EXAFS, XRD and RMC studies of an Am orphous Ga₅₀Se₅₀ A lloy Produced by M echanical A lloying

K.D.Machado, '' P.Jovar', '' J.C.de Lima, 'C.E.M.Campos, ' and T.A.Grandi'

¹Departamento de F sica, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, 88040-900 Florianopolis, SC, Brazil

²Hamburger Synchrotronstrahlungslabor HASYLAB am Deutschen

E lektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestrasse, 85, D-22603, Hamburg, Germany

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

The local atom ic order of an am orphous G a_{50} Se $_{50}$ alloy produced by M echanical A lloying (M A) was studied by the Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure (EXAFS) and X-ray D i raction (XRD) techniques and by R everse M onte C arb (RMC) simulations of its total x-ray structure factor. The coordination num bers and interatom ic distances for the rst neighbors were determ ined by m eans of EXAFS analysis and RMC simulations. The RMC simulations also furnished the partial pair distribution functions G $_{Ga-Ga}^{RMC}$ (r) of $_{Ga-Se}^{RMC}$ (r) and $_{Se-Se}^{RMC}$ (r). The results obtained indicated that there are in portant di erences am ong the local structure of the am orphous G a_{50} Se $_{50}$ alloy produced by M A and those of the corresponding crystals, since there are Se-Se pairs in the rst coordination shell of the am orphous alloy that are forbidden in the G a_{50} Se $_{50}$ crystals.

PACS num bers: 61.10 Ht, 61.10 Eq, 61.43 Bn, 61.43 Dq, 05.10 Ln, 81.05 G c

In the recent years there has been an increase in the num ber of applications related to nonlinear optical materials. However, the desired properties concerning this kind of applications, such as optical hom ogeneity, laser dam age threshold, stability of the compound upon exposure to laser beam, ease of fabrication, im proved m echanical strength and the possibility of making large crystals are di cult to nd in a single material. Som e of the materials that can be used for nonlinear applications, like silver gallium selenides, zinc germ anium phosphides and thallium arsenic selenides, do not ful ll all of these requirem ents, lim iting severely their e ciency and applicability. Thus, there is a high necessity of developing new materials with a higher level of perform ance and more cost e ective characteristics. Gallium selenide (GaSe) has a number of interesting properties for electrical and nonlinear optics applications. It transmits in the wavelength range varying from 0.65 to 18 m and its optical absorption coe cient remains below 1 cm¹ throughout the transparency range. It has the possibility of converting sum and di erence frequencies [1, 2]. It has also been reported to be used in making a number of devices like MOSFET, IR detector, Solar Cell, com pound sem iconductor, etc. in crystalline form while in am orphous form, it is a potential candidate for opticalm em ory type applications [1, 3]. Crystalline GaSe is a sem iconductor of the III-VI family like GaS and InSe and it has a layered graphite type structure with a fourfold layer in the sequence Se-Ga-Ga-Se. The crystal cleaves very easily along the layers [2]. At room temperature, the layers are bound by weak van der W aals-type interactions. The weakness of this interaction explains the existence of a number of polytypes [4]. $Ga_{50}Se_{50}$ alloys can be prepared by the melting, vapor deposition and molecular beam epitaxy techniques [5, 6, 7, 8]. These techniques have had very limited success because they



FIG. 1: Fourier transform ation of experimental EXAFS spectra: a) at the GaK -edge and b) at the SeK -edge.

do not have controlover the kinetics and m orphology. In addition, due to the low melting points of the elemental G a (30 C) and Se (217 C) and the high vapor pressure of Se above 600 C it is di cult to obtain G a-Se alloys at speci c compositions. On the other hand, the mechanical alloying (M A) technique [9] can be used to overcome these di culties since the temperatures reached in M A are very low, what reduces reaction kinetics, allowing the production of poorly crystallized or am orphousm aterials [10, 11, 12, 13] even if the constituents of the alloy have low melting points, as it is in the case of gallium and selenium.

Am orphous $Ga_{50}Se_{50}$ (a- $Ga_{50}Se_{50}$) was prepared by MA starting from high purity elemental powder selenium (Alfa Aesar, 99.9999% purity, 150 m) and scraped ingots of gallium (Aldrich, 99.999% purity) with nom inal composition $Ga_{50}Se_{50}$. The mixture was sealed together with several steel balls into a cylindrical steel vial under argon atm osphere. The ball-to-pow der weight ratio was 10:1. A Spex M ixer/M illm odel 8000 was used to perform MA at room temperature. The mixture was milled for 15 h. A ventilation system was used to keep the vial tem perature close to room temperature. The composition of the alloy was con med by an energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) measurement and impurity traces were not observed. The alloy produced was investigated by extended x-ray absorption ne structure (EXAFS) and x-ray di raction (XRD) techniques and also by reverse Monte Carlo simulation (RMC). The EXAFS measurements were carried out on the D 04B beam line of LN LS (Campinas, Brazil), using a channel cut m onochrom ator (Si 111), two ionization chambers as detectors and a 1 mm entrance slit. All data were taken at room temperature in the transmission mode. The EXAFS oscillations

(k) at both K edges, after the standard data reduction procedures using W inxas97 software [14], were Fourier transformed (FT) using a Hanning weighting function within the ranges 3.8 { 14.3 A 1 for the Ga, and 3.4 { 14.3 A¹ for the Seedge. They can be seen in Fig. 1. Raw spectra were litered by Fourier transform ing k^3 (k) (G a edge) and k (k) (Seedge) into r-space (Fig.1) and transforming back the st coordination shells (1.20 { 2.85A for the G a edge and 1.13 { 3.0 A for the Se edge). Filtered spectra were then t by using Gaussian distributions to represent the hom opolar and heteropolar bonds [15]. The am plitude and phase shifts relative to the hom opolar and heteropolar bonds needed to them were obtained from ab initio calculations using the spherical waves method [16] and by the FEFF software. Figure 2 shows the experim ental and the tting results for the Fourier-ltered

rst shells on the G a and Se edges. Structural parameters extracted from the ts are listed in Table I. It is interesting to note that the very good ts shown in Fig.2 were achieved only when Se-Se pairs were considered in the rst shell. This fact indicates that the local structure of a-G a_{50} Se₅₀ produced by M A is dimensional structure of a-G a_{50} Se₅₀ produced by M A is dimensional transform its crystalline counterparts as none of the known stable crystalline G a_{50} Se₅₀ structures contains Se-Se bonds.

The XRD m easurem ents were carried out at the BW 5 beam line [17] at HASY LAB. All data were taken at room tem perature. The energy of the incident beam was 121.3 keV (= 0.102A). The structure factors (K) (Fig.3, fill line) was computed from the normalized intensity I (K) according to Faber and Zim an [18] and it was modeled by reverse M onte Carlo simulations. This technique is described in details elsewhere [10, 19, 20, 21] and it has been used as a method for structuralm odeling based directly on experimental data. There are several papers



FIG.2: Fourier-ltered rst shell (fulline) and its simulation (squares) for a-G a_{50} Se $_{50}$ at the (a) G a K edge, (b) Se K edge.



FIG. 3: Experim ental (full line) and simulated (squares) total structure factor for a-G $a_{50}\,Se_{50}$.

[10, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26] reporting structural studies of am orphous alloys by RM C.Sin ulations were carried out by the RM C program available on the Internet [20]. Cubic cells contained 1600 and 12800 atom s, and the average density was $_0 = 0.03907$ 0.0005 atom s/A³. This value was found from the slope of the straight line (4 $_0$ r)

tting the initial part (until the rstminimum) of the total G (r) function [27]. The minimum distance of atoms was also extracted from G (r) and xed at 2.18A. All the simulations were performed considering atoms random by placed in the cubic cells as starting con gurations. Then the following series of simulations were carried out:



FIG.4: $G_{G_{a-G_{a}}}^{RMC}$ (r), $G_{G_{a-S_{e}}}^{RMC}$ (r) and $G_{S_{e-S_{e}}}^{RMC}$ (r) functions obtained from the RMC simulations.

- H and sphere simulation without experimental data to avoid possible memory elects of the initial congurations in the results.
- 2. Unconstrained' runs (i.e. when experimental data were 'switched on'). These runs led to three essentially identical partial pair correlation functions and partial structure factors which can be considered as linear combinations of the 'true' partial quantities. It is to be mentioned that as neither the size nor other a priori inform ation can distinguish between G a and Se atom s at this step no adequate coordination num bers can be obtained.
- 3. Constrained' runs. The experimental S(K) was t by using EXAFS coordination number values as constraints. Comparison of experimental (full line) and calculated (squares) structure factors for the latter case is shown in Fig. 3 and the partial pair correlation functions (pcf's) are given in Fig. 4.

Finally the whole series of calculations was repeated from the very beginning with the di erence that during the constrained' run random steps resulting in nonzero Se-Se rst coordination number were rejected. It is important to note that if Se-Se pairs are forbidden as rst neighbors simulations did not converge, reinforcing

the results obtained by EXAFS analysis. The position of the rst and second peak are 2.42 A and 3.89 A in all of the pcf's corresponding to a mean bond angle of 107 for the four bond types (Ga-Ga-Ga, Se-Se-Se, Ga-Se-Se, Ga-Ga-Se) that can be directly derived from the pcf peak positions. As this is very close to the value

TABLE I: Structural param eters obtained for $a-G a_{50} Se_{50}$.

EXAFS				
	G a K -edge		Se K -edge	
Bond Type	Ga-Ga	Ga-Se	Se-Ga	Se-Se
N	1.3	2.4	2.4	1.3
r (A)	2.38	2.45	2.45	2.37
2 (A 10 2)	1.58	0.545	0.545	1.77
RM C				
Bond Type	Ga-Ga	G a-Se	Se-Ga	Se-Se
N	1.2	2.5	2.5	1.3
r (A)	2.42	2.42	2.42	2.42
$Ga_{50}Se_{50}$ compound ^a				
Bond Type	Ga-Ga	Ga-Se	Se-Ga	Se-Se
N	1	3	3	6
r (A)	2.44	2.45	2.45	3.75
$Ga_{50}Se_{50}$ compound ^b				
Bond Type	Ga-Ga	Ga-Se	Se-Ga	Se-Se
N	1	3	3	6 ^c
r (A)	2.39	2.47	2.47	3.74

 $^{\rm a}\,{\rm Space}$ group P 63/M M C .

^bSpace group P –6M 2

^cThe trigonal crystal of space group R 3M has 4 Se-Se pairs.

describing perfect tetrahedral coordination (109.5) and N_{Ga-Ga} + N_{Ga-Se} and N_{Se-Se} + N_{Se-Ga} are both close to 4 it is evident to assume that ballm illed a-Ga₅₀Se₅₀ has a tetrahedral structure with a de nite tendency to form hom opolar bonds.

The di erence of Ga-Ga and Ga-Se bond lengths in the crystalline modi cations is not greater than about 0.08 A (see Table I) and they are also quite close to the value of 2.35 A found recently for a-Se [22]. As the spatial resolution of di raction experiments is equal to =K_{max} the S (K) factor should be measured at least up to 40 A¹ or further to get more detailed information on the rst coordination shell. It should also be mentioned that due to the value of neutron scattering lenghts ($b_{Se} = 7:970 \text{ fm}$, $b_{Ga} = 7:288 \text{ fm}$) neutron di raction data would give essentially the same inform ation. O ther techniques used to obtain inform ation at the level of pcf's are either prohibitively expensive (neutron di raction with isotopic substitution) or yield limited spatial resolution due to the low K $_{\rm m\,\,ax}$ value available (anom alous X -ray scattering).

In summary the local structure of ball milled a- $Ga_{50}Se_{50}$ was investigated experimentally with EXAFS

and high energy X-ray di raction. EXAFS analysis led to the following conclusions: the average rst coordination number in a-G a_{50} Se₅₀ is close to 4, G a and Se local environments are similar and Se-Se bonding is signi cant. A llofthese ndings were checked and con rm ed by RM C study of di raction data: it was possible to obtain a good

t with coordination constraints close to the EXAFS values while runs without Se-Se rst neighbors led to a bad agreem ent between m odel and experiment. M ean bond angle calculated from di raction data is 107 indicating that a-G a_{50} Se₅₀ has a tetrahedral local structure.

The present study illustrates how complementary information obtained by dierent experimental techniques can be combined within the frame of reverse M onte C arb simulation. We believe that this is a useful and e cient way of m odelling disordered materials especially in cases when traditional methods (e.g. neutron di raction with isotopic substitution) are not available.

The Brazilian authors wish to thank the Brazilian agencies CNPq and CAPES for nancial support. This study was also partially supported by LNLS (Proposal No. XAS 998/01). P.J ov ari is indebted to Herm ann Franz and Martin von Zimmerm ann (both HASYLAB) for their help during the di raction measurement.

E lectronic address: kleber@_____sica.ufsc.br

- $^{\rm y}$ E lectronic address: p jovari@ m aildesy.de
- [L] N.B. Singh, D.R. Suhre, V.Balakrishna, M.Marable, R.Meyer, N.C. Fernelius, F.Hopkins, and D.Zelmon, Progr.Cryst.Growth and Char 37, 47 (1998).
- [2] N.C. Femelius, Prog. Cryst. G row th and Char. 28, 275 (1994).
- [3] N. B. Singh, D. R. Suhre, W. Rosch, R. Meyer, M. Marable, N. C. Fernelius, F. K. Hopkins, D. E. Zelmon, and R. Narayanan, J. Crystal Growth 198/199, 588 (1999).
- [4] S.Jandl, J.L.Brebner, and B.M. Powell, Phys. Rev. B 13, 686 (1976).

- [5] A.Ludviksson, L.E.Rum aner, J.W. J.Rogers, and F.S. Ohuchi, J.Cryst. Growth 151, 114 (1995).
- [6] K.Fujita, T.Izumi, K.Ohsaki, T.Tambo, H.Ueba, and C.Tatsuyama, Thin Solid Films 247, 134 (1994).
- [7] S.L.Stoll, E.G.Gillan, and A.R.Barron, Adv. M aterials 8, 182 (1996).
- [8] T.L.Ng, N.M aung, G.Fan, I.B.Poole, J.O.W illiams, A.C.W right, D.F.Foster, and D.J.Cole-Ham ilton, Chem.VaporDeposition 2, 185 (1996).
- [9] C. Suryanarayana, Prog. M ater. Sci. 46, 1 (2001).
- [10] K.D.Machado, J.C.deLima, C.E.M.deCampos, T.A. Grandi, and D.M. Trichês, Phys. Rev. B 66, 094205 (2002).
- [11] C.E.M. Campos, J.C. de Lima, T.A.Grandi, K.D. Machado, and P.S.Pizani, Sol. State. Commun. 123, 179 (2002).
- [L2] C.E.M. Campos, J.C. de Lima, T.A.Grandi, K.D. Machado, and P.S.Pizani, Physica B 324, 409 (2002).
- [13] J.C. de Lima, V.H.F. dos Santos, and T.A.Grandi, Nanostruct. Matter. 11, 51 (1999).
- [14] T.Ressler, J.Phys. 7, C2 (1997), licensed to LNLS.
- [15] E.A. Stem, D.E. Sayers, and F.W. Lytle, Phys. Rev. B 11, 4836 (1975).
- [16] J.J.Rehr, J.Am.Chem.Soc.113, 5135 (1991).
- [17] H. F. Poulsen, J. Neuefeind, H.-B. Neumann, J. R. Schneider, and M. D. Zeidler, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 188, 63 (1995).
- [18] T.E.Faber and J.M.Zim an, Philos.M ag. 11 (1965).
- [19] R.L.M oG reevy and L.Pusztai, M ol. Simulations 1, 359 (1988).
- [20] RM CA version 3, R.L.M cG neevy, M.A.Howe and J. D.W icks, 1993. available at http://www.studsvik.uu.se.
- [21] R. L. M cG reevy, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 13, 877 (2001).
- [22] P.J ov ariand L.Pusztai, Phys.Rev.B 64, 14205 (2001).
- [23] D.A.Keen and R.L.M cG reevy, Nature 344, 423 (1990).
- [24] Y.W ang, K.Lu, and C.Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997).
- [25] M. Bionducci, G. Navarra, R. Bellissent, G. Concas, and F. Congiu, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 250, 605 (1999).
- [26] A. D. Cicco, M. Taglienti, M. M inicucci, and A. Filipponi, Phys. Rev. B 62, 12001 (2000).
- [27] Y. W aseda, The Structure of Non-Crystalline M aterials (Liquid and Am orphous Solids) (M cG raw Hill, New York, 1980).