

Transition from KPZ to Tilted Interface Critical Behavior in a Solvable Asymmetric Avalanche Model

A. M. Povolotsky^{1,2}, V. B. Priezzhev², and Chin-Kun Hu¹

¹Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica,
Nankang, Taipei 11529, Taiwan and

²Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR., Dubna 141980, Russia

Abstract

We use a discrete-time formulation to study the asymmetric avalanche process [Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 084301 (2001)] on a finite ring and obtain an exact expression for the average avalanche size of particles as a function of toppling probabilities depending on parameters α and β . By mapping the model below and above the critical line onto driven interface problems, we show how different regimes of avalanches may lead to different types of critical interface behavior characterized by either annealed or quenched disorders and obtain exactly the related critical exponents which violate a well-known scaling relation when $\alpha \neq 2$.

PACS numbers: 64.60.Ht, 05.40.Fb, 47.55.Mh

Electronic address: huck@phys.sinica.edu.tw

The physics of driven interfaces has been attracting great attention for many years due to its connection with a variety of phenomena such as fluid flow through porous media, motion of charge density waves and flux lines in superconductors, etc. [1, 2, 3]. The results obtained can be roughly splitted into two groups. The first one incorporates growth phenomena where thermal or annealed fluctuations affect the dynamics. The most famous example is the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) universality class characterized by the roughness exponent $\alpha = 1/2$ and the dynamic exponent $z = 3/2$ in $1+1$ dimensions [4]. In the second group, an interface moves under the action of an external driving force F , which competes with pinning forces due to quenched medium inhomogeneities. At some critical force F_c the transition occurs from the totally pinned state to the state where the interface moves with a velocity v , which shows a power law decay $v \propto (F - F_c)$ when F approaches the critical point F_c from above [5].

The scaling properties of interfaces in the quenched case strongly depend on the medium isotropy. The isotropic medium produces a rough interface, which is believed to obey the quenched KPZ equation with a nonlinear term vanishing at the depinning threshold, $F \rightarrow F_c$ [6, 7]. In the anisotropic medium, the quenched KPZ equation holds only for a definite orientation (hard direction) of the interface, yielding a divergent nonlinear term. The tilt from the hard direction generates the gradient term in the equation which breaks the translation invariance. The existence of such a term gives rise to another universality class, tilted interface (TI) class, characterized in $1+1$ dimensions by the exact exponents $\alpha = 1/2$, $z = 1$, and $\beta = 1$ [6, 7].

It has been noted that thermal fluctuations below the depinning threshold can initiate avalanches, which lead to infinitely slow creep of the interface [8]. Far below depinning threshold, the avalanches lead to local advances of finite interface segments, so that they can be considered under coarsening as a local annealed disorder in an appropriate time scale. Approaching the depinning threshold, the local avalanches increase up to the system size and contribute to a global interface depinning which is controlled by the quenched disorder. It is the aim of this Letter to treat the effects of annealed and quenched disorder in a frame of a unified model.

Due to the well known correspondence between growth processes in $(1+1)$ dimensions and one-dimensional lattice gases [1], we can interpret the avalanche dynamics of interfaces in terms of avalanches of particles similar to those appearing in one-dimensional random

sandpile models [9]. To this aim, we can use the Asymmetric Avalanche Process (ASAP) which has been recently proposed and solved by the Bethe ansatz method [10]. Having formal origin in the asymmetric exclusion process [11], the ASAP has completely different dynamical behavior specific for systems with avalanche dynamics which has been widely investigated in the context of self-organized criticality [12, 13].

The ASAP is formulated as a system of particles diffusing on the lattice. The interaction makes particles to accelerate if they are in the same site of the lattice, giving rise to avalanche-like relaxations of domains with higher density of particles. Such a dynamics depends on transition rates and may lead to different regimes of the particle flow, intermittent or continuous. In the former case, fast avalanche processes alternate with long periods of relatively slow diffusive motion and the average avalanche size of particles is finite being measured in the diffusive time scale. This average avalanche size diverges in the thermodynamic limit if the density of particles approaches a critical point [10]. The divergency means that the diffusive time-scale is no more appropriate for the description of the system, because the avalanche processes become dominating. Internal avalanche time should be used in this case to quantify the dynamics in the system.

The study of the intermittent flow phase by the Bethe ansatz on a lattice of finite size N [14] shows that the total distance traveled by particles has the long time asymptotic $\langle X_t^2 \rangle \sim t N^{3/2}$, which is equivalent to finding the KPZ dynamical exponent $z = 3/2$ [1, 4]. It will be clear below that in the phase of continuous flow, Maslov-Zhang (MZ) arguments [9] based on the mapping of the system onto the random walk problem show that the model belongs to the TI universality class at least in the vicinity of the transition point. In this Letter, we use the equivalence between the driven interface problem and the ASAP in discrete time to study the crossover between these two types of disorder. Considering the ASAP on the lattice, we obtain the exact expression for the average avalanche size in two different regimes and describe scaling properties of the system at the transition point.

Consider the system of p particles on a one-dimensional lattice of N sites with periodical boundary conditions, i.e. a ring. The system evolves by discrete time steps $t < 1$ according to the following rules. I. If all particles occupy different sites of the lattice, then one randomly chosen particle moves one step to the right neighboring site with probability t for one time step. II. If there are $n > 1$ particles at the same site, referred to as an active site, the diffusive motion is replaced by the avalanche dynamics: either all n particles move

to the next right site at the next time step with probability p_n or $n-1$ particles move one step to the next right site with probability $1-p_n$, while one particle remains unmoved. Thus, we use a random sequential update and separate in time the avalanche and single particle dynamics. The continuous time limit, $N \rightarrow 1$ and $\tau \rightarrow 0$, coincides with the case considered in [10], as only the terms of order τ survive in the master equation.

To map the system to the interface problem, we associate the occupation number n_i on a site i with an interface height increase $n_i = h(i+1) - h(i)$ so that the number of particles determines the tilt of the interface. To combine periodic boundary conditions with the interface tilt we imply helicoidal boundary condition to the interface by putting $h(i+N) = h(i) + p$.

A state of the system at time t is given by probabilities of all possible configurations of particles on the lattice. Such probabilities obey the master equation

$$P_{t+\tau}(C) = \sum_{C^0} T(C;C^0) P_t(C^0) \quad (1)$$

where $T(C;C^0)$ denotes the transition probability from the configuration C^0 to C and the summation is over all possible configurations of particles. Despite the ambiguous explicit form of Eq.(1), the form of its stationary solution is rather simple and can be obtained by direct solution under an assumption of stationarity. In the stationary state, the probability of a configuration depends only on the number of particles in the active site rather than on occupation of the other sites of the lattice. Let $P^{[n]}$ be the probability of any configuration with n particles at the active site. Then, the stationary solution of the system (1) is given by the following recursion relations

$$P^{[n+1]} = P^{[n]} \alpha_n = (1 - p_{n+1}); \quad (2)$$

where $n = 1; 2; \dots; p-1$, and $\alpha_1 = 1-p$. The only undetermined constant here is $P^{[0]}$, the probability of stable configurations with no active site, which is defined from the normalization condition $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{G}} P(C) = 1$.

As it follows from the Eq.(2), when n particles leave an active site at some step of an avalanche, all configurations of the remaining $p-n$ particles at the lattice occur equally likely. This form of the stationary measure is an analogue of the equiprobability of all configurations in the exclusion process [11]. Then, we can proceed in a way similar to that in Ref. [10] and consider the expected number $P_k(n)$ of events when n particles leave an

active site at the k -th step of an avalanche. The number of particles, n , outgoing from an active site at every time step performs Markov random walk

$$P_{k+1}(n) = \sum_m P_k(m) w_{m,n} \quad (3)$$

with transition probabilities

$$\begin{aligned} w_{n-1,n} &= \frac{n-1}{N} \\ w_{n,n} &= \frac{n}{N} (1 - \frac{n+1}{N}) + 1 - \frac{n}{N} \\ w_{n+1,n} &= 1 - \frac{n+1}{N} \end{aligned}$$

for $n > 1$ and $w_{1,1} = \frac{1}{N} (1 - \frac{2}{N})$, $w_{2,1} = 1 - \frac{2}{N} (1 - \frac{2}{N})$, where $\frac{1}{N} = p/N$. Equation (3) generalizes corresponding equation in [10] explicitly taking into account the effect of the finite size on the transition probabilities. This enables us to consider the ASAP both in the intermittent and continuous flow regimes. Instead of $P_k(n)$, we can look for the total expected number of these events during the whole avalanche $P(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k(n)$, which satisfies much simpler equation $P(n) = \frac{n}{N} (1 - \frac{n+1}{N}) + 1 - \frac{n}{N} P(n)$, supplemented by the initial condition $P(1) = 1 - \frac{1}{N} = 1$. The solution of this equation can be found from the detailed balance condition, $P(n) w_{n,n+1} = P(n+1) w_{n+1,n}$. The result is

$$P(n) = \frac{N(n-1)(N-n+1)}{(n-1)(N-n+1)} \prod_{j=2}^n \frac{j}{1-j}; \quad (4)$$

for $n > 1$. The expected total number V of particles spilled during an avalanche, i.e. the average avalanche size, is given by

$$V = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n P(n) \quad (5)$$

As a single-particle jump which can trigger an avalanche occurs with probability τ ; the average number of steps of particles for time t is tV , if one neglects the time taken by the avalanche itself in comparison with the average time interval between avalanches. Therefore, if $\tau \neq 0$ and V is finite, we may consider V as an average velocity of particles or the velocity of the associated interface in the continuous disusive time scale.

Consider the asymptotic behavior of the average avalanche size, V , in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$; $p \rightarrow 1$; $p/N = \tau = \text{const}$. To this end, we have to specify the toppling probabilities τ_j .

We suppose that ρ_j tends to a constant limit ρ_1 when $j \rightarrow 1$, and define the function $f(n)$ through the relation $\rho_n = (1 - \rho_1)^n = e^{f(n)} \rho_1 = (1 - \rho_1)$, assuming that it has the expansion $f(n) = (n - 2) + O(1/n)$. It turns out that ρ_1 is the only parameter which is responsible for the subcritical singularity of the average avalanche size. Below we imply ρ_1 to vary in the range $1 < \rho_1 < 3$. In the thermodynamic limit we have the leading term of the $n \rightarrow \infty$ expansion $V = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_1^{n-1} \frac{1}{(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_1^n)}$, which converges for $\rho_1 > 0$ if the density of particle is less than the critical value $\rho_1 < \rho_c$, where $\rho_c = 1 - 1/3$. Close to the critical point, V diverges with the critical exponent ν ,

$$V \sim (\rho_c - \rho_1)^{-\nu} : \quad (6)$$

Above the critical line, we use the Stirling formula to approximate gamma functions in Eq. (4). The leading order of the expansion shows that the function $P(n)$ has a maximum at the point

$$n_0 = N \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_c} \right). \quad (7)$$

The formula (7) has a transparent physical meaning. Indeed, n_0 is the most typical value of the number of particles at an active site during an avalanche, which ensures the density of particles at the other sites of the lattice to be equal to ρ_c . In other words, the avalanche dynamics in the system above the critical point is selforganizing in a sense that it maintains parameters of the medium outside the active site at the critical point. In the vicinity of n_0 , the function $P(n)$ shows a Gaussian-like form with the power law prefactor n^{-2} . Replacing the sum (5) by the integral, which can be evaluated in the saddle point approximation, we obtain the leading part of V , exponentially growing with N for $\rho_1 > \rho_c$

$$V \sim (\rho_1 - \rho_c)^{-1} N^{-1/2} a^N \quad (8)$$

where $a = (\rho_1 - \rho_c) / (1 - \rho_c) = (1 - \rho_c)^{-1}$. Thus, the average avalanche size either exponentially diverges with N for ρ_1 above ρ_c or tends to a finite limit below ρ_c . These two regimes are separated by the point $\rho_1 = \rho_c$, where the power law growth of the avalanche size takes place

$$V_c \sim N^{-2} : \quad (9)$$

The crossover from sub- to super-critical regime through the critical point can be viewed in the function obtained from another expansion of Eq. (4) for $1 - \rho_1 \ll N$, namely,

$V = V_c g(t)$, where

$$g(t) = \frac{2e^{t^2}}{(p-2)} \int_t^{\infty} dx (x+t)^{p-1} e^{-x^2} \quad (10)$$

is a function depending on the parameter and variable $t = \frac{p}{N} \frac{1 - (1 - c)^{N/p}}{(1 - c)^{p/N}} = 2 \ln \frac{(1 - c)}{(1 - c)^{p/N}}$, which shows a distance from the critical point. Near critical point $t \rightarrow 0$. The function $g(t)$ is equal to 1 when $t = 0$, and decays as t^{-1} when $t \rightarrow \infty$, thus eliminating the dependence of V on N in the subcritical regime. Next, we consider particular examples of toppling probabilities discussed in [10].

In the integrable version of the ASAP, the toppling probabilities are defined by the recursion relations $p_{n+1} = (1 - p_n)$. In the notations used, this case corresponds to $p_1 = p = (1 + \epsilon)$ and $\epsilon = 2$. The sum in (5) can be reexpressed in terms of the infinite sum of hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1(a; b; c; d)$

$$V = \frac{1+p}{N} \frac{N}{p+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{N}{k}^{p+1} \quad (11)$$

$${}_2F_1(2; 1 - p; 2 + N - p; (k+1)^{-p}) :$$

This form is useful because all terms of the sum are of the same structure. The terms with even k look like the average avalanche size of the ASAP with particular toppling probabilities, $p_n = (k+1)^{-p}$, independent on n , hence having its own critical density $c_c^{[k]} = 1 = 1 - (k+1)^{-p}$, while the odd k -th terms are not singular in all phase space. Therefore, for $c_c^{[2n]} < c < c_c^{[2n+2]}$ the growing part of the average avalanche size is given by the sum of $n+1$ exponentially growing summands similar to one in Eq.(8) up to the change of c to $c_c^{[2k]}$; ($k = 0; 2; \dots; 2n$) and $\epsilon = 2$. In the thermodynamic limit the term with $k = 0$ is dominating. At the critical point $c_c = 1 = (1 + \epsilon)$; the exact equality [15]

$${}_2F_1(2; 1 - p; 2 + N - p; (k+1)^{-p}) = (1 + p) = (1 + \epsilon); \quad (12)$$

leads to the surprising result, $V_c = N + O(1)$, which shows that the growing part of the average avalanche size does not depend on N in the integrable case. The expansion below the critical point, $c < c_c$, confirms the thermodynamics value and $1/N$ correction obtained from the Bethe ansatz solution [10, 14].

For two-parametric ASAP [10] with toppling probabilities defined by $p_n = (1 - p_{n-1}) = 2 - (n - 2 + \epsilon) = (1 - \epsilon)n$, we have $p_1 = 2 = (1 + 2 - \epsilon)$ and

$$V = \frac{N}{N - p + 1} {}_2F_1(2; 1 - p; 2 + N - p; \frac{2}{(1 - \epsilon)}) : \quad (13)$$

When $\beta = 2$, we have $\nu = 1 = \nu_c$, $\nu_c = 1$, and $V_c = p$.

Let us consider a connection of these results with MZ arguments [9]. Considering the form of transition probabilities of Eq.(3) for $\beta = \nu_c$, one can see that the height of an active site in the ASAP also performs the simple random walk when $\beta = 2$. However, for $\beta \neq 2$ the normalized transition probabilities, defined as $p(n \rightarrow n+1) = w_{n,n+1} / (w_{n,n+1} + w_{n,n-1})$, contain the nonuniform bias term, $p(n \rightarrow n+1) = 1/2(1 + (\beta - 2)/(2n)) = (2n + \beta - 2)/(4n)$, which corresponds to the process first considered by Gillis [16]. Depending on whether β is larger or less than two, it can be either positive or negative, respectively, enhancing or suppressing the avalanche spreading.

As usual, we assume the following scaling ansatz for avalanche size and time distribution $P(s) = s^{-\tau} g(s/s_0)$ and $P(t) = t^{-\tau} g(t/t_0)$ in the vicinity of the critical point, where $g(x)$ is a scaling function, and s_0, t_0 are time and size cutoffs. The time cutoff t_0 also plays the role of correlation length. Knowing asymptotics for mean conditional time of the first return for the Gillis random walk [17], we can obtain the avalanche time critical exponent, $\tau_t = 5/2 - \beta/2$ for $1 < \beta < 3$. To probe the avalanche size statistics we should note that unlike the exponent τ_t , the dimension of avalanches D does not depend on β coinciding with unbiased case, which can be directly checked by multiplying the original equation (3) by n^2 and summing by parts. This yields $\ln^2 i \sim t$ and hence $D = 3/2$ and $\tau_s = 2 - D = 1/2$. The other critical exponents characterizing underlying interface dynamics remain unchanged comparing to unbiased MZ case. Particularly, the exponent characterizing the correlation length below the critical point, defined in our case by $t_0 \sim (\nu - \nu_c)^{-1}$, can be uniquely fixed as $\nu = 2$ by requiring the obtained exponents to be consistent with the average avalanche size below ν_c , Eq.(6). In the critical point one gets from the Eq.(9) $t_0 \sim N$ irrespectively of β , i.e. the time cutoff grows as a system size, which determines the dynamical exponent $z = 1$. We should note that although the correlation length demonstrates universal behavior independent on β , both in the subcritical (KPZ) and critical (TD) regime, the results for the mean avalanche size in the intermediate regime (10) show the remaining dependence on β . The exponent $\tau = 1$ just above the depinning threshold follows from the Eq.(7), which gives a characteristic increase of the interface height after one avalanche passage proportional to $(\beta - \nu_c)$. The roughness exponent $\tau = 1/2$ is due to equiprobability of particle configurations

established above. We should note that the case $\epsilon = 2$ violates the scaling relation

$$\alpha = 1 + (d - 1) = D \quad (14)$$

valid for a wide class of the models [9] probably because the interaction is not uniform with respect to the height of an avalanche. Rigorous explanation of this violation is a matter of further analysis.

In summary, we have found that the asymmetric avalanche process (ASAP) [10] incorporates annealed KPZ and quenched TI interface dynamics for $\epsilon < 2$ and $\epsilon > 2$, respectively. All critical exponents characterizing the interface behavior in both classes are obtained exactly and shown to coincide with those known before. Nevertheless, the exact calculation of the average avalanche size and random walk arguments shows that critical exponents of the avalanche distributions are the continuous functions of the parameter ϵ responsible for the asymptotics of toppling probabilities and the scaling relation between avalanche-size exponent α and correlation-length exponent β [9] valid for any other models is violated in the ASAP with $\epsilon = 2$.

We are grateful to V. P. Spiridonov and Yan-ChrT sai for useful discussion and the former for help in proof of formula (12). This work was supported in part by the National Science Council of the Republic of China (Taiwan) under Grant No. NSC 91-2112-M-001-056 and Russian Foundation for Basic Research under Grant No. 03-01-00780.

-
- [1] T. Halpin-Healy and Y.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rep. 254, 215 (1995).
 - [2] M. Kardar Phys. Rep. 301, 85 (1998).
 - [3] D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rep. 301, 113 (1998).
 - [4] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, and Y.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 889 (1986).
 - [5] O. Narayan and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 48, 7030 (1993).
 - [6] L. A. N. Amaral, A.-L. Barabasi, and H. E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 62 (1994).
 - [7] L.-H. Tang, M. Kardar, and D. Dhar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 920 (1995).
 - [8] H. Leschhorn and L.-H. Tang, Phys. Rev. E 49, 1238 (1994).
 - [9] S. Maslov and Y. C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1550 (1995).
 - [10] V. B. Priezzhev, E. V. Ivashkevich, A. M. Povolotsky, and C.-K. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 084301 (2001).

- [1] B. Derrida, Phys. Rep. 301, 65 (1998).
- [2] P. Bak, C. Tang, and K. Wiesenfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 381 (1987).
- [3] A. Vespignani, R. Dickman, M. A. Muñoz, and S. Zapperi, Phys. Rev. E 62, 4564 (2000).
- [4] A. M. Povolotsky, V. B. Priezzhev, and C.-K. Hu, Physica A 321 280 (2003) and J. Stat. Phys. 111, 1149 (2003).
- [5] The proof of the Eq.(12) is based on the reduction of identities for higher hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$ with constrained parameters (see R. S. Maier, math.CA/0302084) to ${}_2F_1$ case, V. P. Spiridonov, private communications.
- [6] J. G.illis, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford, 2nd Series) 7, 144 (1956).
- [7] B. D. Hughes, Physica A 134, 443 (1986).