M.E.Gehm, S.L.Hemmer, K.M.O'Hara, and J.E.Thomas Physics Department, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708-0305 (Dated: April 14, 2024)

We derive the elastic collision rate for a harm onically-trapped Ferm igas in the extrem e unitarity limit where the s-wave scattering cross section is $\langle k \rangle = 4 = k^2$, with hk the relative m om entum. The collision rate is given in the form $= I(T=T_F)|$ the product of a universal collision rate = $k_B T_F = (6 \ h)$ and a dimensionless function of the ratio of the temperature T to the Ferm i temperature T_F . We not I has a peak value of ' 4:6 at $T=T_F$ ' 0:4, I ' 82 $(T=T_F)^2$ for $T=T_F$ 0:15, and I ' 2 $(T_F=T)^2$ for $T=T_F > 1:5$. We estim ate the collision rate for recent experiments on a strongly-interacting degenerate Ferm i gas of atom s.

PACS num bers: 03.75.Ss, 32.80.P j

IN T R O D U C T IO N

Recently, we [1] and several other groups [2, 3, 4, 5] have begun exploring the strongly-interacting regime in degenerate Ferm i gases of atom s. In these experim ents, a magnetic eld is applied to the atom ic samples to tune the interparticle interactions to the vicinity of a Feshbach resonance where the scattering length is large compared to the interparticle spacing. In this regime, new forms of high temperature super uidity are predicted [6, 7, 8] and strongly anisotropic expansion has been observed [1, 4, 5]. As we pointed out in Ref. [1], the strongly interacting regim e leads to unitarity-lim ited mean eld interactions as well as unitarity-lim ited collision dynam ics. In the latter case, the scattering cross section is the order of $4 = k_{\rm F}^2$, where $k_{\rm F}$ is the Ferm is a vevector. In the unitarity limit, the collision rate assumes a universal form and is proportional to the Ferm i energy $k_B T_F$. At su ciently low tem peratures, Pauli blocking m ay suppress the unitarity-lim ited elastic collision rate for the trapped gas, producing an e ectively collision less regime. However, a theoretical study of Pauli blocking in the unitarity-limited regime has not been presented previously, making it di cult to accurately estimate the collision rate. The primary purpose of this paper is to present such a treatm ent.

Several groups have examined the e ects of Pauli blocking on the elastic collision rate for an energyindependent cross section [9, 10, 11]. For com parison to the collision rates obtained with a unitarity-lim ited cross section, we begin by deriving a form ula for the collision rate in a harm onic trap as a function of temperature for an energy-independent cross section . The results reproduce those obtained in Ref. [10] within 10% [12]. W e then extend the treatm ent to include the energy dependence of the cross section in the extrem e unitarity $\lim it$, where the zero energy scattering length a_s satises jk_F a_s j 1 and the gas is strongly interacting. We show that the num erically calculated collision rates for both the energy-independent and unitarity-lim ited crosssections agree with analytic expressions derived for the

high-tem perature lim it.

The nalpart of the paper de nes a hydrodynam ic param eter $= =!_{?}$, the ratio of unitarity-limited collision rate to the transverse oscillation frequency $!_{?}$ of atom s in the trap. We calibrate by observing the threshold for hydrodynam ic expansion of a strongly-interacting Ferm i gas as a function of evaporation time [1]. We estimate

for several recent experim ents on strongly interacting Ferm igases [1, 4, 5].

CALCULATING THE COLLISION RATE

W e consider a simple m odel that assumes s-wave scattering is dominant [13]. In this case, the collision cross section takes the form

$$(k) = \frac{4 a_{\rm S}^2}{1 + k^2 a_{\rm S}^2}; \qquad (1)$$

where k is the relative wavevector of a colliding pair of spin up and spin down ferm ionic atom s.

In the trap, the average collision rate per particle, , is determined from the s-wave Boltzm ann equation [14] under the assumption of su cient ergodicity. We consider the rate for the process in which a spin up and a spin down atom of total energy in = $_3 + _4$ collide to produce atom s with total energy out = $_1 + _2$. The effects of Pauli blocking are included for the particles on the outgoing channel, and we assume a 50-50 m ixture of atom s in the two spin states. The depletion term in the Boltzm ann equation for the particle of energy $_4$ is integrated over $_4$ to determ ine the collision rate for either spin state (as a collision inherently includes one atom of each spin). For an energy-independent cross-section, the integrated loss rate is then N=2 N =2, and

$$\frac{N}{2} = \frac{M}{{}^{2}h^{3}} d_{1}d_{2}d_{3}d_{4}D(min)$$
(2)
$$({}_{1}+{}_{2} {}_{3} {}_{4})(1 {}_{1}f_{1})(1 {}_{2}f_{3}f_{4};$$

where is the number of collisions per second per atom and N is the total number of atom s in the trap. Here, D ($_{\rm m \ in}$) is the density of states evaluated at the energy $_{\rm m \ in}$ = m inf $_1;$ $_2;$ $_3;$ $_4g.$ f_i = 1=(g_i + 1) is the occupation number with g_i = exp[($_1$)=k_B T], and the chem ical potential [15]. At zero tem perature, the chem – ical potential is given by the Fermi energy (0) = $_{\rm F}$ = (3N)^{1=3}h! = k_B T_F, where ! = (! $_2^2$! $_z$)^{1=3} with ! $_2$ and ! $_z$ the transverse and axial trap oscillation frequencies of a cylindrically symmetric trap.

For ferm ions, the collision cross section of Eq.1 for $jka_S j$ 1 is half that for bosons, i.e., = 4 a_S^2 . We begin by determining for this case.

Energy-Independent C ross-Section

The integrand in Eq. 2 is readily shown to be symmetric under the interchange of all four particle labels. Hence, without loss of generality, we multiply the integrand by 4, and take $\min_{\min} = 1$, and $D(\min_{\min}) = [\frac{2}{1}=(2h^3!^3)]_{21}$ and $\max_{\min} = 1$, and $D(\min_{\min}) = [\frac{2}{1}=(2h^3!^3)]_{21}$ and $\max_{\min} = 1$, and $\max_{\min} = 1$, and $\max_{\min} = 1$.

It is useful to write the collision rate as the product of a natural collision rate $_{\rm E\ I}$, which depends on the trap param eters, and a dimensionless integral $I_{\rm E\ I}$ (T=T_F), which describes the tem perature-dependence,

$$= E_{\rm EI} I_{\rm EI} (T = T_{\rm F})$$
 (3)

W e take the natural collision rate to be the classical collision rate at T = $T_{\rm F}$,

$$_{\rm EI} = \frac{\rm N \ M \ ! \ ^3}{4 \ ^2 k_{\rm B} \ T_{\rm F}} \, . \tag{4}$$

Note that the rate is 1/4 of that obtained in a spinpolarized Bose gas. W ith this choice, I_{EI} becomes

$$I_{EI}(T=T_F) = 144 \qquad dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 x_1^2 f(x_1 + x_2)$$

$$f(x_1 + x_3) [1 f(x_1)] [1 f(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)]: (5)$$

Here f(x) = 1 = [g(x) + 1], where $g(x) = \exp[(T_F = T)(x)]$

= $_{\rm F}$)]. W e assume that for the cases of interest, the trap depth is large compared to $_{\rm F}$ and $k_{\rm B}$ T .

 $I_{E\,I}$ is readily determ ined by numerical integration using standard results for the chem ical potential as a function of $T=T_F$ [15]. At low temperature, $T=T_F$ 0.2, we nd that $I_{E\,I}$ is well t by $I_{E\,I}(T=T_F)$ '15 $(T=T_F)^2$, which displays the quadratic dependence expected for P auli blocking in both nal states. At high temperature, $T=T_F>1.5$, we nd the expected temperature-dependence, $I_{E\,I}(T=T_F)$ ' $T_F=T$ as shown below. The complete function $I_{E\,I}(T=T_F)$ is plotted in Fig.1A. The m aximum value, $I_{E\,I}$ ' 1.3 occurs for $T=T_F$ ' 0.5. This demonstrates that $_{E\,I}$ is essentially the maximum collision rate.



FIG.1: Tem perature dependence of the elastic scattering collision rate in units of the natural collision rate . The dashed lines indicate the high- and low -tem perature approxim ations. A) The collision rate for an energy-independent cross-section. B) The collision rate for a unitarity-lim ited cross-section.

Unitarity-Lim ited Cross-Section

To include the energy dependence of the cross-section, we adopt the notation of Ref. [14], and m ake the replace-m ent

$$D(m_{in})! = \frac{2}{(2 h)^3} \frac{Z}{U(x) < m_{in}} \frac{Z}{P_{+}(x)} dP(q); (6)$$

where $2q = P_{+}^{2} + P^{2} P_{-}^{2}$ determines the relative wavevector q, $P = P_{-}^{2} \frac{1}{2M [m in U(x)]}$ and is the total energy of the colliding particles.

W e are interested in the extrem e unitarity limit, where $(k) = 4 = k^2$ according to Eq.1 and the elastic collision rate is the maximum possible. W e write the collision rate as

$$= _{U L} I_{U L} (T = T_F)$$
: (7)

The natural collision rate, Eq. 4 with = 4 = k_F^2 , where k_F^2 = 2M $k_B T_F = h^2$, then takes the form

$$_{\rm U\,L} = \frac{_{\rm F}}{6 \, \rm h} \, . \tag{8}$$

The dimensionless integral $I_{U\,L}\,$ is similar to that of

Eq.5,

where F (x;x_m) determ ines the energy-dependent cross section (q) = 4 =q² in units of 4 =k_F². The arguments of F (x;x_m) are x = =_F, and x_m = $_{m in}$ =_F. As in Eq.5, we take x_m = x₁ without loss of generality.

For a harm onic potential,

$$F(x;x_m) = -\frac{16}{2x_m} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{du u^2}{x - 2x_m u^2} \ln \frac{du u^2}{(u)} ;$$

where $(;u) = {}_{p}A (;u) B (;u), A (;u) = + 2(1 2u^{2}), B (;u) = 2 2(1 u^{2}) (2u^{2}), and = x = x_{m}$.

We can simplify the form of the integrals in Eq.9 by transforming from coordinates $fx_1;x_2;x_3;ug$ into coordinates fw;y;z;sg, where $w = x_1, y = (x_2 + x_3)=2x_1$, $z = (x_3 \quad x_2)=2x_1$, and s = 1 u². After the transform ation we have

$$I_{UL} (T=T_F) = 144 \qquad dy G (y) \qquad dw w^{3} [1 f (w)]$$

$$[1 f [w (1 + 2y)]] \qquad dz f [w (1 + y + z)]$$

$$f [w (1 + y - z)] \qquad (10)$$

with G (y) given by

Eq.10 is plotted in Fig.1B. The maximum collision rate is larger by a factor ' 4 than for an energy independent cross section = $4 = k_F^2$, consistent with estimates from radio-frequency measurements of the mean eld shift in Ref. [4]. For $T=T_F < 0.15$, we again nd the quadratic temperature-dependence that results from Pauli blocking, I_{UL} ' $82 (T=T_F)^2$. In the high- $T=T_F$ limit we nd I_{UL} ' $2 (T_F=T)^2$. This matches the high-temperature prediction given below. The maximum value of I_{UL} ' 4:6 occurs at $T=T_F$ ' 0:4.

C om parison W ith A nalytic H igh-T= T_F R esults

We check the numerical results for the temperature dependence of the rates by calculating the collision rate $_{\rm H\,T}$ for T $T_{\rm F}$ directly from the phase-space s-wave Boltzm ann equation [14] in the high-T=T_F limit, where

the occupation number is given by a Boltzm ann factor and Pauli-blocking can be neglected. Including the dependence of the scattering cross section on the relative speed $v_{\rm r}$, we $\,$ nd generally that

$$_{\rm H T} \frac{N}{2} = \frac{Z}{dx n_{\pi} (x) n_{\#} (x) hv_{r} (v_{r}) i;}$$
(12)

where the angled brackets denote an average over the relative velocity distribution for pairs of atoms and $dx n_{";\#}(x) = N = 2. Eq. 12$ has a simple physical interpretation: The spin up atom sat position x, i.e., $n_{*}(x) dx$, are hit by spin down atom sat a rate $n_{\#}(x)hv_{r}$ (v_{r})i. For an energy-independent cross section, we obtain $_{HT}$ = $_{EI}T_{F} = T$ in agreement with the numerical results for $I_{F,I}(T=T_F)$ of Fig. 1A. The temperature dependence in this lim it arises from the ux, which is the product of the density and the relative velocity, i.e., nhvri / 1=T. For the extrem e unitarity-limited cross section = $4 = k^2$, with $v_r = hk$, we obtain $H_T = UL 2 (T_F = T)^2$ in agreem ent with the num erical results for $I_{U\,L}$ (T = $\!T_{\rm F}$) shown in Fig. 1B. In this case, the $1=T^2$ temperature dependence arises because both the ux and the cross section vary as 1=T.

HYDRODYNAM IC PARAM ETER

The collisional state of a gas can be described by a hydrodynam ic parameter which is the number of collisions that an atom experiences during a characteristic timescale. W hen is large, the gas is collisionally hydrodynamic, while when is small, the gas is collisionless. The actual values of which qualify as \large" or \small" are determined by calibration.

We choose the characteristic time-scale to be 1=!, and take = =! where ! = !_?;!_z are the oscillation frequencies of atoms in the trap. These are also the natural time scales for <u>ballistic</u> expansion, where the size of the cloud scales as $1 + !^2 t^2$ in each direction. In the unitarity-limited regime, and for a cylindricallysymmetric trap with elongation parameter = !_z=!_?, we can write _? as

$$_{?} = \frac{(3 \text{ N})^{1=3}}{6} I_{U \text{ L}} (T = T_{\text{F}})$$
 (13)

with N the total number of atom s in the 50-50 m ixture. Then, $_{\rm z}$ = $_{\rm 2}$ = .

Calibrating

We now turn to the question of determining the approximate value of for which the transition between collisionless and collisional behavior occurs. In Ref. [1], we investigated the anisotropic expansion properties of a



FIG.2: Observed aspect ratio of a strongly-interacting Ferm i gas after 600 s free expansion as a function of evaporation time. The aspect ratios corresponding to ballistic and hydrodynam ic expansion are indicated by the dashed, horizontal lines. The solid curve has been included to guide the eye. The gas evolves sm oothly from ballistic expansion to hydrodynam ic expansion as the evaporation time is increased.

strongly-interacting, degenerate Ferm i gas of ⁶Li. W hen released from a highly-elongated trap, the originally narrow dimensions of the gas expanded rapidly, while the broad dimension remained largely unchanged inverting the aspect ratio of the cloud. We have studied how the observed aspect ratio of the expanded cloud varies with the duration of evaporative cooling. The aspect ratios are measured for a xed expansion time of 600 s and are compared to the predictions of ballistic and hydrodynam ic expansion. The results are plotted in Fig. 2.

From this gure, we see that the hottest clouds (short evaporation tim es) expand ballistically, while the coldest clouds (longest evaporation tim es) expand hydrodynam – ically. Ballistic expansion is expected in a norm al, collisionless gas. Since the rapid transverse expansion extinguishes collisions before the axial distribution can change signi cantly, the collisional behavior of the expanding gas can be associated with $_{\rm ?}$. For the shortest evaporation times shown in Fig. 2, we observe ballistic scaling with T = 3T_F and N = 4 10^6 . For our trap = 0.035. From Eq. 13, we obtain $_{\rm ?}$ = 0.4 which then corresponds to approximately collisionless behavior. Therefore, = 0.4 is, in general, the condition for collisionless behavior for any timescale 1=! .

Application to Experim ent

In addition to our work in [1], several groups have also recently observed hydrodynam ic expansion of a Ferm igas in the strongly-interacting regime [4, 5]. The authors of these papers claim that their experiments are collisionally hydrodynam ic. For Ref. [4], we take the total number of atom s N = 2.4 10⁶ and T=T_F = 0.34, with = 0.016, yielding I_{U L} = 4.5 and $_{2}$ = 5.4. For Ref. [5], we take

N = 7 10⁴, $T = T_F = 0.6$ and = 0.35, yielding $I_{UL} =$ 3:5 and $_{?} = 7:7$. Hence, we agree with their conclusions. Our experiments [1] produce strongly-interacting Ferm igases at considerably low ertem peratures. In those experiments, the total number of atom s is N ' 1.5 10° for tem peratures 0:08 $T = T_F$ 02. Eq. 13 yields 0**:**7 3:7, while 20 106 . The values of ? z corresponding to our lowest tem peratures indicate that the trapped gas is nearly collisionless on the transverse tim escale, but collisional on the axial.

The onset of high-temperature super uidity has been recently predicted in the temperature range $T=T_F=0.25$ 0.5 [6, 7, 8]. Since Pauliblocking is inelective for the unitarity limited cross section when $T=T_F=0.25$, it is not clear how collisions in the normal component will a ect the form ation of this high temperature super uid.

For an expanding gas, we cannot make a de nitive statem ent about the collisional nature, even if it were collision less when trapped, as Pauli blocking may become ine ective as a result of nonadiabaticity in the expansion, deform ation of the Ferm i surface, or through other e ects [16]. The magnitude of these e ects, and to what extent they modify remains an open question. We are therefore working on experiments which will directly determ ine if the gas contains a super uid fraction.

W e are indebted to J.T.M.W alraven for stimulating correspondence regarding this work. This research is supported by the Physics Divisions of the Arm y Research O ce and the National Science Foundation, the Fundam ental Physics in M icrogravity Research program of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and the Chemical Sciences, G eosciences and B iosciences Division of the O ce of Basic Energy Sciences, O ce of Science, U.S.D epartm ent of Energy.

- [1] K.M.O'Hara et al, Science 298, 2179 (2002).
- [2] K.Dieckm ann et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 203201 (2002).
- [3] S. Jochim et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 273202 (2002).
- [4] C.Regaland D.S.Jin, cond-m at 0302246.
- [5] T.Bourdelet al, cond-m at 0303079.
- [6] M.Holland et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 120406 (2001).
- [7] E.Timmermans et al, Phys. Lett. A 285, 228 (2001).
- [8] Y. O hashi and A. Grin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 130402 (2002).
- [9] W. Geist, et al., Phys. Rev. A 61, 013406 (2000); W. Geist and T.A.B.Kennedy, Phys. Rev. A 65, 063617 (2002)
- [10] M.J.Holland, B.DeM arco, and D.S.Jin, Phys. Rev. A 61, 053610 (2000).
- [11] B.DeM arco, S.B.Papp, and D.S.Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5409 (2001).
- [12] W e take into account a factor of two di erence between our de nition of the collision rate and that of Ref. [10].W e assume the therm alenergy to be much sm aller than the trap depth while Ref. [10] assumes a truncated distribution to treat evaporation.

- (1997).
- [16] Henning Heiselberg, Jason Ho, and Wolfgang Ketterle, private communication.
- [13] W hen the s-wave scattering length is very large, other partialwaves m ay become important.CarlW illiams, private communication.
- [14] O.J.Luiten et al, Phys.Rev.A 53, 381 (1996).
- [15] D.A.Butts and D.S.Rokhsar, Phys.Rev.A 55, 4346