reduced atom ic mobility at very low temperatures the nanostructures do not change its form with time. Figure 1 shows schem atically the above mentioned stuctures, as well as a typical conductance curve obtained in tunnelling regime at 1.5 K and zero magnetic eld.

We are going to address now our attention to the study of these structures when an external magnetic eld is applied. It is well established on them odynam ical grounds that to destroy superconductivity with a magnetic eld, the provided magnetic energy must equal at least the condensation energy, which is given by  $\frac{1}{2}$  of  $H_c^2$ , with  $H_c$  the therm odynam ic critical eld. Bulk lead is a type I superconductor whose critical eld is (at 0K) of about 800G. The characteristic superconducting coherence and penetration lengths are  $_0 = 51$  nm and = 32 nm (also at 0K and H = 0). Typical lead NB's have lengths several times larger than  $_0$ , but its lateral dimensions are well below . In that case, there is no significant M eissner screening, and therefore the magnetic eld needed to destroy superconductivity has to be much higher than the bulk critical eld,  $H_{cl}$ , in order to provide the required magnetic energy. In this way, a superconducting nanobridge (SNB) connected in a unique and perfect way to norm al electrodes of the sam e material is obtained, when the applied magnetic eld exceeds  $H_{cl}$ . The transport properties of these type of SNB have been studied in detail, changing the diam eter of the minimal cross section, the tem perature and the magnetic eld.

Here we present recent results in SNB's created under high magnetic elds. The process of form ation and elongation of the nanobridge is continuously controlled by a monitorization of the I-V curves at each elongation cycle. When superconductivity nucleates in the nanobridge, characteristic superconducting features appear in the I-V curves, determ ining the actual creation of a SNB. We have measured the tunnelling density of states, after completely breaking a SNB created under a magnetic eld of 2T, and we compare the results with some theoretical models. We also discuss two interesting experiments, where we focus on the very diment superconducting behaviors found in asymmetric NB's due to the heating induced by the circulating current, and we demonstrate how thermal uctuations in uence superconductivity at nanoscopic dimensions.

B. Superconducting lead nanotips (SN T) at 2T: experim ents and m odel calculations

As a result of the nupture of a nanobridge, two, in principle equal, nanotips were form ed. The one corresponding to the STM tip is moved to another position on the surface of the sample. The tunneling characteristics of this N-S junction give us directly the superconducting density of states of the nanotip, convoluted with the voltage derivative of the Ferm i function. In Fig.2 (a) we represent the evolution (at 2 Tesla) of the conductance curves of such a junction as a function of the tem perature. Superconducting features disappear near 4.5K, indicating that the tip becomes norm al.

These results can be understood quantitatively in the fram ework of the G inzburg Landau calculations of M isko, Fom in and D evreesse [21]. These authors obtained in detail the spatial changes of the square of the order param eter when a magnetic eld higher than  $H_{c1}$ is applied, using a realistic geometry. In this way, they were able to map the amount of superconducting phase along the SNB. Their calculations have made clear how strong gradients of the super uid concentration can be established at magnetic elds much higher than the bulk critical eld. In this way, these authors signi cantly improved our rst approach to the problem in which the nanobridge was modelled by a wire of a few nanom eters in diam eter[15].

Following the characterization in the tunneling regime, the SNT was brought into contact, with the same applied magnetic eld (2 Tesla), without changing its geometry. This contact has a resistance of 400 , and assuming that the conduction is in the ballistic limit and using Sharvin's formula, its can be estimated to correspond to a diameter of 0.8 nm . Transport in this ballistic contact relects the contribution of Andreev relection processes, as it can be seen in Fig.2b, where we plot the conductance curves obtained at several temperatures between 0.8 and 4 K

We have analyzed these experiments following the model of [16]. The magnetic eld enters as an elective, position dependent pair breaking rate. The equations are solved selfconsistently, allowing to obtain a complete description of the superconducting behaviour of the SNT in terms of energy and distance to the tip apex. An important result of this theoretical approach is that, in agreement with experiments, a gapless regime is attained in the apex region already at a modest magnetic eld. The proximity elect from the neighboring

norm al regions plays a crucial role to produce this singular situation, as it induces a nite density of states at the Ferm i level at the tip apex. The SNT is represented as a conelike structure (inset of Fig.4) and the thing parameters used in the calculation were  $I_{cone} = 200$ nm, = 25 nm, H = 2 T, opening angle = 15. The results of the calculation are represented in Fig.3a. We want to remark that once the parameters are chosen in order to the curve at the lowest tem perature, they are kept constant along the subsequent tem perature variations. The qualitative agreem ent is excellent. It is noteworthy to remark that our experim ent can be also modelled using a BCS density of states with a pair breaking parameter ; as introduced in Ref.[22]. Fitting the curve at the lowest tem perature we get  $= 0:5m \, \text{eV}$ . This value is kept xed in the whole tem perature range (as H is also kept constant), so we can infer the tem perature dependence of  $\cdot$ . In Fig.3b we plot the conductance vs bias curves calculated within this analysis, and in Fig.4 we plot the tem perature dependence of from both models. Note that the result in Fig.4 is basically the same within both approaches. However, there are tiny but relevant di erences in the calculation of the conductance. The m odel of R ef. [16] gives m uch better account of the V -shaped characteristics observed in the experiments at low temperatures.

Note that the tem perature dependence of can also be obtained using the curves in the contact regime shown in Fig.3b. However, heating e ects, which we treat below in more detailmake a precise interpretation di cult.

#### C. A sym m etric superconducting bridges under m agnetic elds

As a result of the process of creation a of a SNB, we can indialso situations in which each half of the SNB presents a dimension of the error geometry. The asymmetry produces specing features in the I-V curves measured in contact regime under magnetic edd. An ideal curve in this case, without heating elects, would present Josephson current at zero bias, the associated subharm onic gap structure (related to 1 and 2), and nally a constant excess current equal to  $\frac{8}{3eR_N} - \frac{1+2}{2}$ . A real curve, corresponding to an actual asymmetric SNB, will present complex features due to the heating elects resulting of a dimension rate in each half. Thus, the expected loss of the excess current will take place in a two step process. O ne part of the SNB will become norm all before the other as voltage and current (and therefore, power) are increased along the acquisition of the I-V curve. It is, therefore, the

presence of heating the only way to unambiguously determ ine the existence of an asymmetric SNB.

The actually measured I-V curve at a high external magnetic eld is somewhat more complex because it is the result of a competition between the two consequences of having a SNB structure. Sharpening the SNB produces an enhancem ent of superconductivity, but it also leads to an increase of the heating e ects on the I-V curve due to a worse heat dissipation [23]. In q.5 we present curves corresponding to two di erent SNB geometries obtained in a eld of 0.6 Tesla, with the same norm alstate resistance. These results illustrate the above mentioned situation. In g. 5a, curve A presents the highest value of Josephson current, thus it corresponds to the largest value for in both sides of the neck, and therefore to the neck with the sharpest geometry. This SNB presents the largest heating e ects, as observed in the two-step loss of the excess current which disappears completely at 4.8 mV (g.5b). Curve B, with a lower Josephson current, corresponds to a less sharp SNB, with a better heat dissipation rate. Correspondingly, the observed loss of the excess current occurs at a higher voltage (7.3 mV, see g. 5b) than in curve A. The magnetic eld behavior of these asymmetric bridges is shown in Fig.6. While the position of the feature corresponding to the st loss of the excess current (one of the sides of the SNB becoming norm al) remains almost constant, we observe that the voltage at which the second loss takes place varies strongly with the applied eld.

Note that this behavior is only observed under magnetic elds, where the di erent geom etry of the two parts of the nanobridge gives two di erent spatial evolutions of the superconducting order parameter. O byiously the situation is more complicated, because the e ective superconducting part of the nanostructure is also changing when the current increases during the heating process.

C learly, it is possible to obtain relevant inform ation about this nanostructure by a careful analysis of the observed characteristic curves. The capability of changing in-situ magnetic

eld, cross-section, tem perature and bias voltage gives the possibility to study interesting non-equilibrium e ects rst reported in [17].

D. Josephson coupling and therm al uctuations in superconducting nanobridges under magnetic elds

We discuss now another aspect of our measurements, related to the Josephson e ect in these nanobridges at  $H > H_{cl}$ . A zero eld study of these structures was previously reported in ref.[24]. In superconducting bridges of resistances below a thousand , the Josephson coupling energy is much higher than the therm alenergy in the relevant tem perature range, and the Josephson feature at zero bias is very clearly measured. W hen the tem perature is increased up to T<sub>c</sub> therm al uctuations appear in a very narrow tem perature interval close to T<sub>c</sub>. This leads to the appearance of a nite conductance at zero bias when we approache T<sub>c</sub>. In Fig.7 we show the zero bias conductance as a function of the reduced tem perature for di erent magnetic elds. At each magnetic eld, we have identi ed the corresponding. Tas the tem perature where we loose superconducting features in the I-V curves. At low magnetic eds, the conductance rapidly increases when we cool below  $T_c$ . But at higher magnetic elds (see Fig.7), when superconductivity becomes con ned to a very small region around the neck, we can observe a nite zero bias conductance in a very large tem perature range. This indicates that the magnetic eld dram atically increases therm al uctuations within the bridge. The change of size of the superconducting region, which has shrunk from the bulk value to a nanom etric size superconducting bubble located at the center of the nanobridge,

must be on the origin of this e ect. M ore detailed experiments will be done changing the m inimal cross section, the magnetic eld, temperature and smallest cross section in well characterized bridges.

### III. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have shown a characterization of several lead nanostructures fabricated with the STM under magnetic elds. Experimental results and model calculations of the density of states of superconducting nanotips in the tunnel and point contact regimes have been presented. We have also discussed a series of features associated to asymmetric superconducting nanobridges under magnetic eld. New and interesting elects associated to a non uniform heat dissipation in these structures have been observed. The Josephson elect in nanometric size weak links under magnetic elds and the role of therm all uctuations was

studied by measuring one of these structures as a function of tem perature. The relevance of therm all uctuations increases under magnetic elds.

In conclusion, we rem ark the good agreem ent between experiments and model calculations in these nanostructures. We also stress the relevance of this type of nanostructures for the future development of a new technology using elements of nanoscopic dimensions.

It is a great pleasure for the authors to contribute to this volum e in hom age to P rof. J.T. Devreesse. The discussions with P rof. J.T. Devreesse and coworkers have revealed to us m any important aspects of superconducting nanostructures, a eld where his contribution is of great relevance.

#### IV. ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

We also acknow ledge discussions and helpful support from E.Bascones, W.Belzig and F.Guinea. Financial support from the ESF program m e VORTEX and from the MCyT (Spain; grant MAT-2001-1281-C02-0) is also acknow ledged. The Laboratorio de Bajas Tem peraturas is associated to the ICMM of the CSIC.

- [1] G.Binnig, H.Rohrer, Ch.Gerber and E.Weibel, Appl. Phys. Lett. 40, 178 (1982)
- [2] See e.g.C. J. Chen, "Introduction to Scanning Tunneling M icroscopy", O x ford Series in O ptical and Im aging Sciences, O x ford University Press (1993).
- [3] M F. Crommie, C P. Lutz, D M. Eigler. Science 262, 218-220 (1993).
- [4] \There's P lenty of Room at the Bottom " (1959) in Richard P.Feynman, Je rey Robbins. The P leasure of Finding Things Out: the best short works. Penguin. 1999
- [5] J.K.G im zew ski and R.M oller, Phys. Rev. B 36, 1284 (1987).
- [6] N.Agrat, J.G.Rodrigo and S.Vieira, Phys. Rev. B 47, 12345 (1993).
- [7] N.Agra t, J.G.Rodrigo, C.Sirvent and S.Vieira, Phys. Rev. B 48, 8499 (1993).
- [8] E. Scheer, N. Agrat, A. Cuevas, A. Levy-Yeyati, B. Ludolph, A. Martn-Rodero, G. Rubio, J. M. van Ruitenberg and C. Urbina, Nature 394, 154 (1998).
- [9] C. Sirvent, J.G. Rodrigo, S. Vieira, L. Jurczyszyn, N.M. ingo, and F. Flores, Phys. Rev. B 53, 16086–16090 (1996).

- [10] Yanson et al, Nature 395, 783 (1998).
- [11] G.Rubio-Bollinger, S.R.Bahn, N.Agra t, K.W. Jacobsen, and S.Vieira Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 026101 (2001)
- [12] G.Rubio-Bollinger et al., submitted.
- [13] JG.Rodrigo, N.Agra t and S.Vieira, Phys.Rev.B, 50, 374 (1994).
- [14] C.Untiedt, G.Rubio, S.Vieira and N.Agrat, Phys. Rev. B 56, 2154 (1997).
- [15] M. Poza, E. Bascones, J.G. Rodrigo, N. Agrat, S. Vieira and F. Guinea, Phys. Rev. B 58, 11173 (1998).
- [16] H. Suderow, E. Bascones, W. Belzig, F. Guinea, S. Vieira Europhysics Letters, 50, 749 (2000).
- [17] H. Suderow, S. Vieira, Physics Letters A, 275, 299 (2000).
- [18] H. Suderow, E. Bascones, A. Izquierdo, F. Guinea, S. Vieira, Phys Rev. B, 65, 100519 (R) (2002).
- [19] N.Agra t et al. Phys. Rev. Lett., 74, 3995 (1995); G.Rubio et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2032 (1996); N.Agra t et al. Thin Solid Film s, 253, 199 (1994).
- [20] Z.Gaietal, Phys. Rev. B, 58, 2185 (1998).
- [21] V R.M isko, V M. Fom in and J.T. Devreese, Phys. Rev. B, 64, 14517 (2001).
- [22] R.C.D ynes, V.Narayam urtiand J.P.Gamo, Phys. Rev Lett. 41, 1509 (1978).
- [23] M. Tinkham et al. J. of Applied Physics, 48, 1311 (1977).
- [24] J.G. Rodrigo, N. Agrat, C. Sirvent and S. Vieira, et al. Phys. Rev. B 50, 12788 (1994).
- [25] See e.g.A. Barone and G. Paterno, \Physics and Applications of the Josephson E ect", W iley, New York (1982) and K K. Likharev, \D ynam ics of Josephson junctions and circuits", G ordon and Breach, 1986.



FIG.1: The three main structures described in the text are shown schematically: a) nanobridge (NB), b) neck, and c) nanotip (NT). In d) we show a typical experimental conductance curve obtained in tunneling regime, in zero magnetic eld, at 1.5 K. (tunnel resistance is  $R_N = 1.5 M$ ).



FIG.2: (a.) Experimental conductance curves obtained in tunneling regime. The tip was sharpened and elongated as described in the text. The external magnetic eld is 2 Tesla. Temperature is varied from 0.8 K to 4 K, from bottom to top (curves are shifted for clarity).  $R_N = 1.5 M$ . (b.) Experimental conductance curves obtained in contact regime. Features due to Andreev rejustions and heating eld is 2 Tesla. Temperature is varied from 0.8 K to 4 K, from top to bottom (curves are shifted for clarity).  $R_N = 400$ .



FIG. 3: (a) Conductance curves obtained using the form alism of ref. [16] and the parameters indicated in the text. A fter thing the curve at the low est tem perature (bottom), all the parameters are kept constant except tem perature, that is varied from 1K to 4 K (in 0.5 K steps) in order to reproduce the experimental results (curves are shifted for clarity). (b) Conductance curves obtained after thing the experimental curves in tunneling regime using the D ynes form alism. The pair braking parameter, = 0.5 m eV, resulting after thing the curve at the low est tem perature (bottom), is kept constant for the rest of the curves. is varied at each tem perature (from 1K to 4 K, in 0.5 K steps) in order to the experiment. (curves are shifted for clarity).



FIG. 4: Evolution of the superconducting gap at the tip apex vs temperature obtained from the di erent m odels described in the text. Inset: M odel geom etry used to reproduce the experimental evolution of the conductance curves vs temperature. The tip is modelled as a long and sharp cone attached to an in nite bulk electrode. The parameters used in the calculations were:  $L_{cone}$ = 200 nm, = 25 nm, H = 2 T, opening angle = 15.



FIG.5: I-V curves (a) and C onductance curves (b) obtained in contact regime, in the presence of a magnetic eld, H = 0.6 Tesla, at 1 K, corresponding to two di erent asymmetric nanobridges. The nanobridge with the sharpest geometry (A, dotted line) presents an enhanced superconductivity (larger Josephson current) and larger heating e ects (worse dissipation). In both cases  $R_N = 180$ . (Curves in (b) are shifted for clarity)



FIG.6: C onductance curves obtained at di erent m agnetic elds for the two nanobridges presented in g.5. In all cases  $R_N = 180$  and T = 1 K. (C urves are shifted for clarity)



FIG.7: Evolution of the zero bias conductance for a SNB as a function of the reduced tem perature  $(T T_c)=T_c$ , for various magnetic elds ( $T_c$  is measured for each eld, see text; lines are guides to the eye). The smallest contact is of low resistance (about 100) and the SNB has a zero tem perature critical eld of about 1.7 T. The tem perature regime where phase uctuations appear considerably increases at high magnetic elds.